The adoption of sound change : synchronic and diachronic processing of regional variation in Dutch

Voeten, C.C.

APPENDIX A

Prime–target list for Experiment 1 from Chapter 3

baube – zaube
baube – zobe
bauke – zauke
bauke – zoke
bebbe – webbe
bebbe – wijbe
begge – wegge
begge – wijge
bekker – hekker
bekker – hijker
bemme – zemme
bemme – zijme
benne – henne
benne – hijne
bezze – hezze
bezze – hijze
blaalder – zaalder
blaude – graude
blaude – grode
blaulde – saulde
blaulde – soolde
blaulder – waulder
blaulder – woolder
blauver – schauver
blauver – schover
blebe – zebe
blebe – zijbe
blege – bege
blege – bijge
blelder – zelder
blelder – zijlder
blene – hene
blene – hijne
blete – lete
blete – lijte
bleter – leter
bleter – lijter
braalder – schaalder
brauver – vauver
brauver – vover
bredde – stedde
bredde – stijde
bredder – tedder
bredder – tijder
breelder – veelder
breelder – vijlder
brelder – welder
brelder – wijlder
brette – tette
brette – tijte
bretter – letter
bretter – lijter
brever – tever
brever – tijver
brevver – hevver
brevver – hijver
bwelde – slelde
bwelde – slijlde
dauver – trauver
dauver – trover
debe – webe
debe – wijbe
dete – zete

dete – zijte
deter – zeter
deter – zijter
devver – levver
devver – lijver
drever – rever
drever – rijver
dweelder – bleelder
dweelder – blijlder
dwever – krever
dwever – krijver
faalder – haalder
fauver – jauver
fauver – jover
faver – taver
fedde – sedde
fedde – sijde
fedder – kedder
fedder – kijder
fevver – wevver
fevver – wijver
flaalder – waalder
flaulde – maulde
flaulde – moolde
flaulder – braulder
flaulder – broolder
fleelder – seelder
fleelder – sijlder
flelder – selder
flelder – sijlder
fraalder – saalder
freelder – weelder
freelder – wijlder
gader – tader
gauver – nauver
gauver – nover
gleelde – kreelde
gleelde – krijlde
glelde – flelde
glelde – flijlde
graalder – taalder
grauver – hauver
grauver – hover
greelde – treelde
greelde – trijlde
greelder – teelder
greelder – tijlder
grelde – trelde
grelde – trijlde
grelder – telder
grelder – tijlder
grever – hever
grever – hijver
grevver – revver
grevver – rijver
gweelde – fleelde
gweelde – flijlde
hader – sader
jaube – haube
jaube – hobe
jauge – nauge
jauge – noge
jauter – nauter
jauter – noter
jauze – nauze
jauze – noze
jede – krede
jede – krijde
jevver – sevver
jevver – sijver
kebe – tebe
kebe – tijbe
keder – zeder
keder – zijder
kegge – begge
kegge – bijge
klaalder – draalder
klaulde – faulde
klaulde – foolde
klaulder – laulder
klaulder – loolder
klauver – vrauver
klauver – vrover
kleelder – geelder
kleelder – gijlder
klelder – kwelder
klelder – kwijlder
kneelde – pleelde
kneelde – plijlde
kraalder – raalder
kraulder – naulder
kraulder – noolder
kraver – paver
kreder – deder
kreder – dijder
kreelder – feelder
kreelder – fijlder
krelder – nelder
krelder – nijlder
kwaulde – gaulde
kwaulde – goolde
kwaulder – fraulder
kwaulder – froolder
kwaver – maver
kweelder – zeelder
kweelder – zijlder
laalder – kaalder
lauder – zauder
lauder – zoder
lebbe – zebbe
lebbe – zijbe
mauder – jauder
mauder – joder
meker – heker
meker – hijker
nauve – zauve
nauve – zove
nebe – bebe
nebe – bijbe
neke – heke
neke – hijke
nete – tete
nete – tijte
neter – teter
neter – tijter
pader – jader

pauder – bauder
pauder – boder
pevver – tevver
pevver – tijver
pfelde – klelde
pfelde – klijlde
pjaalder – staalder
pjelde – xelde
pjelde – xijlde
plaalder – vaalder
plaulde – vaulde
plaulde – voolde
plaulder – praulder
plaulder – proolder
plauver – mauver
plauver – mover
pleelder – jeelder
pleelder – jijlder
plelde – nelde
plelde – nijlde
plelder – jelder
plelder – jijlder
plever – pever
plever – pijver
praalder – paalder
praver – saver
preelder – steelder
preelder – stijlder
prelder – stelder
prelder – stijlder
prever – twever
prever – twijver
psaulder – draulder
psaulder – droolder
pselde – lelde
pselde – lijlde
rebe – hebe
rebe – hijbe
sauver – bauver
sauver – bover
schaube – kaube
schaube – kobe
schauge – kauge
schauge – koge
schauke – nauke
schauke – noke
schaulder – jaulder
schaulder – joolder
schaver – kaver
schevver – zevver
schevver – zijver
schraalder – jaalder
sedder – zedder
sedder – zijder
sfaulde – praulde
sfaulde – proolde
sfeelde – preelde
sfeelde – prijlde
sfelde – blelde
sfelde – blijlde
sjeelde – vreelde
sjeelde – vrijlde
skeelde – freelde
skeelde – frijlde
skelde – twelde
skelde – twijlde
skelder – twelder
skelder – twijlder
slaalder – kwaalder
slaulde – baulde
slaulde – boolde
slaulder – taulder
slaulder – toolder
slaver – waver
sleelder – peelder
sleelder – pijlder
slelder – dwelder
slelder – dwijlder
smaalder – traalder
smeelde – bleelde
smeelde – blijlde
smelde – drelde
smelde – drijlde
smelder – drelder
smelder – drijlder
snaulde – draulde
snaulde – droolde
snaulder – graulder
snaulder – groolder
sneelde – sleelde
sneelde – slijlde
snever – jever
snever – jijver
spaalder – gaalder
spaulde – naulde
spaulde – noolde
spaulder – gaulder
spaulder – goolder
speelder – leelder
speelder – lijlder
spever – dever
spever – dijver
spleelde – kleelde
spleelde – klijlde
splelde – krelde
splelde – krijlde
spraulde – braulde
spraulde – broolde
spraver – zaver
spreelde – breelde
spreelde – brijlde
sprelde – brelde
sprelde – brijlde
sprever – mever
sprever – mijver
sraulde – xaulde
sraulde – xoolde
sreelde – greelde
sreelde – grijlde
srelde – grelde
srelde – grijlde
staude – gaude
staude – gode
staulde – jaulde
staulde – joolde
staulder – raulder

staulder – roolder
stauver – lauver
stauver – lover
staver – naver
stedder – hedder
stedder – hijder
stette – hette
stette – hijte
stetter – wetter
stetter – wijter
straulde – fraulde
straulde – froolde
straver – vaver
strelde – frelde
strelde – frijlde
strelder – frelder
strelder – frijlder
strevver – mevver
strevver – mijver
swaulde – kraulde
swaulde – kroolde
sweelde – leelde
sweelde – lijlde
sweelder – dreelder
sweelder – drijlder
swelde – delde
swelde – dijlde
swelder – relder
swelder – rijlder
tater – jater
taude – paude
taude – pode
tegge – wegge
tegge – wijge
tetter – hetter
tetter – hijter
tezze – zezze
tezze – zijze
tjaulder – baulder
tjaulder – boolder
tjeelde – dreelde
tjeelde – drijlde
tjelde – jelde
tjelde – jijlde
trauder – nauder
trauder – noder
trauge – kauge
trauge – koge
traulder – haulder
traulder – hoolder
traute – jaute
traute – jote
traver – javer
treelder – neelder
treelder – nijlder
trelder – belder
trelder – bijlder
trever – sever
trever – sijver
twaalder – naalder
twaulde – zaulde
twaulde – zoolde
twaulder – maulder
twaulder – moolder
twebe – lebe
twebe – lijbe
tweder – heder
tweder – hijder
tweelder – beelder
tweelder – bijlder
tweke – meke
tweke – mijke
vaube – naube
vaube – nobe
vauge – nauge
vauge – noge
vauke – hauke
vauke – hoke
vedde – kedde
vedde – kijde
vedder – ledder
vedder – lijder
vede – kede
vede – kijde
vleelde – tweelde
vleelde – twijlde
vlelde – prelde
vlelde – prijlde
vrauder – vauder
vrauder – voder
vraulde – waulde
vraulde – woolde
vraulder – vaulder
vraulder – voolder
vraver – raver
vrebe – mebe
vrebe – mijbe
vreelder – deelder
vreelder – dijlder
vrelder – delder
vrelder – dijlder
wauver – kauver
wauver – kover
weme – heme
weme – hijme
wemme – hemme
wemme – hijme
weppe – heppe
weppe – hijpe
xaulder – saulder
xaulder – soolder
xeelde – neelde
xeelde – nijlde
xelder – pelder
xelder – pijlder
zader – mader
zevve – hevve
zevve – hijve
zwaulde – graulde
zwaulde – groolde
zwaver – baver
zweelde – jeelde
zweelde – jijlde
zweelder – reelder
zweelder – rijlder
zwelder – lelder
zwelder – lijlder

APPENDIX B

Word list for Experiment 2 from Chapter 3

Australische
Engelse
Engelsen
Keuls
Keulse
L-vormig
Poolse
aan
aandacht
aantal
aard
aardappelen
aardbeien
aardbeving
aardbodem
aardbol
aarde
aardedonker
aardewerk
aardgas
aardig
aardigheid
aardkorst
aardoppervlak
aardrijkskunde
aardse
aars
aartsbisschop
aarzelde
aarzeling
afgebeuld
afgebeulde
audiovisuele
augustus
aula
auteur
authenticiteit
authentieke
autisme
autistische
auto
autobiografie
autobiografische
autobus
automaat
automatisch
automatisering
automatisme
automobiel
automobilisten
autonome
autonomie
autoritaire
autoriteiten
autoweg
basisschool
beeld
beulsknechten
beulswerk
bijl
bijvoorbeeld
deel
doolhof

echt
echter
echtgenoot
echtgenote
echtpaar
economische
een
eenheid
eenmaal
eens
eenvoudig
effect
effectief
eigen
eigenaar
eigenaardige
eigenbelang
eigendom
eigenlijk
eigenschappen
eiland
eind
einde
eindelijk
eindeloos
eindigde
eis
el
elders
eldorado
elementen
elf
elfduizend
elfenkoningin
elfhonderd
elfstedentocht
elft
elftal
elkaar
elkander
elke
elkeen
ellende
elpee
elpenbenen
els
elzehout
elzestruiken
en
energie
enerzijds
engel
enige
enigszins
enkele
enorme
enthousiasme
enthousiast
enzovoort
essentieel
eten
even
evenals
eveneens
evenmin
eventueel
evenwel
evenwicht
exemplaren
experiment
extra
gedeelte
gedeeltelijk
geheel
geheugen
gehuil
geil
geschoolde
geul
geultje
gezeuld
grotendeels
heel
heil
heilzame
heul
heus
heuvel
hoeveel
hoeveelheid
hogeschool
houtskool
huilbui
huilde
iedereen
iemand
iets
ijdelheid
ijl
ijs
ijver
ijverig
ijzer
ijzeren
ingeruild
integendeel
jeugd
keuken
keus
keuze
kleuterschool
kool
kuil
leuk
leunde
levensstijl
machinepistool
middenschool
mijl
milieu
monsieur
muil
muilezels
nerveus
neus
oefenen

oefening
oever
ogen
ogenblik
olie
onderdeel
onderwijl
onheil
onheilspellend
onverwijld
ook
oom
oordeel
oosten
openbare
opende
operatie
oude
oudejaarsavond
ouden
ouderdom
ouderen
ouderlijke
ouderling
ouders
ouderschap
ouderwetse
oudheid
oudjes
oudsher
oudste
out
output
over
overal
overeenkomst
overeenstemming
overeind
overheid
overige
overigens
overkant
overtuigd
overtuiging
parool
peil
personeel
peul
peultjes
peulvruchten
pijl
pistool
pool
puilden
religieuze
reusachtige
ruil
school
schoolmeester
schooltijd
schoolwezen
schuilging
schuilkelder
schuilnaam
schuilplaats
schuilt
serieus
sleutel
smeulde
smeulden
smeult
speelde
steenkool
steil
steun
stijl
symbool
teil
terwijl
teveel
uil
uit
uitbreiding
uitdrukking
uiteen
uiteindelijk
uiteraard
uiterst
uitgangspunt
uitgenodigd
uitgesproken
uitgevoerd
uiting
uitleg
uitsluitend
uitspraak
uitvoerig
uitvoering
uitwerking
uitzicht
uitzondering
vaargeul
veel
veelal
verzeild
viool
vleugels
voorbeeld
voordeel
vreugde
vuil
vuilnisbak
vuilnisbelt
vuiltje
zeil
zeildoek
zeulde
zeulden
zeult
zuil

APPENDIX C

Word list for Experiment 1 from Chapter 4

achteruitkijkspiegel
adieu
afstotelijk
alleenstaand
auto-ongevallen
autokerkhoven
autoritje
autosleutels
bakkerij
bedruipende
beenbeschermers
beenbreuken
beloninkje
bereid
bereikbaar
bespeel
beu
beugel
beul
beult
bevrijdingsactie
bewegingsmogelijkheden
bezopener
bijgepunt
blasfemie
boerderij
bonis
breakdancing
breedgeschouderde
brekebeen
buidelrat
buil
buis
buiswater
buitenland
buitenschools
bullshit
bureaublad
cacao
cacaoboom
degusteren
deug
deugdzaamheid
dialogen
dichtstbijzijnde
doodstraf
dreun
dromen
droogkamer
droogshampoo
dweep
eindig
eindresultaten
enigszins
entertainer
eureka
evenwicht
existentieel
familiariteit
filosoof
financieel
foyer
fundamenteel

gebruiksmogelijkheden
geelst
geestesleven
gefoezel
geilaard
geilheid
gelegenheden
gelouterde
genereus
geneugten
geul
geweigerd
gonorroe
goud
grootbrengen
heethoofd
heil
heildronk
heul
heulde
heult
heup
heus
heuvel
hogelijk
holocaust
hoofdrol
hoog
hoogmoed
houder
hout
houtbewerker
houthandelaren
houtig
houtindustrie
houtluis
houtsnijder
houtsnijwerken
houtwerker
houweel
huichelaar
huiskamerbijeenkomst
huisnummer
huisschilders
iel
ijlkoorts
ijsbeer
immoreel
kaneel
kannibaals
kleuter
kleverigheid
kloostermuren
knuisten
kokosvlees
komen
koolblad
koolsoep
koolzuurgas
koopziek
kreek
kwartaal
kwartaalblad
kwijl
langszijde
leid
leidinggevende
lepelaar
leugenaar
levendig
lijk
lijntrekkers
lou
louter
luistervinkte
makreel
manipulatie
mantilla
medisch
meegesleept
meegevoerd
meekwamen
meervoudige
meinedig
meineed
mitotisch
morfologische
necrofiel
nijlpaard
nijpender
nobelere
noordpoolcirkel
officieus
omgeving
onderkoeld
ondersteun
onophoudelijk
onpeilbaar
ontboden
ontleedtafel
ontsteking
onverdeeld
onverwijld
oostelijk
oosterse
ouden
ouderdomsproces
ouderlijk
ouderling
ouderschapsverlof
oudste
oudtante
oudtantes
overgehaald
overgestapt
overgezonden
overgrootvader
peil
peilsignaal
peilstift
peilstok
personeelsbestand
personeelsgegevens
personenwagen
pieptoon
pijlinktvis

pijlsnel
pijn
pijpkruid
pistoolgreep
polio
pruikje
pruil
pruimentaart
puilde
raap
rancuneus
religieus
reserveren
rijnstenen
rijzige
rioolrat
rioolstelsel
risico-kinderen
ritueel
rovertje
ruil
ruilbeurs
ruilhandel
ruilmiddel
saus
schadelijk
schapenfarm
schoolagenda
schoolfrik
schoolgebied
schooljuffrouw
schoolkameraad
schoolkamp
schoolkleuren
schoolreis
schoolteam
schoolvoorstelling
schoolvriend
schoolziek
schroothoop
schuiladres
schuilgaan
schuilgehouden
schuilhut
schuilkelder
schuilnaam
schuilplaats
sein
smeul
smeult
smoel
speelbal
speelbare
speelgoedwinkel
speelhal
speelkaart
speelmakker
speelster
staal
staalplaat
stoomstrijkijzers
strategen
stuiptrekkende
stuiter
stuiver
subtiel
suikerraffinaderij
suikerziek
tegenstrijdig
tegenvoeter
terpentine
terwijl
textielindustrie
thuishoorden
thuismarkt
tijdregistratie
tijdschema
tijdsprobleem
toegespitst
toveraar
uil
uilskuiken
uitbarsten
uitbazuinen
uitbuiten
uitdrukking
uitgehuwelijkt
uitgekeken
uitgerekend
uitgroeide
uithoren
uitladen
uitnamen
uitpuilend
uitreiking
uitscheld
uitspraak
uitzwaaien
veiligheidsredenen
veiligheidsscheermes
veiligheidssituatie
verbeid
verdraaglijk
verdrievoudigd
vereenzaamd
vereisen
vergeet
vergeving
verhouding
verijs
verkoelde
vermeende
verpauperd
verpersoonlijk
verruil
verschuil
verstuikt
vertonen
vervloek
vervuil
verzeild
verzwijg
vleesetende
vleeshouwer
vleugel
vloekwoord

waarheidlievend
wede
wezenfonds
wijdvertakte
wijnhuis
wijnkleurige
wijziging
woonark
zedelijkheidsgevoel
zeilt
zeiltocht
zeilwedstrijd
zeug
zeul
zeulde
zeult
zintuiglijk
zodanig
zoem
zotternij
zouden
zoutarm
zoutjes
zoutwinning
zuil
zwoel

APPENDIX D

Full BLUPs for Experiment 1 from Chapter 4



Figure D.1: Separate BLUPs for the each of the random effects present in the by-individuals (n = 106) model for the production data, with separate cluster analyses for each panel. As in Figure 4.3, each panel is separated into three panes; the left pane shows the participants from the Ghent group, the middle pane shows the participants from the migrant group, and the right pane shows the participants from the Leiden group.

APPENDIX E

Prime–target list for Experiment 2 from Chapter 4

bauke – zauke
bauke – zoke
bebbe – webbe
bebbe – wijbe
begge – wegge
begge – wijge
bekker – hekker
bekker – hijker
bemme – zemme
bemme – zijme
benne – henne
benne – hijne
bezze – hezze
bezze – hijze
blaude – graude
blaude – grode
blaulder – waulder
blaulder – woolder
blauver – schauver
blauver – schover
blebe – zebe
blebe – zijbe
blege – bege
blege – bijge
blelder – zelder
blelder – zijlder
blene – hene
blene – hijne
blete – lete
blete – lijte
bleter – leter
bleter – lijter
brauver – vauver
brauver – vover
bredde – stedde
bredde – stijde
bredder – tedder
bredder – tijder
breelder – veelder
breelder – vijlder
brette – tette
brette – tijte
bretter – letter
bretter – lijter
brever – tever
brever – tijver
bwelde – slelde
bwelde – slijlde
dauver – trauver
dauver – trover
debe – webe
debe – wijbe
deter – zeter
deter – zijter
devver – levver
devver – lijver
drever – rever
drever – rijver
dweelder – bleelder
dweelder – blijlder
dwever – krever
dwever – krijver
fauver – jauver

fauver – jover
fedde – sedde
fedde – sijde
fedder – kedder
fedder – kijder
fevver – wevver
fevver – wijver
flaulde – maulde
flaulde – moolde
flaulder – braulder
flaulder – broolder
fleelder – seelder
fleelder – sijlder
flelder – selder
flelder – sijlder
gauver – nauver
gauver – nover
gleelde – kreelde
gleelde – krijlde
glelde – flelde
glelde – flijlde
greelde – treelde
greelde – trijlde
greelder – teelder
greelder – tijlder
grelde – trelde
grelde – trijlde
grelder – telder
grelder – tijlder
grevver – revver
grevver – rijver
gweelde – fleelde
gweelde – flijlde
jaube – haube
jaube – hobe
jauge – nauge
jauge – noge
jauter – nauter
jauter – noter
jauze – nauze
jauze – noze
jede – krede
jede – krijde
jevver – sevver
jevver – sijver
kebe – tebe
kebe – tijbe
keder – zeder
keder – zijder
kegge – begge
kegge – bijge
klaulde – faulde
klaulde – foolde
klaulder – laulder
klaulder – loolder
klauver – vrauver
klauver – vrover
kleelder – geelder
kleelder – gijlder
klelder – kwelder
klelder – kwijlder
kneelde – pleelde
kneelde – plijlde
kraulder – naulder
kraulder – noolder
kreder – deder
kreder – dijder
kreelder – feelder
kreelder – fijlder
krelder – nelder
krelder – nijlder
kwaulde – gaulde
kwaulde – goolde
kwaulder – fraulder
kwaulder – froolder
kweelder – zeelder
kweelder – zijlder
lauder – zauder
lauder – zoder
lebbe – zebbe
lebbe – zijbe
mauder – jauder
mauder – joder
meker – heker
meker – hijker
nauve – zauve
nauve – zove
nebe – bebe
nebe – bijbe
neke – heke
neke – hijke
nete – tete
nete – tijte
neter – teter
neter – tijter
pauder – bauder
pauder – boder
pevver – tevver
pevver – tijver
pfelde – klelde
pfelde – klijlde
pjelde – xelde
pjelde – xijlde
plaulde – vaulde
plaulde – voolde
plaulder – praulder
plaulder – proolder
plauver – mauver
plauver – mover
pleelder – jeelder
pleelder – jijlder
plelder – jelder
plelder – jijlder
plever – pever
plever – pijver
preelder – steelder
preelder – stijlder
prelder – stelder
prelder – stijlder
prever – twever
prever – twijver
psaulder – draulder
psaulder – droolder
pselde – lelde
pselde – lijlde
rebe – hebe

rebe – hijbe
sauver – bauver
sauver – bover
schaube – kaube
schaube – kobe
schauge – kauge
schauge – koge
schauke – nauke
schauke – noke
schaulder – jaulder
schaulder – joolder
schevver – zevver
schevver – zijver
sedder – zedder
sedder – zijder
sfaulde – praulde
sfaulde – proolde
sfeelde – preelde
sfeelde – prijlde
sfelde – blelde
sfelde – blijlde
sjeelde – vreelde
sjeelde – vrijlde
skeelde – freelde
skeelde – frijlde
skelde – twelde
skelde – twijlde
skelder – twelder
skelder – twijlder
slaulde – baulde
slaulde – boolde
slaulder – taulder
slaulder – toolder
sleelder – peelder
sleelder – pijlder
slelder – dwelder
slelder – dwijlder
smeelde – bleelde
smeelde – blijlde
smelde – drelde
smelde – drijlde
smelder – drelder
smelder – drijlder
snaulde – draulde
snaulde – droolde
snaulder – graulder
snaulder – groolder
sneelde – sleelde
sneelde – slijlde
snever – jever
snever – jijver
spaulde – naulde
spaulde – noolde
spaulder – gaulder
spaulder – goolder
speelder – leelder
speelder – lijlder
spever – dever
spever – dijver
spleelde – kleelde
spleelde – klijlde
splelde – krelde
splelde – krijlde
spraulde – braulde
spraulde – broolde
spreelde – breelde
spreelde – brijlde
sprelde – brelde
sprelde – brijlde
sprever – mever
sprever – mijver
sraulde – xaulde
sraulde – xoolde
sreelde – greelde
sreelde – grijlde
srelde – grelde
srelde – grijlde
staude – gaude
staude – gode
staulde – jaulde
staulde – joolde
staulder – raulder
staulder – roolder
stauver – lauver
stauver – lover
stedder – hedder
stedder – hijder
stetter – wetter
stetter – wijter
straulde – fraulde
straulde – froolde
strelde – frelde
strelde – frijlde
strelder – frelder
strelder – frijlder
strevver – mevver
strevver – mijver
swaulde – kraulde
swaulde – kroolde
sweelde – leelde
sweelde – lijlde
sweelder – dreelder
sweelder – drijlder
swelde – delde
swelde – dijlde
swelder – relder
swelder – rijlder
taude – paude
taude – pode
tegge – wegge
tegge – wijge
tetter – hetter
tetter – hijter
tezze – zezze
tezze – zijze
tjaulder – baulder
tjaulder – boolder
tjeelde – dreelde
tjeelde – drijlde
tjelde – jelde
tjelde – jijlde
trauder – nauder
trauder – noder
trauge – kauge
trauge – koge
traute – jaute

traute – jote
treelder – neelder
treelder – nijlder
trelder – belder
trelder – bijlder
trever – sever
trever – sijver
twaulde – zaulde
twaulde – zoolde
twaulder – maulder
twaulder – moolder
twebe – lebe
twebe – lijbe
tweder – heder
tweder – hijder
tweelder – beelder
tweelder – bijlder
tweke – meke
tweke – mijke
vaube – naube
vaube – nobe
vauge – nauge
vauge – noge
vauke – hauke
vauke – hoke
vedde – kedde
vedde – kijde
vedder – ledder
vedder – lijder
vede – kede
vede – kijde
vleelde – tweelde
vleelde – twijlde
vlelde – prelde
vlelde – prijlde
vrauder – vauder
vrauder – voder
vraulde – waulde
vraulde – woolde
vraulder – vaulder
vraulder – voolder
vrebe – mebe
vrebe – mijbe
vreelder – deelder
vreelder – dijlder
vrelder – delder
vrelder – dijlder
wauver – kauver
wauver – kover
weme – heme
weme – hijme
wemme – hemme
wemme – hijme
weppe – heppe
weppe – hijpe
xaulder – saulder
xaulder – soolder
xelder – pelder
xelder – pijlder
zevve – hevve
zevve – hijve
zwaulde – graulde
zwaulde – groolde
zweelde – jeelde
zweelde – jijlde
zweelder – reelder
zweelder – rijlder
zwelder – lelder
zwelder – lijlder

APPENDIX F

Full results of Experiment 2 from Chapter 4

Factor	Estimate (SE)	Odds ratio	*z*	*p*	Sig.
Model = [eː∼ɛi]					
Intercept	−1.46 (0.10)	1 :4.30	−14.49	<.001	***
Step (Linear)	1.24 (0.13)	3.47 :1	9.87	<.001	***
Step (Quadratic)	0.33 (0.08)	1.39 :1	4.22	<.001	***
Step (Cubic)	0.03 (0.08)	1.03 :1	0.34	.74	
Following segment = /l/	0.83 (0.11)	2.29 :1	7.59	<.001	***
Group = Migrant–Ghent	−0.51 (0.13)	1 :1.66	−3.95	<.001	***
Group = Leiden–Others	0.07 (0.06)	1.07 :1	1.06	.29	
Step (Linear) × /l/	1.68 (0.21)	5.37 :1	8.14	<.001	***
Step (Quadratic) × /l/	−0.15 (0.14)	1 :1.16	−1.07	.29	
Step (Cubic) × /l/	−0.13 (0.14)	1 :1.14	−0.92	.36	
Step (Linear) × Migrant–Ghent	0.63 (0.15)	1.87 :1	4.06	<.001	***
Step (Quadratic) × Migrant–Ghent	−0.04 (0.08)	1 :1.04	−0.47	.64	
Step (Cubic) × Migrant–Ghent	0.05 (0.07)	1.05 :1	0.66	.51	
Step (Linear) × Leiden–Others	0.01 (0.07)	1.01 :1	0.17	.87	
Step (Quadratic) × Leiden–Others	0.04 (0.04)	1.04 :1	1.11	.27	
Step (Cubic) × Leiden–Others	−0.05 (0.03)	1 :1.05	−1.49	.14	
Following segment = /l/ × Migrant–Ghent	0.26 (0.13)	1.30 :1	2.05	.04	
Following segment = /l/ × Leiden–Others	0.08 (0.06)	1.08 :1	1.31	.19	
Model = [oː∼ɑu]					
Intercept	0.20 (0.07)	1.22 :1	2.76	.01	*
Step (Linear)	1.73 (0.15)	5.65 :1	11.48	<.001	***
Step (Quadratic)	0.45 (0.11)	1.57 :1	4.17	<.001	***
Step (Cubic)	−0.03 (0.11)	1 :1.03	−0.28	.78	

Factor	Estimate (SE)	Odds ratio	*z*	*p*	Sig.
Following segment = /l/	0.95 (0.12)	2.59 :1	7.83	<.001	***
Step (Linear) × /l/	−0.66 (0.25)	1 :1.93	−2.67	.01	*
Step (Quadratic) × /l/	−0.74 (0.22)	1 :2.09	−3.32	<.001	**
Step (Cubic) × /l/	−0.19 (0.22)	1 :1.21	−0.88	.38	
Model = [ɛ∼ɛi]					
Intercept	−0.94 (0.07)	1 :2.55	−13.12	<.001	***
Step (Linear)	2.49 (0.15)	12.06 :1	16.44	<.001	***
Step (Quadratic)	0.27 (0.10)	1.31 :1	2.59	.01	*
Step (Cubic)	−0.74 (0.11)	1 :2.09	−6.99	<.001	***
Following segment = /l/	0.96 (0.12)	2.60 :1	7.90	<.001	***
Group = Migrant–Ghent	−0.21 (0.08)	1 :1.23	−2.64	.01	*
Group = Leiden–Others	0.09 (0.04)	1.10 :1	2.50	.01	*
Step (Linear) × /l/	−1.38 (0.24)	1 :3.98	−5.84	<.001	***
Step (Quadratic) × /l/	0.25 (0.21)	1.29 :1	1.22	.22	
Step (Cubic) × /l/	0.87 (0.21)	2.38 :1	4.13	<.001	***
Step (Linear) × Migrant–Ghent	0.43 (0.17)	1.53 :1	2.52	.01	*
Step (Quadratic) × Migrant–Ghent	0.09 (0.09)	1.09 :1	0.99	.32	
Step (Cubic) × Migrant–Ghent	−0.20 (0.09)	1 :1.22	−2.25	.02	
Step (Linear) × Leiden–Others	−0.23 (0.08)	1 :1.26	−2.91	<.01	*
Step (Quadratic) × Leiden–Others	−0.03 (0.04)	1 :1.03	−0.67	.50	
Step (Cubic) × Leiden–Others	0.04 (0.04)	1.04 :1	1.08	.28	
Following segment = /l/ × Migrant–Ghent	0.11 (0.12)	1.12 :1	0.92	.36	
Following segment = /l/ × Leiden–Others	0.01 (0.06)	1.01 :1	0.15	.88	
Step (Linear) × /l/ × Migrant–Ghent	−0.24 (0.23)	1 :1.27	−1.04	.30	

Factor	Estimate (SE)	Odds ratio	*z*	*p*	Sig.
Step (Quadratic) × /l/ × Migrant–Ghent	0.28 (0.18)	1.32 :1	1.57	.12	
Step (Cubic) × /l/ × Migrant–Ghent	0.39 (0.18)	1.47 :1	2.15	.03	
Step (Linear) × /l/ × Leiden–Others	−0.31 (0.11)	1 :1.36	−2.95	<.01	**
Step (Quadratic) × /l/ × Leiden–Others	−0.18 (0.08)	1 :1.19	−2.26	.02	
Step (Cubic) × /l/ × Leiden–Others	−0.09 (0.08)	1 :1.10	−1.14	.25	

Bibliography

Adank, P. M. (2003). *Vowel normalization: A perceptual-acoustic study of Dutch vowels* (Doctoral dissertation). Katholieke Universiteit Nijmegen. https://doi.org/2066/19286

Adank, P. M., van Hout, R. W. N. M., & Smits, R. (2004). An acoustic description of the vowels of Northern and Southern Standard Dutch. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, *116*, 1729–1738. https://doi.org/10.1121/1.1779271

Adank, P. M., van Hout, R. W. N. M., & Van de Velde, H. (2007). An acoustic description of the vowels of Northern and Southern Standard Dutch II: Regional varieties. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, *121*, 1130–1141. https://doi.org/10.1121/1.2409492

Adank, P., Smits, R., & van Hout, R. (2004). A comparison of vowel normalization procedures for language variation research. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, *116*, 3099–3107. https://doi.org/10.1121/1.1795335

Akaike, H. (1973). Information theory and an extension of the maximum likelihood principle. In: B. N. Petrov & F. Csáki (Eds.). *2nd International Symposium on Information Theory, Tsahkadsor, Armenia, USSR, September 2–8, 1971*, Akadémiai Kiadó.

Allen, J., Kraus, N., & Bradlow, A. (2000). Neural representation of consciously imperceptible speech sound differences. *Perception & Psychophysics*, *62*, 1383–1393. https://doi.org/10.3758/BF03212140

Alshangiti, W., & Evans, B. G. (2011). Regional accent accommodation in spontaneous speech: Evidence for long-term accent change? In: W. S. Lee & E. Zee (Eds.). *Proceedings of the 17th International Congress of Phonetic Sciences (ICPhS), Hong Kong, 17–21 August*.

Auer, P., Barden, B., & Grosskopf, B. (1998). Subjective and objective parameters determining 'salience' in long-term dialect accommodation. *Jour-*

nal of Sociolinguistics, *2*, 163–187. https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9481.00039

Auer, P., & Hinskens, F. (2005). The role of interpersonal accommodation in a theory of language change. *Dialect Change: Convergence and Divergence in European Languages*, 335. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511486623.015

Baayen, H., Vasishth, S., Kliegl, R., & Bates, D. (2017). The cave of shadows: Addressing the human factor with generalized additive mixed models. *Journal of Memory and Language*, *94*, 206–234. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jml.2016.11.006

Baayen, R. H., Davidson, D. J., & Bates, D. M. (2008). Mixed-effects modeling with crossed random effects for subjects and items. *Journal of Memory and Language*, *59*, 390–412. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jml.2007.12.005

Baayen, R. H., Piepenbrock, R., & Gulikers, L. (1995). The CELEX lexical database (release 2). *Linguistic Data Consortium*.

Babel, M., Haber, G., & Walters, S. (2013). Individual-level connections between perceptual adaptation and phonetic imitation. *Proceedings of the workshop on sound change actuation, University of Chicago*.

Baker, A., Archangeli, D., & Mielke, J. (2011). Variability in American English s-retraction suggests a solution to the actuation problem. *Language Variation and Change*, *23*, 347–374. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954394511000135

Baldeweg, T., Klugman, A., Gruzelier, J. H., & Hirsch, S. R. (2002). Impairment in frontal but not temporal components of mismatch negativity in schizophrenia. *International Journal of Psychophysiology*, *43*, 111–122. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0167-8760(01)00183-0

Barbiers, S. et al. (2006). *Dynamische Syntactische Atlas van de Nederlandse Dialecten* (*DynaSAND*). http://www.meertens.knaw.nl/sand/

Barr, D. J., Levy, R., Scheepers, C., & Tily, H. J. (2013). Random effects structure for confirmatory hypothesis testing: Keep it maximal. *Journal of Memory and Language*, *68*, 255–278. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jml.2012.11.001

Bates, D., Kliegl, R., Vasishth, S., & Baayen, H. (2015a). Parsimonious mixed models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1506.04967*.

Bates, D., Mächler, M., Bolker, B., & Walker, S. (2015b). Fitting linear mixed-effects models using lme4. *Journal of Statistical Software*, *67*, 1–48. https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v067.i01

Bauer, L. (1985). Tracing phonetic change in the received pronunciation of British English. *Journal of Phonetics*, *13*, 61–81. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0095-4470(19)30726-0

Becker, M., & Tessier, A.-M. (2011). Trajectories of faithfulness in child-specific phonology. *Phonology*, *28*, 163–196. https : / / doi . org / 10 . 1017 / S0952675711000133

Beddor, P. S. (2009). A coarticulatory path to sound change. *Language*, 785–821. https://doi.org/10.1353/lan.0.0165

Beddor, P. S. (2015). The relation between language users' perception and production repertoires. In: The Scottish Consortium for ICPhS 2015 (Ed.). *Proceedings of the 18th International Congress of Phonetic Sciences* (*ICPhS XVIII*). International Phonetic Association.

Beddor, P. S., Coetzee, A. W., Styler, W., McGowan, K. B., & Boland, J. E. (2018). The time course of individuals' perception of coarticulatory information is linked to their production: Implications for sound change. *Language*, *94*, 931–968. https://doi.org/10.1353/lan.2018.0051

Bermúdez-Otero, R. (2007). Diachronic phonology. In: P. de Lacy (Ed.). *The Cambridge handbook of phonology*. Cambridge University Press. https : //doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511486371

Bermúdez-Otero, R. (2015). Amphichronic explanation and the life cycle of phonological processes. In: P. Honeybone & J. Salmons (Eds.). *The Oxford handbook of historical phonology*. Oxford University Press. https: //doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199232819.013.014

Berns, J., & Jacobs, H. (2012). A first glance at the role of length in production and perception of diphthongs before Dutch coda l. *Linguistics in the Netherlands*, *29*, 15–26. https://doi.org/10.1075/avt.29.02ber

Berwick, R. C. (1985). *The acquisition of syntactic knowledge*. MIT Press.

Blankestein, H. (1994). Wij, hai en zaai. *NRC Handelsblad,* (15 December 1994), 32.

Blevins, J. (2004). *Evolutionary phonology: The emergence of sound patterns*. Cambridge University Press.

Boersma, P. (2011). A programme for bidirectional phonology and phonetics and their acquisition and evolution. *Bidirectional Optimality Theory*, *180*, 33. https://doi.org/10.1075/la.180.02boe

Boersma, P., & Hamann, S. (2008). The evolution of auditory dispersion in bidirectional constraint grammars. *Phonology*, *25*, 217–270. https://doi.org/10.1017/S095267570800147

Boersma, P., & Weenink, D. (2016, September 26). *Praat: Doing phonetics by computer* (Version 6.0.21). http://www.praat.org/

Booij, E. E. (1995). *The phonology of Dutch*. Oxford University Press.

Bosker, H. R., & Reinisch, E. (2015). Normalization for speechrate in native and nonnative speech. *18th International Congress of Phonetic Sciences* (*ICPhS 2015*). https://doi.org/11858/00-001M-0000-0028-5DFD-D

Botma, B., Sebregts, K., & Smakman, D. (2012). The phonetics and phonology of Dutch mid vowels before /l/. *Laboratory Phonology*, *3*, 273–297. https://doi.org/10.1515/lp-2012-0015

Bowie, D. (2000). *The effect of geographic mobility on the retention of a local dialect* (Doctoral dissertation). University of Pennsylvania.

Brooks, M. E., Kristensen, K., van Benthem, K. J., Magnusson, A., Berg, C. W., Nielsen, A., Skaug, H. J., Maechler, M., & Bolker, B. M. (2017). glmmTMB balances speed and flexibility among packages for zero-inflated generalized linear mixed modeling. *The R Journal*, *9*(2), 378–400. https://journal.r-project.org/archive/2017/RJ-2017-066/index.html

Bürkner, P.-C. (2017). brms: An R package for Bayesian multilevel models using Stan. *Journal of Statistical Software*, *80*, 1–28. https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v080.i01

Bürkner, P.-C. (2018). Advanced Bayesian multilevel modeling with the R package brms. *The R Journal*, *10*, 395–411. https://doi.org/10.32614/RJ-2018-017

Bybee, J. (2002). Word frequency and context of use in the lexical diffusion of phonetically conditioned sound change. *Language Variation and Change*, *14*, 261–290. https://doi.org/10.1017S0954394502143018

Bybee, J. L., & Slobin, D. I. (1982). Why small children cannot change language on their own: Suggestions from the English past tense. In: A. Alqvist (Ed.). *Papers from the Fifth International Conference on Historical Linguistics*. John Benjamins Publishing Company.

Carter, P. (2007). Phonetic variation and speaker agency: Mexicana identity in a North Carolina middle school. *University of Pennsylvania Working Papers in Linguistics*, *13*(2), 1–14.

Cedergren, H. (1987). The spread of language change: Verifying inferences of linguistic diffusion. *Language Spread and Language Policy: Issues, Implications, and Case Studies*, 45–60. https://doi.org/10822/555480

Chambers, J. K. (1992). Dialect acquisition. *Language*, *68*, 673–705. https://doi.org/10.1353/lan.1992.0060

Clark, H. H. (1973). The language-as-fixed-effect fallacy: A critique of language statistics in psychological research. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, *12*, 335–359. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-5371(73)80014-3

Coetzee, A. W., Beddor, P. S., Shedden, K., Styler, W., & Wissing, D. (2018). Plosive voicing in Afrikaans: Differential cue weighting and tonogenesis. *Journal of Phonetics*, *66*, 185–216. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wocn.2017.09.009

Collins, B., & Mees, I. M. (1999). *The phonetics of English and Dutch*. Brill Academic Publishers Incorporated.

Cornell, S. A., Lahiri, A., & Eulitz, C. (2011). "What you encode is not necessarily what you store": Evidence for sparse feature representations from mismatch negativity. *Brain Research*, *1394*, 79–89. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainres.2011.04.001

Craddock, M. (2019). *eegUtils: A collection of utilities for EEG analysis*. R package version 0.4.0. R package version 0.4.0. https://github.com/craddm/eegUtils

Cutler, A. (2012). *Native listening*. MIT Press.

Cutler, A., McQueen, J. M., Butterfield, S., & Norris, D. (2008). Prelexically-driven perceptual retuning of phoneme boundaries. In: The International Speech Communications Association (Ed.). *Interspeech 2008*. https://doi.org/2066/72799

Dambacher, M., Kliegl, R., Hofmann, M., & Jacobs, A. M. (2006). Frequency and predictability effects on event-related potentials during reading. *Brain Research*, *1084*, 89–103. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainres.2006.02.010

De Decker, P. (2006). A real-time investigation of social and phonetic changes in post-adolescence. *University of Pennsylvania Working Papers in Linguistics*, *12*(2), 7.

De Wulf, C., Goossens, J., & Taeldeman, J. (2005). *Fonologische atlas van de Nederlandse dialecten. Deel IV: De consonanten* (Vol. 1). Koninklijke Academie voor Nederlandse Taal-en Letterkunde.

Delarue, S. (2013). Teachers' Dutch in Flanders: The last guardians of the standard? In: T. Kristiansen & S. Grondelaers (Eds.). *Language (de)standardisation in Late Modern Europe: Experimental studies*. Novus Forlag. https://doi.org/1854/LU-4175602

Denis, D. (2011). Innovators and innovation: Tracking the innovators of and stuff in York English. *University of Pennsylvania Working Papers in Linguistics*, *17*(2), 8.

Deouell, L. Y. (2007). The frontal generator of the mismatch negativity revisited. *Journal of Psychophysiology*, *21*, 188–203. https://doi.org/10.1027/0269-8803.21.34.188

Domahs, U., Kehrein, W., Knaus, J., Wiese, R., & Schlesewsky, M. (2009). Event-related potentials reflecting the processing of phonological constraint violations. *Language and Speech*, *52*, 415–435. https://doi.org/10.1177/0023830909336581

Drager, K., & Hay, J. (2012). Exploiting random intercepts: Two case studies in sociophonetics. *Language Variation and Change*, *24*, 59–78. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954394512000014

Eekhof, L. S., Kuijpers, M., Faber, M., Gao, X., Mak, M., van den Hoven, E., & Willems, R. M. (submitted). Lost in a story, detached from the words:

Absorbed readers are less sensitive to word characteristics during narrative reading. *Discourse Processes*.

Evans, B. G., & Iverson, P. (2007). Plasticity in vowel perception and production: A study of accent change in young adults. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, *121*, 3814–3826. https://doi.org/10.1121/1.2722209

Fisher, R. A. (1955). Statistical methods and scientific induction. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Methodological)*, *17*(1), 69–78. https://www.jstor.org/stable/2983785

Fisher, R. A. (1956). *Statistical methods and scientific inference*. Oliver & Boyd.

Flege, J. E. (1987). The production of "new" and "similar" phones in a foreign language: Evidence for the effect of equivalence classification. *Journal of Phonetics*, *15*, 47–65. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0095-4470(19)30537-6

Flege, J. E., & Wayland, R. (2019). The role of input in native Spanish late learners' production and perception of English phonetic segments. *Journal of Second Language Studies*, *2*, 1–44. https://doi.org/10.1075/jsls.00004.fle

Floccia, C., Butler, J., Goslin, J., & Ellis, L. (2009). Regional and foreign accent processing in English: Can listeners adapt? *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research*, *38*, 379–412. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10936-008-9097-8

Floccia, C., Goslin, J., Girard, F., & Konopczynski, G. (2006). Does a regional accent perturb speech processing? *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, *32*, 1276. https://doi.org/10.1037/0096-1523.32.5.1276

Fokkema, M., Smits, N., Zeileis, A., Hothorn, T., & Kelderman, H. (2018). Detecting treatment-subgroup interactions in clustered data with generalized linear mixed-effects model trees. *Behavior Research Methods*, *50*, 2016–2034. https://doi.org/10.3758/s13428-017-0971-x

Friederici, A. D., Pfeifer, E., & Hahne, A. (1993). Event-related brain potentials during natural speech processing: Effects of semantic, morphological and syntactic violations. *Cognitive Brain Research*, *1*, 183–192. https://doi.org/10.1016/0926-6410(93)90026-2

Ganong III, W. F. (1980). Phonetic categorization in auditory word perception. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, *6*(1), 110–125.

Gaskell, M. G., & Dumay, N. (2003). Lexical competition and the acquisition of novel words. *Cognition*, *89*, 105–132. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0010-0277(03)00070-2

Gerritsen, M., & Jansen, F. (1980). Word frequency and lexical diffusion in dialect borrowing and phonological change. In: W. Zonneveld, F. van Coetsem, & O. W. Robinson (Eds.). *Dutch studies 4: Studies in Dutch*

phonology. Martinus Nijhoff. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-009-8855-2_3

Giard, M.-H., Perrin, F., Pernier, J., & Bouchet, P. (1990). Brain generators implicated in the processing of auditory stimulus deviance: A topographic event-related potential study. *Psychophysiology*, *27*, 627–640. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8986.1990.tb03184.x

Gigerenzer, G. (2004). Mindless statistics. *The Journal of Socio-Economics*, *33*, 587–606. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socec.2004.09.033

Giles, H., Coupland, N., & Coupland, J. (1991). Accommodation theory: Communication, context, and consequence. In: H. Giles, J. Coupland, & N. Coupland (Eds.). *Contexts of accommodation: Developments in applied sociolinguistics* (pp. 1–68). Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511663673.001

Giles, H., & Smith, P. M. (1979). Accommodation theory: Optimal levels of convergence. In: H. Giles & R. St Clair (Eds.). *Language and social psychology* (pp. 45–65). Blackwell.

Giles, H., Taylor, D. M., & Bourhis, R. (1973). Towards a theory of interpersonal accommodation through language: Some Canadian data. *Language in Society*, *2*, 177–192. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0047404500000701

Goossens, J., Taeldeman, J., & Verleyen, G. (1998). *Fonologische atlas van de Nederlandse dialecten. Deel I: Het korte vocalisme*. Koninklijke Academie voor Nederlandse Taal-en Letterkunde.

Gordon, E., & Maclagan, M. (2001). 'Capturing a sound change': A real time study over 15 years of the NEAR/SQUARE diphthong merger in New Zealand English. *Australian Journal of Linguistics*, *21*, 215–238. https://doi.org/10.1080/07268600120080578

Goslin, J., Duffy, H., & Floccia, C. (2012). An ERP investigation of regional and foreign accent processing. *Brain and Language*, *122*, 92–102. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bandl.2012.04.017

Grondelaers, S., & van Hout, R. (2011). The standard language situation in the low countries: Top-down and bottom-up variations on a diaglossic theme. *Journal of Germanic Linguistics*, *23*, 199–243. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1470542711000110

Grondelaers, S., & van Hout, R. (2012). Where is Dutch (really) heading?: The classroom consequences of destandardization. *Dutch Journal of Applied Linguistics*, *1*, 41–58. https://doi.org/10.1075/dujal.1.1.05gro

Grosvald, M., & Corina, D. (2012). The production and perception of subphonemic vowel contrasts and the role of the listener in sound change. In: M.-J. Solé & D. Recasens (Eds.). *The initiation of sound change: Perception, production, and social factors*. John Benjamins Publishing Company. https://doi.org/10.1075/cilt.323.08gro

Guion, S. G. (1998). The role of perception in the sound change of velar palatalization. *Phonetica*, *55*, 18–52. https://doi.org/10.1159/000028423

Gussenhoven, C. (1993). The Dutch foot and the chanted call. *Journal of Linguistics*, *29*, 37–63. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022226700000049

Gussenhoven, C. (1999). Dutch. In: International Phonetic Association (Ed.). *Handbook of the International Phonetic Association: A guide to the use of the International Phonetic Alphabet*. Cambridge University Press.

Gussenhoven, C., & Aarts, F. (1999). The dialect of Maastricht. *Journal of the International Phonetic Association*, *29*, 155–166. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0025100300006526

Gussenhoven, C., & Broeders, A. (1976). *The pronunciation of English: A course for Dutch learners*. Wolters-Noordhoff-Longman.

Haeri, N. (1991). *Sociolinguistic variation in Cairene Arabic: Palatalization and the Qaf in the speech of men and women* (Doctoral dissertation). University of Pennsylvania.

Halekoh, U., & Højsgaard, S. (2014). A Kenward-Roger approximation and parametric bootstrap methods for tests in linear mixed models – the R package pbkrtest. *Journal of Statistical Software*, *59*, 1–30. https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v059.i09

Hamann, S. (2009). The learner of a perception grammar as a source of sound change. In: P. Boersma & S. Hamann (Eds.). *Phonology in perception*. Mouton de Gruyter.

Harrington, J. (2006). An acoustic analysis of 'happy-tensing' in the Queen's Christmas broadcasts. *Journal of Phonetics*, *34*, 439–457. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wocn.2005.08.001

Harrington, J. (2007). Evidence for a relationship between synchronic variability and diachronic change in the Queen's annual Christmas broadcasts. *Laboratory Phonology*, *9*, 125–143.

Harrington, J., Kleber, F., & Reubold, U. (2008). Compensation for coarticulation, /u/-fronting, and sound change in Standard Southern British: An acoustic and perceptual study. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, *123*, 2825–2835. https://doi.org/10.1121/1.2897042

Harrington, J., Palethorpe, S., & Watson, C. (2000). Monophthongal vowel changes in Received Pronunciation: An acoustic analysis of the Queen's Christmas broadcasts. *Journal of the International Phonetic Association*, *30*, 63–78. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0025100300006666

Harrington, J., Palethorpe, S., & Watson, C. (2005). Deepening or lessening the divide between diphthongs? An analysis of the Queen's annual Christmas broadcasts. In: W. J. Harcastle & J. M. Beck (Eds.). *A figure of speech: A festschrift for John Laver*. Lawrence Erlbaum.

van der Harst, S. (2011). *The vowel space paradox: A sociophonetic study on Dutch* (Doctoral dissertation). Radboud Universiteit Nijmegen. LOT. https://doi.org/2066/86656

van der Harst, S., Van de Velde, H., & van Hout, R. (2014). Variation in Standard Dutch vowels: The impact of formant measurement methods on identifying the speaker's regional origin. *Language Variation and Change*, *26*, 247–272. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954394514000040

Hastie, T., & Tibshirani, R. (1987). Generalized additive models: Some applications. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, *82*(398), 371–386. https://www.jstor.org/stable/2289439

Hay, J., & Drager, K. (2010). Stuffed toys and speech perception. *Linguistics*, *48*, 865–892. https://doi.org/10.1515/ling.2010.027

Henderson, C. R. (1950). Estimation of genetic parameters. *Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, *21*, 309–310.

Hestvik, A., & Durvasula, K. (2016). Neurobiological evidence for voicing underspecification in English. *Brain and Language*, *152*, 28–43. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bandl.2015.10.007

van Heuven, V. J., Van Bezooijen, R., & Edelman, L. J. (2005). Pronunciation of /ei/ in avant-garde Dutch: A cross-sex acoustic study. In: M. Filppula, J. Klemola, M. Palander, & E. Penttilä (Eds.). *Dialects across borders*. John Benjamins Publishing Company. https://hdl.handle.net/11245/1.246311

Hinton, M. (2015). Changes in Received Pronunciation: Diachronic case studies. *Research in Language*, *13*, 21–37. https://doi.org/10.1515/rela-2015-0010

van Hout, R. W. N. M., de Schutter, G., de Crom, E., Huinck, W., Kloots, H., & Van de Velde, H. (1999). De uitspraak van het Standaard-Nederlands. Variatie en varianten in Vlaanderen en Nederland. In: E. Huls & B. Weltens (Eds.). *Artikelen van de derde sociolinguïstische conferentie*. Eburon.

Hyman, L. M. (1976). Phonologization. In: A. Juilland (Ed.). *Linguistic studies offered to Joseph Greenberg on the occasion of his sixtieth birthday*. Anima Libri.

Hyman, L. M. (2013). Enlarging the scope of phonologization. In: A. C. L. Yu (Ed.). *Origins of sound change: Approaches to phonologization*. Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199573745.001.0001

Jacobi, I. (2009). *On variation and change in diphthongs and long vowels of spoken Dutch* (Doctoral dissertation). Universiteit van Amsterdam. https://doi.org/11245/1.316951

Jacobsen, T. K. (2015). *Preattentive phonotactic processing* (Doctoral dissertation). Helmut-Schmidt-Universität.

Janson, T. (1983). Sound change in perception and production. *Language*, 18–34. https://doi.org/10.2307/414059

Jeffreys, H. (1961). *Theory of probability* (3rd ed.). Oxford University Press.

Johnson, D. E. (2007). Stability and change along a dialect boundary: The low vowels of southeastern New England. *University of Pennsylvania Working Papers in Linguistics*, *13*(1), 7.

Judd, C. M., Westfall, J., & Kenny, D. A. (2017). Experiments with more than one random factor: Designs, analytic models, and statistical power. *Annual Review of Psychology*, *68*, 601–625. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-122414-033702

Karush, W. (1939). *Minima of functions of several variables with inequalities as side constraints* (Master's thesis). University of Chicago.

Kawahara, H., Morise, M., Takahashi, T., Nisimura, R., Irino, T., & Banno, H. (2008). Tandem-STRAIGHT: A temporally stable power spectral representation for periodic signals and applications to interference-free spectrum, fo, and aperiodicity estimation. *IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing*. IEEE. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICASSP.2008.4518514

Kazanina, N., Phillips, C., & Idsardi, W. (2006). The influence of meaning on the perception of speech sounds. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *103*, 11381–11386. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0604821103

Kenward, M. G., & Roger, J. H. (1997). Small sample inference for fixed effects from restricted maximum likelihood. *Biometrics*, 983–997. https://doi.org/10.2307/2533558

Keuleers, E., Brysbaert, M., & New, B. (2010). SUBTLEX-NL: A new measure for Dutch word frequency based on film subtitles. *Behavior Research Methods*, *42*, 643–650. https://doi.org/10.3758/BRM.42.3.643

Kewley-Port, D. (1995). Thresholds for formant-frequency discrimination of vowels in consonantal context. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, *97*, 3139–3146. https://doi.org/10.1121/1.413106

Kleber, F., Harrington, J., & Reubold, U. (2012). The relationship between the perception and production of coarticulation during a sound change in progress. *Language and Speech*, *55*, 383–405. https://doi.org/10.1177/0023830911422194

Kliegl, R., Wei, P., Dambacher, M., Yan, M., & Zhou, X. (2011). Experimental effects and individual differences in linear mixed models: Estimating the relationship between spatial, object, and attraction effects in visual attention. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *1*, 238. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2010.00238

Kruschke, J. K. (2010a). Bayesian data analysis. *WIREs Cognitive Science*, *1*, 658–676. https://doi.org/10.1002/wcs.72

Kruschke, J. K. (2010b). What to believe: Bayesian methods for data analysis. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, *14*, 293–300. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2010.05.001

Kruschke, J. K., & Liddell, T. M. (2018). The Bayesian new statistics: Hypothesis testing, estimation, meta-analysis, and power analysis from a Bayesian perspective. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, *25*, 178–206. https://doi.org/10.3758/s13423-016-1221-4

Kuhl, P. K., Tsao, F.-M., & Liu, H.-M. (2003). Foreign-language experience in infancy: Effects of short-term exposure and social interaction on phonetic learning. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *100*, 9096–9101. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1532872100

Kuhn, H. W., & Tucker, A. W. (1951). Nonlinear programming. In: J. Neyman (Ed.). *Proceedings of the second Berkeley symposium on mathematical statistics and probability*, University of California Press.

Kujala, T., Tervaniemi, M., & Schröger, E. (2007). The mismatch negativity in cognitive and clinical neuroscience: Theoretical and methodological considerations. *Biological Psychology*, *74*, 1–19. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsycho.2006.06.001

Kung, C., Chwilla, D. J., & Schriefers, H. (2014). The interaction of lexical tone, intonation and semantic context in on-line spoken word recognition: An ERP study on Cantonese Chinese. *Neuropsychologia*, *53*, 293–309. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2013.11.020

Kuznetsova, A., Brockhoff, P. B., & Christensen, R. H. B. (2017). lmerTest package: Tests in linear mixed effects models. *Journal of Statistical Software*, *82*, 1–26. https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v082.i13

Labov, W. (1994). *Principles of linguistic change. Volume I: Internal factors*. Blackwell.

Labov, W. (2001). *Principles of linguistic change. Volume II: Social factors*. Blackwell.

Labov, W. (2007). Transmission and diffusion. *Language*, *83*, 344–387. https://doi.org/10.1353/lan.2007.0082

Labov, W., Rosenfelder, I., & Fruehwald, J. (2013). One hundred years of sound change in Philadelphia: Linear incrementation, reversal, and reanalysis. *Language*, 30–65. https://doi.org/10.1353/lan.2013.0015

Labov, W., Yaeger, M., & Steiner, R. (1972). *A quantitative study of sound change in progress*. University of Philadelphia.

Lahiri, A., & Reetz, H. (2010). Distinctive features: Phonological underspecification in representation and processing. *Journal of Phonetics*, *38*, 44–59. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wocn.2010.01.002

Lanwermeyer, M., Henrich, K., Rocholl, M. J., Schnell, H. T., Werth, A., Herrgen, J., & Schmidt, J. E. (2016). Dialect variation influences the phonological and lexical-semantic word processing in sentences: Electrophysiolog-

ical evidence from a cross-dialectal comprehension study. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *7*, 739. https://doi.org/0.3389/fpsyg.2016.00739

Lev-Ari, S. (2018). Social network size can influence linguistic malleability and the propagation of linguistic change. *Cognition*, *176*, 31–39. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cognition.2018.03.003

Levelt, W. J. M., Roelofs, A., & Meyer, A. S. (1999). A theory of lexical access in speech production. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, *22*, 1–38. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0140525X99001776

Lindblom, B., Guion, S., Hura, S., Moon, S.-J., & Willerman, R. (1995). Is sound change adaptive? *Rivista di linguistica*, *7*, 5–36.

Liu, B., Jin, Z., Wang, Z., & Xin, S. (2011). An ERP study on whether the P600 can reflect the presence of unexpected phonology. *Experimental Brain Research*, *212*, 399–408. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00221-011-2739-3

Lo, S., & Andrews, S. (2015). To transform or not to transform: Using generalized linear mixed models to analyse reaction time data. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *6*, 1171. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2015.01171/full

Mahajan, Y., Peter, V., & Sharma, M. (2017). Effect of EEG referencing methods on auditory mismatch negativity. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, *11*, 560. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2017.00560

Mak, M., & Willems, R. M. (2019). Mental simulation during literary reading: Individual differences revealed with eye-tracking. *Language, Cognition and Neuroscience*, 1–25. https://doi.org/10.1080/23273798.2018.1552007

Mann, V. A., & Repp, B. H. (1980). Influence of vocalic context on perception of the [ʃ]-[s] distinction. *Perception & Psychophysics*, *28*, 213–228. https://doi.org/10.3758/BF03204377

Maris, E., & Oostenveld, R. (2007). Nonparametric statistical testing of EEG- and MEG-data. *Journal of Neuroscience Methods*, *164*, 177–190. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneumeth.2007.03.024

Marslen-Wilson, W. (1973). Linguistic structure and speech shadowing at very short latencies. *Nature*, *244*, 522. https://doi.org/10.1038/244522a0

Matuschek, H., Kliegl, R., Vasishth, S., Baayen, H., & Bates, D. (2017). Balancing Type I error and power in linear mixed models. *Journal of Memory and Language*, *94*, 305–315. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jml.2017.01.001

Maye, J., Aslin, R. N., & Tanenhaus, M. K. (2008). The weckud wetch of the wast: Lexical adaptation to a novel accent. *Cognitive Science*, *32*, 543–562. https://doi.org/10.1080/03640210802035357

McQueen, J. M. (1993). Rhyme decisions to spoken words and nonwords. *Memory & Cognition*, *21*(2), 210–222.

McQueen, J. M., Cutler, A., & Norris, D. (2006). Phonological abstraction in the mental lexicon. *Cognitive Science*, *30*, 1113–1126. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15516709cog0000_79

Mees, I., & Collins, B. (1983). A phonetic description of the vowel system of Standard Dutch (ABN). *Journal of the International Phonetic Association*, *13*, 64–75. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0025100300002565

Milroy, J. (1993). On the social origins of language change. *Historical Linguistics: Problems and Perspectives*, *215*, 236. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315845814

Mitterer, H., & Blomert, L. (2003). Coping with phonological assimilation in speech perception: Evidence for early compensation. *Perception & Psychophysics*, *65*, 956–969. https://doi.org/10.3758/BF03194826

Näätänen, R. (1990). The role of attention in auditory information processing as revealed by event-related potentials and other brain measures of cognitive function. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, *13*, 201–233. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0140525X00078407

Näätänen, R., & Alho, K. (1997). Mismatch negativity–the measure for central sound representation accuracy. *Audiology and Neurotology*, *2*, 341–353. https://doi.org/10.1159/000259255

Näätänen, R., Paavilainen, P., Rinne, T., & Alho, K. (2007). The mismatch negativity (MMN) in basic research of central auditory processing: A review. *Clinical Neurophysiology*, *118*, 2544–2590. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinph.2007.04.026

Nahkola, K., & Saanilahti, M. (2004). Mapping language changes in real time: A panel study on Finnish. *Language Variation and Change*, *16*, 75–92. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954394504162017

Neyman, J. (1950). *First course in probability and statistics*. Henry Holt & Company.

Neyman, J. (1957). "Inductive behavior" as a basic concept of philosophy of science. *Revue de l'Institut International de Statistique*, 7–22. https://www.jstor.org/stable/1401671

Norris, D., McQueen, J. M., & Cutler, A. (2003). Perceptual learning in speech. *Cognitive Psychology*, *47*, 204–238. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0010-0285(03)00006-9

Nycz, J. (2011). *Second dialect acquisition: Implications for theories of phonological representation* (Doctoral dissertation). New York University.

Nycz, J. (2015). Second dialect acquisition: A sociophonetic perspective. *Language and Linguistics Compass*, *9*, 469–482. https://doi.org/10.1111/lnc3.12163

Nycz, J. (2013). Changing words or changing rules? Second dialect acquisition and phonological representation. *Journal of Pragmatics*, *52*, 49–62. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pragma.2012.12.014

Nygaard, L. C., Sommers, M. S., & Pisoni, D. B. (1994). Speech perception as a talker-contingent process. *Psychological Science*, *5*, 42–46. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9280.1994.tb00612.x

Ohala, J. J. (1981). The listener as a source of sound change. In: C. S. Masek, R. A. Hendrick, & M. F. Miller (Eds.). *Proceedings of the Chicago Linguistics Society 17: Papers from the parasession on language and behaviour*. University of Chicago Press.

Ohala, J. J. (1989). Sound change is drawn from a pool of synchronic variation. In: L. E. Breivik & E. H. Jahr (Eds.). *Language change: Contributions to the study of its causes*. Mouton de Gruyter. https://doi.org/10.1515/9783110853063.173

Ohala, J. J. (2012). The listener as a source of sound change: An update. In: M.-J. Solé & D. Recasens (Eds.). *The initiation of sound change: Perception, production, and social factors*. John Benjamins Publishing Company. https://doi.org/10.1075/cilt.323.05oha

van Oostendorp, M. (2000). *Phonological projection: A theory of feature content and prosodic structure*. Walter de Gruyter.

van Oostendorp, M. (2008). Hoe de slot-r verdween uit het Nederlands: Ook koningin Beatrix volgt uitspraakverandering. *Onze Taal*, *2*(3), 53–55.

Osterhout, L., & Holcomb, P. J. (1992). Event-related brain potentials elicited by syntactic anomaly. *Journal of Memory and Language*, *31*, 785–806. https://doi.org/10.1016/0749-596X(92)90039-Z

Osthoff, H., & Brugmann, K. (1878). *Morphologische Untersuchungen auf dem Gebiete der indogermanischen Sprachen*. S. Hirzel.

Palmeri, T. J., Goldinger, S. D., & Pisoni, D. B. (1993). Episodic encoding of voice attributes and recognition memory for spoken words. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, *19*, 309. https://doi.org/10.1037/0278-7393.19.2.309

Pardo, J. S. (2006). On phonetic convergence during conversational interaction. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, *119*, 2382–2393. https://doi.org/10.1121/1.2178720

Pardo, J. S. (2012). Reflections on phonetic convergence: Speech perception does not mirror speech production. *Language and Linguistics Compass*, *6*, 753–767. https://doi.org/10.1002/lnc3.367

Pardo, J. S., Gibbons, R., Suppes, A., & Krauss, R. M. (2012). Phonetic convergence in college roommates. *Journal of Phonetics*, *40*, 190–197. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wocn.2011.10.001

Passy, P. (1890). *Etude sur les changements phonétiques et leurs caractères généraux*. Librairie Firmin-Didot.

Pater, J., Sanders, L., Moore-Cantwell, C., Staubs, R., & Zobel, B. H. (submitted). *Event-related potential evidence of abstract phonological learning in the laboratory*. Preprint available at http://www.clairemoorecantwell.org/phonolearn/moore-cantwell-et-al-2018-ERP-ALL.pdf. Preprint available at http://www.clairemoorecantwell.org/phonolearn/moore-cantwell-et-al-2018-ERP-ALL.pdf.

Peeters, W. J. M. (1991). *Diphthong dynamics: A cross-linguistic perceptual analysis of temporal patterns in Dutch, English, and German*. Mondiss.

Peirce, J. W. (2007). Psychopy—psychophysics software in Python. *Journal of Neuroscience Methods*, *162*, 8–13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneumeth.2006.11.017

Picton, T. W., Bentin, S., Berg, P., Donchin, E., Hillyard, S. A., Johnson, R., Miller, G. A., Ritter, W., Ruchkin, D. S., Rugg, M. D., & Taylor, M. J. (2000). Guidelines for using human event-related potentials to study cognition: Recording standards and publication criteria. *Psychophysiology*, *37*, 127–152. https://doi.org/10.1111/1469-8986.3720127

Pierrehumbert, J. (2001). Exemplar dynamics: Word frequency, lenition and contrast. In: J. Bybee & P. Hopper (Eds.). *Frequency and the emergence of linguistic structure*. John Benjamins Publishing Company. https://doi.org/10.1075/tsl.45.08pie

Pinget, A.-F. C. H. (2015). *The actuation of sound change* (Doctoral dissertation). Universiteit Utrecht. LOT. https://doi.org/1874/318102

Pinget, A.-F. C. H., Kager, R., & Van de Velde, H. (2019). Linking variation in perception and production in sound change: Evidence from Dutch obstruent devoicing. *Language and Speech*, 1–26. https://doi.org/10.1177/0023830919880206

Prince, E. F. (1987). Sarah Gorby, Yiddish folksinger: A case study of dialect shift. *International Journal of the Sociology of Language*, 83–116. https://doi.org/10.1515/ijsl.1987.67.83

Psychology Software Tools (2012). *E-Prime* (Version 2.0). https://www.pstnet.com

R Core Team (2020). *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. https://www.R-project.org/

Rácz, P. (2013). *Salience in sociolinguistics: A quantitative approach*. Walter de Gruyter.

Ramsammy, M. (2015). The life cycle of phonological processes: Accounting for dialectal microtypologies. *Language and Linguistics Compass*, *9*(1), 33–54. https://doi.org/10.1111/lnc3.12102

van Reenen, P., & Jongkind, A. (2000). De vocalisering van de /l/ in het Standaard-Nederlands. *Taal en Tongval*, *52*(1), 189–199.

Rinne, T., Alho, K., Ilmoniemi, R. J., Virtanen, J., & Näätänen, R. (2000). Separate time behaviors of the temporal and frontal mismatch negativity sources. *Neuroimage*, *12*, 14–19. https://doi.org/10.1006/nimg.2000.0591

Romeo, R. R., Leonard, J. A., Robinson, S. T., West, M. R., Mackey, A. P., Rowe, M. L., & Gabrieli, J. D. E. (2018). Beyond the 30-million-word gap: Children's conversational exposure is associated with language-related

brain function. *Psychological science*, *29*, 700–710. https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797617742725

Ruijgrok, B. J. (2018). *Tapping into semantic recovery: An event-related potential study on the processing of gapping and stripping* (Doctoral dissertation). Universiteit Leiden. LOT. https://doi.org/1887/62457

Sankoff, G. (2004). Adolescents, young adults and the critical period: Two case studies from 'Seven Up'. *Sociolinguistic variation: Critical reflections*, 121–39.

Sankoff, G., & Blondeau, H. (2007). Language change across the lifespan: /r/ in Montreal French. *Language*, *83*, 560–588. https://www.jstor.org/stable/40070902

Sankoff, G., Blondeau, H., & Charity, A. (2001). Individual roles in a real-time change. Montreal (r→ʀ) 1947–1995. In: H. Van de Velde & R. van Hout (Eds.). *'r-atics: Sociolinguistic, phonetic and phonological characteristics of /r/*, IVLP.

Scharinger, M., & Lahiri, A. (2010). Height differences in English dialects: Consequences for processing and representation. *Language and Speech*, *53*(2), 245–272.

Scharinger, M., Monahan, P. J., & Idsardi, W. J. (2016). Linguistic category structure influences early auditory processing: Converging evidence from mismatch responses and cortical oscillations. *NeuroImage*, *128*, 293–301. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2016.01.003

Scheer, T. (2014). How diachronic is synchronic grammar? Crazy rules, regularity and naturalness. In: P. Honeybone & J. C. Salmons (Eds.). *The handbook of historical phonology*. Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199232819.013.003

Schwarz, G. (1978). Estimating the dimension of a model. *The Annals of Statistics*, *6*, 461–464. https://doi.org/10.1214/aos/1176344136

Scrucca, L., Fop, M., Murphy, T. B., & Raftery, A. E. (2016). mclust 5: Clustering, classification and density estimation using Gaussian finite mixture models. *The R Journal*, *8*(1), 205–233. https://journal.r-project.org/archive/2016-1/scrucca-fop-murphy-etal.pdf

Sebregts, K. (2015). *The sociophonetics and phonology of Dutch /r/* (Doctoral dissertation). Universiteit Utrecht. LOT. https://doi.org/1874/306415

Shi, T., Kasahara, S., Pongkittiphan, T., Minematsu, N., Saito, D., & Hirose, K. (2015). A measure of phonetic similarity to quantify pronunciation variation by using ASR technology. In: The Scottish Consortium for ICPhS 2015 (Ed.). *Proceedings of the 18th International Congress of Phonetic Sciences* (*ICPhS XVIII*). International Phonetic Association.

Siegel, J. (2010). *Second dialect acquisition*. Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511777820

Sneller, B. (2018). *Mechanisms of phonological change* (Doctoral dissertation). University of Pennsylvania.

Sonderegger, M., Bane, M., & Graff, P. (2017). The medium-term dynamics of accents on reality television. *Language*, *93*, 598–640. https://doi.org/10.1353/lan.2017.0038

Steinberg, J., Truckenbrodt, H., & Jacobsen, T. (2010a). Activation and application of an obligatory phonotactic constraint in German during automatic speech processing is revealed by human event-related potentials. *International Journal of Psychophysiology*, *77*, 13–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2010.03.011

Steinberg, J., Truckenbrodt, H., & Jacobsen, T. (2010b). Preattentive phonotactic processing as indexed by the mismatch negativity. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, *22*, 2174–2185. https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn.2009.21408

Steinberg, J., Truckenbrodt, H., & Jacobsen, T. (2011). Phonotactic constraint violations in German grammar are detected automatically in auditory speech processing: A human event-related potentials study. *Psychophysiology*, *48*, 1208–1216. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8986.2011.01200.x

Stevens, M., & Harrington, J. (2014). The individual and the actuation of sound change. *Loquens*, *1*, e003. https://doi.org/10.3989/loquens.2014.003

Stroop, J. (1992). Weg standaardtaal. de nieuwe koers van het Nederlands. *Onze Taal*, *6*(9), 179–182.

Stroop, J. (1998). *Poldernederlands. Waardoor het ABN verdwijnt*. Bert Bakker.

Sussman, E. S., Chen, S., Sussman-Fort, J., & Dinces, E. (2014). The five myths of MMN: Redefining how to use MMN in basic and clinical research. *Brain Topography*, *27*(4), 553–564.

Tagliamonte, S. A., & Baayen, R. H. (2012). Models, forests, and trees of York English: Was/were variation as a case study for statistical practice. *Language Variation and Change*, *24*, 135–178. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954394512000129

Tamminga, M. (to appear). Leaders of language change: Macro and micro perspectives. In: H. Van de Velde, N. H. Hilton, & R. Knooihuizen (Eds.). *Language variation — European perspectives VIII: Selected papers from the tenth International Conference on Language Variation in Europe (ICLaVE 10), Leeuwarden, June 2019*, John Benjamins Publishing Company.

Tamminga, M., Ahern, C., & Ecay, A. (2016). Generalized additive mixed models for intraspeaker variation. *Linguistics Vanguard*, *2*. https://doi.org/10.1515/lingvan-2016-0030

Timmerman, J. H. (2018). *Ken mèn 't rotte hoe of jenut spelt!! Een vergelijking van de orthografische diepte van het Standaardnederlands en het Haagse stadsdialect* (Bachelor's thesis). Leiden University. https://doi.org/1887/65913

Trofimovich, P., Collins, L., Cardoso, W., White, J., & Horst, M. (2012). A frequency-based approach to L2 phonological learning: Teacher input and student output in an intensive ESL context. *TESOL Quarterly*, *46*, 176–187. https://doi.org/10.1002/tesq.9

Trudgill, P. (1986). *Dialects in contact*. Blackwell.

Trudgill, P. (1988). Norwich revisited: Recent linguistic changes in an English urban dialect. *English World-wide*, *9*, 33–49. https://doi.org/10.1075/eww.9.1.03tru

Trudgill, P. (1999). Norwich: Endogenous and exogenous linguistic change. *Urban voices: Accent studies in the British Isles*, 124–40.

Van de Velde, H. (1996). *Variatie en verandering in het gesproken Standaard-Nederlands* (Doctoral dissertation). Katholieke Universiteit Nijmegen. https://doi.org/2066/146159

Van de Velde, H., Kissine, M., Tops, E., van der Harst, S., & van Hout, R. (2011). Will Dutch become Flemish? Autonomous developments in Belgian Dutch. *Multilingua*, *3-4*, 385–416. https://doi.org/10.1515/mult.2010.019

Van de Velde, H., van Hout, R., & Gerritsen, M. (1997). Watching Dutch change: A real time study of variation and change in standard Dutch pronunciation. *Journal of Sociolinguistics*, *1*(3), 361–391.

Van de Velde, H., & van Hout, R. W. N. M. (2003). Diftongering in het Standaard-Nederlands. In: T. Koole, J. Nortier, & B. Tahitu (Eds.). *Artikelen van de vierde sociolinguïstische conferentie*. Eburon.

Van Istendael, G. (2008). Plezier en pijn van het Nederlands. *Samen beleid maken in het GO! Onderwijs van de Vlaamse Gemeenschap. Beleidscahier*, *3*, 29–33.

Verhoeven, J. (2005). Belgian Standard Dutch. *Journal of the International Phonetic Association*, *35*, 243–247. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0025100305002173

Voeten, C. C. (2015). *The interaction between the segmental and the prosodic phonology in the midst of an on-going sound change: Resolving a contradiction in the synchronic phonology of Dutch* (Master's thesis). Radboud University Nijmegen. https://theses.ubn.ru.nl/handle/123456789/628

Voeten, C. C. (2018). *permutes: Permutation tests for time series data*. R package version 0.1. R package version 0.1. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=permutes

Voeten, C. C. (2019a). *buildmer: Stepwise elimination and term reordering for mixed-effects regression*. R package version 1.1. R package version 1.1. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=buildmer

Voeten, C. C. (2019b). *buildmer: Stepwise elimination and term reordering for mixed-effects regression*. R package version 1.4. R package version 1.4. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=buildmer

Voeten, C. C. (2019c). *permutes: Permutation tests for time series data*. R package version 1.0. R package version 1.0. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=permutes

Voeten, C. C. (2020). *buildmer: Stepwise elimination and term reordering for mixed-effects regression*. R package version 1.6. R package version 1.6. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=buildmer

Voeten, C. C. (to appear). Individual differences in the adoption of sound change. *Language and Speech*. https://doi.org/10.1177/0023830920959753

Voeten, C. C., & Levelt, C. C. (2019). ERP responses to regional accent reflect two distinct processes of perceptual compensation. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, *13*, 546. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2019.00546

Voortman, B. (1994). *Regionale variatie in het taalgebruik van notabelen. Een sociolinguïstisch onderzoek in Middelburg, Roermond en Zutphen*. IFOTT.

Wagenmakers, E.-J. (2007). A practical solution to the pervasive problems of *p* values. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, *14*, 779–804. https://doi.org/10.3758/BF03194105

Wagner, S. E. (2008). *Linguistic change and stabilization in the transition from adolescence to adulthood* (Doctoral dissertation). University of Pennsylvania.

Wagner, S. E. (2012). Age grading in sociolinguistic theory. *Language and Linguistics Compass*, *6*, 371–382. https://doi.org/10.1002/lnc3.343

Walker, A. J. (2014). *Crossing oceans with voices and ears: Second dialect acquisition and topic-based shifting in production and perception* (Doctoral dissertation). Ohio State University.

Walker, M., Szakay, A., & Cox, F. (2019). Can kiwis and koalas as cultural primes induce perceptual bias in Australian English speaking listeners? *Laboratory Phonology: Journal of the Association for Laboratory Phonology*, *10*. https://doi.org/10.5334/labphon.90

Wang, W. S.-Y. (1969). Competing changes as a cause of residue. *Language*, 9–25. https://doi.org/10.2307/411748

Wang, W. S.-Y., & Fillmore, C. J. (1961). Intrinsic cues and consonant perception. *Journal of Speech and Hearing Research*, *4*, 130–136. https://doi.org/10.1044/jshr.0402.130

Watt, D. J. L. (2000). Phonetic parallels between the close-mid vowels of Tyneside English: Are they internally or externally motivated? *Language Variation and Change*, *12*, 69–101. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954394500121040

Weinreich, U., Labov, W., & Herzog, M. I. (1968). Empirical foundations for a theory of language change. In: W. Lehmann & Y. Malkiel (Eds.). *Directions for historical linguistics*. University of Texas Press.

Wheeldon, L. R., & Levelt, W. J. M. (1995). Monitoring the time course of phonological encoding. *Journal of Memory and Language*, *34*, 311–334. https://doi.org/10.1006/jmla.1995.1014

Witteman, M. J., Bardhan, N. P., Weber, A., & McQueen, J. M. (2015). Automaticity and stability of adaptation to a foreign-accented speaker. *Language and Speech*, *58*, 168–189. https : / / doi . org / 10 . 1177 / 0023830914528102

Witteman, M. J., Weber, A., & McQueen, J. M. (2014). Tolerance for inconsistency in foreign-accented speech. *Psychonomic bulletin & review*, *21*, 512–519. https://doi.org/10.3758/s13423-013-0519-8

Wood, S. N. (2017). *Generalized additive models: An introduction with R* (2nd ed.). Chapman & Hall/CRC.

Yaeger-Dror, M. (1994). Phonetic evidence for sound change in Quebec French. *Phonological structure and phonetic form: Papers in laboratory phonology*, *3*, 267–292. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511659461.016

Yang, C. (2009). Population structure and language change. *Ms.*

Young, S., Evermann, G., Gales, M., Hain, T., Kershaw, D., Liu, X., Moore, G., Odell, J., Ollason, D., Povey, D. et al. (2002). *The HTK book*. Cambridge University Engineering Department.

Yu, A. C. L. (2010). Perceptual compensation is correlated with individuals' "autistic" traits: Implications for models of sound change. *PloS One*, *5*, e11950. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0011950

Yu, A. C. L. (2013). Individual differences in socio-cognitive processing and the actuation of sound change. In: A. C. L. Yu (Ed.). *Origins of sound change: Approaches to phonologization*. Oxford University Press.

Zellou, G., Dahan, D., & Embick, D. (2017). Imitation of coarticulatory vowel nasality across words and time. *Language, Cognition and Neuroscience*, *32*, 776–791. https://doi.org/10.1080/23273798.2016.1275710

Ziliak, Z. L. (2012). *The relationship between perception and production in adult acquisition of a new dialect's phonetic system* (Doctoral dissertation). University of Florida.

Zwaardemaker, H., & Eijkman, C. L. P. H. (1924). *Leerboek der Phonetiek. Inzonderheid met betrekking tot het Standaard-Nederlands*. De erven F. Bohn.