



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Dealing with information about complex issues : the role of source perceptions

Mors, E. ter

Citation

Mors, E. ter. (2009, June 10). *Dealing with information about complex issues : the role of source perceptions*. Kurt Lewin Institute Dissertation Series. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/79668>

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/79668>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

References

- Albarracin, D. & Mitchell, A. L. (2004). The role of defense confidence for proattitudinal information: How believing that one is strong can sometimes be a defense weakness. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 30, 1565–1584.
- Baron, R. M., & Kenny, D. A. (1986). The moderator-mediator variable distinction in social psychological research: Conceptual, strategic and statistical considerations. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 51, 1173–1182.
- Blumler, J. G., & Katz, E. (1973). *The uses of mass communication*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Bohner, G., Ruder, M., & Erb, H. P. (2002). When expertise backfires: Contrast and assimilation effects in persuasion. *British Journal of Social Psychology*, 41, 495–519.
- Brannon, L. A., Tagler, M. J., & Eagly, A. H. (2007). The moderating role of attitude strength in selective exposure to information. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 43, 611–617.
- Briñol, P., Petty, R. E., & Tormala, Z. K. (2004). Self-validation of cognitive responses to advertisements. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 30, 559–573.
- Cacioppo, J. T., & Petty, R. E. (1981). Social psychological procedures for cognitive response assessment: The thought listing technique, In T. Merluzzi, C. Glass, & M. Genest (Eds.), *Cognitive assessment* (pp. 309–342). New York: Guilford.
- Chaiken, S. (1980). Heuristic versus systematic information processing and the use of source versus message cues in persuasion. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 39, 752–766.
- Chaiken, S. (1987). The heuristic model of persuasion. In M. P. Zanna, J. M. Olsen, & C. P. Herman (Eds.), *Social influence: The Ontario Symposium* (Vol. 5, pp. 3–39). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Chaiken, S., Liberman, A., & Eagly, A. H. (1989). Heuristic and systematic information processing within and beyond the persuasion context. In J.S. Uleman, & J. A. Bargh (Eds.), *Unintended thought* (pp. 212–252). New York: Guilford Press.
- Chaiken, S., & Maheswaran, D. (1994). Heuristic processing can bias systematic processing: effects of source credibility, argument ambiguity, and task importance on attitude judgment. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 66, 460–473.

- De Best-Waldhober, M., Daamen, D. D. L., & Faaij, A. P. C. (2006). *Public perceptions and preferences regarding large- scale implementation of six CO₂ capture and storage technologies: Well-informed and well-considered opinions versus uninformed pseudo-opinions of the Dutch public*. Leiden University, Faculty of Social Sciences. Research report.
- De Best-Waldhober, M., Daamen, D. D. L., & Faaij, A. P. C. (in press). Informed and uninformed public opinions on CO₂-capture and storage technologies in the Netherlands. *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control*.
- Dekker, P., & Van der Meer, T. (2004). Politiek vertrouwen 1997-2004 [Political trust 1997-2004]. *Tijdschrift voor de Sociale Sector*, 12, 33–35.
- Eagly, A. H. & Chaiken, S. (1993). *The psychology of attitudes*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Elenbaas, M. & De Vreese, C. H. (2007). Effecten van strategisch nieuws op politiek cynisme en stemkeuze onder jonge kiezers in een referendum [The effects of strategic news on political cynism and vote choice among young voters in a referendum]. *Tijdschrift voor Communicatiewetenschap*, 35, 307–325.
- Erdogan, Z. B., Baker, M. J., & Tagg, S. (2001). Selecting celebrity endorsers: The practioner perspective. *Journal of Advertising Research*, 41, 39–48.
- Fischer, P., Greitemeyer, T., & Frey, D. (2008). Self-regulation and selective exposure: The impact of depleted resources on confirmatory information processing. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 94, 382–395.
- Fischer, P., Jonas, E., Frey, D., & Kastenmüller, A. (2008). Selective exposure and decision framing: The impact of gain and loss framing on confirmatory information search after decisions. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 44, 312–320.
- Fischer, P., Jonas, E., Frey, D., & Schulz-Hardt, S. (2005). Selective exposure to information: The impact of information limits. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 35, 469–492.
- Fischer, P., Schulz-Hardt, S., & Frey, D. (2008). Selective exposure and information quantity: How different information quantities moderate decision makers' preference for consistent and inconsistent information. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 94, 231–244.
- Flash Eurobarometer (2005). *The European constitution: Post- referendum survey in the Netherlands*. Brussels, June. Retrieved August 25, 2008, from http://ec.europa.eu/public_opinion/flash/fl172_en.pdf.
- Frey, D. (1986). Recent research on selective exposure to information. In L. Berkowitz (Ed.), *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology* (Volume 19, pp. 41–80). New York: Academic Press.

- Fischhoff, B. (2007). Nonpersuasive communication about matters of greatest urgency: Climate change. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 41, 7204–7208.
- Harkins, S. G., & Petty, R. E. (1981a). Effects of source magnification of cognitive effort on attitudes: An information-processing view. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 52, 401–413.
- Harkins, S. G., & Petty, R. E. (1981b). The multiple source effect in persuasion: The effects of distraction. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 7, 627–635.
- Harkins, S. G., & Petty, R. E. (1987). Information utility and the multiple source effect. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 52, 260–268.
- Heesacker, M. H., Petty, R. E., & Cacioppo, J. T. (1983). Field dependence and attitude change: Source credibility can alter persuasion by affecting message-relevant thinking. *Journal of Personality*, 51, 653–666.
- Hovland, C. I., Janis, I. L., & Kelley, H. H. (1953). *Communication and persuasion: psychological studies of opinion change*. New Haven: Yale University Press.
- Hovland, C. I., & Weiss, W. (1951). The influence of source credibility on communication effectiveness. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 14, 635–650.
- Huijts, N. M. A., Midden, C. J. H., & Meijnders, A. L. (2007). Social acceptance of carbon dioxide storage. *Energy Policy*, 35, 2780–2789.
- IPCC (2005). Summary for policy makers. In B. Metz, O. Davidson, H. C. De Coninck, M. Loos, & L. A. Meyer (Eds.), *IPCC Special Report: Carbon Dioxide Capture and Storage, Prepared by Working Group III of the Intergovernmental Panel for Climate Change* (pp. 1–16). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- IPCC (2007). Summary for policymakers. In M. L. Parry, O. F. Canziani, J. P. Palutikof, P. J. Van der Linden, & C. E. Hansen (Eds.), *Climate Change 2007: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability. Contribution of Working Group II to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* (pp. 7–22). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Jonas, E., Graupmann, V., & Frey, D. (2006). The influence of mood on the search for supporting versus conflicting information: Dissonance reduction as a means of mood regulation? *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 32, 3–15.
- Jonas, E., Schulz-Hardt, S., Frey, D., & Thelen, N. (2001). Confirmation bias in sequential information search after preliminary decisions: An expansion of dissonance theoretical research on selective exposure to information. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 80, 557–71.
- Kelman, H. C., & Hovland, C. I. (1953). “Reinstatement” of the communicator in delayed measurement of opinion change. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 48, 327–335.
- Kinneavy, J. L. (1971). *A theory of discourse*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.

- Kruglanski, A. W., & Thompson, E. P. (1999). Persuasion by a single route: A view from the unimodel. *Psychological Inquiry*, 10, 83–109.
- McCroskey, J. C. (1966). Scales for the measurement of ethos. *Speech Monographs*, 33, 65–72.
- Meijnders, A. L., Midden, C. J. H., & Wilke, H. A. M. (2001). Role of negative emotion in communication about CO₂ risks. *Risk Analysis*, 21, 955–966.
- Moore, D. J., Reardon, R., & Mowen, J. C. (1987). Source independence in multiple source advertising appeals: The confederate effect. *Advances in Consumer Research*, 16, 719–721.
- Newell, S. J., & Goldsmith, R. E. (2001). The development of a scale to measure corporate credibility. *Journal of Business Research*, 52, 235–247.
- Oreskes, N. (2004). Beyond the ivory tower: The scientific consensus on climate change. *Science*, 306, 1686.
- Payne, J. W. (1976). Task complexity and contingent processing in decision making: An information search and protocol analysis. *Organizational Behavior and Human Performance*, 16, 366–387.
- Petty, R. E., & Cacioppo, J. T. (1977). Effects of forewarning of persuasive intent and involvement on cognitive responses and persuasion. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 5, 173–176.
- Petty, R. E., & Cacioppo, J. T. (1986a). *Communication and persuasion: Central and peripheral routes to attitude change*. New-York: Springer-Verlag.
- Petty, R. E., & Cacioppo, J. T. (1986b). The elaboration likelihood model of persuasion. In L. Berkowitz (Ed.), *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology* (pp. 123–205). New York: Academic Press.
- Petty, R. E., Cacioppo, J. T., & Goldman, R. (1981). Personal involvement as a determinant of argument-based persuasion. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 41, 847–855.
- Petty, R. E., & Wegener, D. T. (1999). The elaboration likelihood model: Current status and controversies. In S. Chaiken, & Y. Trope (Eds.), *Dual Process Theories in Social Psychology* (pp. 41–72). New York: Guilford Press.
- Pornpitakpan, C. (2004). The persuasiveness of source credibility: A critical review of five decades' evidence. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 34, 243–281.
- Priester, J. R., & Petty, R. E. (1995). Source attributions and persuasion: Perceived honesty as a determinant of message scrutiny. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 21, 637–654.

- Rowan, K. E. (2003). Informing and explaining skills: Theory and research on informative communication. In J. O. Greene & B. R. Burleson (Eds.), *The handbook of communication and social interaction skills* (pp. 403-438). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Smith, S. M., Fabrigar, L. R., & Norris, M. E. (2008). Reflecting on six decades of selective exposure research: Progress, challenges and opportunities. *Social and Personality Psychological Compass*, 2, 464-493.
- Smith, S. M., Fabrigar, L. R., Powell, D. M., & Estrada, M. J. (2007). The role of information processing capacity and goals in attitude-congruent selective exposure effects. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 33, 948-960.
- Stiff, B. J., & Mongeau, P. A. (1994). *Persuasive communication*. New York: Guilford Press.
- Tanner, T. & Dekker, P. (2007). Het opinieklimaat [The opinion climate]. In R. Bijl, J. Boelhouwer, & E. Pommer (Eds.), *De sociale staat van Nederland 2007 [The social state of the Netherlands 2007]* (pp. 57-84). Den Haag: Sociaal en Cultureel Planbureau.
- Ter Mors, E., Weenig, M. W. H., Ellemers, E., & Daamen, D. D. L. (2008a). Information provision about carbon dioxide capture and storage (CCS): The importance of stakeholder credibility. Manuscript submitted for publication.
- Ter Mors, E., Weenig, M. W. H., Ellemers, E., & Daamen, D. D. L. (2008b). The influence of source trustworthiness on information selection: A source-disconfirmation bias. Manuscript submitted for publication.
- Ter Mors, E., Weenig, M. W. H., Ellemers, E., & Daamen, D. D. L. (2008c). Effective communication about carbon dioxide capture and storage (CCS): Perceived information quality depends on collaboration of stakeholders. Manuscript submitted for publication.
- Terwel, B. W., Harinck, F., Ellemers, N., & Daamen, D. D. L. (in press). How organizational motives and communications affect public trust in organizations: The case of carbon dioxide capture and storage. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*.
- TNS NIPO/PM (2005). Tussenstand Balkenende II [The current state of affairs of Balkenende II]. *PM*, 1, 25-28.
- Tormala, Z. L., Briñol, P., & Petty, R. E. (2006). When credibility attacks: The reverse impact of source credibility on persuasion. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 42, 684-691.
- Tormala, Z. L., Briñol, P., & Petty, R. E. (2007). Multiple roles for source credibility under high elaboration: It's all in the timing. *Social Cognition*, 25, 536-552.

- Tormala, Z. L., & Clarkson, J. J. (2007). Assimilation and contrast in persuasion: The effects of source credibility in multiple message situations. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 33, 559–571.
- Trumbo, C. W. & McComas, K. M. (2003). The function of credibility in information processing for risk perception. *Risk Analysis*, 23, 342–353.
- Wood, W., & Quinn, J. (2003). Forewarned and forearmed? Two meta-analytic syntheses of forewarnings and persuasive appeals. *Psychological Bulletin*, 129, 119–138.
- Ziegler, R., & Diehl, M. (2003). Is politician A or B more persuasive? Recipients' source preference and the direction of biased message processing. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 33, 623–627.
- Ziegler, R., Dobre, B. & Diehl, M. (2007). Does matching versus mismatching message content to attitude functions lead to biased processing? The role of message ambiguity. *Basic and Applied Social Psychology*, 29, 269–278.
- Ziegler, R., Diehl, M., & Ruther, A. (2002). Multiple source characteristics and persuasion: Source inconsistency as a determinant of message scrutiny. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 28, 496–508.