

Egypt in material and mind : the use and perception of Aegyptiaca in Roman domestic contexts of Pompeii Mol. E.M.

Citation

Mol, E. M. (2015, May 27). Egypt in material and mind: the use and perception of Aegyptiaca in Roman domestic contexts of Pompeii. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/33706

Version: Corrected Publisher's Version

License: License agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the

Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden

Downloaded from: https://hdl.handle.net/1887/33706

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Cover Page



Universiteit Leiden



The handle http://hdl.handle.net/1887/33706 holds various files of this Leiden University dissertation.

Author: Mol, Eva Martine

Title: Egypt in material and mind: the use and perception of Aegyptiaca in Roman

domestic contexts of Pompeii

Issue Date: 2015-05-27

CURRICULUM VITAE

Eva Martine Mol (25 november 1984, Meppel) grew up in Staphorst and went to High School at RSG Stad and Esch in Meppel. In 2003 she started at Leiden University, obtaining her Bachelor in Archaeology in 2006 and a ResearchMaster degree in Classical and Mediterranean Archaeology in 2009. Her thesis, Hidden Complexities of the Frankish Castle, Social Aspects of Space in the Configurational Architecture of Frankish Castles in the Holy Land, 1099-1291, was awarded with the 'Leidse Scriptieprijs', for the best Master dissertation written at the University of Leiden in the year 2009. It was subsequently published as a monograph in 2012 within the Archaeological Studies Leiden University Press series.

In april 2010 Eva commenced as a PhD-student in the NWO VIDI project *Cultural Innovation in a Globalising Society, Egypt in the Roman World*, under the directions of dr. Miguel John Versluys at Leiden University.

During this period Eva published several articles, reviews, and a book chapter on the workings of Egypt in the Roman world and on the influence of objects and architecture on Roman rituals and religious experience. She has been a regular researcher at the Dutch Institute in Rome where she, besides working on her thesis, developed and organised a Master-Course 'Egypt in Rome' together with the KNIR-staff, which was given in 2011 and 2012. The results of the course were published as a special edition of the Dutch magazine *Roma Aetema*, where Eva acted as guest editor in chief. She has also been a research fellow at the Dutch-Flemish Institute in Cairo from May-July 2013 and at the Dutch Institute in Instanbul in September 2014. During her PhD, Eva has been involved in several archaeological projects in Italy.

Currently, Eva is employed at the Free University Amsterdam, Leiden University and the University of Amsterdam as a university lecturer.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The four years I spent on answering a single question about objects from a Roman town in Campania I consider as an occasional struggle, but mostly as an enormous blessing and very enriching experience. As both a blessing and a struggle, they shaped me to a degree I could never have imagined beforehand and I can only look back at the period with an odd mixture of pleasure, amazement and nostalgia. The person who deserves the greatest recognition and gratitude for this overall positive frame of mind regarding the entire process and for successfully finishing the undertaking is undoubtedly my supervisor Miguel John Versluys, who stimulated taking an innovative approach to the dataset and gave me all the freedom to develop this research as I desired and where I desired, which for a large part has been outside the beautiful city of Leiden.

Most of the people I spoke to who had written a PhD dissertation, often experienced the process as a very lonesome quest, and for many PhD candidates this was the most difficult aspect to cope with. I have been incredibly fortunate that my thesis never had to be conceived in such a fashion, as I was in the company of three fantastic people engaged in the same battle. Sander, Maaike, Marike, I am very grateful to have had you on my side, the discussions on the workings of Egypt in the Roman world have been unbelievably valuable, and the gossip, sharing, and nagging about research, life and love even more so. Moreover, the weeks we spent in Italy during and after the 'Egypt in Rome' course count as one of my fondest memories of the entire project.

Marleen Termeer and Rogier Kalkers I also wish to thank in particular. Firstly for being the most intelligent and most critical archaeologists around who were able to lift the work to a level that I could not have accomplished alone. Secondly, and foremost, I want to thank them for being part of my life.

Unquestionably, the highlights of this PhD journey were the trips to unexplored territories: Rome, Pompeii, Molise, London, Macedonia, and Istanbul amongst others, with as its absolute zenith a 3 month stay in Egypt during the turbulent summer of 2013. Here I had the chance to experience a historical revolution in Cairo and truly magical weeks in a completely abandoned Giza, Philae, Thebes, Karnak, and Luxor. In some way, time

travel appears to be possible, and I was able to get stuck in the Romantic Era those weeks, feeling like a true 18th century Grand tourist experiencing an educational rite the passage. It was here that I finally came face to face with the remains of ancient Egypt for the first time, and the visit was vital to my research because of the realisation of two things: the enormous difference that exists between ancient Egypt and ancient Rome in environment, style, objects, and ideas, and the complete impossibility to imagine what Egypt is 'really' like without actually having travelled there.

For all this and more I am indebted to many: everyone at the Royal Dutch Institute in Rome and the Dutch-Flemish Inistitute in Cairo for their trust and hospitality, the staff at the *Soprintendenza Archeologica di Pompei* and the *Museo Archeologico Nazionale di Napoli* for their collaboration, and all the members of our research group of *Isiaci* for their knowledge, help, and support. Furthermore I wish to thank everybody at the Faculty of Archaeology, Leiden University and beyond who took the effort to listen and discuss the contents of the dissertation with me over the past years during meetings, workshops, and conferences. In this context I particular wish to express gratitude to Natasha Sojc for her assistance during the initial phases of the research and Raymond Corbey, Nathalie de Haan, Olaf Kaper, and Caroline van Eck for their useful comments in its final stages.

I think I was about seven years old, when my father started to occasionally lift me from my bed during the night and take me to our backyard in order to study the sky through his telescope. The hours we spent in the bitter cold questioning the workings of the stars, our planet, and the universe belong to one of my happiest childhood memories. Although I was far too young to learn we will all perish in due time when the sun expands to consume the Earth's orbit, I want to thank my father for awakening a curiosity and scientific passion in me that has never ceased from that moment onwards, and for teaching me to always keep asking bigger questions.

Lastly, I wish to thank those in spite of whom I was able to finish the dissertation, but who were nonetheless able to offer emotional, artistic, poetical, musical, and intellectual widenings of scope even more cherished during the seemingly strange, tedious, and trivial occupations one sometimes finds oneself in when writing a dissertation: Lennart Kruijer, Pauline Mol, Tijm Lanjouw, Maartje Alders and the city of Amsterdam.

LIST OF FIGURES

- 1.1 A reconstruction of the Iseum Campense in Rome, created by Guido Trabacchi and Giuseppe Gatteschi (1918-1940). Source: Picture from the Archive of the American Academy in Rome.
- **2.1** The 8th-century BC Bocchoris vase from a tomb in Tarquina.
- **3.1** The renowned Müller-Lyer Illusion and the 13-B priming illusion.
- **3.2** A piano at the Mesolithic site of Lepenski Vir.
- **4.1a-c**Examples of Aegyptiaca.
- **4.2** A two-mode micro-scale affiliation network visualisation of objects related to Egypt and their contexts.
- **4.3** A network presenting two clear subgroups or 'cliques' with regard to types of objects and find contexts.
- **4.4** A network presenting paintings and statuettes of Bes.
- **4.5** Pie-chart of the material presence of Egyptian deities in Pompeii.
- **4.6** Venus versus Isis.
- **4.7** Pie-chart of the different material representations of Isis in Pompeii.
- **4.8** A garden painting of Venus in Casa della Venere in Conchiglia (II 3, 3).
- **4.9** Wall paintings portraying Isis.
- **4.10** The arrival of Io at Canopus from the Sanctuary of Isis and the Casa del Duca di Aumale (VI 9,1).
- **4.11** Statuettes from a lararium at Fondo d'Acunzo, Boscoreale, including two representations of Isis-Fortuna.
- **4.12a** Pie-chart showing the division of statuettes based on the catalogue by Fröhlich.
- **4.12b** Pie-chart showing the presence of statuettes of Egyptian deities.
- **4.13** Bronze statuettes of Isis-Fortuna and Isis.
- **4.14** Statuettes of Bes and Ptah-Pataikos.
- **4.15** A painted portrait of Bes.
- **4.16a-b** Coins portraying Bes found at Ebesus (a) and a pseudo-Ebusus type (b) a later local mint of the same type.
- **4.17** Statuettes of the falcon-headed crocodile god Soknopaios.
- **4.18a-c** Three green-glazed lamps from Pompeii portraying the Isiac triad.
- **4.19** Two imported cylindrical glazed cups from Memphis and Tarsus.
- **4.20** The conceptual networks of Bes and Ptah-Pataikos.
- **4.21** Paintings from cubiculum 5 in the Casa del Frutteto (I 9,5).
- **4.22** Details from cubiculum 5 of the Casa del Frutteto.

- **4.23** Painted representations of statues of Casa del Frutteto (right) and the Casa della Venere in Conchiglia (II 3,3) (left).
- **4.24a-d** Paintings of pharaonic scenes.
- **4.25a-c** Wall paintings depicting an Isis temple, found at Herculaneum.
- **4.26** A Greek and an Egyptian sphinx both from the Summer Triclinium (31) of the Casa del Bracciale d'Oro (VI 17, 42).
- **4.27a-b** Two marble statuettes portraying Egypt-styled sphinxes used as garden ornaments
- **4.28a-b** Two sphinxes utilised as table supports from (a) the Casa del Fauno (VI 12,2) and (b) from the Casa dell'Ara Massima (VI 16,15).
- **4.29** Relative presence of different Nilotic motifs in imagery in Pompeii.
- **4.29** The relative presence of Nilotic motifs in Pompeian imagery.
- **4.30** Scenes portraying Cupids from Pompeii.
- **4.31** A Comparison between representations of Cupids and Pygmies.
- **4.32a-c** Canonical representations of ducks with lotus flowers in their beaks.
- **4.33** Paintings of sacred landscapes depicting water, from the Villa dei Misteri (left) and the Casa degli Amorini Dorati (right).
- **4.34** The distribution of Nilotic scenes according to contexts and room types.
- **5.1** Bar chart showing the distribution of houses containing Nilotic or Isiac scenes compared to the total number of houses with wall paintings.
- **5.2a-c** Ground plan and spatial configuration of the Casa del Doppio Larario.
- **5.3** A greywacke slab containing hieroglyphs, once dedicated to the sacred banquet of Psammetichus II, now used as a threshold in the Casa del Doppio Larario (VII 3, 13).
- **5.4a-b** The Egyptian shrine (a) and the statuette of Horus (b) in the peristyle of the Casa degli Amorini Dorati.
- **5.5a-b** The Capitoline shrine in the peristyle of the Casa degli Amorini Dorati (a) and the bronze statuettes belonging to the shrine (b).
- **5.6** Suites of rooms distinguishable in terms of function and decoration.
- **5.7** Ground plan of the Casa degli Amorini Dorati.
- **5.8** Access analysis of the Casa degli Amorini Dorati.
- **5.9a-g** Visibility analyses of the Casa degli Amorini Dorati.
- **5.10a-e** Agent analyses for the Casa degli Amorini Dorati.

- **5.11** The west wall the portico showing two of the (probably) four landscape paintings.
- **5.12** *Scendiletto* in the form of a mosaic in Room I.
- **5.13a-e** Depictions of the statue of Omphale (a), the Attic grave relief (b), the obsidian mirrors (c), the flooring with marble pieces inserted (d) and the garden (e).
- **5.14** Plan of the Casa di Octavius Quartio (II 2,2).
- **5.15** Plan of the Casa di Octavius Quartio showing the Egypt-connected finds.
- **5.16a-b** Room *f* in the Casa di Octavius Quartio showing the figure of an Isis-priest (a) and its details (b).
- **5.17** Statuettes of Bes and a Pharaoh.
- **5.18** A white marble statuette of a sphinx and a bronze coin displaying the face of a gorgon.
- **5.19 a-b**A statue of an ibis (a), found together with the green-glazed figures in the small peristyle garden and a bronze lamp depicting Jupiter-Ammon (b) found in the kitchen.
- **5.20** Reconstruction of the portico and lower garden by Spinazzola.
- **5.21a-d** Configuration of the Casa di Octavius Quartio (II 2,2).
- 5.22a-c Three Visibility Graph Analyses of the Casa di Octavius Quartio.
- **5.23a-e** Five Isovist analyses of the Casa di Octavius Quartio.
- **5.24** Agent analysis run with a predestined route including the garden. The agents were released from random locations.
- **5.25a-c** Three Visibility Graph Analyses directed towards movement in the peristyle area.
- **5.26** Visualisation showing the green-glazed statuettes direct the gaze towards the Triclinium h and the western part of the peristyle.
- **6.1** Connections between objects and concepts.

LIST OF TABLES

- **4.1** Material representations of the 'Egyptian' deities.
- **4.2** Egyptian deities and their find contexts in Pompeian houses.
- **4.3** A selection of statuettes of Venus found in Pompeian houses.
- **4.4** Statuettes of Isis found in Pompeii, assembled from the database.
- **4.5** The range of domestic shrines according to rooms in Pompeian houses (after Brandt 2010).
- **4.6** Statuettes of Isiac deities found in Pompeii.
- **4.7** An overview of the types of statuettes of Isis and their contextual frequency.
- **4.8** A comparison of the types of Egyptianising statuettes in Pompeii, Herculaneum, Egypt, and on Delos.
- **4.9** The different materialisations of Isis and Isis-Fortuna in Pompeii and their contexts.
- **4.10** The wall paintings portraying Isis and Isis-Fortuna and their locations.
- **4.11** Different materialisations of Harpocrates in Pompeii.
- **4.12** Shrines found in Pompeii housing one or more Isiac deities.
- **4.13** Different materialisations of Bes and Ptah-Pataikos in Pompeii.
- **4.14** All the green-glazed figurines from Pompeii and their find contexts.
- **4.15** The contexts of blue-glazed objects in Pompeian houses.
- **4.16** The imported and locally crafted objects reflecting a Pharaonic Egyptian style.
- **4.17** Objects reflecting a Pharaonic Egyptian style from the Iseum.
- **4.18** Representations of the Egyptian sphinx in Pompeii.
- **4.19** Nilotic scenes from Pompeii and their find spots.
- **4.20** Houses containing Nilotic scenes and other Egypt-related artefacts.
- **4.21** All the Nilotic scenes in Pompeii depicting dwarfs and pygmies.
- **4.22** Erotic scenes found in Pompeii.
- **4.23** Ducks and lotus motifs found in Pompeii.
- **4.24** Distribution of houses and their size containing Nilotic scenes.
- **5.1** The objects belonging to the Isis shrine in the peristyle at the Casa degli Amorini Dorati.
- **5.2** Analysis of the paintings at the Casa degli Amorini Dorati.
- **5.3** The analysis of the pavements at the Casa degli Amorini Dorati.
- **5.4** Analysis of the boundaries of the rooms at the Casa degli Amorini Dorati.
- **5.5** All the objects from the peristyle at the Casa degli Amorini Dorati.

- **5.6** All the objects connected to Egypt found at the Casa di Octavius Quartio.
- **5.7** Colour analysis of the Casa di Octavius Quartio.
- **5.8** Pavement analysis of the Casa di Octavius Quartio.
- **5.9** Threshold analysis of the Casa di Octavius Quartio.
- **5.10** Objects from the Casa di Octavius Quartio (after Tronchin 2006).