



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

New forms of employment in the Netherlands

Barentsen, B.; Blanpain, R.; Hendrickx, F.; Waas, B.

Citation

Barentsen, B. (2016). New forms of employment in the Netherlands. In R. Blanpain, F. Hendrickx, & B. Waas (Eds.), *Bulletin of Comparative Labour Relations* (pp. 291-300). Deventer: Wolters Kluwer. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/45695>

Version: Publisher's Version
License: [Leiden University Non-exclusive license](#)
Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/45695>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

New Forms of Employment in Europe

Bulletin of Comparative Labour Relations

VOLUME 94

Editor

The series started in 1970 under the dynamic editorship of Professor Roger Blanpain (Belgium), former President of the International Industrial Relations Association. Professor Blanpain, currently Professor Emeritus of Labour Law, Universities of Leuven and Tilburg, is also General Editor of the International Encyclopedia of Laws (with more than 1,600 collaborators worldwide) and President of the Association of Educative and Scientific Authors.

In 2015 Frank Hendrickx, Professor of labour law at the Faculty of Law of the University of Leuven (Belgium) joined as a co-Editor. Frank Hendrickx has published numerous articles and books and regularly advises governments, international institutions and private organisations in the area of labour law as well as in sports law. He is the Editor-in-Chief of the European Labour Law Journal and General Editor of the International Encyclopaedia of Laws together with Professor Roger Blanpain.

Introduction

The Bulletins constitute a unique source of information and thought-provoking discussion, laying the groundwork for studies of employment relations in the 21st century, involving among much else the effects of globalization, new technologies, migration, and the greying of the population.

Contents/Subjects

Amongst other subjects the Bulletins frequently include the proceedings of international or regional conferences; reports from comparative projects devoted to salient issues in industrial relations, human resources management, and/or labour law; and specific issues underlying the multicultural aspects of our industrial societies.

Objective

The Bulletins offer a platform of expression and discussion on labour relations to scholars and practitioners worldwide, often featuring special guest editors.

The titles published in this series are listed at the end of this volume.

New Forms of Employment in Europe

Editors

Roger Blanpain
Frank Hendrickx

Guest Editor

Bernd Waas

Contributors

José João Abrantes
Edoardo Ales
Helga Aune
Barend Barentsen
Catherine Barnard
Kadriye Bakirci
Elín Blöndal
Iván Antonio Rodríguez Cardo
Simon Deakin
Tomas Davulis
Raluca Dimitriu
Kristīne Dupate
David Durward
Matleena Engblom
Joaquín García Murcia
Ivana Grgurev
Tamás Gyulavári
Petr Hůrka
Senad Jašarević
Todor Kalamatiev
Anthony Kerr
Francis Kessler
György Kiss

Polonca Končar
Jens Kristiansen
Jan Marco Leimeister
Irene Mandl
Lorna Mifsud Cachia
Leszek Mitrus
Costas Papadimitriou
Wolfgang Portmann
Jean-Luc Putz
Wilfried Rauws
Martin Risak
Aleksandar Ristovski
Mia Rönnmar
Robert Schronk
Rita Canas da Silva
Vesna Simović-Zvicer
Krassimira Sredkova
Gaabriel Tavits
Claire Toumieux
Nicos Trimikliniotis
Bernd Waas
Shkodran Zogaj
Johan Zwemmer



Wolters Kluwer

Published by:

Kluwer Law International B.V.
PO Box 316
2400 AH Alphen aan den Rijn
The Netherlands
Website: www.wklawbusiness.com

Sold and distributed in North, Central and South America by:

Wolters Kluwer Legal & Regulatory U.S.
7201 McKinney Circle
Frederick, MD 21704
United States of America
Email: customer.service@wolterskluwer.com

Sold and distributed in all other countries by:

Turpin Distribution Services Ltd
Stratton Business Park
Pegasus Drive, Biggleswade
Bedfordshire SG18 8TQ
United Kingdom
Email: kluwerlaw@turpin-distribution.com

Printed on acid-free paper.

ISBN 978-90-411-6239-7

e-Book: 9789041162410

© 2016 Kluwer Law International BV, The Netherlands

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without written permission from the publisher.

Permission to use this content must be obtained from the copyright owner. Please apply to: Permissions Department, Wolters Kluwer Legal & Regulatory U.S., 76 Ninth Avenue, 7th Floor, New York, NY 10011-5201, USA. Website: www.wklawbusiness.com

Printed in the United Kingdom.

Notes on Contributors

José João Abrantes is Full Professor of Civil Law and Labour Law at the Faculty of Law and Pro-Rector of the NOVA University of Lisbon. He is a member of several legal associations and scientific networks. He is the Portuguese expert at ELLN – The European Labour Law Network, a European network of legal experts in the field of labour law assisting the Directorate-General for Employment, Social Affairs and Equal Opportunities of the European Commission (DG-EMPL/F/2).

Edoardo Ales (Rome 1968) is since 2002 Full Professor of European, Comparative and Italian Labour and Social Security Law. From 1996 to 2000 he was Lecturer at the University of Rome Tor Vergata. He teaches in Cassino (University of Cassino and Southern Lazio) in Rome (LUISS – G. Carli) and in Wien (Wirtschaftsuniversität). He has managed several national and international research projects in his field of interest and cooperated several times with the European Institutions (Commission and Parliament) and national labour authorities. He is expert in European Labour and Social Security (coordination) Law, in European Employment and Social Inclusion Policy, in Italian, German and Austrian Labour and Social Security Law. Since 2006, he is member of the Scientific Committee and national expert for Italy of the ELLN. He has published and edited several books on individual and collective labour law as well as Health and Safety Law. He is author of several essays on international and national Labour and Social Security Law journals. He is co-editor of the *Rivista del Diritto della Sicurezza Sociale*.

Helga Aune is a lawyer and has been the national leader of the Labour Law Department at the PwC Law Firm AS, Norway since 2014. Aune completed her PhD thesis ‘Part-time work. Protection against discrimination on a structural and an individual level’ at the Faculty of Law, University of Norway in 2009. She was a Post-Doctoral Fellow at the same Faculty from 2009 to 2014 to conduct research on legal issues in the education sector as well as on issues relating to employment law. Aune has published numerous articles on gender equality and non-discrimination issues. She has been a member of the European Commission Network of Legal Experts in the field of gender equality since 2003 and a member of the European Commission

Network of Legal Experts of the European Labour Law Network (ELLN) since 2007. Aune was awarded the YS Equality Prize of 2013 for her book on part-time work.

Barend Barentsen is Professor of Labour Law and Labour Relations in the Public Sector at Leiden University. He is a substitute justice at the Centrale Raad van Beroep (Administrative Appeals Board) and at the Court of Appeals of the Hague.

Catherine Barnard, MA (Cantab), LLM (EUI), PhD (Cantab), is Professor of European Union Law and Employment Law at the University of Cambridge, and Senior Tutor and Fellow of Trinity College. She specialises in EU law and employment law. She is the author of *EU Employment Law* (Oxford, OUP, 2012, 4th ed.), *The Substantive Law of the EU: The Four Freedoms*, (Oxford, OUP, 2016, 5th ed.), and (with Peers eds.), *European Union Law* (Oxford, OUP, 2014). She is also editor of various collections of essays including: *The Fundamentals of EU Law Revisited* (Oxford, 2007), *The Outer Limits of EU Law* (Hart, 2009) (with Odudu). She has advised the government over the Balance of Competence Review. She is a Senior Fellow at the ESRC UK in a Changing Europe project, where she is working with Dr Amy Ludlow on a project entitled: “‘Honey-pot Britain?’ The lived experience of working as an EU migrant in the UK”. She is looking in particular at the question of migrant workers’ access to benefits in the UK.

Kadriye Bakirci is the Head of the Employment and Social Security Law Division at Hacettepe Law Faculty, Turkey. She completed her LLB, LLM and PhD degrees at Istanbul Law Faculty. She was a visiting scholar/ fellow at the Institute of Advanced Legal Studies; the London School of Economics and Political Science; Cambridge, Stockholm and Columbia Law Faculties. She has extensive experience in the field of labour law.

Elín Blöndal has a Cand Jur. Degree from the University of Iceland and a Master’s degree in Public International Law from the University of Leiden. She is now Chief Legal Counsel at the University of Iceland. She was Professor and Chair of the Research Centre of Labour Law at Bifröst University, Head of the Labour Office at the Ministry of Social Affairs and Head of Office at the Parliamentary Ombudsman Office. She has authored several publications, especially in the field of labour law, gender equality and social human rights.

Iván Antonio Rodríguez Cardo is Full Professor of Labour Law at the University of Oviedo. PhD in Labour Law. He is member of several legal associations and scientific networks. Former Vice-Dean at the Faculty of Law of the University of Oviedo. Researcher on numerous projects funded by the Government of Spain and the European Union. He has published numerous papers in Journals of Labour Law and Social Security Law.

Simon Deakin is Professor of Law at the University of Cambridge, where he teaches Labour Law, Private Law, and Economics of Law. He is the author (with Frank Wilkinson) of ‘The Law of the Labour Market: Industrialisation, Employment, and

Legal Evolution' (OUP, 2005) and (with Gillian S. Morris) of 'Labour Law' (Hart, 6th ed.) He is also editor of the Industrial Law Journal.

Tomas Davulis is Professor of Labour Law and Head of the Department of Labour Law (the Institute for Labour Law) at Vilnius University, Faculty of Law. He is involved in various research projects at national and international level on topics related to labour law, the labour market and social security. Davulis is a member of various international networks (e.g., the European Labour Law Network, European Network of Legal Experts on gender equality and non-discrimination) as well as a member of international and national scientific organisations (European Law Association, International Society for Labour Law and Social Security). His expertise in national and international labour law with a strong emphasis on the transposition of European legislation allows him to contribute to networks and organisations as a national expert or general rapporteur. At national level, Davulis has been appointed leader and member of various groups to assess and ameliorate domestic labour legislation (in particular, the Labour Code and transposition of EU legislation). In 2014-2015, he headed the consortium appointed by the Lithuanian government with the preparation of the so-called Lithuanian social model – research-based legislative initiatives in the fields of labour law, employment support and social insurance which aims to modernise labour market regulation and adapt the social security system. As a result, more than forty pieces of draft legislation are currently being debated in Parliament.

Raluca Dimitriu is Professor of Labour Law, PhD coordinator and Director of the Law Doctoral School at the Bucharest Academy of Economic Studies, Law Department. She is also principal researcher at the **Legal Research Institute** of the Romanian Academy and trainer for magistrates specialised in labour law at the National Institute for Magistracy, Bucharest.

Kristīne Dupate is Associated Professor at University of Latvia, Faculty of Law Department of International and European Law. She is a member (national expert) of the Network of Legal Experts for the EU Commission in the fields of gender equality, labour law and the free movement of workers. She has participated in various research projects in international and EU institutions as a national expert. Kristīne Dupate has authored numerous publications on gender equality, non-discrimination and labour law.

David Durward is a Research Associate at the Department for Information Systems at the University of Kassel and at the Institute of Information Management at the University of St. Gallen. He studied Economics (Master of Arts) at the University of Kassel in Marketing and International Management as well as Private and Public Management. Previously, he studied Business Administration (Bachelor of Arts) at the Baden-Wuerttemberg Cooperative State University in Mannheim (DHBW) with a focus on service marketing and sales. David Durward works in different research projects with a focus on crowdsourcing, crowd work and new forms of digital work.

Matleena Engblom, LL.Lic, Specialist Counsel, is a Finnish labour law expert working for Attorneys JB Eversheds Ltd. She deals with different types of labour disputes and employment offence proceedings. She has worked as a researcher at the University of Turku, as an attorney, a labour court clerk, a lawyer for the Church, a trade union lawyer, and head of administration. She has published a book on employment contract terms and several articles in labour law reviews.

Joaquín García Murcia is Professor of Labour Law and Social Security at the Complutense University of Madrid. He has co-authored reference books such as 'Labor Law', 'Practical Treaty of Labour Law', 'Practical Treaty of Social Security Law' and 'Glossary of Employment and Labour Relations (Spain)'. Murcia has published numerous papers in labour law and social security law journals, has conducted numerous research projects and has supervised numerous doctoral theses. He was also Legal Adviser at the Spanish Constitutional Court.

Ivana Grgurev is Associate Professor at the Chair of Labour Law and Social Security Law, Faculty of Law, University of Zagreb. She has published several books and articles in the field of labour law (discrimination law, collective agreements, managerial contracts, etc.).

Tamás Gyulavári is Professor of Labour Law and Head of the Labour Law Department at Pázmány Péter Catholic University of Budapest. He is the author and co-author of several books and articles on European and Hungarian labour law in Hungarian and in English. Tamás Gyulavári is also the Labour Law Advisor of the Hungarian Supreme Court.

Petr Hůrka, Doc. JUDr., PhD, is a labour law specialist and Associate Professor at the Labour Law and Social Security Law Department of the Faculty of Law, Charles University in Prague. Hůrka presides over the Labour Law and Social Security Law Committee of the Government Legislative Council, represents the Czech Republic in the European Labour Law Network, and is a member of the Czech Community for Labour Law and Social Security Law. He acts as a mediator and arbitrator in collective labour law disputes, as well as a lecturer and consultant.

Senad Jašarević graduated from the Faculty of Law in Novi Sad in 1986 where he currently is Full Professor specialising in Labour Law and Social Security Law. He is Vice-President of the Serbian Association for Labour Law and Social Security. He is author of numerous scientific and professional articles in the fields of labour and social security law.

Todor Kalamatiev, PhD, is Professor at SS Cyril and Methodius University (Department of Labour law, Faculty of Law 'Iustinianus Primus', Skopje). He teaches Labour Law (B.A. level) and Social Security, European Labour Law, International Labour Law and Flexibility and Security of the Labour Market (M.A. level). He also teaches Labour Law at PhD level.

Anthony Kerr is Associate Professor in the Sutherland School of Law at University College Dublin where he is Programme Director of the Professional Diploma in Employment Law and the Associate Dean for Graduate Studies. He is a member of the European Labour Law Network, an Executive Committee Member of the International Society for Labour and Social Security Law and a national reporter for the International Labour Law Reports.

Francis Kessler is Professor at Sorbonne Law School at University Paris 1 and Sciences Po (Paris), where he teaches Social Security, Comparative and European Social Law. He established and supervises the Master 2 'Droit de la protection sociale d'entreprise', a programme on apprenticeship at Sorbonne University. Francis Kessler is also Senior Counsel at Gide Loyrette Nouel AARPI in Paris. He is an expert in different EU and Council of Europe projects and member of the European Labour Law Network (ELLN).

György Kiss is Professor at the National University of Public Service, Dean of the Faculty of Public Administration; Professor at the University of Pecs, Faculty of Law, Department of Labour Law; Head of the Association of Labour Law in Hungary; Chair of the MTA-PTE Research Group of Comparative and European Employment Policy and Labour Law.

Polonca Končar is Professor of Labour Law, International Labour Law and EC Employment Law at the Faculty of Law at the University of Ljubljana, Slovenia. She is former President of the European Committee of Social Rights. Končar is a member of the Executive Committee of the International Society for Labour and Social Security Law (Vice-President, 2003-2006). She was a national expert in the Free Movement of Workers Network and is a national expert and member of the Scientific Committee in the European Labour Law Network.

Jens Kristiansen is Professor of Labour Law at the University of Copenhagen. He has published several books and articles on labour law and European labour law among others.

Jan Marco Leimeister is Chair of Information Systems and Director of the Institute of Information Management (IWI-HSG), University of St. Gallen, Switzerland. He is also Chair of Information Systems and Director of the Interdisciplinary Research Center for Information System Design (ITeG) at Kassel University, Germany. His teaching and research areas include Digital Business, IT Innovation Management, Service Science, Collaboration Engineering, Ubiquitous Computing and Crowdsourcing. Leimeister serves on the editorial board of various international journals and is regularly a member of programme committees at international conferences in the field of Information Systems. He heads several research groups and his research projects are funded by the European Union, German ministries, DFG, various foundations and industry.

Irene Mandl is research manager at the European Foundation for the Improvement of Living and Working Conditions (Eurofound). She is active in policy relevant socio-economic research related to employment and labour market developments as well as

entrepreneurship. Her most recent research topics refer to new forms of employment, job creation, restructuring, internationalisation and specific forms of entrepreneurship (such as born global firms or small- and medium-sized enterprises).

Lorna Mifsud Cachia is a practising lawyer for the litigation team of Dingli & Dingli Law Firm, Malta. She also collaborates with the European Union Law Department of the University of Malta, where she acts as Visiting Lecturer, Supervisor of dissertations on European Union law and examiner. She is a member of the Chamber of Advocates and of the European Labour Law Network. She has tried cases before the Industrial Tribunal in Malta and the superior courts.

Leszek Mitrus is Professor at the Chair of Labour Law and Social Policy at Jagiellonian University in Kraków, Poland. He is a member of the European Labour Law Network and author of around 100 publications on Polish, European and international labour law and social security law. His publications include books on the free movement of workers, EU directives on the employment contract and the influence of European labour law on the Polish legal system. He also co-authored commentaries on the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union and the Polish Labour Code.

Costas Papadimitriou is Professor and a lawyer specialised in Labour Law and European Labour Law. He teaches and conducts research in these fields at the University of Athens. He was a member of the Department of Studies of the Greek Parliament (1994-2015). He is also a national expert for different institutions (European Labour Law Network, Free Movement of Workers Network, Odysseus Network).

Wolfgang Portmann is Professor of Labour and Employment Law and of Private Law (University of Zurich), Director of the Institute of Law (University of Zurich, 2010-2014), Chairman of the publishing board of the Swiss Journal for Labour and Employment Law and Unemployment Insurance (ARV), consultant in a law firm (Switzerland), Member of the Board of the Swiss Institute for Labour and Employment Law, of the Europe Institute in Zurich and of the Centre for Liechtenstein Law, and a member of the European Labour Law Network ELLN (representing Liechtenstein).

Jean-Luc Putz is a Judge at the Luxembourg District Court. He teaches Labour Law at the University of Luxembourg and has published several articles and reference books on Luxembourg's individual and collective labour law.

Wilfried Rauws is full professor at the Free University of Brussels and part-time professor at the University of Maastricht for labour law and comparative labour law. Wilfried Rauws is deputy judge in the Court of Appeal of Antwerp and member of the editorial board of the main Flemish legal journals such as the *Rechtskundig Weekblad* (Weekly Journal of Law) and the *Tijdschrift voor Privaatrecht* (Journal of Private Law).

Martin Risak is Associate Professor at the Department of Labour Law and Law of Social Security at the University of Vienna (Austria) and the chairman of Senate II of the

Austrian Equal Treatment Commission. He was an associate with the international law firm CMS Reich-Rohrwig Hainz, Professor of Labour Law and Civil Law at the University of Passau (Germany) and a Marie Curie-Fellow at the University of Otago (New Zealand). Prof. Risak is a member of the editorial board of the (Austrian) Journal of Labour Law and Social Law and the national expert for Austria of the European Centre of Expertise ('ECE') in the field of labour law, employment and labour market policies that advises the European Commission.

Aleksandar Ristovski, LL.M., is a Teaching and Research Assistant at SS Cyril and Methodius University (Department of Labour law, Faculty of Law 'Iustinianus Primus', Skopje). He teaches Labour Law (at BA and MA level). He is currently a PhD candidate at the Faculty of Law 'Iustinianus Primus', Skopje, and an MA candidate at the Faculty of Economics (Department of Economic Development and International Finance).

Mia Rönnmar is Professor of Private Law and Dean at the Faculty of Law at Lund University. Her main research areas include Swedish, comparative and EU labour law and industrial relations. She is the President-Elect of the International Labour and Employment Relations Association (ILERA) and a member of the Norma Research Programme at Lund University, and its Elder Law Research Environment. She has also been a Visiting Researcher at *inter alia* the London School of Economics, the European University Institute and Sydney University.

Robert Schronk, Prof. JUDr., CSc., is Professor of Labour Law at Comenius University, Faculty of Law, Bratislava, Slovakia. He has more than thirty-five years of professional research experience in labour law. He has been with the Faculty of Law, Comenius University, Bratislava since 1991, holds lectures and seminars on Labour Law, International and European Labour Law. Research on International and European Labour Law, Individual Labour Relations, Dismissal Law, Working Time, Legal Liability in Labour Relations and Collective Labour Law. Schronk is a member of working groups and scientific boards, the President of the Slovak Society for Labour Law and Social Security (2006-2010), Member of the Accreditation Commission, Advisory Body of the Government of the Slovak Republic (2010 – present). He has authored publications on Slovak and European labour law.

Rita Canas da Silva is a PhD Candidate of the Faculty of Law of the Nova University of Lisbon. She is Co-head of the Employment department of Servulo Law Firm; Guest lecturer on several specialised university courses on Employment and Social Security Law. She has several publications on these fields among others.

Vesna Simović-Zvicer is Lecturer at the University of Montenegro in Labour Law, European Labour Law and Social Protection. She is a Member of the Social Council of Montenegro. She is President of the Association of Labour Law and Member of the Board of the Association of Lawyers of Montenegro.

Krassimira Sredkova is Professor of Labour Law and Social Security at Sofia University 'St. Kliment Ohridski'. She is President of the Bulgarian Association of Labour

Law and Social Security and Editor-in-Chief of the Journal Contemporary Law. She is also a Member of the European Committee for Social Rights and of the International Association for Legislation. Sredkova has authored 247 publications in the field of national, international, EU and comparative labour law and social security law.

Gaabriel Tavits, Dr.iur, is University Lecturer and Researcher at the University of Tartu, Faculty of Law. His main areas of research include Labour Law and Social Security Law (at European and international level). He has published many articles on issues relating to labour law and flexible labour relations, as well as on European social security law.

Claire Toumieux heads the Paris Department of Labour Law of Allen & Overy. She advises businesses seeking to define, implement and/or improve their human resource management strategies. She was re-elected for a second two-year term as Vice Chair of the European Employment Lawyers Association. She received the Women in Law Awards in 2014 and in Employment Law from Lawyer Monthly and was listed among the leading employment lawyers in 2014 by Best Lawyers in France.

Nicos Trimikliniotis is Associate Professor, School of Social Sciences, University of Nicosia and the national expert for Cyprus for the European Labour Law Network. He heads the Cyprus team of the Fundamental Rights Agency of the EU. He is a sociologist and a practicing Barrister. His research areas include integration, citizenship, education, migration, gender, racism, the free movement of workers, EU law, discrimination and labour law. He has published *Mobile Commons, Migrant Digitalities and the Right to the City*, Pivot, Palgrave Macmillan, 2015.

Bernd Waas is Professor of Labour Law and Civil Law at Goethe University of Frankfurt am Main, Germany. He is the author and co-author of several books on individual as well as collective labour law and has published more than 100 articles on German, European and comparative labour and civil law. Bernd Waas is Coordinator of the European Labour Law Network, which comprises labour law experts from thirty-one jurisdictions in Europe (ranging from Portugal to Russia).

Shkodran Zogaj is a fulltime researcher and a PhD candidate at the Chair for Information Systems at the University of Kassel (Germany). He started as a research assistant in Kassel in 2011 after graduating from the University of Hannover in economic sciences with a focus on Marketing and Management, Corporate Governance and Organization, Money and International Finances. His current research areas include Crowdsourcing, Governance of Digital Work and Crowd Work, (IT) Project Management, IT Innovation as well as Online Community Management. Shkodran Zogaj has published his research in several economic journals, such as the Journal of Business Economics or the International Journal of Knowledge Management, as well as in several conference proceedings, such as the International Conference on Information Systems, the European Conference on Information Systems and the European

Academy of Management. Furthermore, he has co-authored several books contributions on crowd work and digitization.

Johan Zwemmer is Lecturer and Researcher at the Department of Labour Law of the University of Amsterdam and a Lawyer at Stibbe in Amsterdam. He obtained a doctorate in law (PhD) in 2012. His dissertation *Pluraliteit van werkgeverschap* examined the legal role of the employer in situations in which different parties are simultaneously or successively involved in the execution of the employment contract on the employer's side. This 'plurality of employers' may stem from contractual agreements with the employee, group membership on the part of the employer, the transfer of business for which the employee works and/or the legal merger or division of the company, which has significant implications for the application of employment legislation.

Summary of Contents

Notes on Contributors	v
Acknowledgements	xxxvii
Introduction <i>Bernd Waas</i>	1
PART I	
New Forms of Employment and EU Law	5
CHAPTER 1	
Overview of New Forms of Employment <i>Irene Mandl</i>	7
CHAPTER 2	
New Forms of Employment and IT: Crowdsourcing <i>Jan Marco Leimeister, Shkodran Zogaj & David Durward</i>	23
CHAPTER 3	
New Forms of Employment: Implications for EU Law – The Law as It Stands <i>Simon Deakin</i>	43
CHAPTER 4	
Non-standard Employment: What Can the EU Do? <i>Catherine Barnard</i>	55
CHAPTER 5	
Directive 91/533/EEC and the Development of New Forms of Employment <i>Claire Toumieux</i>	65

Summary of Contents

CHAPTER 6	
Civil Law Contracts in Hungary	
<i>Tamás Gyulavári</i>	79
CHAPTER 7	
Crowdworking: Towards a 'New' Form of Employment	
<i>Martin Risak</i>	93
CHAPTER 8	
'Employees Without a Boss': <i>Portage Salarial</i> in France	
<i>Francis Kessler</i>	103
CHAPTER 9	
Payrolling in the Netherlands	
<i>Johan P.H. Zwemmer</i>	117
CHAPTER 10	
'New Forms of Employment': A Challenge for the Legislature	
<i>Bernd Waas</i>	129
PART II	
Country Reports	139
CHAPTER 1	
New Forms of Employment in Austria	
<i>Martin Risak</i>	141
CHAPTER 2	
New Forms of Employment in Belgium	
<i>Wilfried Rauws</i>	147
CHAPTER 3	
New Forms of Employment in Bulgaria	
<i>Krassimira Sredkova</i>	157
CHAPTER 4	
New Forms of Employment in Croatia	
<i>Ivana Grgurev</i>	167
CHAPTER 5	
New Forms of Employment in Cyprus	
<i>Nicos Trimikliniotis</i>	173

CHAPTER 6	
New Forms of Employment in the Czech Republic	
<i>Petr Hůrka</i>	183
CHAPTER 7	
New Forms of Employment in Denmark	
<i>Jens Kristiansen</i>	189
CHAPTER 8	
New Forms of Employment in Estonia	
<i>Gaabriel Tavits</i>	193
CHAPTER 9	
New Forms of Employment in Finland	
<i>Matleena Engblom</i>	199
CHAPTER 10	
New Forms of Employment in France	
<i>Francis Kessler</i>	203
CHAPTER 11	
New Forms of Employment in the Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	
<i>Todor Kalamatiev & Aleksandar Ristovski</i>	217
CHAPTER 12	
New Forms of Employment in Germany	
<i>Bernd Waas</i>	223
CHAPTER 13	
New Forms of Employment in Greece	
<i>Costas Papadimitriou</i>	229
CHAPTER 14	
New Forms of Employment in Hungary	
<i>György Kiss</i>	233
CHAPTER 15	
New Forms of Employment in Iceland	
<i>Elín Blöndal</i>	243
CHAPTER 16	
New Forms of Employment in Ireland	
<i>Anthony Kerr</i>	249

Summary of Contents

CHAPTER 17	
New Forms of Employment in Italy	
<i>Edoardo Ales</i>	255
CHAPTER 18	
New Forms of Employment in Latvia	
<i>Kristīne Dupate</i>	259
CHAPTER 19	
New Forms of Employment in Liechtenstein	
<i>Wolfgang Portmann</i>	263
CHAPTER 20	
New Forms of Employment in Lithuania	
<i>Tomas Davulis</i>	269
CHAPTER 21	
New Forms of Employment in Luxembourg	
<i>Jean-Luc Putz</i>	273
CHAPTER 22	
New Forms of Employment in Malta	
<i>Lorna Mifsud Cachia</i>	279
CHAPTER 23	
New Forms of Employment in Montenegro	
<i>Vesna Simović-Zvicer</i>	285
CHAPTER 24	
New Forms of Employment in the Netherlands	
<i>Barend Barentsen</i>	291
CHAPTER 25	
New Forms of Employment in Norway	
<i>Helga Aune</i>	301
CHAPTER 26	
New Forms of Employment in Poland	
<i>Leszek Mitrus</i>	305
CHAPTER 27	
New Forms of Employment in Portugal	
<i>José João Abrantes & Rita Canas da Silva</i>	313

CHAPTER 28	
New Forms of Employment in Romania	
<i>Raluca Dimitriu</i>	323
CHAPTER 29	
New Forms of Employment in Serbia	
<i>Senad Jašarević</i>	327
CHAPTER 30	
New Forms of Employment in Slovakia	
<i>Robert Schronk</i>	333
CHAPTER 31	
New Forms of Employment in Slovenia	
<i>Polonca Končar</i>	343
CHAPTER 32	
New Forms of Employment in Spain	
<i>Joaquín García Murcia & Iván Antonio Rodríguez Cardo</i>	349
CHAPTER 33	
New Forms of Employment in Sweden	
<i>Mia Rönnmar</i>	355
CHAPTER 34	
New Forms of Employment in Turkey	
<i>Kadriye Bakirci</i>	361
CHAPTER 35	
New Forms of Employment in the United Kingdom	
<i>Catherine Barnard</i>	365

Table of Contents

Notes on Contributors	v
Acknowledgements	xxxvii
Introduction <i>Bernd Waas</i>	1
PART I	
New Forms of Employment and EU Law	5
CHAPTER 1	
Overview of New Forms of Employment <i>Irene Mandl</i>	7
§1.01 Introduction	7
§1.02 New Forms of Employment in Europe: An Overview	8
§1.03 Impact of New Employment Forms on Working Conditions and the Labour Market	14
§1.04 Conclusions and Policy Pointers	21
CHAPTER 2	
New Forms of Employment and IT: Crowdsourcing <i>Jan Marco Leimeister, Shkodran Zogaj & David Durward</i>	23
§2.01 New Forms of Value Creation in the Age of Digitisation	23
§2.02 What Are Crowdsourcing and Crowd?	25
§2.03 Crowd Work as a New Form of Organisation of Work	28
§2.04 In Which Fields Is Crowd Work Already Being Used?	29
§2.05 How Does Crowd Work Function?	31
[A] Management of the Crowdsourcing Process	32
[B] Management and Monitoring of Crowd Activities	33

Table of Contents

§2.06	Working in the Crowd: Forms of Work, Themes and Incentive Structures	34
§2.07	What Opportunities and Risks Does Crowd Work Conceal?	39
§2.08	Crowdsourcing as the Organisation of Work of the Future?: New Paths and Challenges in Implementing Crowd work	41
CHAPTER 3		
New Forms of Employment: Implications for EU Law – The Law as It Stands		
	<i>Simon Deakin</i>	43
§3.01	Introduction	43
§3.02	Policy Considerations	43
§3.03	Specific Issues Posed By New Forms of Working: The Case of ZHCs	46
	[A] The Nature and Effect of ZHCs	47
	[B] Legal Problems with ZHCs: Employment Protection Rights	48
	[C] The Role of the Tax-Benefit System in Promoting ZHCs	50
§3.04	Possible Solutions to Precariousness and Dualism in Labour Markets	51
§3.05	Conclusion	53
CHAPTER 4		
Non-standard Employment: What Can the EU Do?		
	<i>Catherine Barnard</i>	55
§4.01	The Issue	55
§4.02	Free Movement of Persons	57
§4.03	Non-migrant Workers	60
§4.04	Enhanced Cooperation	63
§4.05	Conclusions	64
CHAPTER 5		
Directive 91/533/EEC and the Development of New Forms of Employment		
	<i>Claire Toumieux</i>	65
§5.01	Introduction	65
§5.02	Summary of the Main Provisions of Directive 91/533/EEC	66
	[A] Scope	66
	[B] Obligations Established by the Directive	67
	[1] Who Is Required to Inform Employees?	67
	[2] When Must Notification Take Place?	67
	[3] How Must the Notification Be Made?	67
	[4] What Information Must Be Communicated?	68
	[5] What Are the Sanctions?	69
§5.03	Directive 91/533/EEC and the Development of New Forms of Employment	69
	[A] Development of Forms of Employment Not Included within the Directive's Scope or Whose Inclusion is Uncertain	69
	[1] Self-Employed Workers	69
	[2] Other Forms of Work Not Involving Employee Status	70

[3]	On-Demand Work and Zero-Hours Contracts	70
[B]	Difficulties Encountered in the Application of the Directive's Requirements to New Forms of Employment	70
[1]	Difficulties That Can Be Overcome	72
[2]	Difficulties That Are Excessive or Insurmountable	73
\$5.04	Adaptation of the Directive to New Forms of Employment: Possible Solutions	74
[A]	Proposals Giving Priority to Workers' Information Rights	74
[B]	Giving Flexibility Priority	75
[C]	Towards a Middle Way	76
CHAPTER 6		
Civil Law Contracts in Hungary		
	<i>Tamás Gyulavári</i>	79
\$6.01	Introduction	79
\$6.02	The Hungarian Legal Framework of Working Relationships	79
\$6.03	Incentives and Consequences of Bogus Civil Law Contracts in the Hungarian Labour Market	81
\$6.04	Applicability of EU Directives	82
\$6.05	Recent Measures Against Bogus Civil Law Contracts	84
\$6.06	Assessment of the Legal Nature of Working Relationships	85
\$6.07	An Unsuccessful Attempt to Regulate Economically Dependent Work	86
\$6.08	Similarities in Eastern Europe	90
\$6.09	Conclusions	91
CHAPTER 7		
Crowdworking: Towards a 'New' Form of Employment		
	<i>Martin Risak</i>	93
\$7.01	What Is Crowdworking?	93
[A]	The Phenomenon	93
[B]	Economic and Social Implications	95
\$7.02	Contractual Aspects of Crowdworking	96
[A]	Contractual Relationship Between the Crowdworker and the Crowdsourcer	97
[B]	Contractual Relationship Between the Crowdworker and the Crowdsourcing Platform	97
[C]	Contractual Relationship Between the Crowdsourcer and the Crowdsourcing Platform	99
\$7.03	Application of the European Standards	100
[A]	Applicable Law	100
[B]	Substantive European Labour Law	100
[C]	Co-determination	101
\$7.04	Initial Provisional Findings and Recommendation	102

Table of Contents

CHAPTER 8

'Employees Without a Boss': *Portage Salarial* in France

<i>Francis Kessler</i>	103
§8.01 Introduction	103
§8.02 The Unconstitutionality of the Mechanism for Implementation of <i>Portage Salarial</i> : A Complete Makeover Has Been Necessary!	105
§8.03 How <i>Portage Salarial</i> Works	106
[A] Regulating <i>Portage Salarial</i> : A Bumpy Road	106
[B] <i>Portage Salarial</i> : A Three-Way Employment Relationship	107
[C] Classification as an 'Employment Contract'	108
[1] The Legal Relationship of Subordination	110
[2] Existence of Remuneration	111
[3] Classification of the Worker as an Executive	112
[D] Fixed-Term Contracts under the <i>Portage Salarial</i> System	112
§8.04 <i>Portage Salarial</i> and Unemployment Insurance	113
[A] Regulations Relating to Unemployment Insurance	113
[B] Application of the Regulations <i>Portage Salarial</i>	114
§8.05 European Law and <i>Portage Salarial</i>	115

CHAPTER 9

Payrolling in the Netherlands

<i>Johan P.H. Zwemmer</i>	117
§9.01 Introduction	117
§9.02 Defining the Employer in Terms of Civil Law	118
§9.03 Reality Takes Precedence over Appearance	120
§9.04 The Temporary Employment Agreement	121
§9.05 The Employer in Payrolling	123
§9.06 The Views of Social Partners and the Dutch Cabinet on Payrolling	124
§9.07 Integrating Payrolling into the Existing Labour Law System; Or Is It Merely a Symptom of a Deeper Problem?	125
§9.08 Should There Be a Future for Payrolling?	127

CHAPTER 10

'New Forms of Employment': A Challenge for the Legislature

<i>Bernd Waas</i>	129
§10.01 Introduction	129
§10.02 Legal Analysis	130
[A] On-Call Work	130
[1] Motives and Interests	130
[2] Legal Problems	131
[3] Regulatory Options	132
[B] Job Sharing	133
[1] Motives and Interests	133
[2] Legal Problems	134

[3] Regulatory Options	134
[C] Crowdsourcing	135
[1] Motives and Interests	135
[2] Legal Problems	135
[3] Regulatory Options	136
§10.03 Concluding Remarks	136
 PART II	
Country Reports	139
 CHAPTER 1	
New Forms of Employment in Austria	
<i>Martin Risak</i>	141
§1.01 Introduction and Background	141
§1.02 Possible New Forms of Employment	142
[A] Crowd Employment	142
[B] Casual Work	143
[C] Labour Pooling	143
[D] Job Sharing	144
[E] Interim Management	144
[F] Employee Sharing	144
[G] ICT-Based Mobile Work	145
[H] Voucher System	145
[I] Specific Employment Statutes	145
 CHAPTER 2	
New Forms of Employment in Belgium	
<i>Wilfried Rauws</i>	147
§2.01 Crowd Employment	147
§2.02 Casual Work	147
§2.03 Portfolio Work	148
§2.04 Labour Pooling	150
§2.05 Job Sharing	151
§2.06 Interim Management	151
§2.07 Employee Sharing	152
§2.08 ICT-Based Mobile Work	153
§2.09 Voucher System	154
§2.10 Specific Employment Statutes	154
 CHAPTER 3	
New Forms of Employment in Bulgaria	
<i>Krassimira Sredkova</i>	157
§3.01 Introduction	157
§3.02 New Forms of Employment	160
[A] Homeworking	160

Table of Contents

[B]	Telework	161
[C]	Temporary Agency Work	162
[D]	Posting of Workers	164
§3.03	Conclusion	164
CHAPTER 4		
New Forms of Employment in Croatia		
	<i>Ivana Grgurev</i>	167
§4.01	Introduction	167
§4.02	New Forms of Employment in the Labour Act	168
[A]	Labour Pooling	168
[B]	Non-conventional Workplace	169
[C]	Non-standard Fixed-Term Employment Contracts	170
[D]	New Forms of Employment in the Act on The Promotion of Employment of 2012 (Voucher System)	171
§4.03	Conclusion	171
CHAPTER 5		
New Forms of Employment in Cyprus		
	<i>Nicos Trimikliniotis</i>	173
§5.01	Introduction and Background	173
§5.02	The Legal and Institutional Framework Regulating Flexible Forms of Employment: Including New Forms of Employment	175
[A]	Crowd Employment	176
[B]	Portfolio Work	176
[C]	Labour Pooling	177
[D]	Casual Work	177
[1]	Agricultural Seasonal Employment	178
[2]	Unskilled Jobs in the Construction Industry	178
[3]	Cleaning Service Industry	178
[4]	Teaching and Research in Tertiary Education	178
[E]	Specific Employment Statutes	180
[F]	Interim Management	180
[G]	Portfolio Work	181
§5.03	Conclusion	181
CHAPTER 6		
New Forms of Employment in the Czech Republic		
	<i>Petr Hůrka</i>	183
§6.01	Introduction	183
§6.02	Crowd Employment	183
§6.03	Casual Work	184
§6.04	Portfolio Work	184
§6.05	Labour Pooling	185
§6.06	Job Sharing	186

§6.07	Interim Management	186
§6.08	Employee Sharing	187
§6.09	ICT-Based Mobile Work	187
§6.10	Voucher Systems and Specific Employment Statutes	187
§6.11	Civil Law Contracts	187
§6.12	Conclusion	188

CHAPTER 7

New Forms of Employment in Denmark

Jens Kristiansen 189

§7.01	Introduction	189
§7.02	Crowd Employment	190
§7.03	Casual Work	190
§7.04	Portfolio Work	190
§7.05	Labour Pooling	191
§7.06	Job Sharing	191
§7.07	Interim Management	191
§7.08	Employee Sharing	191
§7.09	ICT-Based Mobile Work	191
§7.10	Voucher Systems	192
§7.11	Specific Employment Statutes	192

CHAPTER 8

New Forms of Employment in Estonia

Gaabriel Tavits 193

§8.01	Introduction	193
§8.02	Specific Types of Employment	194
[A]	Crowd Employment	194
[B]	Casual Work	194
[C]	Portfolio Work	195
[D]	Labour Pooling	195
[E]	Job Sharing	195
[F]	Interim Management	195
[G]	Employee Sharing	196
[H]	ICT-Based Mobile Work	196
[I]	Voucher Systems	196
[J]	Specific Employment Statutes	196

CHAPTER 9

New Forms of Employment in Finland

Matleena Engblom 199

§9.01	Introduction	199
§9.02	Temporary Agency Work	200
§9.03	Casual Work	200
§9.04	Mobile Work	201

Table of Contents

CHAPTER 10

New Forms of Employment in France

<i>Francis Kessler</i>	203
§10.01 Introduction and Background	203
§10.02 French <i>Portage Salarial</i> (System of Umbrella Companies)	203
[A] Definition	203
[B] How the System Works	204
[C] Advantages of the System	204
[D] Recent Developments in Case Law Concerning the <i>Portage Salarial</i>	204
§10.03 Fixed-Term Contracts	205
[A] Grounds for Recourse to Fixed-Term Contracts	205
[B] Duration and End of the Fixed-Term Contract	206
[C] Trial Period	207
[D] Regulations	207
[E] Remuneration	207
[F] End of Contract	208
§10.04 Temporary Work	208
[A] Definitions	209
[1] Formalities of the Contrat de Mise à Disposition	209
[2] Formalities of the Assignment Contract	210
[B] Cases of Recourse	210
§10.05 Teleworking	211
[A] Legal Background	211
[B] Workers Concerned	211
[C] Places of Teleworking	212
[D] Implementation of Telework	212
[E] Supervision of Working Time	212
[F] Teleworker's Rights	212
[G] End of Teleworking	213
§10.06 Homeworking	213
[A] Definition	213
[B] Provisions Applicable to Homeworkers	213
[C] Conditions	213
[D] Employer's Obligations	214
[E] Paid Leave and Minimum Wages	214
[F] Collective Rights	214
§10.07 The Notion of <i>Groupement d'Employeurs</i>	214
[A] Legal Background	214
[B] Definition	215

CHAPTER 11

New Forms of Employment in the Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia

<i>Todor Kalamatiev & Aleksandar Ristovski</i>	217
§11.01 Introduction	217

§11.02	Casual Work	218
§11.03	Labour Pooling	219
§11.04	Job Sharing	220
§11.05	Interim Management	220
§11.06	ICT-Based Mobile Work; Crowd Employment and Portfolio Work	221
§11.07	Employment Contracts and Ordinary Civil Law Contracts	221
CHAPTER 12		
New Forms of Employment in Germany		
	<i>Bernd Waas</i>	223
§12.01	Casual Work	223
§12.02	On-Call Work	224
§12.03	Labour Pooling	225
§12.04	Job Sharing	226
§12.05	Interim Management	226
§12.06	ICT-Based Mobile Work	226
§12.07	Crowdsourcing	227
§12.08	Portfolio Work	227
§12.09	Employment Contracts and Ordinary Civil Law Contracts	227
CHAPTER 13		
New Forms of Employment in Greece		
	<i>Costas Papadimitriou</i>	229
§13.01	Casual Work	229
§13.02	Labour Pooling	230
§13.03	ICT-Based Mobile Work	230
§13.04	Voucher Systems	231
CHAPTER 14		
New Forms of Employment in Hungary		
	<i>György Kiss</i>	233
§14.01	Introduction and General Remarks	233
§14.02	New Forms of Employment	234
	[A] Crowd Employment	234
	[B] Casual Work	237
	[C] Portfolio Work	237
	[D] Labour Pooling	237
	[E] Job Sharing	239
	[F] Interim Management	240
	[G] Employee Sharing	240
	[H] ICT-Based Mobile Work	240
	[I] Voucher System	240
	[J] Specific Employment Status	241

Table of Contents

CHAPTER 15

New Forms of Employment in Iceland

<i>Elín Blöndal</i>	243
§15.01 Introduction	243
§15.02 Tendency in Recent Years	244
[A] Legislation and Collective Agreements	244
[B] Interim Management	246
[C] Casual Work	246
[D] Monitoring of Wages and Terms under Collective Agreements	246
§15.03 Conclusion	247

CHAPTER 16

New Forms of Employment in Ireland

<i>Anthony Kerr</i>	249
§16.01 Introduction	249
§16.02 Employee Sharing, Portfolio Work and ICT-Based Mobile Work	250
§16.03 Interim Management	250
§16.04 Casual Work and Zero-Hours Contracts	251

CHAPTER 17

New Forms of Employment in Italy

<i>Edoardo Ales</i>	255
§17.01 Introduction	255
§17.02 The Network Contract	255
§17.03 Secondment of Workers	256
§17.04 Joint Employership (<i>Codatorialità</i>)	256
§17.05 Joint Hiring	257

CHAPTER 18

New Forms of Employment in Latvia

<i>Kristīne Dupate</i>	259
§18.01 Introduction and Background	259
§18.02 Bogus Self-Employment	261
§18.03 Casual Work	261
§18.04 Co-working	262

CHAPTER 19

New Forms of Employment in Liechtenstein

<i>Wolfgang Portmann</i>	263
§19.01 Introduction	263
§19.02 Casual Work	264
[A] Casual Work in a Narrower Sense	264
[B] On-Call Work	264

§19.03	Portfolio Work	265
§19.04	Labour Pooling	265
	[A] 'Regiearbeit' (A Form of 'Delegated' Work)	265
	[B] Host Company Network	266
§19.05	Job Sharing	266
§19.06	Interim Management	266
§19.07	Employee Sharing	267
	[A] General Comments	267
	[B] <i>Portage Salarial</i>	267
§19.08	ICT-Based Mobile Work	267
§19.09	Specific Employment Statutes	268
CHAPTER 20		
New Forms of Employment in Lithuania		
	<i>Tomas Davulis</i>	269
§20.01	Introduction: Voucher Systems	269
§20.02	ICT-Based Mobile Work	270
§20.03	Employee Sharing	271
CHAPTER 21		
New Forms of Employment in Luxembourg		
	<i>Jean-Luc Putz</i>	273
§21.01	Introduction	273
§21.02	Casual Work	273
§21.03	Portfolio Work	275
§21.04	Labour Pooling	275
§21.05	Job Sharing	275
§21.06	Interim Management	276
§21.07	Employee Sharing	276
§21.08	ICT-Based Mobile Work	277
§21.09	Voucher System	277
§21.10	Specific Employment Statutes	278
CHAPTER 22		
New Forms of Employment in Malta		
	<i>Lorna Mifsud Cachia</i>	279
§22.01	Introduction	279
§22.02	Atypical Contracts and the Application of Legislation	279
	[A] Crowd Employment	279
	[B] Casual Work	280
	[C] Other Forms	280
	[D] Conclusion	280
§22.03	The Employment Status National Standard Order 2012	280

Table of Contents

CHAPTER 23

New Forms of Employment in Montenegro

<i>Vesna Simović-Zvicer</i>	285
§23.01 Introduction	285
§23.02 Fixed-Term Employment Contracts	285
§23.03 Work Outside of Employment Contracts	286
§23.04 Temporary Employee Leasing	286
§23.05 Employment Contract for Directors	288
§23.06 Contract for the Performance of Jobs with Increased Risk	288
§23.07 Part-Time Contract	288
§23.08 Telework	288
§23.09 Contract for Domestic Work	289
§23.10 Casual Jobs	289
§23.11 Contracting for Performing Work Outside the Employer's Premises	289
§23.12 Contract for Voluntary Work	289

CHAPTER 24

New Forms of Employment in the Netherlands

<i>Barend Barentsen</i>	291
§24.01 Introduction	291
§24.02 Exception: Self-Employment	293
§24.03 What Is So New about New Forms of Employment?	295
[A] Online Work	295
[B] Casual Work	295
[C] Interim Management	297
§24.04 Sharing the Employer	297
[A] Single Employer Within a Group of Companies	297
[B] Payrolling	298
§24.05 Training and Rehabilitation	299
§24.06 New Forms of Employment (Virtually) Unknown	299
§24.07 Summary	300

CHAPTER 25

New Forms of Employment in Norway

<i>Helga Aune</i>	301
§25.01 Introduction: Crowd Employment	301
§25.02 Casual Work	301
§25.03 Portfolio Work	302
§25.04 Labour Pooling	302
§25.05 Job Sharing	303
§25.06 Interim Management	303
§25.07 Employee Sharing	303
§25.08 ICT-Based Mobile Work	303
§25.09 Voucher System	304
§25.10 Specific Employment Statutes	304

CHAPTER 26

New Forms of Employment in Poland

<i>Leszek Mitrus</i>	305
§26.01 Introduction	305
§26.02 Crowd Employment and Casual Work	306
§26.03 Portfolio Work	307
§26.04 Labour Pooling	307
§26.05 Job Sharing	307
§26.06 Interim Management	308
§26.07 ICT-Based Mobile Work	308
§26.08 Voucher System	309
§26.09 Specific Employment Statutes	310
§26.10 Conclusion	310

CHAPTER 27

New Forms of Employment in Portugal

<i>José João Abrantes & Rita Canas da Silva</i>	313
§27.01 Introduction	313
§27.02 Legal Subordination	314
§27.03 Labour Pooling: ' <i>Plurality of Employers</i> '	316
§27.04 Job Sharing	316
[A] ' <i>Group of Employees</i> '	316
[B] ' <i>Part-Time Work</i> '	317
§27.05 Interim Management	318
[A] ' <i>Term Employment Contracts</i> '	318
[B] ' <i>Agency Work</i> '	319
[C] ' <i>Temporary Services Commission</i> '	319
§27.06 ICT-Based Mobile Work: ' <i>Telework</i> '	320
§27.07 Casual Work: ' <i>Intermittent Work</i> '	320
§27.08 Employee Sharing: ' <i>Temporary Assignment of an Employee to Another Employer</i> '	320
§27.09 Specific Employment Statutes	321
[A] ' <i>Employment Contracts Under a Special Regime</i> '	321
[B] ' <i>Home Working</i> '	321
§27.10 Multiple Forms of Services Agreements	322

CHAPTER 28

New Forms of Employment in Romania

<i>Raluca Dimitriu</i>	323
§28.01 Introduction	323
§28.02 Casual Work	323
§28.03 Interim Management	325
§28.04 ICT-Based Mobile Work	326

Table of Contents

CHAPTER 29

New Forms of Employment in Serbia

<i>Senad Jašarević</i>	327
§29.01 Introduction	327
§29.02 Crowd Employment	329
§29.03 Casual Work	329
§29.04 Portfolio Work	329
§29.05 Labour Pooling	329
§29.06 Job Sharing	330
§29.07 Interim Management	330
§29.08 Employee Sharing	330
§29.09 ICT-Based Mobile Work	330
§29.10 Voucher Systems	331
§29.11 Specific Employment Status	331
§29.12 Conclusion	331

CHAPTER 30

New Forms of Employment in Slovakia

<i>Robert Schronk</i>	333
§30.01 Introduction	333
[A] Work Performance Agreement	335
[B] Agreements on Temporary Jobs for Students	336
[C] Agreement on Work Activity	336
§30.02 Current Legal Regulation of Atypical Employment in Slovakia	337
[A] Fixed-Term Employment Relationship	337
[B] Part-Time Employment Relationship	337
[C] Home Working and Telework	337
[D] Job Sharing	338
[E] Flexible Working Time	338
[F] Working Time Account	339
§30.03 New Specific Forms of Employment	339
[A] Standby Work	339
[B] Labour Pooling	340
[C] Job Sharing	340
[D] Interim Management	340
[E] Employee Sharing	340
[F] ICT-Based Mobile Work	341
[G] Specific Employment Statutes	341
§30.04 Conclusion	341

CHAPTER 31

New Forms of Employment in Slovenia

<i>Polonca Končar</i>	343
§31.01 Introduction	343
§31.02 Crowd Employment	343

§31.03	Casual Work	343
§31.04	Portfolio Work	344
§31.05	Labour Pooling	344
§31.06	Job Sharing	344
§31.07	Interim Management	344
§31.08	Employee Sharing	345
§31.09	ICT-Based Mobile Work	345
§31.10	Cooperation Between Self-Employed Persons	345
§31.11	Voucher Systems	345
§31.12	Specific Types of Work	346
	[A] Temporary or Casual Work by Pensioners	346
	[B] Student Work	346

CHAPTER 32

New Forms of Employment in Spain

<i>Joaquín García Murcia & Iván Antonio Rodríguez Cardo</i>	349
---	-----

§32.01	Crowd Employment	349
§32.02	Casual Work	349
§32.03	Portfolio Work	350
§32.04	Labour Pooling	350
§32.05	Job Sharing	351
§32.06	Interim Management	351
§32.07	Employee Sharing	352
§32.08	ICT-Based Mobile Work	352
§32.09	Voucher Systems	352
§32.10	Specific Employment Statutes	352

CHAPTER 33

New Forms of Employment in Sweden

<i>Mia Rönnmar</i>	355
--------------------	-----

§33.01	Introduction	355
§33.02	The Notion of Employee and the Scope of Labour Law	356
§33.03	The Regulation of Fixed-Term Work	357
§33.04	Regulation of Temporary Agency Work	359
§33.05	Work Organisation and Working Time Arrangements	360

CHAPTER 34

New Forms of Employment in Turkey

<i>Kadriye Bakirci</i>	361
------------------------	-----

§34.01	Introduction	361
§34.02	Labour Pooling	362
§34.03	Interim Management	363
§34.04	Casual Work	363
§34.05	Mobile Work	363
§34.06	Voucher System	364

Table of Contents

CHAPTER 35

New Forms of Employment in the United Kingdom

Catherine Barnard 365

§35.01 Introduction 365

§35.02 'Worker' Status 365

§35.03 Zero-Hours Contracts 367

CHAPTER 24

New Forms of Employment in the Netherlands

*Barend Barentsen**

§24.01 INTRODUCTION

Can the employment contract still be used in the twenty-first century, or has it outlived its usefulness? The concept of the master-servant relationship, which lies at the heart of this contract, has an outdated ring to it, to say the least.

It may have been suitable for a time in which the relationship at the work place was clear-cut. On the one hand, there were supervisors who gave instructions to subordinates. Those employees, due to a combination of lack of social security, lack of skills and education, were often highly – if not completely – dependent on their employer for their livelihood and that of their (large) family. Labour law developed as counterbalance to that socio-economic dependency. Employment contracts, therefore, are subject to imperative norms laid down in legislation and case law. Basically, even if both the employer and employee wish to make other arrangements, labour law prohibits this. In most European systems, health and safety rules, maximum working time and minimum wage are non-negotiable (at least as far as deviations to the detriment of the worker are concerned).

Needless to say, the average work place and working conditions, as well as the living standards and education of employees have changed significantly since the days labour laws were being developed. The question whether or not labour laws are overprotective as regards highly skilled, well-educated and professional workers is

* Professor of Labour Law and of Labour Relations in the Public Sector at Leiden University.

therefore legitimate.¹ The level of dependency may have decreased, which could imply a reduced need for protective measures.

Recent developments in the labour market have led to the rise of an academic discussion on the paradigms (and chances of survival) of labour law, at least labour law with the contract of employment at its core and as a cornerstone.² Changes are visible at an altogether different level as well. New forms of employment have developed to circumvent some of the constraints associated with the so-called standard employment contract, i.e., fixed working time (full-time) for an indefinite duration.³

Examples of these new forms of employment are evident across Europe. In some countries, crowd employment (virtual platforms matching buyers and sellers of services) is the ‘buzz word’, whereas casual work (employment relationships in which the worker is not entitled to the regular provision of work) is being used elsewhere. Other examples are portfolio work (self-employed work for a large number of clients and the provision of minor projects for each of them), labour pooling (an individual worker is jointly hired by a group of employers and works for the participating companies on a rotational basis), job sharing (a single employer hires a group of workers to jointly fill a specific job), interim management (situations in which a worker is hired for a temporary period by an employer, often to complete a specific project). Employee sharing (the secondment of workers, including (but not exclusively) the French *portage salarial* and similar schemes (‘crisis pools’)) seem to have gained field and ICT-based mobile work (referring to employment terms determined by the worker, working from home, at the client’s premises, on the road, etc.) are on the rise as well. Voucher systems (referring to employment situations based on vouchers or cheques the orderer of a service can acquire from a third party) and specific employment statutes (combining elements of traditional dependent employment and self-employment) have been introduced to create jobs at ‘the bottom rungs’ of the labour market ladder.

These developments have not left the Netherlands untouched, but a lot of the new forms of employment just mentioned have either not become ‘fashionable’ or do not raise legal(istic) problems, since these new forms can be incorporated into the traditional mould of the employment contract. A general observation is that most of the new forms of employment mentioned above do not exist in the Netherlands, or at least not on a significant scale. Virtually no special rules and provisions have been put in place to regulate new forms of employment. As a rule, different types of both work and of working are governed by the same provisions, namely those regulating the contract of employment. Those provisions leave room for all kinds of work and working

1. See Bellace, J.R., *Labour Law for the Post-Industrial Era*, International Journal of Comparative Labour Law and Industrial Relations, Vol. 12, Issue 3, pp. 189-194 (1996).

2. See Grandi, B., *Would Europe Benefit from the Adoption of a Comprehensive Definition of the Term ‘Employee’ Applicable in all Relevant Legislative Modes*, International Journal of Comparative Labour Law and Industrial Relations, Vol. 24, Issue 4, pp. 495-510 (2008).

3. See Hiessl, C., *Employer-Centered Benefits and the Atypical Workforce*, International Journal of Comparative Labour Law and Industrial Relations, Vol. 30, Issue 1, pp. 67-85 (2014); Davidov, G., *The Enforcement Crisis in Labour Law and the Fallacy of Voluntarist Solutions*, International Journal of Comparative Labour Law and Industrial Relations, Vol. 26, Issue 1, pp. 61-81 (2010).

patterns. The main exception to the rule that all types of work – be it working from 9 to 5 for an indefinite duration or casual work – are covered by the same rules (employment contract), is that temporary agency work is covered by separate provisions.⁴ It should be added, however, that such contracts are also considered to be employment contracts, hence, the separate provisions ‘merely’ contain additions to and exceptions to the general rules on employment contracts.⁵

Part-time work, on-call work and work on the basis of a temporary contract are all covered by general employment contract rules. The employment contract and its implementation can assume different forms and may entail flexible or new forms of arranging working time, place and content of work, and the organisation for which work is being performed.

It follows from this general observation that EU directives pertaining to labour law usually apply to new forms of employment as well, since they fall within the scope of employment contracts.

§24.02 EXCEPTION: SELF-EMPLOYMENT

The main exception to the rule that no ‘new’ form of employment is actually very new and even so could be qualified as normal employment contracts are contracts entered into by self-employed workers. As such, self-employment is not a new phenomenon. However, in recent years, the number of self-employed has been on the rise.⁶ Self-employed workers are now being used in sectors and for positions traditionally held by employees.⁷ The Dutch health care sector is an important example of this. Health care workers providing care and help in households are hired as self-employed workers. Notwithstanding the fact that they work full time for a health care provider, they can hardly, to all intents and purposes, be considered entrepreneurs who are running their own business.⁸ Basically, they are completely dependent on the health care provider that sends them to their so-called clients. To circumvent tax and social security obligations and the applicability of (most) protective labour legislation, these employers assert that they merely manage the contracts entered into by the workers and the individuals in their care, and deal with formalities such as payment of fees by

4. See Netherlands Civil Code, Arts 7:690 et seq.

5. Zwemmer, J.P.H., *De uitzendkracht, de gedetacheerde en de payroll-werknemer*, in Houweling, A.R. & Voet, G.W. van der, *Bijzondere arbeidsverhoudingen* (Bakelsinstituut, 2012).

6. According to a census of CBS (Netherlands Statistical Agency), in 2002, 550,000 persons were self-employed, in 2012, it was 750,000; <http://statline.cbs.nl/StatWeb/publication/?DM=SLNL&PA=80150NED&D1=0-3,7&D2=1-2,26-27&D3=57-61&VW=T> (accessed February 2015); www.cbsvooruwbedrijf.nl/index.aspx?FilterId=2&ChapterId=543&ContentId=5875 en www.cbsvooruwbedrijf.nl/index.aspx?FilterId=2&ChapterId=543&ContentId=5796 (accessed February 2015). If another, broader definition is used about 1.1 million workers are self-employed, see G.C.Boot, *Arbeidsrechtelijke bescherming van de afhankelijke zzp'er* TRA 2012/84, par. 2.

7. Sociaal Economische Raad (SER), *Zzp'ers in beeld: Een integrale visie op zelfstandigen zonder personeel*, p. 16 (SER: Den Haag, 2010).

8. See *Gerechtshof Arnhem-Leeuwarden* (Court of Appeals Arnhem-Leeuwarden), 23 September 2014, ECLI:NL:GHARL:2014:7283 ECLI:NL:GHARL:2014:7283 and 30 September 2014, ECLI:NL:GHARL:2014:7456 ECLI:NL:GHARL:2014:7456, ruling on the status of care workers working via an agency.

those clients to their care provider. One could say that they are ‘dating agencies’, or put differently, that they act as brokers of service providers for those in need of those services.

An explanation for the increase in self-employment might be that there has been a legislative trend to strengthen the position of flexible workers, i.e., to bring them in line with workers who have concluded an employment contract for an indefinite duration. However, self-employment does not always mean that the rights and obligations of an employment contract can be circumvented. There is a respectable body of case law rejecting alleged self-employment. In those cases, the conclusion was drawn that an employment contract did in fact exist, despite the ‘other’ name and form the parties to the contract gave their contractual relationship.⁹

It must be noted, however, that work in some sectors traditionally performed by employees is being increasingly carried out by self-employed persons. Employees occasionally lose their employment contract only to be hired back as self-employed persons performing more or less the same work as they previously did as regular employees. Anecdotal evidence suggests this is the case in the construction sector (self-employed brick layers, carpenters).

It must be stressed that self-employment may be advantageous to the self-employed person as well, even if they are former employees. They may generate a higher net income by being self-employed due to tax advantages. Still, a considerable number of self-employed persons generate a very modest income and would prefer to be employed. It is estimated that up to 10% of self-employed persons cannot really be considered independent entrepreneurs, but are employees in disguise.

Among those who are bogusly self-employed are individuals who work for very few clients (sometimes their former employer) or those who perform services for a large number of clients, who in reality bear more similarity with clients of the organisation that is sending them to ‘their’ clients. In the home care sector, for instance, several agencies register both workers and clients and provide the clients with a care provider and the worker with a job.

The Netherlands has no specific employment statutes, in which elements of the traditional employment relationship and self-employment are combined. Having said that, a contract of employment may leave the worker a lot of freedom as far as the timing and organisation of work is concerned, and (partly) performance-determined pay. Therefore, the distinction between a worker under an employment contract and a self-employed worker is, in practice, gradual rather than very distinct.

An employee may enjoy a lot of freedom and responsibilities usually associated with independent contractors. Even though the contracts differ considerably, as far as the legal position of the worker is concerned, it is difficult to determine which is which on the basis of the way the work is organised.

9. See Boot, G.C., *Arbeidsrechtelijke bescherming* (diss. Leiden, Sdu uitgevers Den Haag, 2005) & van den Berg, L., *Tussen feit en fictie* (diss. Nijmegen, Boom Juridische uitgevers Den Haag, 2010).

§24.03 WHAT IS SO NEW ABOUT NEW FORMS OF EMPLOYMENT?**[A] Online Work**

An employee who works online (at home) is a relatively new phenomenon. It is difficult to imagine a world without internet and email, but it is only around twenty years ago that working offline was the only option. However, workers who work at home instead of at the employer's premises are not such a new phenomenon. Under well-established case law, workers performing their duties without direct supervision because the employer is not able (travelling salesmen) or even allowed (working at home) to supervise and give instructions to the worker, can nonetheless be considered employees.¹⁰

The authority of the employer which is an element of an employment relationship may consist of instructions of a more 'organisational' nature, such as production standards, prescribing the volume of production (number of clients to be visited, number of items to be produced), regulating the ways and means of communication with the employer's establishment or rules relating to holidays or sick leave.¹¹ Whether or not a worker who works from home, be it online or not, can be considered an employee depends on the specific circumstances of the case. Being 'out of sight' does not preclude an employment contract/employer's authority. The more detailed and intense the instructions given by the employer to the worker are, the more likely it is that the contract will be qualified as one of employment.

The question whether or not the worker performs tasks that are similar to those performed by employees at the employer's premises is an important factor in qualifying the employment relationship. As a rule of thumb, if the work performed by the individual is the same as that performed by employees at the employer's premises, it is inferred that the contract is the same as well. The worker who works from home is thus in an employment relationship with the employer.

There is nothing new under the sun for workers who perform their work from home using modern ICT-facilities. Their absence from the employer's premises does not rule out that they are an integral part of the employer's organisation and are working under his authority.

[B] Casual Work

Casual work is not that new either.¹² Under well-established case law, two types of casual work can be distinguished, which fall within the remit of employment contracts.

10. See *Hoge Raad der Nederlanden* (the Netherlands' Supreme Court), NJ 1979, 140 (IVA/Queijssen, 17 November 1978).

11. In *Hoge Raad der Nederlanden*, NJ 1994, 757 (Imam) the Supreme Court held that the right to give instructions on the organisational and administrative aspects of the work may fulfil the requirement of employer authority, even though the substance of the tasks and the way they are executed are determined by the employee (17 June 1994).

12. In *Hoge Raad der Nederlanden* (25 January 1980), NJ 1980, 264 (Possemis/Hoogenboom). See Knipschild, E., *De voordelen en risico's van een min-/maxcontract*, *ArbeidsRecht*, Issue 45 (2009).

The first type is the pre-employment contract and the second is the employment contract with ‘delayed performance’. Under both types of contract the worker receives wages and has the right to work, if and when called upon by the employer. A so-called pre-employment contract contains the conditions under which the worker will work when called upon by the employer and the worker accepts an actual employment contract which is applicable for the duration of the call. Under an employment contract in which the duty to perform work (and the right to work) is delayed, the employee is not free to accept or reject a call by the employer to work, but his/her right to wages and to work depends on his/her being called upon. In reality, it is difficult to distinguish these two types of contract. An on-call worker might, formally or theoretically, be free to reject a call, but may be strongly compelled to agree to his employer’s wishes with a view to continuation of the working relationship. Workers who have concluded a contract with delayed performance may on occasion be allowed to reject a call, if and when they have valid reasons to do so (domestic reasons, such as illness of children, previous engagements). Nevertheless, it is reasonable to assume that the pre-employment contracts are not widespread in practice, and that on-call work can be qualified as work under an employment contract, because the worker is usually under an obligation to work when called upon by his/her employer.

Casual workers also have an employment contract, albeit with limited rights when they are not actually working. Even so, employers are not allowed to stop calling on casual workers on discriminatory grounds or solely due to illness. Furthermore, if over the course of time a certain pattern and amount of calls become the norm, the employer and employee can be deemed to have agreed upon a minimum amount of work and wages per month. According to Article 7:610b of the Netherlands Civil Code, the parties are assumed to have concluded a contract for the average amount of hours per month performed over the last three previous months. The burden of proof to refute this assumption rests with the employer.¹³

As far as the right to work is concerned, case law of lower courts suggests that employers are obliged to offer casual workers work if and when it is available. Work may not be withheld for arbitrary reasons. The main difference between a ‘regular’ contract and a casual contract – apart from irregular working hours – is that the casual worker bears the economic risk of no work being available. In that case, the rule ‘no work, no pay’ applies. In principle, ‘regular’ employees remain entitled to wages if and when the employer cannot provide them with work due to organisational or economic problems.¹⁴ The number of casual workers (on-call workers) has increased significantly over the last ten years.¹⁵

New legislation, which entered into force on 1 January 2015, limits the possibility to deviate from the rule that the non-performance of work due to organisational or economic problems continues to entitle the employee to receive wages. For the first six

13. Zwemmer, J.P.H., *Rechtsvermoeden omvang arbeidsduur, een updating*, ArbeidsRecht, Issue 60 (2005).

14. Article 7:628 of the Netherlands civil code.

15. Verbiest, S.E., Goudswaard, A. & van Wijk, E.B., *De toekomst van flex: een onderzoek van tno naar flexstrategieën van Nederlandse bedrijven*, TNO, 4-5 (2014).

months of an employment contract, this entitlement may be restricted by way of individual contractual or collective agreement. After six months, deviation is only possible for specific types of work mentioned in a collective agreement.¹⁶ This is one of the measures that reflects the trend of strengthening the position of flexible workers as mentioned above.

[C] Interim Management

Interim management can be performed under different types of contracts. It is possible to conclude a contract of employment for a definite period for this type of work. An interim manager does not fundamentally differ from any other expert – say an accountant or in-house legal counsel – a temporary employment contract may perfectly meet the temporary need for certain expertise. Interim management and other specialised services and expertise can also be hired via temporary work agencies, i.e., the posting of experts to organisations with a temporary need for them.

Interim management can also be provided by so-called management BV's (management Ltd.). A management BV is a company/legal entity owned and run by a manager. Instead of hiring an interim manager directly, a company requiring interim management pays the management BV to provide interim management services. In this scheme, no contractual links exist between the interim manager and the managed company. However, this scheme is not always accepted by the tax authorities and courts. A management BV is occasionally merely a 'straw' man, and the interim manager and the managed company are the actual parties to the employment contract.¹⁷

§24.04 SHARING THE EMPLOYER¹⁸

[A] Single Employer Within a Group of Companies

The *Albron* case of the ECJ offers an example of a common practice within a group of companies.¹⁹ In a significant number of groups of companies consisting of several legal entities and establishments, all employees are employed by one single company within the group, the so-called *personeels-BV* ('Staff Ltd.'). The payment of wages and taxes as well as any administrative processes are coordinated by the *personeels-BV*.

The staff employed by the *personeels-BV* do not actually work for it, but for one or more of the other subsidiaries of the group. Workers are permanently posted to the group. This might be the same company or different ones in the course of employment. The employer to some extent fulfils the role of an internal temporary work agency.

Having one central employer within the group is easier from a logistical point of view (central administration of taxes and wages, etc.). The transfer of an employee to

16. Article 7:628, subs 5 and 7 of the Netherlands' Civil Code.

17. *Hoge Raad der Nederlanden*, NJ 2007, 449 (13 July 2007).

18. See Zwemmer, J., *Pluraliteit van werkgeverschap* (diss. Amsterdam, Deventer, Kluwer, 2012).

19. Judgment of the ECJ of 21 October 2010 *Albron Catering*, Case C-242/09, ECLI:EU:C:2010:625.

another company within the group might be easier as well, since the new company does not need to enter into a new contract of employment, while the former company does not need to terminate the old one. Sometimes amendments to the existing contract are necessary because a change of company may lead to a fundamental change of responsibilities and another place of work.

Within groups of companies, employership is divided between several entities. The *personeels-BV* assumes the duty to pay wages, while another subsidiary of the group provides the work and instructs the employee on how to perform his/her tasks. The *personeels-BV* is considered the employer ‘on paper’ (formal employer) and the company for which the employee works as the actual employer (material employer). As a rule, the formal employer is considered the employer in terms of both tax and contract law. The material employer needs to meet obligations vis-à-vis the employee, e.g., health and safety requirements.

Case law has been hesitant about accepting dual employership, i.e., one employee being employed by two or more subsidiaries of a group at the same time.

[B] Payrolling

Payrolling is a relatively new phenomenon. It is similar to work via a temporary work agency in that a worker enters the service of an agency which posts the worker to its client. An important difference is that in the case of payrolling, the agency usually assumes a role after the worker has already been selected by the client. As a rule, payrolling work tends to be for a longer term than work through a temporary work agency. Payrolling is advertised by payrolling agencies as a means for employers to avoid the complications and obligations associated with being an employer. At present, the criteria for dismissal on economical and organisational grounds are less strict for payrolling agencies, as is the case for temporary work agencies, than for ‘normal’ employers. Hence, there are incentives for employers to hand over their employership to a payrolling agency.

The client is, for all intents and purposes, the employer of the worker, but the worker signs the employment contract with the payrolling agency. As its name suggests, it manages the payment of wages and taxes. On a day-to-day basis, the relationship between the client and worker is very similar to an employment relationship. On a case-by-case basis, case law distinguishes between bogus payrolling contracts (where the client and employee are party to an employment contract) from real ones. Payrolling tends to be viewed in both recent case law and academic literature as a bogus construction, with the sole purpose of avoiding employer’s obligations. There are exceptions to the rule, but the tendency is to reject the payroll construction and assume the existence of an employment contract between the employee and client.

For a more extensive discussion of payrolling see Zwemmer in Chapter 9 of this book.

It should be noted that the term ‘payrolling’ is also used by agencies that deal with the formalities of wage payment to the employees of their clients without assuming the identity of employer.

§24.05 TRAINING AND REHABILITATION

Some outplacement agencies offer their clients (employers) to conclude outplacement contracts with their soon to be former employees. Sometimes an outplacement contract takes the guise of an employment contract: the employee receives wages and his/her work consists of receiving training and activities to find gainful employment. The contract might also entail elements of a temporary work agency contract, i.e., the employee may be posted to the agency's clients.

Such contracts cannot be qualified as contracts of employment if and when their main purpose is to rehabilitate the 'employee' and/or to improve his/her knowledge and skills. To qualify as an employment contract, the work performed should at least potentially be beneficial to the employer. If the primary goal is the enhancement of the worker's skills, an employment contract does not exist.²⁰

It was therefore assumed that workers on disability insurance benefit from working under special conditions to gain work experience were not considered employees.²¹ Then again, case law takes many different approaches and has many diverging answers to the question whether such work is primarily in the employer's interest or primarily aimed at enhancing the worker's skills.²² As a rule of thumb, the more similarity the tasks performed by the worker bear with the work being performed by other employees and the more his/her position is similar to that of other employees and the longer the work is intended to last, the more likely the conclusion will be that an employment contract exists.

§24.06 NEW FORMS OF EMPLOYMENT (VIRTUALLY) UNKNOWN

In the introduction, some new forms of employment were mentioned that have become increasingly popular in other EU countries. A few of those forms are worth specifically mentioning, even though they are (virtually) unknown in the Netherlands.

Voucher systems are sometimes advocated as a means to reduce unemployment, but are unknown in the Netherlands at present. The same applies to employee sharing, especially as a counter crisis measure. It is advocated as an instrument to prevent unemployment. Trade unions have recently tried to convince government that pools should be established for workers in the home care sector. As a result of budget cuts and transfer of responsibilities of central government to local governments, unions fear mass redundancies and/or difficulties in securing decent work conditions for employees who must transfer to a new employer. In order to keep those workers employed – under the same conditions – a pool would have to be established from which the local authorities or their contractors could draw their workforce. This idea was not taken up

20. See *Hoge Raad der Nederlanden*, NJ 1983, 230 (29 October 1982).

21. See *Centrale Raad van Beroep* (CRvB), USZ 2014/268 (23 July 2014).

22. See *Hoge Raad der Nederlanden*, USZ 2013/183 (3 May 2013).

until recently. In 2015, a small-scale experiment was launched under the auspices of several home care institutions.²³

There have been some local initiatives on a relatively small scale as far as employee sharing as a counter crisis measure is concerned. A recent example is a pool for highly skilled employees of technological companies in the Eindhoven region established after the Financial Crisis hit those employers in 2008. Another example is the pool of harbour workers in the harbours of Amsterdam and Rotterdam. Those pools went into receivership a few years ago and were replaced by temporary work agencies for harbour workers.

Some of the local initiatives are called 'labour pools' but amount to a more or less informal network of employers posting superfluous workers to colleagues. As such, the pool does not assume the position of employer, but is merely an intermediary for cooperating employers.

One sector which is familiar with employee sharing is primary and secondary education. Schools cooperate in/with 'pools' of teachers to be posted to them if and when they are in need of a temporary replacement due to sickness or pregnancy of a permanent member of their staff.

§24.07 SUMMARY

Quite a lot of the new forms of employment do not exist in the Netherlands, or, conversely, have been used for a long time. The latter (not so) new forms of employment and some new forms of employment usually fall within the scope of the contract of employment and the rules and regulations pertaining to it. Depending on the scope of the EU directive or regulation in question, this means that new forms of employment are covered by those EU rules as well.

A legislative tendency – and perhaps a judicial tendency – to limit the possibilities to deviate from the rights full-time workers under contracts of indefinite duration enjoy in the new forms of employment must be noted. This might offer an explanation for the steady rise of self-employment over the last ten to fifteen years.

23. Van den Elsen, W., *VWS zet licht op groen voor dienstencheque*, <http://www.zorgvisie.nl/Personeel/Nieuws/2014/10/VWS-brengt-dienstencheque-in-stelling-1611091W/> (accessed February 2015).