



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Grain, greed and green wood: the late sixteenth-century Scheurrak SO1 shipwreck and the use of double hull planking in the early modern Netherlands

Lettany, H.

Citation

Lettany, H. (2026, October 1). *Grain, greed and green wood: the late sixteenth-century Scheurrak SO1 shipwreck and the use of double hull planking in the early modern Netherlands*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/4310475>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/4310475>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Grain, Greed and Green Wood.
The late sixteenth-Century Scheurrak SO1 Shipwreck and
the Use of Double Hull Planking in the Early Modern Netherlands.

Proefschrift
ter verkrijging van
de graad van doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van rector magnificus prof.dr. S. de Rijcke,
volgens besluit van het college voor promoties
te verdedigen op donderdag 1 oktober 2026
klokke 14:30 uur
door
Hendrik Lettany

Supervisor:

Prof. Dr. Martijn Manders

Co-supervisor:

Dr. Roos van Oosten

Doctorate committee:

Dr. F. Hocker (Vasa Museum)

Dr. M. Domínguez Delmás (Naturalis Biodiversity Center)

Prof. Dr. W. van Duivenvoorde (Flinders University)

Prof. Dr. J.G.A. Bazelmans (Secretary)

Prof. Dr. A. Geurds (Chair)

This dissertation was completed as part of the research project ‘Scheurrak SOI in the Maritime Cultural Landscape’ (2020-2024) and was financed by the Cultural Heritage Agency of the Netherlands.

Table of contents

Acknowledgements	i
List of figures	iii
List of tables	x
List of graphs	xi
1. Introduction	1
<i>1.1. Context of the archaeological remains</i>	2
<i>1.2. Scheurrak SO1's construction</i>	6
<i>1.3. Former assessments of the hull</i>	7
<i>1.3.1. Assessment of the construction</i>	7
<i>1.3.2. Predecessor of the fluyt?</i>	7
<i>1.3.3. Hull shape</i>	10
<i>1.4. Influence on academic discourse</i>	12
<i>1.5. Aims of this research</i>	12
<i>1.5.1. Methodology and sources</i>	13
<i>1.5.2. Structure of the dissertation</i>	14
2. Scheurrak SO1's legacy data: challenges and potential	17
<i>2.1. The use of legacy data</i>	18
<i>2.2. Site plan and transcripts</i>	20
<i>2.2.1. Trilateration</i>	20
<i>2.2.2. Transcripts</i>	22
<i>2.2.3. Depth measurements</i>	24
<i>2.3. Hull sections</i>	25
<i>2.3.1. The stern section</i>	26
<i>2.3.2. The bow section</i>	30
<i>2.3.3. The alleged starboard section</i>	33
<i>2.3.4. The port bulwark section</i>	34
<i>2.3.5. The starboard hold section</i>	38
<i>2.4. Dive reports and daily reports</i>	41
<i>2.5. Loose timber finds</i>	43
<i>2.6. Conclusions</i>	44

3.	The ‘Dutch flush’ and ‘Double Dutch’ discourses	47
3.1.	<i>Unger’s paper</i>	48
3.1.1.	<i>The French spies</i>	49
3.1.2.	<i>Nicolaes Witsen</i>	52
3.1.3.	<i>Iconographical sources</i>	54
3.2.	<i>The Dutch flush discourse</i>	56
3.2.1.	<i>Diagnostic features</i>	56
3.2.2.	<i>Regional and local differentiation</i>	58
3.2.3.	<i>Origins</i>	62
3.2.4.	<i>Advantages</i>	63
3.2.5.	<i>Continuity</i>	66
3.3.	<i>The Double Dutch discourse</i>	67
3.3.1.	<i>A transitional phase in Dutch flush shipbuilding</i>	68
3.3.2.	<i>Double checking double Dutch</i>	72
3.4.	<i>Conclusions</i>	78
4.	‘Verlengen’ and ‘verdubbelen’: the lengthening and furring of Dutch ships	81
4.1.	<i>The lengthening of ships</i>	82
4.1.1.	<i>Former insights</i>	82
4.1.2.	<i>Arnoul (1670) on the lengthening of ships in the Netherlands</i>	86
4.1.3.	<i>Origins</i>	87
4.1.4.	<i>Continuity</i>	90
4.2.	<i>A Dutch manner of furring</i>	91
4.2.1.	<i>Ship Stability</i>	91
4.2.2.	<i>Former insights</i>	94
4.2.3.	<i>Witsen and the practice of verdubbelen</i>	96
4.2.4.	<i>Van Yk and the practice of adding a buik</i>	101
4.3.	<i>Verlengen and verdubbelen in the archaeological record</i>	104
4.3.1.	<i>A comparative historical framework</i>	105
4.4.	<i>Conclusions</i>	112

5.	Construction and reconstruction of the scheurrak SO1 shipwreck	115
5.1.	<i>Structural elements</i>	115
5.1.1.	<i>Keel</i>	118
5.1.2.	<i>Stem and gripe</i>	120
5.1.3.	<i>Sternpost, skeg and false sternpost</i>	121
5.1.4.	<i>Hull planking</i>	121
5.1.5.	<i>Timbers</i>	125
5.1.6.	<i>Keelson</i>	133
5.1.7.	<i>Ceiling, bilge stringers, deck clamp and spirketting</i>	134
5.1.8.	<i>Wales</i>	142
5.1.9.	<i>Riders</i>	144
5.1.10.	<i>Waterway</i>	146
5.1.11.	<i>Binding strakes</i>	150
5.1.12.	<i>Deck beams</i>	150
5.1.13.	<i>Deck knees</i>	154
5.1.14.	<i>Deck planking and ledges</i>	158
5.1.15.	<i>Other structural elements</i>	159
5.2.	<i>Dendrochronological analysis</i>	159
5.2.1.	<i>Date and provenance</i>	160
5.3.	<i>Caulking material</i>	161
5.4.	<i>Reconstructed dimensions and lay-out</i>	164
5.4.1.	<i>Length</i>	164
5.4.2.	<i>Width and depth</i>	165
5.5.	<i>Conversion of the hull</i>	166
5.5.1.	<i>Alteration of stem and keel</i>	166
5.5.2.	<i>Alteration of the stern</i>	167
5.5.3.	<i>Application of the second layer of hull planking</i>	169
5.5.4.	<i>Addition of futtock riders?</i>	170
5.5.5.	<i>Alteration of deck structures?</i>	171
5.5.6.	<i>Alteration of keelson and mast step?</i>	173
5.6.	<i>Interpreting Scheurrak SO1's hull conversion</i>	173
5.7.	<i>Conclusions</i>	176

6. Willem Cornelisz In 't Wijnhuis and Dutch shipbuilding in the late sixteenth century	177
6.1. <i>The Enkhuizer shipbuilding contracts</i>	178
6.1.1. <i>Willem Cornelisz in 't Wijnhuis</i>	178
6.1.2. <i>Comparative analysis</i>	179
6.1.3. <i>Structure of the contracts</i>	180
6.1.4. <i>Dutch flush shipbuilding</i>	181
6.1.5. <i>Dimensions</i>	181
6.2. <i>Stakeholders and products</i>	184
6.2.1. <i>Shipbuilders</i>	184
6.2.2. <i>Commissioners</i>	187
6.2.3. <i>Types of vessels</i>	188
6.3. <i>Dimensions and proportions of the Enkhuizer vessels</i>	190
6.3.1. <i>Length</i>	190
6.3.2. <i>Width</i>	192
6.3.3. <i>Depth</i>	192
6.3.4. <i>Proportions</i>	193
6.3.5. <i>Capacity</i>	194
6.4. <i>Structural elements</i>	196
6.4.1. <i>Keel, stem and stern</i>	196
6.4.2. <i>Bottom</i>	198
6.4.3. <i>Hull planking</i>	199
6.4.4. <i>Bilge</i>	199
6.4.5. <i>Frame timbers</i>	200
6.4.6. <i>Keelson</i>	200
6.4.7. <i>Ceiling</i>	200
6.4.8. <i>Deck clamp and spirketting</i>	200
6.4.9. <i>Wales</i>	201
6.4.10. <i>Riders and breasthooks</i>	202
6.5. <i>Deck structure</i>	202
6.5.1. <i>Height of the deck</i>	203
6.5.2. <i>Deck beams</i>	203
6.5.3. <i>Deck knees</i>	204
6.5.4. <i>Waterway</i>	204
6.5.5. <i>Binding strakes</i>	205
6.5.6. <i>Deck planks and ledges</i>	205
6.6. <i>Use of timber</i>	206

6.7.	<i>Payment</i>	208
6.8.	<i>Delivery</i>	210
6.9.	<i>Conclusion</i>	211
7.	Grain, greed and green wood: Scheurrak SO1 in context	213
7.1.	<i>Incentives for change in a changing cultural landscape</i>	213
7.1.1.	<i>Technological opportunity</i>	214
7.1.2.	<i>Market opportunity</i>	215
7.1.3.	<i>Increased dimensions and decreased stability</i>	217
7.2.	<i>Christmas Eve 1593</i>	220
7.3.	<i>Momentum versus institutional search</i>	222
7.4.	<i>Conclusions</i>	224
8.	Conclusions	227
Appendix I	Discovery, excavation and the birth of Dutch underwater archaeology	231
Appendix II	Data on lengthening and doubling	251
Appendix III	Dendrochronological data	259
Appendix IV	Enkhuizer contracts	267
Appendix V	Glossary	273
	Bibliography and archival sources	285
	Summary	301
	Samenvatting	305
	Curriculum vitae	311



Acknowledgements

To be assigned the archaeological study of Scheurrak SO1's hull construction, to gradually uncover new findings about its history, and ultimately to gain new insights into early modern shipbuilding has been an academic adventure, a pleasure and a privilege. Nevertheless, the endeavour was challenging at times. Only through the help and support of many people was I able to complete this journey successfully, and I would like to acknowledge their contributions.

First of all, I would like to thank my supervisors Prof. Dr. Martijn Manders and Dr. Roos van Oosten. Martijn was an exceptional source of information, always willing to discuss new findings about the site. Roos was my main guide at Leiden University and helped me with all practicalities of writing a dissertation. Both read different versions of my dissertation and helped shaping it into this final form. In the same light, I would like to thank the Doctorate Committee: Dr. Fred Hocker, Prof. Dr. Wendy van Duivenvoorde, Dr. Marta Domínguez-Delmás and Prof. Dr. Jos Bazelmans. Their detailed and constructive feedback improved the final result.

This dissertation was part of the interdisciplinary Scheurrak SO1 research project (2020–2024) and I would like to thank maritime historians Prof. Dr. Michiel van Groesen and Dr. Anita van Dissel for enabling this integrated approach. I am most grateful to maritime historian Dr. Geke Burger who studied Scheurrak SO1's material culture. Our many discussions strengthened the interdisciplinary character of the project and led to results which surpass our respective research fields. Most of all, though, Geke was an amazing colleague and friend to have along my side.

Special thanks go to the Cultural Heritage Agency of the Netherlands (RCE) for funding this research project, and to maritime archaeologists Thijs Coenen, Johan Opdebeeck and Wouter Waldus, who were always available for help.

I would like to thank the colleagues from the National Maritime Depot Batavialand for their continuous availability and help, especially Joran Smale, Laura Koehler, Arent Vos and Joke Nientker.

A highlight was the 2023 fieldwork, when important new data was collected by revisiting the site. Many thanks go to the incredible team: Thomas Flatt, Tom Harrison, Mateusz Polakowski, Gerrit Dijkstra, Erik Wijshake, Alice Neet, Arjan Korevaar, Jeroen Baars, Robin Jonker, Kirsten Pollé, Marco Bakker, Colin Bakker and Arnold Bakker. Special thanks go to Jens Auer, for guiding me through the 3D annotated scans method, which became a strong asset during fieldwork.

I would like to thank Bertil van Os (RCE) for post-excavation xrf-analyses, and Koen Deforce (Ghent University) for palynological analyses of the caulking material. Special thanks go to Petra Doeve, who executed all dendrochronological analyses. One important sample was assessed by different colleagues, but could not be

Acknowledgements

dated. In this regard, I would like to thank Kristof Haneca, Aoife Daly, Sjoerd van Daalen and the late Esther Jansma.

For me as an archaeologist, the historical component of this dissertation was particularly challenging. It was only thanks to François van der Jeught that I was able to overcome this challenge. François transcribed close to a hundred documents for this dissertation, most of them late sixteenth-century shipbuilding contracts, and he did so with remarkable speed and an exceptional eye for detail. I cannot express enough gratitude for his contribution.

Many of the original pencil drawings from the 1980s and 1990s were digitized by Leiden University students. I want to thank Sophie Mulder, Ingrid Kras, Isabella Nouvelot and Grace Alonzo for their great work.

Many thanks also go to Christian Lemée, Daniel Zwick and Niklas Eriksson for sharing thoughts and comparative data from their projects. I also thank my uncle, Alexis Wielemans, for lending me some hard-to-find copies on shipbuilding literature.

On a more personal note, I am most grateful to my partner Marloes. She was there with me, every step of the journey, and experienced it all from a front-row seat. By now, she probably knows more about early modern shipbuilding than is dear to her, yet she couldn't have been more supportive. She shared my excitement when things went well, and motivated me when things were difficult. I do not exaggerate when I say that I could not have done this without her.

I would also love to thank my parents, Els and Paul, and my siblings and their partners, Jan and Marte, Fien and Paul, and Hans and Saar, for their support throughout this entire process. In the same light, I also would love to thank my family-in-law: the one Kris, the other Kris, Esther and Stefan. Many close friends have been of help by expressing their interest in my research or by just being there for me. I cannot name all of them here, but I would love to mention Yasko, Laura, Maxim, Marieke, Lea, Aris, Bram, Daphne, Saša, Marina, Zuzana and Julie.

Last but not least, I want to express my gratitude to the late Thijs Maarleveld. He initiated the Scheurrak SO1 excavation and, later, formed me as a scholar. It was early on in this project when the sad news about Thijs' passing struck us. Now that the journey of this dissertation comes to an end, I truly wish we had the opportunity to discuss the findings together, and to see where the research that he initiated would go from here. I want to thank him for everything.

List of figures

- Figure 1.1 *Location Scheurrak SO1, Scheurrak T24 sites and Aanloop Molengat (H. Lettany).*
- Figure 1.2 *Site plan Scheurrak SO1 (NSD Batavialand, “SO1 overzichtstekening SO1” by T. Maarleveld, adapted and translated by H. Lettany).*
- Figure 1.3 *Brass trumpet from the Scheurrak SO1 shipwreck (NSD Batavialand, find SO1 1365, SO1 T-121147, Photos by Restaura, drawing by unknown, adapted by H. Lettany).*
- Figure 1.4 *Wooden linstock with poem from the Scheurrak SO1 shipwreck (NSD Batavialand, find SO1 23222, photos 1–4, 12 by J. Smale, “SO1-23222 lonstok foto’s heft met gedicht (oude zwart-wit afdruk)“, p. 6, adapted by H. Lettany)*
- Figure 1.5 *A hulk by Frans Huys and Cornelis Cort (1565), and later copies (a: Collectie Stad Antwerpen, Museum Plantin-Moretus, PK.OP.14051, “Een hulk en een boeier voor een kust”, b: Collectie Het Scheepvaartmuseum, Amsterdam, A.0145(057) [kaart 002], “Aanzicht van de haven van Genua”, c: Rijksmuseum, RP-P-1896-A-19368-1139, “Spaanse galjoenen op zee”).*
- Figure 1.6 *Different views of a fluyt as depicted in the treatise by Nicolaes Witsen in 1671 (N. Witsen 1671, plate LXI).*
- Figure 1.7 *Lines plan by A. Willebrandts based upon data from the Scheurrak SO1 shipwreck (NSD Batavialand, “SO1 Stageverslag Willebrandts 1994”, pp. 8–10, by A. Willebrandts, adapted by H. Lettany).*
- Figure 1.8 *Digital cross-section of Scheurrak SO1’s lower hull (NSD Batavialand, D-01508, by unknown).*
- Figure 1.9 *Lines plan by Simon Dil based upon data from the Scheurrak SO1 shipwreck (NSD Batavialand, “SO1 Dil A”, “SO1 Dil B” and T-135370, adapted by H. Lettany).*
- Figure 2.1 *Floppy disk containing Web-It files related to the recording of Scheurrak SO1’s construction (NSD Batavialand, “SO1 meetgevens”, by H. Lettany).*
- Figure 2.2 *Representation of the dispersal of finds recovered from the Scheurrak SO1 shipwreck up to the 1990 field season (NSD Batavialand, SO1 T-135022, by M. de Waal and L. de Vries).*
- Figure 2.3 *Practice of recording Scheurrak SO1’s construction by means of trilateration ((a) T. Maarleveld 1984: 24, fig. 4; (b) NSD Batavialand, SO1 MA_D-01671, by R. Strotbaum or A. Vos, 28 August 1990).*

List of figures

- Figure 2.4 Recorded and plotted points of the unidentified starboard section by Boudewijn Goudswaard in 1988 ((a) transcript and corresponding drawing by B. Goudswaard 15 July 1988; (b) NSD Batavialand, “SO1 Overzichtstekening Scheurrak SO1”, by T. Maarleveld, adapted by H. Lettany).
- Figure 2.5 Example of a transcript by Maarleveld, 28 August 1991 (Transcript T. Maarleveld 28 August 1991, translation by H. Lettany).
- Figure 2.6 Site plan, digitised by H. Lettany in 2025 (H. Lettany in 2024, after NSD Batavialand, “SO1 Overzichtstekening Scheurrak SO1” by T. Maarleveld in 1998).
- Figure 2.7 Location of depth measurements for Scheurrak SO1’s cross-section drawing, the plotted cross-section, and the location of the recovered hull sections (H. Lettany, after transcript T. Maarleveld 21 August 1989 and NSD Batavialand, “SO1 overzichtstekening Scheurrak SO1” by T. Maarleveld).
- Figure 2.8 Welding of metal frame for recovery of the stern section (NSD Batavialand, SO1 D-1076, by unknown, 30 September 1987).
- Figure 2.9 Recovery of the Scheurrak SO1 stern section (NSD Batavialand, SO1 D-1102, by J. Pauptit, 2 October 1987).
- Figure 2.10 Scheurrak SO1’s stern section in the Department for Underwater Archaeology’s workshop (NSD Batavialand, SO1 D-1117 and SO1 D-1122, by J. Pauptit, 7 December 1987).
- Figure 2.11 Digitised drawings of the stern section (H. Lettany, after SO1 T-120405 to SO1 T-120409 by P. Stassen in 1988, and SO1 T-120433 to SO1 T-120436 by P. Stassen and B. Goudswaard in 1987).
- Figure 2.12 Newspaper article on the recovery of Scheurrak SO1’s bow section (s.n. (1989, 1 September), “Eeuwenoude koopvaarder gelicht”, Nieuwsblad van het Noorden, adapted by H. Lettany).
- Figure 2.13 Dismantling of the bow section in the Department for Underwater Archaeology’s workshop in 1991 (NSD Batavialand, SO1 D-1618 to SO1 D-1620, by J. Pauptit in 1991).
- Figure 2.14 Recording of the bow section in the Department for Underwater Archaeology in 1991 (NSD Batavialand, SO1 D-1587, by B. Goudswaard in 1991).
- Figure 2.15 Intern Ronald Koopman recording the bow section’s keelson (NSD Batavialand, SO1 D-1580, by B. Goudswaard in 1991).
- Figure 2.16 Slate depicting the underwater sketch of the alleged starboard section (NSD Batavialand, “SO1 Leitje met schets boorddeel 400”, by A. Vos, 5 August 1988; dive report A. Vos 5 August 1988).
- Figure 2.17 Recording of the alleged starboard section ((a) NSD Batavialand, SO1 D-1196, by Pauptit, 21 September 1988; (b) by H. Lettany in 2021, after NSD Batavialand, SO1 T-121099 and SO1 T-121100 by A. Vos, B. Goudswaard and P. Stassen in 1988–1990).
- Figure 2.18 The port bulwark section after conservation in Batavialand’s depots ((a) NSD Batavialand, MA-SO1-BD2, by T. Penders, year unknown; (b) by H. Lettany, after NSD batavialand, SO1 T-120512, SO1 T-120513 and SO1 T-120515, presumably by R. Kroes in 1995).
- Figure 2.19 Axe marks on the port bulwark section (NSD Batavialand, SO1 D-11564, by J. Pauptit, 22 June 1995).
- Figure 2.20 Hypothetical impression of Scheurrak SO1’s wrecking event, salvage attempt and site formation (H. Lettany in 2023).

- Figure 2.21 *Computer Vision Photogrammetry of Scheurrak SO1's starboard side amidships made during the 2023 field season (H. Lettany in 2023).*
- Figure. 2.22 *Recording of the starboard hold section by means of Computer Vision Photogrammetry during the 2023 field season (H. Lettany in 2023).*
- Figure 2.23 *Recording of the individual elements of the starboard hold section during the 2023 field season (M. Manders in 2023).*
- Figure 2.24 *Digital reassembly of the starboard hold section's bilge stringer in Rhino 7 (H. Lettany in 2023).*
- Figure 2.25 *Example of a timber catalogue file made during the 2023 field season (H. Lettany, R. Jonker and K. Pollé).*
- Figure 2.26 *Example of a dive report from the 1990 field season (Dive report A. Vos 28 August 1990).*
- Figure 2.27 *Assessment of ship timber from the Scheurrak SO1 shipwreck at Leiden University in 2022 (H. Lettany, 13 December 2022).*
- Figure 3.1 *Ship construction as started in the French and English manner as opposed to the Dutch manner, in the year 1669–1670 (BnF, Cinq cents de Colbert 201, MF 16520, "Remarques faictes par le sieur Arnoul", plate between pp. 10–11).*
- Figure 3.2 *Application of a planking tong and temporary cleats as depicted in Nicolaes Witsen's treatise in 1671 (N. Witsen 1671, Plate L, figure R, adapted by H. Lettany).*
- Figure 3.3 *The construction of a Dutch fluyt as depicted by Swedish writer Åke Rålamb in 1691 (A. Rålamb 1691, Skeps byggerij eller Adelig öfnings tionde tom, Stockholm, plate I, fig. 9, "Een Hållänsk Flöjt som wijser huru de byggias med Klampar", copy provided by Sjöhistoriska museets bibliotek).*
- Figure 3.4 *Early stages of construction of a ship as depicted by Dutch painter and engraver Sieuwert van der Meulen in ca. 1690–1710 (Rijksmuseum, object RP-P-OB-6658, "De opbouw van het schip, plaat nr. 2, ca. 1700" by S. van der Meulen, 1690–1710).*
- Figure 3.5 *The use of spijkerpennen, observed on Scheurrak SO1's hull planking in 2023 (K. Pollé in 2023).*
- Figure 3.6 *Scheurrak SO1's framing system as observed in the bow section in 1991 (NSD Batavialand, SO1 MA_D-01613, by J. Paupit in 1991).*
- Figure 3.7 *Ships under construction at the Amsterdam Admiralty's shipyard of Kattenburg, as depicted by Ludolf Bakhuizen in ca. 1655–1660 and Dutch engraver Joseph Mulder in 1693 ((a) Rijksmuseum, object SK-A-1428, "De scheepstimmerwerf der Admiraliteit van Amsterdam" by L. Bakhuysen, 1655–1660, (b) Rijksmuseum, object RP-P-1905-566, "Gezicht op 's Lands Zeemagazijn (Admiraliteitsmagazijn) en de Admiraliteitswerf te Amsterdam", by J. Mulder, 1693).*
- Figure 3.8 *Ships under construction at the Dutch East India Company's shipyard of Oostenburg in the city of Amsterdam, as depicted by Ludolf Bakhuizen in 1696 (Amsterdam Museum, loan from Koninklijk Kabinet van Schilderijen Mauritshuis (Den Haag), object SB 2764, "De werf van de Verenigde Oost-Indische Compagnie met het Oost-Indisch Zeemagazijn op het eiland Oostenburg" by L. Bakhuizen, 1696).*

List of figures

- Figure 3.9 *Location of the shipyards of Oostenburg, Wittenburg and Kattenburg in the city of Amsterdam, depicted by Gerrit de Broen in 1724 (Rijksmuseum, object RP-P-AO-20-65A-3, “Plattegrond van Amsterdam (deel linksonder)”, by G. de Broen (II), 1724).*
- Figure 3.10 *The use of cleats in the Dronten-I cog (built ca. 1300) (NSD Batavialand, “Opgravingsdocumentatie scheepswrak ON-5 / ON5 (Oostelijk Flevoland)”, photo 62262, by unknown, presumably 1973).*
- Figure 3.11 *Dutch flush shipbuilding in Zaandam, the north of Holland, in 1786 (Archief Zaanstad, Collectie Zaanlandse Oudheidkundige Verzameling, photos 13_00016 and 13_00017, “Grootscheepmakerswerf van de Hr. Jacob Lam, op de Oosterhem te Oostzaandam anno 1786”, by J. Hoogerbeets in 1786).*
- Figure 3.12 *A “Staverse Jol”, built in Frisia, the Netherlands, in 1955 (Collectie Zuiderzeemuseum Enkhuizen, F001319, “Bouw van een Staverse Jol”, by J. Wolthers).*
- Figure 3.13 *Scheurrak SOI’s building sequence according to Maarleveld in 1991 (NSD Batavialand, “SOI Tekening bouwwijze en volgorde”, presumably by T. Maarleveld, in or prior to 1991; adapted by H. Lettany).*
- Figure 4.1 *Shape of the hull in Holland compared to shape of the hull in France and England, in 1670 (BnF, Cinq cents de Colbert 201, MF 16520, “Remarques faictes par le sieur Arnoul”, first plate between pp. 12–13).*
- Figure 4.2 *The lengthened hull of the B&W1 shipwreck (ca. 1608) (C. Lemée 2006: 260).*
- Figure 4.3 *Map of northern Holland and western Frisia with illustration of lengthened vessel (1608) ((a) and (c): Allard Pierson–De Collecties van de Universiteit van Amsterdam, OTM: HB-KZL W.X. 014, “Caerte van Noorthollant beghinende van Noortendt, Zuytwards up tot om Leyden toe ; voorts streckende Oostwaerts tot om Utrecht weder Noortwards up in de Zuider Zee met alle sijn steden, dorpen, dijcken, weggen, wateren en vaerten, daer inne begrepen elcx na syne rechte gheleghet. Land-caerte ende water caerte van Noort-Hollandt ende West-vrieslandt met d’aenliggende landen”, by J. Jansz Beeldsnijder; H. Allertsz Koster and C. Jansz Visscher, in 1575/1608; (b): Rijksmuseum, object RP-P-OB-68.245, “Scheepsbouw en huizenbouw, ca. 1600”, number 4 of 8 in a series called “Beroepen in Noord-Holland”, by C. Jansz. Visscher, 1608).*
- Figure 4.4 *Lengthened vessel as depicted by Pierre Arnoul (1670) (BnF, Cinq cents de Colbert 201, MF 16520, “Remarques faictes par le sieur Arnoul”, second plate between pp. 12–13).*
- Figure 4.5 *Exemption from beer excise tax for the lengthening of vessels in 1512 (S.A. Archief van de Burgemeesters: privilegeboeken en keurboek, inv. nr. 5 ‘B’, f.149v, van an bierexcyse te gheven op de Lastagie, uuytgeseyt van den scheepmakers aldaer etc., 10 november 1512; transcription by J.C. Breen (1902: 511); translation by H. Lettany).*
- Figure 4.6 *Principle of ship stability and its relation to furring and changes in weight (H. Lettany).*
- Figure 4.7 *Reconstructed midship section of the Gresham ship (built ca. 1575) (M. Ditta, in: Auer & Maarleveld 2014: 25, fig. 3–12, adapted by H. Lettany).*
- Figure 4.8 *Argument of the floating top-heaviness by Simon Stevin in 1605 (S. Stevin 1605: 201).*
- Figure 4.9 *Free surface effect (H. Lettany).*
- Figure 4.10 *Location of the buik of a ship, as depicted by Hendrick Decquer (1690) (H. Decquer 1690: Bylage nr. 3, 11).*

- Figure 5.1 The keel ((a-d, i) by H. Lettany, after fig. 3.6 (a), R. Kroes ((b, d) SOI T-120506), P. Stassen ((c) SOI T-120405) and B. Goudswaard and R. Kroes ((i) T-SOI 120472; NSD Batavialand, “SOI tekening voorsteeven Kroes”), (e, g) by J. Pauptit in 1991 (SOI D-01605, SOI D-01597), (f) by J. Pauptit in 1987 (SOI D-01116), (e) by P. Stassen in 1988 (SOI D-01150), and (j) by J. Pauptit in 1995 (SOI D-11549 and SOI D-11550).
- Figure 5.2 The stem assembly ((a-c) by H. Lettany, after fig. 2.6 (a) and after R. Kroes ((b-c) NSD Batavialand, “SOI tekening voorsteevel Kroes”), (d) dive report W. Ossowski 11 August 1994, (e) by A. Vos in 1994 (SOI D-11402), (f) dive report A. Vos 31 August 1994; photo by A. Vos in 1994 (SOI D-11401)).
- Figure 5.3 The stern assembly ((a-f) by H. Lettany, after fig. 2.6 (a) and P. Stassen ((b-f): SOI T-120406-120409), (g) by P. Stassen in 1988 (D-01143)).
- Figure 5.4 The first layer of hull planking ((a-e) by H. Lettany, after fig. 2.6 (a), B. Goudswaard, R. Kroes and P. Stassen ((b, d) SOI T-120453 – SOI T- 120511, SOI T-120416 – SOI T-120430)) and R. Jonker, K. Pollé and H. Lettany (c); (f-g) by P. Stassen in 1988 (SOI D-01146, SOI D-01124 and SOI D-01129)).
- Figure 5.5 The second layer of hull planking ((a-e) by H. Lettany, after fig. 2.6 (a), B. Goudswaard, R. Kroes and P. Stassen ((b, d) SOI T-120453 – SOI T- 120511, SOI T-120416 – SOI T-120430)) and R. Jonker, K. Pollé and H. Lettany (c); (f) by P. Stassen in 1988 (SOI D-01147)).
- Figure 5.6 The frame timbers (a, c, e-g) by H. Lettany, after fig. 2.6 (a) and R. Jonker, K. Pollé and H. Lettany (c); (b, d) by G. Alonzo, I. Kras, S. Mulder and I. Nouvelot, after B. Goudswaard, R. Kroes and P. Stassen ((b): SOI T-120455 – SOI T-120470, (d): SOI T-120412 – SOI T-120418)).
- Figure 5.7 The keelson ((a-b) by H. Lettany, after fig. 2.6 (a) and B. Goudswaard, R. Kroes and P. Stassen ((b): SOI T-120471); (c) by A. Vos in 1989 (SOI D-01368); (c) dive report T. Maarleveld 9 July 1989.
- Figure 5.8 The ceiling ((a, c, e) by H. Lettany, after fig. 2.6 (a) and R. Jonker, K. Pollé and H. Lettany (c); (b) by G. Alonzo, I. Kras, S. Mulder and I. Nouvelot, after B. Goudswaard, R. Kroes and P. Stassen (SOI T-120476 – SOI T-120487); (d) dive report T. Maarleveld 27 July 1996).
- Figure 5.9 The bilge stringers (H. Lettany, after fig. 2.6).
- Figure 5.10 The deck clamps ((a-b) by H. Lettany, after fig. 2.6 (a) and R. Jonker, K. Pollé and H. Lettany (b); (c) by I. Nouvelot, after A. Overmeer (SOI T-135028); (d) dive report A. Vos 30 August 1994).
- Figure 5.11 The spirkettings ((a) by H. Lettany, after fig. 2.6; (b) by M. Manders in 2023 (SOI GH012378, 1:01)).
- Figure 5.12 The wales ((a-b) by H. Lettany, after fig. 2.6 (a) and R. Jonker, K. Pollé and H. Lettany (b); (d) by I. Nouvelot, after R. Kroes (SOI T-121056)).
- Figure 5.13 The riders ((a, c-d) by H. Lettany, after fig. 2.6 (a) and R. Jonker, K. Pollé and H. Lettany (c); (b) by S. Mulder, after R. Kroes (SOI T-120473, SOI T-120474)).
- Figure 5.14 The waterways ((a, e) by H. Lettany, after fig. 2.6 (a) and M. Manders ((f): SOI T- 121154); (b) By M. Manders in 2023 (SOI GOPR2473, SOI GOPR2475); (d) dive report T. Maarleveld 29 August 1990; (f) by I. Kras, after M. Manders (SOI T- 120917); (g) dive report A. Vos 31 August 1994).

List of figures

- Figure 5.15 *The binding strakes (H. Lettany, after fig. 2.6 (a), and 3D annotated scans of finds SOI 3806 (b) and SOI 3508 (c)).*
- Figure 5.16 *The deck beams ((a–b, d) by H. Lettany, after fig. 2.6 (a), after R. Jonker; K. Pollé and H. Lettany (b), and 3D annotated scans method of find SOI 3284 (d); (c) by M. Manders in 2023).*
- Figure 5.17 *The deck knees ((a–d, f–g) by H. Lettany, after fig. 2.6 (a), after M. Manders ((b): SOI T-120968), after P. Stassen ((c): SOI T-120959), after R. Jonker; K. Pollé and H. Lettany (d, g), and after R. Kroes ((f): SOI 120719); (e) dive report T. Maarleveld 27 August 1990).*
- Figure 5.18 *Deck planking and ledges ((a–b, f) by H. Lettany, after fig. 2.6 (a), after NSD, “SOI tekening dekconstructie” (b) and after s.n. ((c): SOI T-120752); (c) by A. Vos in 1990 (SOI D-1566); (d) dive report W. Ossowski 29 August 1993; (e) dive report B. Goudswaard 22 August 1991).*
- Figure 5.19 *Recording tree ring sequences from the recovered port bulwark section (H. Lettany in 2022).*
- Figure 5.20 *Reconstruction of Scheurrak SOI’s main dimensions (H. Lettany).*
- Figure 5.21 *Possible rake for Scheurrak SOI’s stem (H. Lettany).*
- Figure 5.22 *Reconstructing hull shape based upon futtock rider and deck knee (H. Lettany).*
- Figure 5.23 *Stern of the NJ 137 shipwreck (ca. 1540s) (A. Van Holk 1986: fig. 13, adapted by H. Lettany).*
- Figure 5.24 *Conversion of Scheurrak SOI stem and keel assembly and comparison to B&W1 shipwreck (H. Lettany, (f’) after C. Lemée 2006: 237).*
- Figure 5.25 *Conversion Scheurrak SOI’s stern assembly (H. Lettany).*
- Figure 5.26 *Mast step of the NE81 shipwreck (R.I.J.P., by Potuyt, NE81 38433, (NE-81, beeldmateriaal_6a, <https://doi.org/10.17026/dans-xe2-d98h>)).*
- Figure 6.1 *Shipbuilding contract by Willem Corenlisz in ‘t Wijnhuis (WA, 1685, Enkhuizen, 4 inv. nr. 826, scan 177).*
- Figure 6.2 *Different types of “foot” as presented by Van Yk in 1697 (C. Van Yk 1697: 170).*
- Figure 6.3 *Shipbuilding activity in the city of Enkhuizen in 1581 (Universiteitsbibliotheek Utrecht, T fol 212 Lk (Rariora) dl 1 liber 1–3, scan 537, “Urbium praecipuarum totius mundi, liber tertius” by G. Hogenberg and F. Braun, 1581).*
- Figure 6.4 *Origin of the commissioning parties (H. Lettany, after the selected sample of Enkhuizer contracts).*
- Figure 6.5 *Dimensions of stem and stern (N. Witsen 1671, plate XLVII and plate XLVIII, adapted by H. Lettany).*
- Figure 6.6 *Required thickness of the hull depending on varying length of the hull, as proposed by Van Yk (1697) (C. Van Yk 1697: 68).*
- Figure 7.1 *Italian coastlines depicted in “Caertboeck vande Midlandtsche zee” in 1595 (Collectie Het Scheepvaartmuseum, Amsterdam, A.0145(057) [kaart 027], “Kustaanziichten van Italië en het eiland Gorgona”, by W. Barentsz).*

- Figure 7.2 *Ship stability when the hold is fully loaded with grain, by Hendrick Decquer 1690 (Collectie Het Scheepvaartmuseum, Copy number: S.1868(01): H. Decquer 1690, bylage n. 4, “2^{de} figuur” and “4^{de} figuur”).*
- Figure 7.3 *poems about the Texel disaster in 1593 (P.C. Bor 1697: 776, translations by H. Lettany).*
- Figure I.1 *Newspaper article on Maarleveld’s appointment as the first underwater archaeologist in the Netherlands (H. Knoop (1983, July 2), “Ambtshalve ben ik meestal onder water”, De Telegraaf).*
- Figure I.2 *Recovery of a hull section from the Scheurrak T24 shipwreck (NSD Batavialand, “Opgravingsdocumentatie scheepswrak Scheurrak-T24”, DANS Data Station Archaeology, foto 2).*
- Figure I.3 *Diver Hans Eelman with the gun carriage recovered in 1984 (NSD Batavialand, find SO1-I, SO1 MA_F-159, by unknown).*
- Figure I.4 *Sketch of double hull planking observed during the first survey of Scheurrak SO1 in 1986 (NSD Batavialand, “SO1 Concept Onderzoeksopzet Goudswaard 1987”, fig. 7b).*
- Figure I.5 *Grid system applied to Scheurrak SO1’s lower hull in 1987 (H. Lettany, after NSD Batavialand, “SO1 Overzichtstekening Scheurrak SO1” by T. Maarleveld).*
- Figure I.6 *Location of the sixteen cores drilled in 1987 (H. Lettany, after NSD Batavialand, “SO1 Overzichtstekening Scheurrak SO1” by T. Maarleveld).*
- Figure I.7 *Extension of the grid system towards the east in 1989 (H. Lettany, after NSD Batavialand, “SO1 Overzichtstekening Scheurrak SO1” by T. Maarleveld; “SO1 1989 Locatie vondstgebieden”).*
- Figure I.8 *Excavation areas of Scheurrak SO1’s starboard side, organized per field season (H. Lettany, after NSD Batavialand, “SO1 1990 Locatie vondstgebieden”, “SO1 1991 Locatie vondstgebieden”, “SO1 1993 Locatie vondstgebied”, “SO1 1994 Locatie en beschrijving vondstgebieden”, “SO1 1996 Locatie en beschrijving vondstgebieden”, “SO1 1997 Locatie en beschrijving vondstgebieden”).*
- Figure I.9 *Sail recovered from the Scheurrak SO1 shipwreck (NSD Batavialand, MA_D-01590, by J. Paupit in 1990).*
- Figure I.10 *Multibeam data from the Scheurrak SO1 site in 2017 (Periplus Archeomare).*



List of tables

<i>Table 4.1</i>	<i>Structural features associated with the practices of lengthening and furring in the Netherlands (H. Lettany).</i>
<i>Table 4.2</i>	<i>Altered dimensions of lengthened vessels (H. Lettany).</i>
<i>Table 5.1</i>	<i>Reconstructed dimensions of Scheurrak SO1 (H. Lettany).</i>
<i>Table 5.2</i>	<i>Comparative framework Scheurrak SO1, lengthening and furring (H. Lettany).</i>
<i>Table 6.1</i>	<i>Shipbuilders represented in the Enkhuizer contracts (H. Lettany, after the selected sample of Enkhuizer contracts).</i>
<i>Table 6.2</i>	<i>Commissioning parties represented in the Enkhuizer contracts (H. Lettany, after the selected sample of Enkhuizer contracts).</i>
<i>Table 6.3</i>	<i>Origin of the commissioning parties (H. Lettany, after the selected sample of Enkhuizer contracts).</i>
<i>Table I.1</i>	<i>Overview of number of diving days, divers, dive time and records in the finds inventory per field season (H. Lettany, based upon NSD Batavialand, “SO1 Veldwerk administratie duikminuten totaal” and the official finds inventory).</i>



List of graphs

- Graph 6.1* *Number of shipbuilding contracts signed per year in the period 1586–1599 (H. Lettany, after the selected sample of Enkhuizer contracts).*
- Graph 6.2* *length over stem and stern per type of vessel (H. Lettany, after the selected sample of Enkhuizer contracts).*
- Graph 6.3* *length-to-width ratio per type of vessel (H. Lettany, after the selected sample of Enkhuizer contracts).*
- Graph 6.4* *Relation between price and length of the hull in Enkhuizer contracts (H. Lettany, after the selected sample of Enkhuizer contracts).*