



Universiteit
Leiden

The Netherlands

Crises as a mirror of the nation: the role of morality, nationalism, and religion in creating ontological security in the wake of MH17 and the Brussels Attacks

Wollenberg, D. van den

Citation

Wollenberg, D. van den. (2026, September 11). *Crises as a mirror of the nation: the role of morality, nationalism, and religion in creating ontological security in the wake of MH17 and the Brussels Attacks*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/4309527>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/4309527>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Crises as a Mirror of the Nation

*The Role of Morality, Nationalism, and Religion in creating Ontological Security
in the wake of MH17 and the Brussels Attacks*

Daan van den Wollenberg

Typography & Layout: Multimedia NLDA
Cover design: AI generated and optimised by Ridderprint BV.
Printed by: Repro FBD

ISBN: 9789493124516

© Daan van den Wollenberg

All rights reserved. Without limiting the rights under copyright reserved above, no part of this book may be reproduced, stored in or introduced into a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means (electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise) without the written permission of both the copyright owner and the author of the book.

Crises as a Mirror of the Nation

The Role of Morality, Nationalism, and Religion in creating Ontological Security
in the wake of MH17 and the Brussels Attacks

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van
de graad van doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van rector magnificus prof. dr. S. de Rijcke,
volgens besluit van het college voor promoties
te verdedigen op vrijdag 11 september 2026
klokke 10.00 uur

door

Daan van den Wollenberg
geboren te Mill en Sint Hubert
in 1988

Promotores:

Prof.dr. T.B.F.M. Brinkel

Prof.dr. N.J.G. van Willigen

Promotiecommissie:

Prof.drs. R.A. Icaza Garza (Wetenschappelijk directeur van het Instituut voor Politieke Wetenschap / voorzitter)

Prof.dr. R. Torenvlied (Universiteit Twente)

Prof.dr. P. Pijpers (Universiteit van Amsterdam / Nederlandse Defensie Academie)

Prof.dr. R.A. Boin

Prof.dr. M.G.W. den Boer

Prof.dr. S.L. Kuipers

This dissertation was financially and factually supported by the Royal Netherlands Army and Ministry of Defence. The views and opinions in this dissertation are and remain of the author's and do not necessarily reflect those of the Dutch government or the Ministry of Defence.

Table of contents

Inhoudsopgave

1. Introduction	17
1.1 Context.....	18
1.2 Framing the problem.....	21
1.3 Formulating the research question.....	24
1.4 Relevance	26
1.4.1 Academic relevance.....	26
1.4.2 Societal relevance	27
1.5 Research strategy.....	28
1.5.1 Comparative case study	29
1.6 Structure.....	30
2. Theoretical framework: ontological security theory	33
2.1 Introduction	33
2.1.1 Ontological security in international relations	33
2.1.2 Level of analysis debate	34
2.1.3 Criticism	39
2.1.4 Relevance of moral discourse.....	40
2.1.5 Subconclusion	41
2.2 Ontological security.....	42
2.2.1 Existence.....	43
2.2.2 Finitude of life	44
2.2.3 Existence of others.....	46
2.2.4 Self-identity: a personal biography.....	48
2.2.5 Subconclusion	53
2.3 Ontological insecurity.....	53
2.3.1 Inducing anxiety.....	54
2.3.2 Emotive responses to anxiety	55
2.3.3 Coping strategies.....	57
2.3.4 Subconclusion	59
2.4 Conclusion.....	60
3. Methodology	63
3.1 Introduction	63
3.2 Strategy: discourse analysis.....	63
3.2.1 Whose discourse? Unit of analysis	64
3.2.2 What data: data collection	65
3.2.2.1 Document analysis	66

3.2.2.2 Semi-structured elite interviews	66
3.3 How to analyse: problem perception analysis.....	68
3.3.1 Nationalism.....	69
3.3.1.1 Nationalist discourse identifiers	70
3.3.2 Religion	71
3.3.2.1 Religious discourse identifiers.....	72
3.3.3 Morality.....	72
3.3.3.1 Moral discourse identifiers.....	74
3.3.4 Framework for analysis	74
3.4 Case selection	75
3.5 Quality considerations.....	77
3.6 Conclusion.....	78
4. Narrating the response to the downing of flight MH17	81
4.1 Introduction.....	81
4.1.1 Context.....	81
4.1.1.1 Prelude	81
4.1.1.2 Downing of MH17.....	83
4.1.1.3 Aftermath.....	84
4.1.2 Discursive agents	85
4.1.3 Sources.....	85
4.1.4 Structure.....	86
4.2 Responding to crisis (17 July 2014 – 7 Aug 2014).....	86
4.2.1 Downing of Malaysia Airlines flight MH17.....	87
4.2.1.1 ‘Biggest aviation disaster’	87
4.2.1.2 ‘We will leave no stone unturned’ - 18 th July 2014	89
4.2.1.2.1 Intermediate update	89
4.2.1.2.2 Planned press conference	90
4.2.1.2.3 First address UNSC	91
4.2.1.3 ‘Putin must take responsibility’ - 19 th July 2014	92
4.2.1.4 ‘Repatriation of the victims has top priority’ - 20 th July 2014.....	95
4.2.2 Addressing Parliament and the Security Council	97
4.2.2.1 Briefing Parliament – 21 st July 2014.....	97
4.2.2.2 Positive outlook – 21 st July 2014	99
4.2.2.3 Addressing the UNSC – 21 st July 2014	100
4.2.2.4 First flight home – 22 nd July 2014.....	104
4.2.2.4.1 Obituary.....	104
4.2.2.4.2 Daily press conference	105
4.2.3 The Day of National Mourning	107
4.2.3.1 National Mourning – 23 rd July 2014.....	107

4.2.3.2 National guidelines.....	109
4.2.3.3 From Kharkiv to Eindhoven Airport.....	110
4.2.3.4 Arrival at Eindhoven Airport	111
4.2.3.5 En route to Hilversum	114
4.2.4 Military intervention	115
4.2.4.1 Royal Netherlands Marechaussee to Ukraine – 24 th July 2014	118
4.2.4.2 No military intervention – 27 th July 2014	120
4.2.4.3 Latest developments – 1 st august 2014	121
4.2.4.4 ‘We’re stopping for now’ – 6 th august 2014	122
4.2.5 Subconclusion	124
4.2.5.1 Moral discourse.....	125
4.2.5.2 Nationalist discourse.....	125
4.2.5.3 Religious discourse.....	126
4.3 Repatriation and remembrance (8 August 2014 – 17 July 2015)	126
4.3.1 Initial report of the Dutch Security Board	126
4.3.1.1 Response to preliminary findings.....	127
4.3.2 International interaction.....	129
4.3.2.1 Preliminary findings at the UNSC.....	129
4.3.2.2 Addressing the UN General Assembly.....	131
4.3.3 Consternation	133
4.3.3.1 Oxygen mask	133
4.3.3.2 Contentious Parliament	135
4.3.4 National remembrance	139
4.3.4.1 Day of National Remembrance.....	140
4.3.4.1.1 Symbolism	140
4.3.4.1.2 Speech of the Prime Minister.....	142
4.3.4.2 First annual commemoration	143
4.3.4.2.1 Symbolism	143
4.3.4.2.2 Speech of the Prime Minister.....	144
4.3.5 Subconclusion	145
4.3.5.1 Moral discourse	146
4.3.5.2 Nationalist discourse	146
4.3.5.3 Religious discourse.....	147
4.4 Forensic and criminal investigation (18 July 2015 – 23 May 2018)	147
4.4.1 A UN tribunal for MH17	148
4.4.1.1 Defending a draft.....	149
4.4.1.2 Response of the Prime Minister.....	151
4.4.2 Definitive findings of the Dutch Safety Board	152
4.4.2.1 Press conference	153
4.4.3 Continued contention.....	154

4.4.3.1 Public lecture	154
4.4.3.2 False witness.....	156
4.4.4 Preliminary findings of the Joint Investigation Team	157
4.4.4.1 Responses by the Government	159
4.4.4.2 Interlude	160
4.4.5 Subconclusion.....	161
4.4.5.1 Moral discourse.....	161
4.4.5.2 Nationalist discourse.....	162
4.4.5.3 Religious discourse.....	162
4.5 Invoking state responsibility (24 May 2018 – 17 July 2019)	163
4.5.1. Russian culpability.....	163
4.5.1.1 Blok's announcement.....	164
4.5.1.2 Rutte's follow-up	166
4.5.2 Addressing the United Nations	167
4.5.2.1 Addressing the UN Security Council.....	167
4.5.2.2 Blok's rebuttal	169
4.5.2.3 Addressing the General Assembly.....	170
4.5.3 Five-year of remembrance	172
4.5.3.1 Malaysian international politics.....	172
4.5.3.2 Special remembrance ceremony.....	175
4.5.4 Subconclusion.....	177
4.5.4.1 Moral discourse.....	177
4.5.4.2 Nationalist discourse.....	178
4.5.4.3 Religious discourse.....	179
4.6 Analysis	179
4.6.1 Moral discourse.....	179
4.6.2 Nationalist discourse.....	182
4.6.3 Religious discourse.....	183
4.7 Conclusion.....	184
5. Narrating the response to the Brussels Attacks	187
5.1 Introduction	187
5.1.1 Context.....	187
5.1.1.1 Prelude	187
5.1.1.2 Terrorist attacks	189
5.1.1.3 Aftermath.....	190
5.1.2 Discursive agents	191
5.1.3 Sources.....	191
5.1.4 Structure.....	192
5.2 Responding to crisis (22 March 2016 – 2 April 2016).....	192

5.2.1 Explosions in Brussels	193
5.2.1.1 United against terrorism.....	193
5.2.1.2 Attack on our way of life	195
5.2.1.3 Towards the media	197
5.2.1.3.1 VTM-News Broadcast.....	198
5.2.1.3.2 Other media	199
5.2.1.4 Lighting a candle.....	200
5.2.2 National mourning	201
5.2.2.1 National mourning.....	201
5.2.2.2 Remembrance ceremony	203
5.2.2.3 First Minister in Parliament	206
5.2.3 Resignation	208
5.2.3.1 Morning news.....	208
5.2.3.3 Resignation.....	209
5.2.3.3.1 Reconstruction.....	211
5.2.3.4 Explaining resignation	213
5.2.3.5 United parliamentary committee of inquiry	214
5.2.3.5.1 Ministerial statements.....	215
5.2.3.5.2 Politization	216
5.2.4 Serenity.....	218
5.2.4.1 Jambon's reflection	219
5.2.4.2 Response by Geens	220
5.2.4.3 Michel responds.....	221
5.2.4.4 Michel's reflection.....	222
5.2.5 Subconclusion	223
5.2.5.1 Moral discourse.....	224
5.2.5.2 Nationalist discourse	224
5.2.5.3 Religious discourse.....	225
5.3 International reputation (3 April 2016 – 31 January 2017).....	225
5.3.1 International media	225
5.3.1.1 First international interview.....	226
5.3.1.2 International press conference	228
5.3.2.3 Criticism in the European Parliament.....	230
5.3.2.3.1 Statement by Jambon	230
5.3.2.3.2 Statement by Geens.....	231
5.3.2.3.3 Interaction.....	232
5.3.2 Role of religion.....	233
5.3.2.1 Muslims dancing	234
5.3.2.2 Joint statement religious services	236
5.3.3 National revitalization.....	237

5.3.3.1 Welcome to Brussels Airport.....	238
5.3.3.2 National tribute to the victims.....	239
5.3.3.3 Acknowledgment of emergency services	241
5.3.4 New political year.....	241
5.3.4.1 Declaration Federal Government of Belgium 2016.....	242
5.3.4.2 Parliamentary hearings	243
5.3.4.2.1 Hearing the Ministers.....	244
5.3.4.2.2 Additional public hearing.....	245
5.3.4.3 Reception for the governments	246
5.3.5 Subconclusion.....	247
5.3.5.1 Moral discourse	248
5.3.5.2 Nationalist discourse	249
5.3.5.3 Religious discourse	249
5.4 One year passed (1 February 2017 – 28 February 2018).....	250
5.4.1 First anniversary	250
5.4.1.1 Reflections.....	250
5.4.1.1.1 Interview First Minister Michel.....	250
5.4.1.1.2 Interviews Jambon and Geens.....	251
5.4.1.2 First anniversary	252
5.4.1.2.1 Zaventem Airport	252
5.4.1.2.2 Maalbeek metro station	253
5.4.1.2.3 Schuman Square	253
5.4.1.2.4 Other initiatives.....	253
5.4.1.2.5 Subconclusion.....	254
5.4.1.3 Accommodating the victims	255
5.4.3 Final committees report	257
5.4.3.1 Declaration Federal Government of Belgium 2017.....	258
5.4.3.2 Final committee report.....	258
5.4.3.3 Reducing the threat level.....	260
5.4.4 Subconclusion	261
5.4.4.1 Moral discourse	262
5.4.4.2 Nationalist discourse	262
5.4.4.3 Religious discourse	263
5.5 Remembrance (1 March 2018 – 22 March 2021).....	263
5.5.1 Anniversaries	263
5.5.1.1 Remembrance 2018	263
5.5.1.2 Remembrance 2019	265
5.5.1.3 Remembrance 2020.....	265
5.5.2 Michel's departure.....	266
5.5.2.1 Contextualizing discourse	267

5.5.2.2 Farewell letter	268
5.5.3 Milestone remembrance	269
5.5.3.1 New government	270
5.5.3.1.1 Context of terrorism	270
5.5.3.2 Five-year remembrance	271
5.5.3.2.1 Zaventem Airport	272
5.5.3.2.2 Maalbeek metro station	272
5.5.3.2.3 Schuman Square	273
5.5.4 Subconclusion	274
5.5.4.1 Moral discourse	275
5.5.4.2 Nationalist discourse	275
5.5.4.3 Religious discourse	276
5.6 Analysis	276
5.6.1 Moral discourse	277
5.6.2 Nationalist discourse	279
5.6.3 Religious discourse	279
5.7 Conclusion	280
6. Analysis	283
6.1 Introduction	283
6.2 Emotive response to induced anxiety	283
6.2.1 Emotive response to the downing of MH17	283
6.2.2 Emotive response to the Brussels Attacks	284
6.2.3 Eliciting change	285
6.3 Utility of discourse categories	289
6.3.1 Moral discourse	290
6.3.2 Nationalist discourse	293
6.3.3 Religious discourse	295
6.3.4 The role of categories	296
6.4. Discursive intention	297
6.4.1 Discursive improvisation	297
6.4.2 Intentional application	298
6.4.3 Perpetuation through socialization	301
6.5 Individual agency	302
6.5.1 Proximity to the crisis	302
6.6 Conclusion	304
7. Conclusion	307
7.1 Introduction	307
7.2 Findings	307

7.3 Theoretical implications.....	308
7.4 Limitations and directions for future research	309
Bibliography	313
Literature.....	313
Sources	327
Annex I List of respondents	381
Annex II Annotated datasheets the downing of flight MH17	385
Prime Minister.....	385
Minister of Foreign Affairs.....	448
Minister of Justice	462
Annex III Annotated datasheets the Brussels Attacks	467
The First Minister	467
The Minister of Home Affairs	506
The Minister of Justice	520
Samenvatting (Dutch)	529
Acknowledgements	533
Curriculum Vitae.....	537

