



**Universiteit
Leiden**
The Netherlands

Assessment and learning engagement in massive open online courses

Wei, X.

Citation

Wei, X. (2026, June 25). *Assessment and learning engagement in massive open online courses*. *ICLON PhD Dissertation Series*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/4307435>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/4307435>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Assessment and Learning Engagement in Massive Open Online Courses

Xiaomei Wei



Universiteit
Leiden
ICLON

ICLON, Leiden University Graduate School of Teaching

ico

The research was carried out in the context of the *Dutch Interuniversity Center for Educational Sciences*.

The research was supported by the *Chinese Council Scholarship-Leiden University Joint Scholarship Program*.

Title: Assessment and learning engagement in massive open online courses

Titel: Beoordeling en studentbetrokkenheid in grootschalige open online cursussen

ICLON PhD Dissertation Series

Print: Mostert & Van Onderen!

Cover design: Xiaomei Wei

Lay-out: Xiaomei Wei

ISBN/EAN: 978-94-90383-55-8

© 2026, Xiaomei Wei

All rights reserved. No part of this thesis may be reproduced, stored in retrieval systems, or transmitted in any form by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without the prior written permission of the author.

Assessment and learning engagement in massive open online courses

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van

de graad van doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,

op gezag van rector magnificus prof.dr. S. de Rijcke,

volgens besluit van het college voor promoties

te verdedigen op donderdag 25 juni 2026

klokke 14.30 uur

door

Xiaomei Wei

geboren te Chengdu, China

Promotor

Prof.dr. W.F. Admiraal

Co-promotor

Prof.dr. N. Saab

Promotiecommissie

Prof.dr. B.A. Barendregt (Decaan Graduate School/ voorzitter)

Prof.dr. R.M. van der Rijst

Prof.dr. F. Paas, Erasmus Universiteit Rotterdam

Prof.dr. L. Kester, Universiteit Maastricht

Dr. L.S. Post

Table of contents

Chapter 1 General introduction	9
1.1. Introduction	10
1.2. The aim of this dissertation	12
1.3. Theoretical framework	12
1.3.1. Self-determination theory	13
1.3.2. The expectancy-value theory of achievement motivation	14
1.3.3. The theory of cognitive engagement	15
1.4. Learning engagement and learning outcomes	16
1.4.1. Learning engagement	16
1.4.2. Assessment of learning outcomes	16
1.4.3. Perceived learning outcomes	17
1.5. Factors that affect learner learning in MOOCs	18
1.5.1. Attitudes	18
1.5.2. Motivation	18
1.5.3. Expectancy-value beliefs	19
1.5.4. Perceived learning support	22
1.5.5. Self-regulated learning	23
1.5.6. Social construction of knowledge in forum discussions	24
1.6. Research objective	26
1.7. Dissertation outline	28
Chapter 2	
Assessment of cognitive, behavioral, and affective learning outcomes in massive open online courses: A systematic literature review	37
2.1. Introduction	39
2.2. Learning assessment in MOOCs	40
2.3. Methods	41
2.3.1. Search	42
2.3.2. Selection	42
2.3.3. Data analysis	44
2.4. Results	45
2.4.1. Learning outcomes assessed in MOOCs	45
2.4.2. Instrument types and characteristics	55
2.5. Discussion	72

2.5.1. Assessment of cognitive outcomes	72
2.5.2. Assessment of behavioral and affective outcomes	74
2.6. Limitations and future research	76
2.7. Concluding remarks	77

Chapter 3

Do learners share the same perceived learning outcomes in MOOCs? Identifying the role of motivation, perceived learning support, learning engagement, and self-regulated learning strategies	79
3.1. Introduction	81
3.2. Literature review	82
3.2.1. Motivation	82
3.2.2. Perceived learning support	84
3.2.3. Learning engagement	85
3.2.4. Self-regulated learning strategies	85
3.2.5. Perceived learning outcomes	86
3.2.6. Aim of this study	86
3.3. Methods	88
3.3.1. Course context	88
3.3.2. Participants and procedures	89
3.3.3. Measuring instruments	90
3.3.4. Data analysis	96
3.4. Results	100
3.4.1. Motivation of learners' participation in MOOCs	100
3.4.2. Relationship between motivation and perceived learning support, learning engagement, self-regulated learning strategies, and perceived learning outcomes	101
3.4.3. The mediating role of self-regulated learning strategies	107
3.5. Discussion	112
3.5.1. Motivation for attending MOOCs	113
3.5.2. Motivation that relates to perceived learning support, learning engagement, self-regulated learning strategies, and perceived learning outcomes	113
3.5.3. Self-regulated learning strategies, perceived learning support, and learning engagement that relate to perceived learning outcomes	115
3.5.4. Practical implications	116
3.5.5. Limitations and future research	117
3.6. Conclusion	118

Chapter 4

What rationale would work? Unfolding the role of learners' attitudes and motivation in predicting learning engagement and perceived learning outcomes in MOOCs 121

4.1. Introduction	123
4.2. Theoretical framework	125
4.2.1. Learning engagement and perceived learning outcomes	125
4.2.2. The expectancy-value theory	126
4.2.3. Aim of this study	130
4.3. Methods	132
4.3.1. Procedure and participants	132
4.3.2. Measuring instruments	134
4.3.3. Data analysis	136
4.4. Results	137
4.4.1. Measurement validation	137
4.4.2. Structural model	143
4.4.3. Mediated relations	143
4.4.4. Moderated relations	145
4.5. Discussion	149
4.5.1. Attitudes as the precursor of participation in MOOCs	149
4.5.2. The importance of self-efficacy for learning in MOOCs	150
4.5.3. The substantial direct and mediating effects of intrinsic value on learning in MOOCs	150
4.5.4. Task effort cost as a moderator in the relationship between attitudes, learning engagement, and perceived learning outcomes in MOOCs	150
4.5.5. Practical implications	152
4.5.6. Limitations and future research	153
4.6. Conclusions	154

Chapter 5

Would you be an active learner in MOOC discussion forums? The interplay of motivation, social interaction, and cognitive engagement 157

5.1. Introduction	159
5.2. Literature review	160
5.2.1. Cognitive engagement in MOOC discussion forums	160
5.2.2. The interactive, constructive, active, and passive theory of cognitive engagement	161
5.2.3. Motivation	162
5.2.4. Social interaction	164
5.2.5. Aim of this study	165

5.3. Methods	166
5.3.1. Course context and participants	166
5.3.2. Measuring instruments	169
5.3.3. Data analysis	177
5.4. Results	179
5.4.1. Modes of cognitive engagement	179
5.4.2. The relationships between autonomous motivation and cognitive engagement modes	185
5.4.3. The relationships between social interaction and cognitive engagement modes	189
5.5. Discussion	194
5.5.1. Cognitive engagement modes	194
5.5.2. Autonomous motivation and cognitive engagement	195
5.5.3. The complex interplay between social interaction and cognitive engagement	196
5.5.4. Practical implications	199
5.5.5. Limitations and future research	200
5.6. Conclusion	201
Chapter 6 General discussion	205
6.1. Introduction	206
6.2. Main findings per chapter	206
6.3. General discussion of main findings	211
6.3.1. Constructive alignment matters for effective learning in MOOCs	212
6.3.2. The role of motivation in driving learner-determined learning in MOOCs	217
6.3.3. The social construction of knowledge in MOOCs	220
6.4. Reflections and future research avenues	222
6.5. Implications for practice	223
6.5.1. Implementing constructive alignment in MOOCs to promote effective learning	223
6.5.2. Stimulating autonomous motivation by need-supportive teaching in MOOCs	224
6.5.3. Enhancing the quality of social construction of knowledge in MOOCs	226
References	229
Appendices	257
Summary	273
Nederlandse samenvatting	279
Curriculum vitae	285
Publications and presentations	287
Acknowledgements	289
ICLON PhD Dissertation Series	293