



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Anatomy of the EU tax list: a case-study on EU external tax policy

Casano, F.

Citation

Casano, F. (2026, May 8). *Anatomy of the EU tax list: a case-study on EU external tax policy*. Meijers-reeks. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/4303321>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/4303321>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Anatomy of the EU tax list

Anatomy of the EU tax list

A case-study on EU external tax policy

PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van
de graad van doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van rector magnificus prof. dr. S. de Rijcke
volgens besluit van het college voor promoties
te verdedigen op vrijdag 8 mei 2026
klokke 10.00 uur

door

Federica Casano

geboren te Italië

in 1995

Promotoren: prof. dr. J.L van de Streek
Co-promotoren: dr. J.M.W. Pool
dr. M.F. Nouwen

Promotiecommissie: prof. dr. J. Vleggeert
dr. L.C.J. van Apeldoorn
dr. A. Titus (University of Cape Town)
prof. dr. E. Traversa (Université Catholique de Louvain)
prof. dr. A. Regan (University College Dublin)

The author was enrolled as a PhD candidate at Leiden University throughout the duration of the project and completed the dissertation under the supervision of academics from Leiden University.

Primary funding for this research was provided by Leiden University, supplemented by a grant from the Adessium Foundation to Leiden University. The research was conducted independently; the author has no affiliation or employment relationship with the Adessium Foundation.

The author undertook an internship at the European Commission during the PhD trajectory; the internship was unrelated to the research presented in this study.

Opmaak binnenwerk: Anne-Marie Krens – Tekstbeeld – Oegstgeest
Omslagontwerp: Primo!Studio – Delft
Drukwerk: Ipskamp Printing – Amsterdam

ISBN 978 94 6536 109 3

© 2026 F. Casano

Behoudens de in of krachtens de Auteurswet gestelde uitzonderingen mag niets uit deze uitgave worden verveelvoudigd, opgeslagen in een geautomatiseerd gegevensbestand, of openbaar gemaakt, in enige vorm of op enige wijze, hetzij elektronisch, mechanisch, door fotokopieën, opnamen of enige andere manier, zonder voorafgaande schriftelijke toestemming van de uitgever.

Voor zover het maken van reprografische verveelvoudigingen uit deze uitgave is toegestaan op grond van artikel 16h Auteurswet dient men de daarvoor wettelijk verschuldigde vergoedingen te voldoen aan de Stichting Reprorecht (Postbus 3051, 2130 KB Hoofddorp, www.reprorecht.nl). Voor het overnemen van (een) gedeelte(n) uit deze uitgave in bloemlezingen, readers en andere compilatiewerken (art. 16 Auteurswet) kan men zich wenden tot de Stichting PRO (Stichting Publicatie- en Reproductierechten Organisatie, Postbus 3060, 2130 KB Hoofddorp, www.stichting-pro.nl).

No part of this book may be reproduced in any form, by print, photoprint, microfilm or any other means without written permission from the publisher.

A Tommaso

Acknowledgements

This dissertation represents the culmination of an extensive period of research, study, and analysis – an endeavour that would not have been possible without a constructive and supportive environment. Throughout my academic life, I have been fortunate to meet and be mentored by brilliant people who have shaped my research interests, skills, and passion.

I am deeply grateful to my supervisors, Prof. Jan van de Streek, Dr. Jessie Pool and Dr. Martijn Nouwen, for their invaluable guidance, continuous support, and encouragement throughout this journey. Their feedback and mentorship not only shaped this work but also instilled in me an appreciation for the beauty of academic research and the rigor of scientific dialogue – the art of trying, and trying again, better.

I extend my sincere thanks to all research interviewees, whose participation made this study possible and enriched its value. Your insights and generosity with your time are deeply appreciated. I would also like to thank the Adessium Foundation for making my research possible by awarding a grant to Leiden University.

I am also grateful to the members of my PhD Committee – Dr. Afton Titus, Prof. Edoardo Traversa, Prof. Aidan Regan, Prof. Jan Vleggeert, Dr. Laurens van Apeldoorn, and Dr. Elody Hutten – for their careful review of my dissertation and valuable feedback.

My thanks go to the vibrant academic community at Leiden University and to the many great academics I have met over the years for their thoughtful and inspirational comments, which have helped refine and support my research trajectory. I am equally thankful to the strong academic community at Tilburg University for encouraging me to pursue academic research, and to Prof. Benjamin van Rooij (University of Amsterdam), whose introduction to empirical legal research has profoundly shaped this project and those that will follow.

I would also like to thank my new colleagues at the University of Leeds – particularly on the tax side, Prof. Rita de la Feria and former colleague Dr. Leopoldo Parada, but also Ilias, Anna, Virag, Ilaria, Paolo, Andrea, Will, James, Lydia, Rosy, and many more – for creating a welcoming and intellectually stimulating environment.

On a personal note, I wish to express my heartfelt gratitude to Simon, my supportive and loving partner, and to all my friends – especially Juliana, Jalpa, Yannick, Lily, Mattia, Costanza, Stefano, and Jeanne, as well as my PhD

companions (Tim, Josephin, Matthijs, Frederik, Bastiaan, Jurian, Elody, Paloma). Thank you for the countless conversations – tax-related and otherwise – for the laughter, hugs, and unwavering support that brightened both the sunny days and the rainy ones.

Infine, i miei più sentiti ringraziamenti vanno ai miei genitori e a mia sorella, per il loro costante ed instancabile sostegno e il loro positivo (ma attento) sguardo alla vita. Siete la mia roccia, i miei pilastri portanti, la mia bussola e il mio rifugio.

Table of contents

LIST OF FIGURES AND BOXES	XV
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	XIX
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.1.1 Introduction to the research topic	2
1.1.2 Literature review, research motivation, and research questions	5
1.1.3 Research scope and contribution	10
1.2 Epistemological position	12
1.3 Research design and methodology	14
1.4 Empirical research methods	15
1.4.1 Data collection	15
1.4.1.1 Documents	16
1.4.1.2 Interviews	19
1.4.2 Data analysis and analytical framework	22
1.4.2.1 Theoretical framework	24
1.4.2.2 Efficacy assessment framework	24
1.4.3 Methodological limitations	27
1.5 Doctrinal research method	29
1.6 Dissertation's structure	29
2 FORMAL ASPECTS IN THE ESTABLISHMENT AND GOVERNANCE OF THE EU TAX LIST	33
2.1 Introduction	33
2.1.1 Methodology	34
2.1.2 Chapter's structure	35
2.1.3 Conclusions preview	35
2.2 The development of the concept of tax good governance	39
2.2.1 The concept of tax good governance as the inspiration of the EU tax list	39
2.2.2 The tax good governance clause	43
2.3 The formal actors of the EU tax list	46
2.3.1 The Code of Conduct Group	48
2.3.1.1 The political considerations in the COCG	52
2.3.1.1.1 Political considerations in the EU tax list: USA, Turkey, and Mauritius	54

2.3.2	The role of other Council's bodies: the Coreper, the High Level Working Party, the ECOFIN Council, and the General Secretariat of the Council	59
2.3.2.1	The Coreper	59
2.3.2.2	The High Level Working Party	60
2.3.2.3	The ECOFIN Council	61
2.3.2.4	The General Secretariat of the Council	62
2.3.3	The role of the EU Member States	63
2.3.3.1	The role of the EU Member States outside the Council	65
2.3.4	The role of the European Commission	66
2.4	Discussions of findings	68
2.4.1	Understanding the governance and history of the EU tax list through liberal intergovernmentalism	68
2.4.2	Understanding the governance and history of the EU tax list through the Open Method of Coordination	71
2.4.3	Politics and diplomacy in the decision-making process of the EU tax list	73
2.5	Summary and conclusions	74
3	INFORMAL ASPECTS IN THE ESTABLISHMENT AND GOVERNANCE OF THE EU TAX LIST	77
3.1	Introduction	77
3.1.1	Methodology	78
3.1.2	Chapter's structure	78
3.1.3	Conclusions preview	79
3.2	Informal aspects in the origins of the EU tax list	82
3.2.1	The Platform for Tax Good Governance	85
3.2.2	The work of the PTGG towards the EU tax list	88
3.2.2.1	Proposal one: coordinated blacklists in all EU Member States	88
3.2.2.2	Proposal two: the Pan-EU tax list	92
3.2.2.3	Proposal three: the EU tax list	97
3.3	Informal governance of the EU tax list	103
3.3.1	The informal role of the European Commission	103
3.3.2	The role of the European Parliament	106
3.3.2.1	Interinstitutional relations in the context of the EU tax list	108
3.3.2.2	The (in)direct impact of the European Parliament on the EU tax list	111
3.3.3	The role of NGOs	122
3.3.4	The role of the media	131
3.4	Theoretical discussion on the empirical findings	135
3.4.1	Historical institutionalism in the EU tax list	135
3.4.2	Sociological institutionalism and social constructivism in the EU tax list	137
3.4.3	Power theories	142
3.5	Summary and conclusions	144

4	FORMAL AND INFORMAL GOALS OF THE EU TAX LIST	149
4.1	Introduction	149
4.1.1	Methodology	150
4.1.2	Chapter's structure	152
4.1.3	Conclusions preview	152
4.2	Identifying the goals of the EU tax list	154
4.2.1	Unclearity on the goals of the EU tax list	154
4.2.1.1	An explanation for the diversity of interpretation of the EU tax list	156
4.2.2	Categorizing the goals of the EU tax list	157
4.2.2.1	Goal-group 1: increasing coordination in the EU tax external strategy	157
4.2.2.2	Goal-group 2: fighting tax avoidance by tackling harmful tax competition worldwide	159
4.2.2.3	Goal-group 3: the EU as influencer of the international tax scene	160
4.2.2.4	Goal-group 4: supporting the EU economy by protecting the EU internal market and boosting its competitiveness	164
4.2.2.5	Goal-group 5: cooperating to boost national local development	168
4.2.2.6	The interconnection among the goals of the EU tax list	171
4.3	Comparing the goals of the EU tax list to its governance	175
4.3.1	Alignment with the competitiveness goal and the anti-tax avoidance goal	175
4.3.2	Alignment with the EU-influencer goal	177
4.3.3	Alignment with the coordination goal	178
4.3.4	Alignment with the cooperation goal	179
4.4	Summary and conclusions	180
5	GEOGRAPHICAL SCOPE AND LISTING PROCESS OF THE EU TAX LIST	183
5.1	Introduction	183
5.1.1	Methodology	183
5.1.2	Chapter's structure	184
5.1.3	Conclusions preview	184
5.2	The geographical scope of the EU tax list	192
5.2.1	The data sources of the scoreboard and the data update	194
5.2.2	The extension of the geographical scope	195
5.2.3	Reflections on the geographical scope of the EU tax list: targeting the right countries?	197
5.2.4	Comparing the geographical scope with the goals of the EU tax list	204
5.3	The listing process of the EU tax list	205
5.3.1	The pre-selection	206
5.3.2	The screening process	206
5.3.3	The engagement with non-EU countries	216
5.3.4	Listing	222

5.3.5	Monitoring	225
5.3.6	Reflections on the listing process of the EU tax list	228
5.3.6.1	Technical and political nature of the listing process	228
5.3.6.2	Setting deadlines for non-EU countries	229
5.3.6.3	The engagement phase	230
5.3.7	Comparing the listing process with the goals of the EU tax list	241
5.4	Summary and conclusions	244
6	EU LISTING CRITERIA AND CONNECTION WITH THE OECD	247
6.1	Introduction	247
6.1.1	Methodology	250
6.1.2	Chapter's structure	250
6.1.3	Conclusions preview	251
6.2	The listing criteria	253
6.2.1	The tax transparency criteria	253
6.2.2	The fair taxation criteria	257
6.2.2.1	The criterion on tax regimes	258
6.2.2.1.1	Preferential tax regimes	259
6.2.2.1.2	Tax regimes of general nature	266
6.2.2.2	The offshore criterion	276
6.2.3	The BEPS minimum standards criteria	292
6.2.4	Monitoring compliance with the listing criteria	293
6.3	Reflections on the listing criteria	296
6.3.1	Interpretative clarity of the listing criteria	296
6.3.2	The technical limitations of the EU listing criteria and their monitoring	297
6.3.3	Impactful aspects of the EU listing criteria	306
6.3.4	Same or double standards between EU vs. non-EU countries?	308
6.3.5	The future of the EU listing criteria	313
6.4	The connection between the OECD and the EU tax list	317
6.5	The comparison between the listing criteria and the goals of the EU tax list	325
6.6	Summary and conclusions	328
7	DETERRENCE IN THE EU TAX LIST	331
7.1	Introduction	331
7.1.1	Methodology	334
7.1.2	Chapter's structure	335
7.1.3	Conclusions preview	336
7.2	Implicit deterrence under the EU tax list: the risk of reputational damage	337
7.2.1	The significance of reputational damage	338
7.3	EU-designed deterrence: EU and national defensive measures	342
7.3.1	EU defensive measures	345
7.3.1.1	The denial of EU funding	345
7.3.1.2	Financial market limitations	352
7.3.1.3	PCbCR and the EU tax list	353

7.3.1.4	Mandatory automatic reporting by intermediaries in connection with the EU tax list	356
7.3.2	National defensive measures	357
7.3.2.1	The evolution and the political struggle behind the coordination of national defensive measures	358
7.3.2.2	Types of national defensive measures and related principles	366
7.3.2.3	Monitoring the effectiveness of national defensive measures	370
7.3.2.4	Trends in the experience of the EU Member States in applying national defensive measures: investment culture, technical legal issues, and national blacklists	372
7.3.3	The EU and national defensive measures under comparison	392
7.4	Deterrence in the EU tax list: reputational damage vs. defensive measures	394
7.5	Reflections on the deterrent elements of the EU tax list	400
7.6	Comparing the goals of the EU tax list with its deterrence	405
7.7	Summary and conclusions	407
8	THE EU TAX LIST THROUGH THE EXPERIENCE OF NON-EU COUNTRIES	411
8.1	Introduction	411
8.1.1	The research scope of the Chapter	412
8.1.2	The research methodology	416
8.1.3	Chapter's structure	417
8.1.4	Conclusions preview	419
8.2	Pseudo-case law on the offshore criterion	420
8.2.1	Tax-free countries: compliance history	423
8.2.2	Tax-free countries: national reforms and compliance strategies	425
8.2.2.1	Apparent compliance	439
8.2.2.2	Minimum compliance	447
8.2.2.3	Reluctant compliance	448
8.2.2.4	Preventive compliance	449
8.2.3	Tax-free countries: compliance strategies as observed in their written communication	451
8.3	Pseudo-case law on tax regimes	454
8.3.1	FSIE regimes	457
8.3.1.1	Selected countries' FSIE regimes, COCG's assessments, and consequential reforms	458
8.3.1.2	Overall considerations on the COCG's assessments and countries' reforms	482
8.3.1.3	Selected countries' compliance behaviours: apparent, reluctant, and preventive compliance	485
8.3.1.4	Selected countries' additional trends: insights from commitment letters	490
8.3.2	Manufacturing regimes	492
8.3.2.1	Selected countries' manufacturing regimes, COCG's assessments, and consequential reforms	493
8.3.2.2	Selected countries' response on manufacturing regimes	505

8.4	Countries' legislative technique: reforms' design and implementation	507
8.5	Overall countries' perception of the EU tax list	510
8.6	Theoretical discussion of findings	523
8.6.1	The impact of the EU tax list on countries' tax policy: the case of legal transplant and queries on its legitimacy	523
8.6.2	Countries' responses and compliance behaviours through constructivist, institutionalist, and rationalist lens	527
8.6.2.1	Applying Sharman's rationalist constructivism to countries' responses to the EU tax list	527
8.6.2.2	Applying Oliver's institutional and resource dependence theories to countries' response to the EU tax list	530
8.6.2.3	Applying Guzman's reputational theories in international law to countries' response to the EU tax list	534
8.6.3	Bargaining power and political dynamics: reflections on developing countries	536
8.7	Comparing countries' experiences of the EU tax list with the list's goals	542
8.8	Summary and conclusions	544
9	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
9.1	Research Summary	551
9.1.1	Problem statement and research question	551
9.1.2	Answering the research question: research findings	551
9.2	Policy recommendations	552
9.3	Direction for future research	554
9.4	Final remarks	558
		560
	SUMMARY	561
	SAMENVATTING (DUTCH SUMMARY)	507
	BIBLIOGRAPHY	573
	APPENDICES	611
I	Glossary	613
II	Table of interviewees and reference coding	615
III	Topic list used in interviews	617
IV	Code-groups for interviews data analysis	619
V	Examples of EU's and non-EU countries' letters	623
VI	Examples of countries investigated under each listing criterion	645
VII	Overview of EU Member States defensive measures	657
VIII	COCG's pseudo-case law on the offshore criterion and the tax-regimes criterion (FSIE regimes and manufacturing regimes)	677
	CURRICULUM VITAE	687

List of figures and boxes

LIST OF FIGURES

Chapter 1

- Fig. 1.1: Crotty's representation of the interaction among epistemology, theoretical framework, methodology, and methods
- Fig. 1.2: Schematization of epistemology, theoretical perspective, methodology, and methods in this research
- Fig. 1.3: Thematic relation between the dissertation and its theoretical framework

Chapter 2

- Fig. 2.1: The formal historical background of the EU tax list
- Fig. 2.2: Formal actors in the establishment and governance of the EU tax list
- Fig. 2.3: Council bodies involved in the EU tax list
- Fig. 2.4: Council configurations

Chapter 3

- Fig. 3.1: Schematization of the formal and informal actors in the establishment, development, and governance of the EU tax list: makers and influencers
- Fig. 3.2: Schematization on the formal and informal origins of the EU tax list
- Fig. 3.3: Schematization on the role of influencers towards the origins of the EU tax list
- Fig. 3.4: Steps and policy proposals discussed at the PTGG, leading to the establishment of the EU tax list
- Fig. 3.5: Illustrative example of investment schemes where EU Member States are used as conduit countries for tax avoidance purposes, via tax treaty networks

Chapter 4

- Fig. 4.1: Goals, type of goal, and related data source
- Fig. 4.2: Connections among the goals of the EU tax list
- Fig. 4.3: Connection between the goals and the actors of the EU tax list

Chapter 5

- Fig. 5.1: Comparison between the geographical scope and the goals of the EU tax list
- Fig. 5.2: The five phases of the EU listing process
- Fig. 5.3: The EU listing process from screening to monitoring

- Fig 5.4: Comparison between the goals of the EU tax list and key elements of the listing process

Chapter 6

- Fig. 6.1: Listing criteria
 Fig. 6.2: The listing criteria and their connection with OECD work
 Fig. 6.3: Comparing the listing criteria to the goals of the EU tax list
 Fig. 6.4A: Double-non taxation under FSIE regime (passive income)
 Fig. 6.4B: Double-non taxation under FSIE regime (active income)
 Fig. 6.5: Double-non taxation via tax-free countries
 Fig. 6.6A: CIV and fund manager in the same entity
 Fig. 6.6B: CIV and fund manager are separate entities
 Fig. 6.7: Treaty shopping scheme
 Fig. 6.8: Reciprocal influence EU-OECD tax work

Chapter 7

- Fig. 7.1: Most relevant sources on the establishment of EU and national defensive measures
 Fig. 7.2: Comparison between the goals of the EU tax list and its deterrent tools
 Fig. 7.3: Countries application of defensive measures in 2014-2015 (data source: PTGG, 2016 e)
 Fig. 7.4: Tax-law national defensive measures
 Fig. 7.5: Administrative national defensive measures
 Fig. 7.6: Example application of national defensive measures
 Fig. 7.7: Relation among categories on Member States' implementation of national defensive measures
 Fig. 7.8: Member States' compliance trends on national defensive measures
 Fig. 7.9a: Comparison of measures in 2016 vs. post-EU tax list per Member State
 Fig. 7.9b: National tax-law measures implemented in 2016 vs. post-EU tax list
 Fig. 7.10: Number of national defensive measures per type, per Member State
 Fig. 7.11: Member States' preferences on types of national defensive measures
 Fig. 7.12: Number of countries in the EU blacklist and greylist from 2017 to 2023

Chapter 8

- Fig. 8.1: Representation range of selected non-EU countries in Chapter 8
 Fig. 8.2: Distribution of deficiencies (according to the COCG) among the selected non-EU countries in Chapter 8
 Fig. 8.3: Comparison between the goals of the EU tax list and its features in the experience of analysed non-EU countries
 Fig. 8.4: Selection of COCG's pseudo-case law on the offshore criterion
 Fig. 8.5: Historical data on the listing process under the offshore criterion for selected tax-free jurisdictions (2017-2025)
 Fig. 8.6: Overview compliance behaviour of the analysed tax-free countries
 Fig. 8.7: Graphic representation of identified compliance categories
 Fig. 8.8: Historical data on the listing process under the criterion on tax regimes for selected non-EU countries (2017-2025)
 Fig. 8.9: Selection of pseudo-case law of the COCG on FSIE regimes
 Fig. 8.10: Assessment of Costa Rica's FSIE regime by the COCG

- Fig. 8.11: Assessment of Curaçao's FSIE regime by the COCG
- Fig. 8.12: Assessment of Hong Kong's FSIE regime by the COCG
- Fig. 8.13: Assessment of Mauritius' FSIE regime by the COCG
- Fig. 8.14: Assessment of Panama's FSIE regime by the COCG
- Fig. 8.15: Assessment of Seychelles' FSIE regime by the COCG
- Fig. 8.16: Assessment of Uruguay's FSIE regime by the COCG
- Fig. 8.17: Selection of pseudo-case law of the COCG on manufacturing regimes
- Fig. 8.18: Assessment of Costa Rica's manufacturing regime by the COCG
- Fig. 8.19: Assessment of Curaçao's manufacturing regime by the COCG
- Fig. 8.20: Assessment of Mauritius' manufacturing regime by the COCG
- Fig. 8.21: Assessment of Namibia's EPZ regime by the COCG
- Fig. 8.22: Assessment of Namibia's Exporters regime by the COCG
- Fig. 8.23: Assessment of Seychelles' manufacturing regime by the COCG
- Fig. 8.24: Reforming process

Fig. Box 8.2a: Group's structure

Fig. Box 8.2b: Group's structure

Appendix IV

Fig. 1 Appendix IV: Schematization of coding groups

LIST OF BOXES

Chapter 1

Box 1.1: The FOI requests process and its results

Chapter 2

Box 2.1: The origin of the 'tax good governance' concept

Box 2.2: The geographical scope of the 1997 Code: Paragraph M

Chapter 3

Box 3.1: The inclusion of Australia in the EU tax list

Box 3.2: The inclusion of the Cayman Islands in the EU tax list

Box 3.3: The influence of non-EU countries in the EU tax policy

Chapter 4

Box 4.1: Expressions referring to the competitiveness goal at the PTGG discussions

Box 4.2: Expressions referring to the cooperation goal

Chapter 5

Box 5.1: The selection and risk indicators in the scoreboard of the European Commission

Box 5.2: The 2022 Code of Conduct: Paragraph N on the geographical scope of the Code

Box 5.3: The Bahamas and Antigua and Barbuda: their inclusion in the EU tax list

- Box 5.4: The inclusion of Barbados in the EU tax list
- Box 5.5: Deadline extensions for developing countries
- Box 5.6: Illustration of a commitment letter in Appendix V
- Box 5.7: European Commission's officials dialoguing with non-EU countries
- Box 5.8: Appendix V: a COCG letter to notify a blacklisting decision
- Box 5.9: Explanation of the COCG letter notifying a non-EU country of its updated listing status (Appendix V)

Chapter 6

- Box 6.1: 'Within a reasonable timeframe'
- Box 6.2: Procedural steps for the assessment of tax regimes
- Box 6.3: The economic substance criterion
- Box 6.4: The international-standards criterion
- Box 6.5: COCG's assessment of NID regime in Switzerland
- Box 6.6: The new paragraph of the 2022 EU Code of Conduct for the assessment of regimes of general application
- Box 6.7: Technical details of the offshore criterion's substance test for companies, pure equity holdings, and partnerships
- Box 6.8: The substance requirements of the offshore criterion for Collective Investments Vehicles (CIVs)

Chapter 7

- Box 7.1: The EU funding and investment Regulations
- Box 7.2: Limitation of Member States' financial support
- Box 7.3: Securitization and SSPE
- Box 7.4: The PCbCR Directive
- Box 7.5: The DAC 6
- Box 7.6: Details on COCG overviews monitoring the implementation of national defensive measures

Chapter 8

- Box 8.1: Common pillars of reforms by tax-free countries under the offshore criterion
- Box 8.2: Minor differences among reforms
- Box 8.3: Examples to explain the legislative pillars of economic substance reforms
- Box 8.4: Initial lack of agreement and adequate standards in the reforms of tax-free countries under the offshore criterion
- Box 8.5: The BVI approves new guidelines on substance requirements without COCG's approval

List of abbreviations

1997 Code	Code of Conduct for Business Taxation adopted in 1997
2022 Code	Reformed Code of Conduct for Business Taxation adopted in 2022
AEOI	Automatic exchange of information
AML	Anti-money laundering
AMLD	Anti-money laundering Directive
ATAD	Anti-Tax Abuse Directive
CBCR	Country-by-Country Reporting
CFC	Controlled foreign company
CIT	Corporate income tax
CIV	Collective investments vehicles
CJEU	Court of Justice of the European Union
COCG	Code of Conduct Group
Coreper	Comité des Représentants Permanents (Council of the EU)
CRS	Common Reporting Standards
CJEU	Court of Justice of the EU
DACEU	Directive on Administrative Cooperation
DG DEVCO	Directorate-General for International Cooperation and Development (European Commission)
DG TAXUD	Directorate-General for Taxation and Customs Union (European Commission)
DTC	Double Tax Convention
ECOFIN	Economic and Financial Affairs (Council of the EU)
EIOR	Exchange of information on request
EU	European Union
EU tax list	EU list of non-cooperative jurisdictions for tax purposes
FDI	Financial direct investment
FHTP	(OECD) Forum on Harmful Tax Practices
FISC	Permanent Tax Sub-committee (European Parliament)
FOI	Freedom of information
FSIE	Foreign source income exception (regime)
G20	Argentina, Australia, Brazil, Canada, China, France, Germany, India, Indonesia, Italy, Japan, Mexico, Russia, Saudi Arabia, South Africa, South Korea, Turkey, the UK, the USA, the European Union
G8	Canada, France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Russia, the USA, the UK
GAAR	General Anti-Abuse Rule
Global Forum	(OECD) Global Forum on Transparency and Exchange of Information for Tax Purposes
HLWP	High Level Working Party (Council of the EU)
IP	Intellectual property

MCAA	(OECD) Multilateral Competent Authority Agreement
MCMAA	(OECD) Multilateral Convention on Mutual Administrative Assistance in Tax Matters
MNE	Multinational enterprise
MTC	Model Tax Convention
OECD	Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development
OECD IF	OECD Inclusive Framework
PCBCR	Public Country-by-Country Report
SDGs	Sustainable Development Goals (UN)
SME	Small and medium enterprise
TJN	Tax Justice Network (NGO)
TP	Transfer Pricing
UAE	United Arab Emirates
UK	United Kingdom
UN	United Nations
USA	United States of America