



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Discharge disposition after anterior cervical discectomy and fusion

Karhade, A.V.; Ogink, P.T.; Thio, Q.C.B.S.; Cha, T.D.; Hershman, S.H.; Schoenfeld, A.J.; ... ; Schwab, J.H.

Citation

Karhade, A. V., Ogink, P. T., Thio, Q. C. B. S., Cha, T. D., Hershman, S. H., Schoenfeld, A. J., ... Schwab, J. H. (2019). Discharge disposition after anterior cervical discectomy and fusion. *World Neurosurgery*, 132, E14-E20. doi:10.1016/j.wneu.2019.09.026

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licensed under Article 25fa Copyright Act/Law \(Amendment Taverne\)](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/4303234>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).



Discharge Disposition After Anterior Cervical Discectomy and Fusion

Aditya V. Karhade¹, Paul T. Ogink¹, Quirina C.B.S. Thio¹, Thomas D. Cha¹, Stuart H. Hershman¹, Andrew J. Schoenfeld², Christopher M. Bono¹, Joseph H. Schwab¹

■ **OBJECTIVE:** Age and comorbidity burden of patients going anterior cervical discectomy and fusion (ACDF) have increased significantly over the past 2 decades, resulting in increased expenditures. Non-home discharge after ACDF contributes to increased direct and indirect costs of post-operative care. The purpose of this study was to identify independent prognostic factors for discharge disposition in patients undergoing ACDF.

■ **METHODS:** A retrospective review was conducted at 5 medical centers to identify patients undergoing ACDF for degenerative conditions. The primary outcome was non-home discharge. Additional outcomes considered included discharge to rehabilitation and home discharge with services. Bivariate and multivariable analyses were used to identify independent prognostic factors for non-home discharge.

■ **RESULTS:** Of 2070 patients undergoing ACDF, 114 (5.5%) had non-home discharge and 63 (3.0%) had discharge to inpatient rehabilitation. Factors independently associated with non-home discharge included older age, marital status, Medicare insurance, Medicaid insurance, previous spine surgery, myelopathy, preoperative comorbidities (hemiplegia/paraplegia, congestive heart failure, cerebrovascular accident), anemia, and leukocytosis. C-statistic for the overall model was 0.85. Results were relatively similar for patients younger than the age of 65 years as well as for discharge to inpatient rehabilitation and discharge home with services.

■ **CONCLUSIONS:** Numerous sociodemographic and clinical characteristics influence the risk of non-home discharge

and discharge to inpatient rehabilitation in patients undergoing ACDF. Policy makers and payers should consider these factors when determining appropriate preoperative adjustment for risk-based reimbursements.

INTRODUCTION

The number of anterior cervical discectomy and fusion (ACDF) procedures for degenerative conditions has increased significantly over the past 2 decades.¹⁻⁶ Patient age and comorbidity burden also have increased during this time, resulting in increased health care expenditures. These trends are expected to continue in line with anticipated shifts in the United States toward an older demographic.^{1-3,6-9} In spine surgery overall, postoperative care is a driver of increased resource use. In the setting of risk-based reimbursements, such as Accountable Care or bundled payment models, an increased emphasis must be placed on accurate preoperative risk assessment for expensive postdischarge events such as the use of inpatient rehabilitation or skilled nursing facilities.¹⁰⁻¹²

Efficient preoperative discharge planning has been shown to reduce hospital length of stay and unplanned readmission.¹³ Conversely, unanticipated non-routine discharge adversely influences the length of an admission.^{14,15} Patients remain in the hospital after being medically cleared for discharge while awaiting administrative approval for transfer to a skilled nursing facility or inpatient rehabilitation.^{14,15} Previous studies of spine surgery have used national administrative databases to identify risk factors for non-home discharge.¹⁶⁻¹⁹ However, these registries frequently lack important clinical, surgical, and

Key words

- Anterior cervical discectomy and fusion
- Discharge disposition
- Prediction
- Spine surgery

Abbreviations and Acronyms

ACDF: Anterior cervical discectomy and fusion

From the ¹Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston; and ²Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

To whom correspondence should be addressed: Joseph H. Schwab, M.D., M.S.
[E-mail: jhschwab@mgh.harvard.edu]

Citation: *World Neurosurg.* (2019) 132:e14-e20.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wneu.2019.09.026>

Journal homepage: www.journals.elsevier.com/world-neurosurgery

Available online: www.sciencedirect.com

1878-8750/\$ - see front matter © 2019 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Table 1. Baseline Characteristics of Study Population, *n* = 2070

Variable	<i>n</i> (%) or Median (IQR)
Age, years	51.0 (43.2–58.0)
Female sex	1073 (51.8)
Race	
White	1770 (88.4)
Non-white	232 (11.6)
Ethnicity	
Hispanic	43 (2.1)
Non-Hispanic	1959 (97.9)
Marital status	
Married	1264 (64.0)
Not married	711 (36.0)
Veteran	139 (7.0)
Neighborhood characteristics	
Median household income, \$	79,572 (61,527–95,665)
Median age, years	41.3 (37.9–44.3)
High school graduation rate, %	26 (17–31)
Unemployment rate, %	5.9 (4.7–7.5)
Population density, per square mile	1848 (770–5766)
Neurologic deficit	
Myelopathy	563 (27.2)
Radiculopathy	658 (31.8)
Surgical factors	
Multilevel	969 (46.8)
Previous spine surgery	117 (5.7)
Laboratory values	
Hemoglobin, g/dL	
≥13	1427 (80.6)
<13	343 (19.4)
White blood cell, ×10 ³ /μL	
<11	1618 (91.3)
≥11	154 (8.7)
Platelet, ×10 ³ /μL	
150–450	1702 (82.2)
<150	39 (1.9)
>450	27 (1.3)
Creatinine, mg/dL	
<1.0	1216 (70.0)
≥1.0	520 (30.0)
Insurance	
Medicaid	184 (8.9)
Continues	

Table 1. Continued

Variable	<i>n</i> (%) or Median (IQR)
Medicare	363 (17.5)
Uninsured	48 (2.3)
Private	1475 (71.3)
Comorbidities	
Tobacco use	304 (14.7)
Drug abuse	61 (2.9)
Diabetes	260 (12.6)
Renal failure	28 (1.4)
Metastasis	11 (1.0)
Solid tumor	37 (3.4)
Depression	405 (19.6)
Hemiplegia/paraplegia	67 (3.2)
Psychoses	22 (1.1)
Myocardial infarction	52 (2.5)
Congestive heart failure	37 (1.8)
Peripheral vascular disease	51 (2.5)
Cerebrovascular accident	69 (3.3)
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	373 (18.0)
Arrhythmias	210 (10.1)
Valvular disease	68 (3.3)
Liver disease	73 (3.5)
Discharge	
Non-home	114 (5.5)
Home with services	124 (6.0)
Discharge to inpatient rehab	63 (3.0)
IQR, interquartile range.	

socioeconomic details necessary for adequate evaluation of the true parameters influencing non-home discharge.

The purpose of this study was to identify sociodemographic and clinical characteristics associated with non-home discharge in patients undergoing ACDF at 5 medical centers. Secondarily, we assessed factors associated with discharge to inpatient rehabilitation and discharge home with services.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Guidelines

The following guidelines were used to support the design and reporting of this investigation: Strengthening the Reporting of Observational studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) and the Transparent Reporting of a Multivariable Prediction Models for Individual Prognosis or Diagnosis (TRIPOD).^{20,21}

Table 2. Bivariate Analysis of Non-home Discharge

Variable	Odds Ratio	95% CI	P Value
Age	1.08	1.06–1.10	<0.001
Female sex	0.86	0.59–1.26	0.45
Non-white race	0.41	0.26–0.66	<0.001
Hispanic ethnicity	1.18	0.28–4.96	0.82
Veteran	0.79	0.34–1.84	0.59
Not-married	2.23	1.52–3.26	<0.001
Insurance			
Medicaid	3.76	2.38–5.93	<0.001
Medicare	5.19	3.52–7.64	<0.001
Uninsured	1.58	0.56–4.48	0.39
Neighborhood characteristics			
Median household income	1	1.00–1.00	0.44
High school graduation rate	3.43	0.44–26.8	0.24
Unemployment rate	238.56	0.17–340,367	0.14
Neurologic deficit			
Myelopathy	2.11	1.44–3.11	<0.001
Radiculopathy	0.36	0.21–0.61	<0.001
Surgical factors			
Multilevel	1.54	1.05–2.26	0.03
Previous spine surgery	2.75	1.54–4.91	<0.001
Laboratory values			
Hemoglobin, g/dL <13	3.02	1.95–4.66	<0.001
White blood cell, $\times 10^3/\mu\text{L}$, ≥ 11	2.98	1.75–5.08	<0.001
Platelet, $\times 10^3/\mu\text{L}$, <150	1.53	0.46–5.06	0.49
Platelet, $\times 10^3/\mu\text{L}$, >450	0.71	0.09–5.25	0.73
Creatinine, mg/dL ≥ 1.0	1.44	0.93–2.22	0.1
Comorbidities			
Tobacco use	1.09	0.65–1.84	0.73
Alcohol abuse	3.14	1.51–6.54	0.002
Diabetes	2.42	1.55–3.79	<0.001
Renal failure	7.31	3.14–17.0	<0.001
Metastasis	3.5	1.00–12.26	0.05
Solid tumor	3.27	1.56–6.82	0.002
Hemiplegia/paraplegia	9.38	5.37–16.4	<0.001
Depression	1.43	0.93–2.22	0.11
Psychoses	8.47	3.38–21.2	<0.001
Myocardial infarction	2.78	1.22–6.31	0.01
Congestive heart failure	11.9	5.88–23.6	<0.001
Peripheral vascular disease	2.36	0.98–5.65	0.05
Continues			

Table 2. Continued

Variable	Odds Ratio	95% CI	P Value
Cerebrovascular accident	8.28	4.73–14.5	<0.001
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	1.68	1.09–2.59	0.02
Arrhythmias	2.85	1.79–4.52	<0.001
Valvular disease	3.15	1.56–6.33	0.001
Liver disease	1.87	0.84–4.18	0.13
CI, confidence interval.			

Data Source

Health records review was approved by the institutional review board at our institutions. The study was limited to retrospective review, and individual patient consent was waived. Electronic health records (procedural codes, *International Classification of Diseases* codes, and operative notes) from 5 medical centers between January 1, 2000, and May 30, 2018, were reviewed to identify adult patients (age ≥ 18 years) undergoing ACDF for an operative diagnosis of cervical disc degeneration, herniation, stenosis, and/or other spondylotic condition. Exclusion criteria consisted of procedures that incorporated thoracic or lumbar levels and interventions performed for tumor, trauma, infection, and spinal deformity (e.g., scoliosis, kyphosis).

Outcomes

The primary outcome in this study was discharge disposition. Non-home discharge was defined as any disposition other than home. Secondary outcomes included discharge to inpatient rehabilitation and discharge home with services.

Variables

The following variables were assessed: age (years), sex, race, ethnicity (Hispanic or non-Hispanic), veteran status, marital status (established as married if legally married or in a common law partnership), insurance status (Medicare, Medicaid, uninsured, private insurance), neighborhood characteristics based on the U.S. Census Bureau American Community Survey (median household income, high school graduation or General Equivalency Diploma attainment, unemployment rate), preoperative neurologic deficit (myelopathy, radiculopathy), multilevel surgery, history of any previous spine surgery, laboratory values (white blood cell count [$\times 10^3$ per microliter { μL }], hemoglobin [grams per deciliter {g/dL}], platelet count [$\times 10^3/\mu\text{L}$], creatinine [mg/dL]), and preoperative comorbidities (tobacco use, alcohol abuse, diabetes, renal failure, solid tumor, metastatic cancer, depression, hemiplegia or paraplegia, psychoses, myocardial infarction, congestive heart failure, peripheral vascular disease, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, arrhythmias, valvular disease, liver disease).^{22–26}

Missing Data

Rates of missing data were: race = 68 (3.3%), marital status = 95 (4.6%), white blood cell count = 298 (14.4%), hemoglobin = 300 (14.5%), platelet = 302 (14.6%), creatinine = 334 (16.1%), median household income = 41 (2.0%), high school attainment = 28 (1.4%),

Table 3. Multivariate Analysis of Non-home Discharge, $n = 2070$

Variable	Odds Ratio	95% CI	P Value
Age, years	1.06	1.04–1.08	<0.001
Not married	2.32	1.47–3.66	<0.001
Medicaid	2.60	1.47–4.57	<0.001
Medicare	1.76	1.06–2.91	0.03
Myelopathy	1.69	1.09–2.63	0.02
Previous spine surgery	2.23	1.14–4.36	0.02
Hemoglobin (g/dL) < 13	1.77	1.10–2.85	0.02
White blood cell, $\times 10^3/\mu\text{L}$, ≥ 11	2.61	1.38–4.93	0.003
Congestive heart failure	4.93	2.15–11.3	<0.001
Cerebrovascular accident	4.47	2.30–8.69	<0.001
Hemiplegia/paraplegia	7.21	3.65–14.3	<0.001

CI, confidence interval.

and unemployment rate = 28 (1.4%). Multiple imputation with the missForest machine learning methodology of random forests was used to impute variables with less than 30% missing data.²⁷

Statistics

Descriptive statistics were generated for the baseline characteristics of the study population. Bivariate logistic regression was used to identify unadjusted factors associated with non-home discharge and risk factors identified were considered for inclusion in the multivariable analysis. Multivariable logistic regression with backward stepwise selection was used to identify independent prognostic factors for non-home discharge. Model fit was assessed with discrimination (c-statistic). A sensitivity test was subsequently performed, limiting inclusion to patients younger than the age of 65 years. Statistical significance was set, a priori, for variables that possessed $P < 0.05$ and odds ratios with 95% confidence intervals exclusive of 1.0 following multivariable adjusted analysis.

RESULTS

Descriptive Statistics

We identified 2070 patients with ACDF in this investigation. One thousand seventy-three (51.8%) were female and the median age was 51 (interquartile range = 43–58; **Table 1**). Overall, 114 (5.5%) experienced non-home discharge after ACDF. In addition, 63 (3.0%) were discharged to inpatient rehabilitation and 124 (6.0%) were discharged home with services.

Multivariable Analysis of Non-home Discharge

Factors associated with non-home discharge on bivariate analysis (**Table 2**) were considered candidates in the multivariable analysis. After multivariable analysis, the following independent prognostic factors for non-home discharge were: older age, marital status, Medicaid insurance, Medicare insurance, myelopathy, previous spine surgery, anemia (hemoglobin <13 g/dL), preoperative

Table 4. Multivariate Analysis of Discharge to Inpatient Rehabilitation, $n = 2070$

Variable	Odds Ratio	95% CI	P Value
Age, years	1.07	1.05–1.10	<0.001
Not married	2.89	1.65–5.08	<0.001
White blood cell, $\times 10^3/\mu\text{L}$, ≥ 11	2.49	1.12–5.51	0.03
Congestive heart failure	5.57	2.18–14.3	<0.001
Cerebrovascular accident	3.99	1.83–8.71	<0.001
Hemiplegia/paraplegia	10.63	5.20–21.7	<0.001

CI, confidence interval.

leukocytosis (white blood cell count $\geq 11 \times 10^3/\mu\text{L}$), congestive heart failure, cerebrovascular accident, and hemiplegia or paraplegia (**Table 3**). The c-statistic for the final model was 0.85.

Among patients younger than the age of 65 years, $n = 1820$, the rate of non-home discharge was 75 (4.1%), and independent prognostic factors for non-home discharge were older age, Medicaid insurance, myelopathy, preoperative hemoglobin (anemia), preoperative leukocytosis, cerebrovascular accident, and hemiplegia/paraplegia (**Supplementary Table 1**). The c-statistic for the final model was 0.80.

Multivariable Analysis of Inpatient Rehabilitation Discharge

On multivariable analysis, independent prognostic factors for discharge to inpatient rehabilitation were older age, marital status, leukocytosis, and comorbidities (congestive heart failure, cerebrovascular accident, and hemiplegia or paraplegia) (**Table 4**). The c-statistic for the final model was 0.85.

Multivariate Analysis of Home Discharge with Services

On multivariable analysis of patients discharged home with services, independent prognostic factors were older age, Medicaid insurance, Medicare insurance, myelopathy, multilevel surgery, and comorbidities (diabetes, psychoses, cerebrovascular accident, valvular disease, liver disease, peripheral vascular disease) (**Table 5**). The c-statistic for the final model was 0.77.

DISCUSSION

Eleven independent prognostic factors (older age, marital status, Medicare insurance, Medicaid insurance, myelopathy, previous spine surgery, hemoglobin <13 g/dL, white blood cell count $\geq 11 \times 10^3/\mu\text{L}$, congestive heart failure, cerebrovascular accident, and hemiplegia or paraplegia) for non-home discharge after ACDF were identified with a c-statistic for the final model of 0.85. In addition, subanalyses of this study identified similar influential factors for non-home discharge in patients younger than the age of 65 years, discharge to inpatient rehabilitation, and home discharge with services.

Others have previously studied preoperative estimation of non-home discharge following spine surgery. McGirt et al.²⁸ developed the Carolina-Semmes Grading Scale for preoperative estimation of discharge disposition after elective lumbar spine surgery by studying 6921 patients from the Quality and Outcomes Database. Overall, 9.4% had postoperative discharge to a facility other than home and

Table 5. Multivariate Analysis of Discharge Home With Services, $n = 1956$

Variable	Odds Ratio	95% CI	P Value
Age, years	1.04	1.02–1.06	<0.001
Medicaid	3.88	2.32–6.49	<0.001
Medicare	1.71	1.06–2.76	0.03
Myelopathy	1.48	0.99–2.23	0.06
Multilevel	1.92	1.29–2.86	0.001
Diabetes	1.53	0.93–2.50	0.09
Psychoses	5.42	1.59–18.44	0.007
Cerebrovascular accident	2.00	0.86–4.64	0.11
Valvular disease	2.89	1.37–6.11	0.005
Liver disease	2.05	0.95–4.42	0.07
Peripheral vascular disease	1.73	0.74–4.04	0.20

CI, confidence interval.

independent prognostic factors included fusion, greater co-morbidity burden as measured by the American Society of Anesthesiologists Classification, older age greater and preoperative ambulatory status.²⁸ The discriminative capacity of their final model was $c = 0.731$. Similarly, Di Capua et al.¹⁹ studied 14,602 patients undergoing ACDF from the National Surgical Quality Improvement Program. Factors associated with non-home discharge were race, ethnicity, age, obesity, diabetes, functional status, operative time, cardiac conditions, and comorbidity burden (American Society of Anesthesiologists score) with a discriminative capacity of $c = 0.79$.

In comparison with the work of McGirt et al., which used only preoperative factors, Di Capua et al. also considered intraoperative variables (specifically operative time). Both approaches are important to characterize risk factors for non-home discharge but, in this study, we followed the route of using only factors available before surgery, as the ultimate purpose was to establish independent prognostic factors that could be used for risk adjustment. The increased discriminative capacity of our estimations may be attributed to the enhanced clinical granularity of our data.

Although others previously identified race and ethnicity as predictors for non-home discharge,^{19,29} these factors were not found to be prognostic in this study after the inclusion of other variables such as insurance status, marital status and a comprehensive set of medical comorbidities.^{19,30} Preoperative anemia and leukocytosis also were identified as independent prognostic factors, and this may be secondary to an additive effect of both number and severity of comorbidities on these laboratory values. This synergistic effect likely contributes to the

value of these laboratory measures as markers of increased health care use following spine surgery.^{29,31–33}

There are several limitations that must be acknowledged to appropriately interpret our study findings. Although granular data were assessed from 5 medical centers, the study was retrospective in design and these medical centers are part of a single health care entity with shared institutional practices. Given the sample size, there also may be some concern for estimates to be parochial to the cohort under study. As a result, prospective, external validation of the factors identified here is warranted. Patient-reported functional measures, have been identified previously as predictors of non-routine discharge in lumbar spine surgery but could not be assessed in this study because our institutions began consistently recording these measures only recently. Future studies are envisioned that will incorporate the role of patient-reported functional capacity in influencing discharge destination.

Despite these limitations, this study remains one of the largest multi-institutional series of consecutive patients undergoing ACDF with granular clinical, surgical, and socioeconomic data. The additional factors available from institutional electronic health records relative to national administrative databases allowed us to build on estimates from previous work in this arena. Although validation in external independent samples is necessary before definitive conclusions, the overall model discriminative properties specific to non-routine discharge achieved here are the greatest reported in the spine surgical literature to date. Finally, this is the first study to report several novel independent prognostic variables for non-home discharge after ACDF including marital status, preoperative laboratory characteristics, and Medicaid insurance.

At a time when policy makers and payors are critical of increased health care costs, the demand for cervical spine surgery will continue to rise with increases in the aging population in the United States.^{6,9} Increasing comorbidity burden and age at time of cervical spine surgery will compound the challenge of containing the costs associated with postoperative care, but preoperative discharge planning can be one method by which spine surgeons increase the value of post-acute care. Predictive analytics in spine surgery will play an increasingly significant role in response to these challenges.

CONCLUSIONS

In this investigation, we found that numerous sociodemographic and clinical characteristics influence the risk of non-home discharge and discharge to inpatient rehabilitation in patients undergoing ACDF. At a minimum, hospitals can use these parameters as a means to identify patients who may necessitate a greater level of care at the time of discharge following ACDF. Furthermore, policy makers and payors should consider these factors when determining appropriate preoperative adjustment for the purposes of risk-based reimbursement.

REFERENCES

- Marawar S, Girardi FP, Sama AA, et al. National trends in anterior cervical fusion procedures. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2010;35:1454–1459.
- Marquez-Lara A, Nandyala SV, Fineberg SJ, Singh K. Current trends in demographics, practice, and in-hospital outcomes in cervical spine surgery: a national database analysis between 2002 and 2011. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2014;39:476–481.
- Oglesby M, Fineberg SJ, Patel AA, Pelton MA, Singh K. Epidemiological trends in cervical spine surgery for degenerative diseases between 2002 and 2009. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2013;38:1226–1232.
- Nouri A, Tetreault L, Singh A, Karadimas SK, Fehlings MG. Degenerative cervical myelopathy: epidemiology, genetics, and pathogenesis. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2015;40:E675–E693.
- Wang MC, Kreuter W, Wolfla CE, Maiman DJ, Deyo RA. Trends and variations in cervical spine surgery in the United States: Medicare

- beneficiaries, 1992 to 2005. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2009;34:955-961 [discussion: 962-953].
6. Saifi C, Fein AW, Cazzulino A, et al. Trends in resource utilization and rate of cervical disc arthroplasty and anterior cervical discectomy and fusion throughout the United States from 2006 to 2013. *Spine J*. 2018;18:1022-1029.
 7. Alosch H, Li D, Riley LH 3rd, Skolasky RL. Health care burden of anterior cervical spine surgery: national trends in hospital charges and length of stay, 2000–2009. *J Spinal Disord Tech*. 2015;28:5-11.
 8. Deyo RA, Mirza SK. Trends and variations in the use of spine surgery. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 2006; 443:139-146.
 9. Kalakoti P, Gao Y, Hendrickson NR, Pugely AJ. Preparing for bundled payments in cervical spine surgery: do we understand the influence of patient, hospital, and procedural factors on the cost and length of stay? *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2019;44: 334-345.
 10. Ugiliweneza B, Kong M, Nosova K, et al. Spinal surgery: variations in health care costs and implications for episode-based bundled payments. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2014;39:1235-1242.
 11. Miller DC, Gust C, Dimick JB, Birkmeyer N, Skinner J, Birkmeyer JD. Large variations in Medicare payments for surgery highlight savings potential from bundled payment programs. *Health Aff (Millwood)*. 2011;30:2107-2115.
 12. Porter ME. A strategy for health care reform—toward a value-based system. *N Engl J Med*. 2009;361: 109-112.
 13. Shepperd S, Lannin NA, Clemson LM, McCluskey A, Cameron ID, Barras SL. Discharge planning from hospital to home. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2016:CD000313.
 14. Barsoum WK, Murray TG, Klika AK, et al. Predicting patient discharge disposition after total joint arthroplasty in the United States. *J Arthroplasty*. 2010;25:885-892.
 15. Smith AL, Kulhari A, Wolfram JA, Furlan A. Impact of insurance precertification on discharge of stroke patients to acute rehabilitation or skilled nursing facility. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis*. 2017;26: 711-716.
 16. Boakye M, Patil CG, Santarelli J, Ho C, Tian W, Lad SP. Cervical spondylotic myelopathy: complications and outcomes after spinal fusion. *Neurosurgery*. 2008;62:455-462.
 17. Shamji MF, Cook C, Pietrobon R, Tackett S, Brown C, Isaacs RE. Impact of surgical approach on complications and resource utilization of cervical spine fusion: a nationwide perspective to the surgical treatment of diffuse cervical spondylosis. *Spine J*. 2009;9:31-38.
 18. King JT Jr, Abbed KM, Gould GC, Benzel EC, Ghogawala Z. Cervical spine reoperation rates and hospital resource utilization after initial surgery for degenerative cervical spine disease in 12 338 patients in Washington state. *Neurosurgery*. 2009; 65:1011-1023.
 19. Di Capua J, Somani S, Kim JS, et al. Predictors for patient discharge destination after elective anterior cervical discectomy and fusion. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2017;42:1538-1544.
 20. Collins GS, Reitsma JB, Altman DG, Moons KG. Transparent reporting of a multivariable prediction model for individual prognosis or diagnosis (TRIPOD): the TRIPOD statement. *BMC Med*. 2015;13:1.
 21. Von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, et al. The Strengthening of Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. *PLoS Med*. 2007;4:e296.
 22. Quan H, Li B, Couris CM, et al. Updating and validating the Charlson comorbidity index and score for risk adjustment in hospital discharge abstracts using data from 6 countries. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2011;173:676-682.
 23. Quan H, Sundararajan V, Halfon P, et al. Coding algorithms for defining comorbidities in ICD-9-CM and ICD-10 administrative data. *Med Care*. 2005;43:1130-1139.
 24. Lurie JD, Tosteson AN, Deyo RA, Tosteson T, Weinstein J, Mirza SK. Indications for spine surgery: validation of an administrative coding algorithm to classify degenerative diagnoses. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2014;39:769.
 25. American Community Survey 5-Year Data (2009–2016). Available at: <https://www.census.gov/data/developers/data-sets/acs-5year.html>; 2018. Accessed September 2, 2018.
 26. Lu CY, Barratt J, Vitry A, Roughead E. Charlson and Rx-Risk comorbidity indices were predictive of mortality in the Australian health care setting. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2011;64:223-228.
 27. Stekhoven DJ, Bühlmann P. MissForest—non-parametric missing value imputation for mixed-type data. *Bioinformatics*. 2011;28:112-118.
 28. McGirt MJ, Parker SL, Chotai S, et al. Predictors of extended length of stay, discharge to inpatient rehab, and hospital readmission following elective lumbar spine surgery: introduction of the Carolina-Semmes Grading Scale. *J Neurosurg Spine*. 2017;27:382-390.
 29. Elsamadicy AA, Adogwa O, Ongele M, et al. Pre-operative hemoglobin level is associated with increased health care use after elective spinal fusion (>=3 levels) in elderly male patients with spine deformity. *World Neurosurg*. 2018;112: e348-e354.
 30. Di Capua J, Somani S, Lugo-Fagundo N, et al. Predictors for non-home patient discharge following elective adult spinal deformity surgery. *Glob Spine J*. 2018;8:266-272.
 31. Leu S, Kamenova M, Mehrkens A, Mariani L, Scharen S, Soleman J. Preoperative and post-operative factors and laboratory values predicting outcome in patients undergoing lumbar fusion surgery. *World Neurosurg*. 2016;92:323-338.
 32. Sanoufa M, Smisson W, Floyd H, Robinson JS. The effect of anaemia on hospital length of stay in lumbar decompression and fusion procedures. *J Perioper Pract*. 2015;25:267-271.
 33. Murphy ME, Maloney PR, McCutcheon BA, et al. Predictors of discharge to a nonhome facility in patients undergoing lumbar decompression without fusion for degenerative spine disease. *Neurosurgery*. 2017;81:638-649.

Conflict of interest statement: The authors declare that the article content was composed in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Received 26 July 2019; accepted 5 September 2019

Citation: *World Neurosurg*. (2019) 132:e14-e20.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wneu.2019.09.026>

Journal homepage: www.journals.elsevier.com/world-neurosurgery

Available online: www.sciencedirect.com

1878-8750/\$ - see front matter © 2019 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

APPENDIX

Supplementary Table 1. Multivariate Analysis of Non-home Discharge in Patients Younger than the Age of 65 Years, $n = 1820$

Variable	Odds Ratio	95% CI	P Value
Age, years	1.06	1.03–1.10	<0.001
Medicaid	4.50	2.54–7.99	<0.001
Myelopathy	1.96	1.18–3.27	0.01
Hemoglobin	1.47	0.81–2.66	0.20
White blood cell, $\times 10^3$ / μL , ≥ 11	3.38	1.77–6.45	<0.001
Cerebrovascular accident	6.21	2.86–13.48	<0.001
Hemiplegia/paraplegia	6.25	2.98–13.11	<0.001
CI, confidence interval.			