



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Tuning the tuner: the art of automatic performance optimization

Willemsen, F.Q.

Citation

Willemsen, F. Q. (2026, April 14). *Tuning the tuner: the art of automatic performance optimization*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/4301430>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/4301430>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Tuning the Tuner: The Art of Automatic Performance Optimization

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van
de graad van doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van rector magnificus prof.dr. S. de Rijcke,
volgens besluit van het college voor promoties
te verdedigen op dinsdag 14 april 2026
klokke 14:30 uur

door

Floris-Jan Quirinus Willemsen
geboren te Middelharnis, Nederland
in 1997

Promotor: Prof. dr. R.V. van Nieuwpoort
Co-promotor: Dr. B. van Werkhoven
Promotiecommissie: Prof. dr. T.H.W. Bäck
Prof. dr. M.M. Bonsangue
Prof. dr. A. Plaat
Prof. dr. A.D. Pimentel Universiteit van Amsterdam
Prof. dr. ir. A.L. Varbanescu Universiteit Twente
Prof. dr. R. Vuduc Georgia Institute of Technology




Universiteit
Leiden

netherlands
eScience center



CORTEX



Advanced School for Computing and Imaging

Printed by: Optima Grafische Communicatie

Copyright © 2026 Floris-Jan Willemsen.

ISBN 978-94-6534-280-1

This dissertation is available online at <https://scholarlypublications.universiteitleiden.nl/>

This work was carried out in the ASCI graduate school. ASCI dissertation series number 483.

The CORTEX project has received funding from the Dutch Research Council (NWO) in the framework of the NWA-ORC Call (file #NWA.1160.18.316).

The ESiWACE3 project has received funding from the European High Performance Computing Joint Undertaking (JU) under grant agreement No 101093054. We acknowledge the EuroHPC Joint Undertaking for awarding this project access to the EuroHPC supercomputer LUMI, hosted by CSC (Finland) and the LUMI consortium through a EuroHPC Regular Access call.

“ *I want to take everything I’ve seen and thought and learned
and reduce them and relate them and refine them
until I have something of meaning, something of use.* ”

John Steinbeck, *Sweet Thursday*, 1954

Table of Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Auto-tuning	2
1.2	Research Questions	3
1.3	Main Contributions of this Thesis	5
2	Bayesian Optimization for auto-tuning GPU kernels	7
2.1	Introduction	8
2.2	Related Work.	8
2.3	Design and Implementation	10
2.3.1	Bayesian Optimization	10
2.3.2	Gaussian processes & covariance functions.	10
2.3.3	Acquisition functions	12
2.3.4	General structure.	13
2.3.5	Initial sampling	15
2.3.6	Dynamic exploration factor	16
2.3.7	Implementation	17
2.3.8	Hyperparameter tuning	18
2.4	Experimental results	18
2.4.1	Methods	18
2.4.2	Comparison	20
2.4.3	Other GPUs	21
2.4.4	Other frameworks	23
2.4.5	Unseen kernels.	26
2.4.6	Discussion	27
2.5	Conclusions	27
3	A Methodology for Comparing Optimization Algorithms	31
3.1	Introduction	32

3.2	State of the Practice	33
3.2.1	Six ways to fool the masses when giving performance results . . .	33
3.2.2	Methodological limitations in literature	36
3.2.3	Related fields.	39
3.3	Methodology Description	41
3.3.1	Step 1: Experimental Setup.	42
3.3.2	Step 2: Optimization Algorithms	44
3.3.3	Step 3: Tuning Budget and Noise.	45
3.3.4	Step 4: Reporting Optimization Algorithm Performance	48
3.4	Application & Evaluation	58
3.4.1	Application using the software package	58
3.4.2	Step 1: Experimental Setup.	59
3.4.3	Step 2: Optimization Algorithms	59
3.4.4	Step 3: Tuning Budget and Noise.	60
3.4.5	Step 4: Quantifying Performance.	61
3.4.6	Discussion: "Six ways to fool the masses" and Limitations	64
3.5	Related Work.	66
3.6	Conclusions	68
4	Efficient Construction of Large Search Spaces	71
4.1	Introduction	72
4.2	Constraint-based Auto-tuning	73
4.3	Related Work.	75
4.4	Application and Optimization of CSP Solvers.	77
4.4.1	Using Constraint Solvers in Auto-tuning.	77
4.4.2	Parsing Constraints	78
4.4.3	Implementation of Optimizations	79
4.4.4	Search Space Representation	81
4.5	Evaluation	82
4.5.1	Comparison against state-of-the-art	82
4.5.2	Synthetic Tests	83
4.5.3	Real-world Applications	87
4.5.4	Overall impact in practice	91
4.6	Conclusions	93

5	Tuning the Tuner: Introducing Hyperparameter Optimization	95
5.1	Introduction	96
5.2	Related Work.	97
5.3	Design and Implementation	99
5.3.1	The auto-tuning problem.	99
5.3.2	Generalized Hyperparameter Tuning.	100
5.3.3	Hyperparameter Tuning Feasibility	101
5.3.4	FAIR benchmark data for Hyperparameter Tuning	103
5.3.5	Integration with Kernel Tuner	104
5.4	Evaluation	106
5.4.1	Experimental Setup	106
5.4.2	Hyperparameter Tuning Efficacy	108
5.4.3	Meta-strategies for Hyperparameter Tuning	111
5.4.4	Extended Hyperparameter Tuning	112
5.4.5	Feasibility with Simulation Mode.	115
5.5	Conclusion.	115
6	Exploring the Application of Constrained Optimization	119
6.1	Introduction	120
6.2	Background and Motivation	121
6.3	Related Work.	123
6.3.1	Constraint-handling techniques	123
6.3.2	State of the art in Constrained Optimization for Auto-Tuning	127
6.4	Design and Implementation	129
6.4.1	Kernel Tuner.	129
6.4.2	Simulated Annealing.	131
6.4.3	Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO)	133
6.4.4	Genetic Algorithm	134
6.5	Evaluation	135
6.5.1	Experimental setup.	136
6.5.2	Impact of Constrained Optimization for Auto-Tuning	138
6.5.3	Comparison with State of the Art	141
6.6	Conclusion.	145
7	Automated Algorithm Design for Auto-Tuning Optimizers	147
7.1	Introduction	148

7.2	Related Work.	149
7.2.1	Machine Learning and Hybrid approaches	149
7.2.2	Meta-optimization techniques	150
7.2.3	Automated Algorithm Design	150
7.3	Design and Implementation	151
7.3.1	Kernel Tuner	152
7.3.2	LLaMEA	153
7.3.3	Evaluation of Automatically Generated Algorithms	155
7.4	Evaluation	157
7.4.1	Experimental Setup	157
7.4.2	Impact of Target Application and Additional Information	161
7.4.3	Algorithmic Details of the Two Best Generated Optimizers.	164
7.4.4	Comparison to Human-designed Auto-Tuning Algorithms	166
7.5	Conclusion.	169
8	Conclusions and Outlook	171
	Bibliography	175
	Summary	191
	Samenvatting	193
	Acknowledgements	195
	Curriculum Vitae	197