



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Agents of change? (Hi)stories, perspectives, and everyday practices of Polish border guards

Klajn, M.E.

Citation

Klajn, M. E. (2026, March 25). *Agents of change?: (Hi)stories, perspectives, and everyday practices of Polish border guards*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/4299140>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/4299140>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

AGENTS OF CHANGE? (HI)STORIES, PERSPECTIVES, AND EVERYDAY PRACTICES OF POLISH BORDER GUARDS.

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van

de graad van doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden, op gezag van

rector magnificus prof.dr. S. de Rijcke, volgens besluit van

het college voor promoties

te verdedigen op woensdag 25 maart 2026

klokke 14.30 uur

door

Maryla Elżbieta Klajn

Promotoren: prof. dr. M.A.H. van der Woude
prof. dr. J.P. van der Leun
prof.dr. H.O.I. Gundhus

Promotiecommissie: dr. A.E. Campos Delgado
prof. dr. M.G.W. den Boer
dr. I. Vega (University of California)
prof. W. Klaus (Polish Academy of Sciences)

AGENTS OF CHANGE? (HI)STORIES, PERSPECTIVES, AND EVERYDAY PRACTICES OF POLISH BORDER GUARDS.

Article-based doctoral dissertation by Maryla E Klajn

Van Vollenhoven Institute for Law, Governance and Society
Leiden Law School, Leiden University
Steenschuur 25, 2311 ES Leiden The Netherlands
Email: m.e.klajn@law.leidenuniv.nl

The presented doctoral research has been supervised by:

- Professor Dr. M.A.H. van der Woude of Leiden Law School
- Professor Dr. H.O.I Gundhus of University of Oslo
- Professor Dr. J.P. van der Leun of Leiden Law School

This work is part of the 5-year research project “Getting to the Core of Crimmigration” (project number 452-16-003), which is financed through the VIDI research scheme by the Dutch Science Council (NWO). Article 5 of the dissertation is also part of the project Emotional Labour in the Borderlands: A Deeper Understanding of Ethno-Racial Profiling through Academic and Artistic Research of the Social Sciences and Humanities (SSH) Medium Scheme, also financed by the Dutch Science Council (NWO) under grant number 406.22.RB.007.

Acknowledgements

This dissertation would not happen without the many people, human and non-human, who carried me through the many years it took to bring it into existence. While many imagine PhD as a solitary endeavor, mine has been shaped, sustained, and made possible by care, patience, critique, and love of those around me.

First and foremost, I owe my deepest gratitude to my parents. Your unwavering belief in me, even in moments when my own confidence faltered, has been a quiet but constant force throughout this journey. You taught me perseverance long before I knew what academic perseverance meant, and you stood by me through every doubt, every detour, and every small victory. To my godson, Olek, who may never have read a single page of this dissertation but whose presence reminded me, time and again, of what truly matters: thank you for being my most reliable silent support, and for grounding me when the academic world felt overwhelming.

I am profoundly grateful to my supervisors for their extraordinary patience, wisdom, and generosity. Your guidance never sought to impose, but rather to sharpen, encourage, and trust my thinking. You created a space in which intellectual independence could grow without fear, and where curiosity was always taken seriously. You taught me how to ask better questions, how to remain with uncertainty instead of rushing toward easy conclusions, and how to believe—especially in moments of doubt—that the work would eventually find its form. To each of you, I owe more than I can adequately express. You offered rigorous critique without diminishing confidence, direction without constraint, and encouragement without complacency. Your example showed me what thoughtful, principled, and generous scholarship looks like in practice.

Most of all, I want to thank Maartje van der Woude. Guiding me through the most challenging and intellectually demanding stages of this journey, you became not only a mentor and an academic role model, but also a close and dear friend. Your clarity of thought, courage in scholarship, and unwavering support shaped this dissertation in ways both visible and invisible. You believed in this project—and in me—at times when belief felt difficult to sustain. I am beyond grateful for your kindness, your honesty, your humor, and your trust. You have profoundly influenced the scholar I am becoming, and I carry that influence with deep gratitude.

To the three remarkable women academics who accompanied and facilitated my development: thank you. Your example has shown me that intellectual rigor and generosity are not opposites, but companions. I feel immensely fortunate to have learned from you—not only how to conduct research, but how to inhabit academia with integrity, courage, and care.

To my reviewers, I extend my sincere thanks for your careful reading, incisive critiques, and thoughtful engagement. Your comments challenged me to refine my arguments and strengthened this

dissertation in ways that will continue to shape my work beyond it. Many others have contributed to this project through conversations, questions, critiques, and encouragement. Your ideas pushed my thinking, your feedback sharpened my arguments, and your engagement reminded me that scholarship is always a collective endeavor, even when it feels intensely personal.

To my friends: Magda, Julia, Ola, Marta, Roxane, Hannah, Amalia, and so many others, thank you! These past years would have been immeasurably heavier without your patience, humor, and steadfast presence. Please forgive me for not naming every single person who deserves mention here; know that to those of you who were there, in ways big and small, my gratitude is deep and sincere.

Finally, and with particular tenderness: I thank my sweet little chocolate muffin, Cirilla. My support dog in every sense of the word, she has been a constant companion through the long hours of writing, the moments of doubt, the sting of rejection, and the slow, often invisible labor of finishing a dissertation. She has seen me through exhaustion and joy alike, offering comfort without questions and presence without conditions. In a doggy world, I firmly believe she deserves an honorary doctorate of her own.

To all who supported, motivated, inspired, and at times gently pushed me forward: **thank you.** This dissertation carries traces of each of you, and it is with the deepest gratitude that I bring it to completion.

Table of Contents

Cover	i
Title page	ii
Project information	iii
Acknowledgments	iv
Table of contents	vi
Chapter 1. Introduction to the thesis	1
1.1 Agents of Change and getting to the core of ‘crimmigration’	3
1.2 Situating the research: The Polish case study	3
1.2.1 Historical legacies	4
1.2.2 Legal context of Polish-German border control	5
1.3 Theoretical framework	6
1.3.1 Understanding Cimmigration: Governing Border Through Crime and Control	6
1.3.2 Easternizing Cimmigration	8
1.3.3 Discretion in Migration and Policing	8
1.3.4 Border Security and Securitization	10
1.4 Methodology	11
1.4.1 Research Design and Approach	11
1.4.2 Methods of Data Collection	12
1.4.3 Data Analysis	18
1.4.4 Positionality	19
1.5 Limitations to the Research	21
1.6 Overview of the Articles	23
Chapter 2. Political meanings of national belonging: tracing the evolution of ‘Polishness’ in the Third Republic of Poland	36
2.1 Introduction	36
2.2 Border of Poland: historical legacies and the markers of ‘Polishness’	37
2.3 Methodology	40
2.4 Developing the legal boundaries of Polishness	41
2.5 Discussion	46
2.6 Conclusion: Polishness, an identity in constant (trans)formation	48
2.7 References	49
Chapter 3. The Forced Returns of Polish Citizens: Cross-Border Transfers on the Polish– German Border in the Context of the Discretionary Powers of the Border Guards	58
3.1 Introduction	58
3.2 Deportation studies: origins, developments, ‘deportability’	59
3.3 Discretionary spaces at various levels of implementing the deportation policy	61
3.4 The cross-border transfer of Polish citizens in the specific context of the Polish-German border	63
3.5 Methodology of data collection and analysis: identifying discretionary practices in the field	65
3.6 Variations in procedure: whose discretion matters?	66
3.7 Discussion	72
3.8 Implications of the findings in the context of deportability	73
3.9 Conclusion	74

3.10 Notes	75
3.11 References	77

Chapter 4. The interactions between gender and research data: an analysis of gender positionality in conducting fieldwork with the Polish Border Guard

	86
4.1 Introduction	86
4.2 Literature review and context	87
4.3 Methodology	92
4.4 Results	93
4.5 Discussion	99
4.6 Conclusion	101
4.7 References	102

Chapter 5. Multi-scalar applicability of ‘secrecy’ in border security: an ethnographic case study of the Polish Border Guard

	105
5.1 Introduction	105
5.2 Literature review	106
5.3 Methodology	114
5.4 Results: The ‘Secret’ Stories of the State, Polish Border Guard, and Street-level Security	116
5.5 Discussion and Concluding Remarks	120
5.6 References	124

Chapter 6. Understanding the performance of discretion at the Polish-German border through the lens of emotional labour

	134
6.1 Abstract	134
6.2 Introduction	134
6.3 Theoretical framework: Discretion, bordering, and emotional labour	136
6.4 Methodology	139
6.5 Results and Analysis	142
6.6 Conclusion and Discussion	149
6.7 References	152

Chapter 7. Conclusion to the Doctoral Thesis

	157
7.1 Re-engaging the Central Research Problems and Questions	157
7.2 Thematic Synthesis of Key Findings	157
7.2.1 Discretion as Situated Practice	158
7.2.2 Affective and Moral Labour in Border Policing	158
7.2.3 Institutional Memory and Post-Socialist Bordering	159
7.2.4 Internal Borders and the Production of Belonging	159
7.3 Theoretical and Conceptual Contributions	160
7.3.1 Rethinking Crimmigration at the Intra-Schengen Margins	160
7.3.2 Expanding the Lens of Street-level Bureaucracy	162
7.3.3 Discretion as a Tool of Securitization	163
7.3.4 Conceptualizing Affective Discretion	164
7.4 Future Research and Final Reflections	166
7.5 Epilogue: Contemporary Politics of Sanitized Exclusion	169
7.6 Bibliography	170

Summary (English)	177
Samenvatting (Dutch)	185

\