



Universiteit
Leiden

The Netherlands

Categorial hylomorphism: an inquiry into the significance of categories for the doctrine of hylomorphism through a confrontation of the ideas of Aristotle and Kant

Pantoja Quiroz, D.R.

Citation

Pantoja Quiroz, D. R. (2026, February 19). *Categorial hylomorphism: an inquiry into the significance of categories for the doctrine of hylomorphism through a confrontation of the ideas of Aristotle and Kant*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/4292367>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/4292367>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

CATEGORIAL HYLOMORPHISM:

**An Inquiry into the Significance of Categories for the Doctrine of
Hylomorphism through a Confrontation of the Ideas of Aristotle and
Kant**

DANIEL PANTOJA

CATEGORIAL HYLOMORPHISM:

An Inquiry into the Significance of Categories for the Doctrine of Hylomorphism through a Confrontation of the Ideas of Aristotle and Kant

PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van
de graad van doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van rector magnificus prof.dr. S. de Rijcke,
volgens besluit van het college voor promoties
te verdedigen op donderdag 19 februari 2026
klokke 11.30 uur

door

DANIEL RIENZI PANTOJA QUIROZ

geboren te Valparaíso, Chili
in 1986

Promotores:	Prof. dr. James McAllister Prof. dr. Hernán Pringe (Diego Portales University-Buenos Aires University)
Copromotor:	Dr. Maria van der Schaar
Promotiecommissie:	Dr. Bernardo Ainbinder (University of Wollongong) Prof. dr. Frans de Haas Prof. dr. Tuomas Tahko (University of Bristol) Dr. Marijana Vujosevic

This research was supported by the Chilean Research Council (CONICYT-ANID) via a National PhD Grant (No. 21171891, 2017–2021).

Printing: Ridderprint, www.ridderprint.nl
Cover Design by Nicolás Del Canto

To my mother

εἰ δ' ἐστίν, ὥσπερ λέγομεν, τὸ μὲν ὕλη τὸ δὲ μορφή, καὶ
τὸ μὲν δυνάμει τὸ δὲ ἐνεργείᾳ, οὐκέτι ἀπορία δόξειεν ἂν
εἶναι τὸ ζητούμενον.

ARISTOTLE, *Metaph.*, 1045a23–25

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	11
ABBREVIATIONS	12
INTRODUCTION	13
PART I	41
CATEGORIAL FORMS AND FORMATION	41
CHAPTER 1	43
ON THE DISTINCTION BETWEEN NON-FUNCTIONAL AND FUNCTIONAL CATEGORIAL FORMS.....	43
1. INTRODUCTION.....	43
2. METHODOLOGICAL REMARKS ON THE CONFRONTATION BETWEEN ARISTOTLE AND KANT	45
3. A SCIENCE FINISHED AND COMPLETE	48
3.1 <i>The Relevance of General Logic for Kant's Transcendental Project</i>	49
3.2 <i>The Aristotelian Roots of Kant's Table of Judgments</i>	52
3.2.1 Quantity and Quality.....	55
3.2.2 Modality	58
3.2.3 Relation	59
3.3 <i>Final Remarks</i>	62
4. ARISTOTLE FROM CATEGORIES TO JUDGMENT.....	63
4.1 <i>Introduction</i>	63
4.2 <i>On the Categorial Distinction Between Substance and Accident</i>	65
4.3 <i>Categories as "things said without combination"</i>	67
4.3.1 Categorematic and Syncategorematic Terms	69
4.3.2 The Onomastic and the Apophantic Level.....	71
4.4 <i>Final Remarks</i>	76
5. KANT'S FUNCTIONALIZATION OF CATEGORIES.....	77
5.1 <i>Introduction</i>	77
5.2 <i>Identification versus Composition</i>	79
5.3 <i>Remarks on the Hylomorphic Character of Transcendental Logic</i>	82
5.4 <i>A Difference Concerning Substance and Accident</i>	87
5.5 <i>Final Remark (Transition to Chapter 2)</i>	88
CHAPTER 2	91
ESSENTIAL AND FUNCTIONAL FORMS AND FORMATION	91
1. INTRODUCTION.....	91
2. METHODOLOGICAL NOTE.....	93
3. ARISTOTLE'S ESSENTIAL HYLOMORPHISM AND FORMATION.....	94
3.1 <i>First Approach to the Distinction between Substantial and Accidental Compounds</i>	94
3.2 <i>Substantial Hylomorphism and Formation</i>	98
3.2.1 Introduction to Substantial Hylomorphism.....	98
3.2.2 Aristotelian Substantial Forms and Substantial Formation.....	102
3.2.2.1 The Logico-functional Role of Substantial Forms.....	105

3.3 <i>Accidental Hylomorphism and Formation</i>	109
3.3.1 Introduction to Accidental Forms.....	109
3.3.2 Accidental Forms	110
3.3.3 Accidental Compounds	113
3.3.4 Accidental Formation as Mere Qualification	115
3.4 <i>Final Remarks</i>	119
4. KANT'S FUNCTIONAL HYLOMORPHISM AND FORMATION	120
4.1 <i>Introduction</i>	120
4.2 <i>Kant's Hylomorphic Doctrine of the Object</i>	121
4.3 <i>Kant's Functional Formation versus Aristotle's Essential Formation</i>	126
5. FINAL REMARKS	130
PART II	133
CATEGORIAL HYLOMORPHISM AND HIERARCHICAL COMPOSITION	133
CHAPTER 3	135
THE POWER OF MATTER	135
1. INTRODUCTION.....	135
2. ARISTOTLE ON MATTER AND HIERARCHICAL HYLOMORPHISM.....	138
2.1 <i>Introduction</i>	138
2.2 <i>Absolute and Relative Matter in Aristotle's Hylomorphism</i>	139
2.2.1 Prime and Ultimate Matter.....	143
2.2.2 A Hierarchical Conception of Matter or a Hierarchical Conception of Hylomorphism?	146
2.2.3 Matter and the Logico-functional Character of Substantial Form	151
2.3 <i>The Case of Accidental Compounds. Can Substance be Matter for the Accidental Form?</i>	153
2.4 <i>Final Remarks (Transition to Section 3)</i>	156
3. KANT ON MATTER AND HIERARCHICAL HYLOMORPHISM	158
3.1 <i>Introduction</i>	158
3.2 <i>On Kant's Relative and Hylomorphic Conception of Matter</i>	158
3.3 <i>An Analogical Approach to Kant's General Categorical Hylomorphism</i>	166
3.3.1 The Case of Quantity and Quality	168
3.3.2 The Case of Modality.....	173
3.3.3 First Part of the Confrontation between Aristotle's and Kant's Hierarchical Composition of the Object	177
3.4 <i>The Matter, Form and Hierarchical Composition of Relations</i>	181
3.4.1 Introduction	181
3.4.2 The Peculiarity of the Categories of Relation	182
3.4.2.1 On the Distinction between Mathematical and Dynamical Categories	183
3.4.2.2 On the Distinction between the Dynamical Categories of Relation and Modality	187
3.4.3 An Analogical Approach to Kant's Relational Hylomorphism.....	192
3.4.3.1 The Matter, Form and Hierarchical Structure of the Judgments of Relation ...	193
3.4.3.2 The Matter, Form and Hierarchical Composition of Experience.....	196
3.4.3.2.1 Preliminary Remarks Concerning the Analogies of Experience	198
3.4.3.2.2 The Material Character of the substantia phaenomenon.....	201

3.4.3.2.3 The Formal Character of the Category of ‘Cause and Effect’ in the Context of the Second Analogy of Experience	205
3.4.3.2.4 Matter, Form, ‘Interaction’ and ‘Community’	214
3.4.3.2.4.1 Remarks on the Analogy between the Hylomorphic Structure of Judgment and the Hylomorphic Structure of the Object	232
4. FINAL PART OF THE CONFRONTATION BETWEEN ARISTOTLE AND KANT. KANT’S EXPANSION OF THE ONTOLOGICAL SCOPE OF HYLOMORPHISM	237
CONCLUSION	245
REFERENCES	261
SAMENVATTING	269
CURRICULUM VITAE	273

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

My deepest academic gratitude goes to my supervisors. James McAllister and Hernán Pringe, I thank you for your helpful suggestions and insights throughout this process. I am particularly grateful to Maria van der Schaar, whose indefatigable work in providing detailed commentary and revision suggestions, as well as her probing questions, was instrumental in bringing this dissertation to its final form.

I would also like to thank the Chilean National Agency for Research and Development (ANID) for their generous financial support, which made the research presented in this dissertation possible by granting me a doctoral fellowship for the years 2017–2021.

Several scholars shaped my intellectual path to this project. Bernardo Ainbinder, my MA supervisor, provided crucial guidance in developing the initial research proposal and continued to offer his support in subsequent years. Alejandro Vigo's work and seminars on Aristotle, Kant and Husserl, which I attended in 2016 and 2017, have had a lasting influence on my philosophical approach. I would also like to thank Tuomas Tahko, whom I met in 2019, for his valuable insights during the early stages of this project. Finally, I would like to express my gratitude to Tania Squizzato, my former Ancient Greek professor, for instilling in me a sense of rigour and discipline, which were indispensable tools for the completion of this thesis.

For support that went far beyond philosophical discussion, I would like to thank my friend, Erwin Tegtmeier, whose encouragement has been a constant source of reassurance throughout these years.

I would also like to thank my friends Ilse Zweegers and Dennis van der Weerd for making my stay in the Netherlands during 2019 and 2020 more comfortable and smooth.

My most profound personal thanks go to my family. Although my parents are no longer with us, their guidance remains foundational. To my father, who instilled in me the love of reading and showed me the value of discipline; and to my mother, who taught me what true freedom and strength of will mean. The spirit of independence and rigorous inquiry they fostered is, I hope, reflected in the pages of this dissertation.

Finally, and most importantly, my deepest thanks to Alejandra Baehr, the partner of my life: without her unwavering support in every conceivable way, this work would never have come to fruition.

ABBREVIATIONS

WORKS OF ARISTOTLE:

Cat. = *Categoriae et liber de interpretatione*

Metaph. = *Metaphysica*

Phys. = *Physica*

Top. = *Topica et sophistici elenchi*

WORKS OF KANT:

KrV = *Kritik der reinen Vernunft*

Log. = *Jäsche Logik*