



Universiteit  
Leiden

The Netherlands

**The role of efficient causation in Aristotle's philosophy:  
ensuring the continuity and coherence of the cosmos within a  
teleological framework**

Que, Y.

**Citation**

Que, Y. (2025, December 16). *The role of efficient causation in Aristotle's philosophy: ensuring the continuity and coherence of the cosmos within a teleological framework*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/4285433>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/4285433>

**Note:** To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

# Propositions

1. Aristotle's causal framework, while prioritizing the final cause, defines the efficient cause as the origin of motion or change, setting the stage for understanding its distinct role in explaining the 'how' of natural processes within a teleological system.

2. The principle that "nature does nothing in vain" is regarded as articulating a regulative ideal within Aristotle's teleology. However, when applied to phenomena such as spontaneous generation, meteorological irregularities, or elemental motion, the explanatory reach of final causation appears to be contextually limited. Such cases indicate that a purely teleological framework does not uniformly account for all natural processes, thus inviting a more nuanced and domain-sensitive interpretation of Aristotle's causal theory.

3. Efficient causation plays a pivotal role in sustaining the spatial and temporal continuity of the cosmos. Through continuous causal chains—extending from the Unmoved Mover to celestial motions and sublunary effects such as vital heat—it ensures the coherence and continuity of the diverse domains of cosmology, meteorology, and biology, particularly where the teleological function of final causation becomes obscure or implicit.

4. In Aristotle's natural philosophy, final and efficient causes exemplify a commensurable and non-competitive relation. While the final cause retains explanatory primacy as the ultimate end toward which processes are directed, the efficient cause is necessary to affect the transition from potentiality to actuality, thereby ensuring both continuity and coherence in the cosmic order.

5. This dissertation challenges interpretations that overemphasize either final or efficient causation in Aristotle by demonstrating their necessary interconnection for a complete understanding of his natural philosophy and the continuity and coherence of his cosmos.

6. By highlighting the role of efficient causal chains in ensuring cosmic continuity, this study offers a new perspective on the unity of Aristotle's universe, bridging the perceived explanatory gap between the eternal celestial realm and the generable/corruptible sublunary realm.

7. The analysis clarifies that Aristotle's teleology is not uniformly applicable

across all natural domains, suggesting a more nuanced, context-dependent, and hierarchical model of explanation is required to fully grasp his system, rather than assuming a monolithic application of final causality.

**8.** Reinterpreting the function of efficient causation provides a more robust framework for understanding seemingly non-teleological or mechanistically driven phenomena (like meteorological events or elemental motion) within Aristotle's broader teleological cosmology, interconnecting them coherently rather than treating them as exceptions.

**9.** Aristotle's synthesis of teleological and mechanistic explanation offers an enduring conceptual model for current debates in philosophy of science and biology—particularly those concerning explanatory pluralism, functional explanation, and the reconciliation of purpose and process in complex systems.

**10.** The Aristotelian account of causation demonstrates that understanding natural and social systems often requires multi-layered explanations that integrate both instrumental mechanisms and normative ends. This insight remains vital for framing questions of coherence, continuity, and intelligibility in contemporary theoretical contexts.