



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Tautological relations and double ramification cycles with spin parity

Politopoulos, G.

Citation

Politopoulos, G. (2025, December 12). *Tautological relations and double ramification cycles with spin parity*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/4285021>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/4285021>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Appendix A

Recursive computation of spin classes of strata

Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ be an odd integer, and let $a \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ be a vector of odd integers such that

$$|a| = k(2g - 2 + n).$$

In this appendix we explain how Theorem 3.5.14 allows one to reduce the computation of $[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g(a, k)]^\pm$ to the computation of spin classes of strata of holomorphic 1-differentials. Then we investigate how the spin classes of strata of holomorphic 1-differentials can be computed based on a conjecture.

A.1 Reduction to holomorphic spin classes of strata

Assume for now that $a \notin k\mathbb{Z}_{>0}^n$, so that Theorem 3.5.14 holds. We observe that the trivial graph contributes as $[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g(a, k)]^\pm$ in the LHS of Theorem 3.5.14, i.e., we have

$$[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g(a, k)]^\pm = P_g^\pm(a, k) - \Delta, \tag{A.1}$$

where Δ is the contribution of all non-trivial simple star graphs (Γ, I) in $\text{SStar}_{g,n}^{\text{odd}}(a, k)$.

Lemma A.1.1. *Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ be an odd integer and let $a \notin k\mathbb{Z}_{>0}^n$ be a vector of odd integers such that $|a| = k(2g - 2 + n)$. Then the class $[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g(a, k)]^\pm$ is completely determined by the classes of the form:*

- (i) $P_g^\pm(a, k)$, and
- (ii) the classes $[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g'}(a', 1)]^\pm$, where $a' \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^{n'}$ and such that either $g' \leq g$ and $n' < n$ or $g' < g$ and $n' \leq n$.

Proof. Under these hypotheses Theorem 3.5.14 applies, so we can express $[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g(a, k)]^\pm$ as $P_g^\pm(a, k)$ and the contribution obtained in Δ as in Equation A.1.

We now analyze the contribution of Δ . First, suppose that (Γ, I) has central vertex v_0 such that $g(v_0) = g$. Then the outer vertices v should necessarily satisfy $g(v) = 0$ and, by definition of simple star graphs, the contribution arising from outer vertices of (Γ, I) should be of the form $[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_0(I(v), 1)]^\pm$, where $I(v)$ is a vector of positive integers. However, since there are no non-zero

holomorphic differentials on \mathbb{P}^1 , this contribution vanishes. This shows that any non-trivial meromorphic contribution in Δ must arise from simple star graphs (Γ, I) whose central vertex has genus strictly smaller than g . Applying Theorem 3.5.14 iteratively on the meromorphic contribution will drop the genus by at least one each time until we arrive to the base case

$$[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_0(I(v_0), k)]^\pm = 1,$$

where $I(v_0) \notin k\mathbb{Z}_{>0}^{n'}$. In that way we may eliminate all meromorphic contributions appearing in the computation of $[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g(a, k)]^\pm$.

Furthermore, to identify the holomorphic contribution in Δ we argue as follows; in the case that a simple star graph (Γ, I) has central vertex of genus 0 and one outer vertex of genus g then, by stability, the contribution from the outer vertex will be of the form $[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g(a', 1)]^\pm$ where $a' \in \mathbb{Z}^{n'}$ is a vector of integers such that n' is strictly less than the number of markings. The case where $g' < g$ and $n' \leq n$ arises from simple star graphs with central vertex v_0 of genus $0 < g(v_0) \leq g - 1$. \square

Suppose that $a \in k\mathbb{Z}_{>0}^n$ such that $|a| = k(2g - 2 + n)$. Then any point of $\mathcal{M}_g(a/k, 1)$ naturally lies in $\mathcal{M}_g(a, k)$. In particular, we have natural inclusions

$$\mathcal{M}_g(a/k, 1) \subset \mathcal{M}_g(a, k), \text{ and } \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_g^1(a/k) \subset \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_g^k(a)$$

Schmitt proved that $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_g^1(a/k)$ is the only contribution in codimension $g - 1$ on the irreducible components of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_g^k(a)$ [Sch18, Theorem 1.1]. We will use the notation

$$\mathcal{M}_g^c(a, k) := \mathcal{M}_g(a, k) \setminus \mathcal{M}_g(a/k, 1).$$

Moreover, by [Ati71, Mum71], we obtain a decomposition

$$\mathcal{M}_g^c(a, k) = \mathcal{M}_g^c(a, k)^+ \coprod \mathcal{M}_g^c(a, k)^-,$$

and we define $[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g^c(a, k)]^\pm = [\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g^c(a, k)^+] - [\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g^c(a, k)^-]$.

Proposition A.1.2. *Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ be an odd integer, and let $a \in k\mathbb{Z}_{>0}^n$ be a vector of odd integers. Then the following equality holds*

$$\mathcal{P}_g^\pm(a, k) = -a_1 \psi_1 [\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g(a/k, 1)]^\pm + [\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g^c(a, k)]^\pm + \sum_{(\Gamma, I) \in \text{SStar}_{g,1}^{\text{odd}}(a, k)} \frac{\prod_{e \in E(\Gamma)} I(e)}{k^{|V_{\text{out}}|} |\text{Aut}(\Gamma, I)|} \zeta_{\Gamma*} [\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\Gamma, I}]^\pm.$$

in $A^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$, where $\text{SStar}_{g,1}^{\text{odd}}(a, k)$ denotes the subset of $\text{SStar}_g^{\text{odd}}(a, k)$ such that the marking p_1 is incident on the central vertex.

Proof. The proof mirrors the arguments of the proof of the non-spin analogue of the proposition above, proven in [Sau20, Lemma 3.3]. In particular, one needs Theorem 3.5.14 and the polynomiality of $\mathcal{P}_g^\pm(a, k)$ in the entries of a (see [Pix23, Spe24]) to use the same arguments as in [Sau20, Lemma 3.3]. \square

Corollary A.1.3. *Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ be an odd integer, and let $a \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ be a vector of odd integers such that $|a| = k(2g - 2 + n)$. Assume that $[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g'}(a', 1)]^\pm$ is tautological and computable for all $g' \leq g$ and the relevant $a' \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^n$. Then $[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g(a, k)]^\pm$ is tautological and computable.*

Proof. If $a \notin k\mathbb{Z}_{>0}^n$ then, by Lemma A.1.1, we can reduce the computation in spin classes of strata of holomorphic 1-differentials, which by assumption of the lemma are tautological and computable and thus, $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g(a, k)$ is also computable. Now, the tautological nature follows from the assumption of the lemma together with the fact that $\mathcal{P}_g^\pm(a, k)$ is also tautological which concludes the result for the meromorphic case.

On the other hand, if $a \in k\mathbb{Z}_{>0}^n$, then the proposition above implies that the only unknown term, i.e. $[\overline{\mathcal{M}}^c(a, k)]^\pm$, is computable by $\mathcal{P}_g^\pm(a, k)$ and classes of the form $[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g'}(a', 1)]^\pm$ which proves the result. \square

A.2 Conjectural expression for holomorphic spin classes of strata

The results of the previous section reduce the computation of $[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g(a, k)]^\pm$ to the computation of spin classes of strata of holomorphic 1-differentials. In order to compute these classes we propose an adaptation of the method of Sauvaget [Sau19] described in Subsection 1.2.1, and which gives computes the strata of 1-differentials in the non-spin case.

Let $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^n$ be a vector of odd integers. We define the sub-cone $\mathcal{SQ}_g(a) \subset \mathcal{H}_g(a)$ over $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ to be the cone of differentials admitting zeroes of order $a_i - 1$ at the i -th marking and non-marked zeroes of only even order. We denote by $\mathbb{P}\overline{\mathcal{SQ}}(a)$ the closure of the projectivization of $\mathcal{SQ}(a)$. By [Ati71, Mum71], we obtain a decomposition

$$\mathcal{SQ}_g(a) = \mathcal{SQ}_g(a)^+ \coprod \mathcal{SQ}_g(a)^-.$$

Furthermore, we define

$$[\mathbb{P}\overline{\mathcal{SQ}}_g(a)]^\pm := [\mathbb{P}\overline{\mathcal{SQ}}(a)^+] - [\mathbb{P}\overline{\mathcal{SQ}}(a)^-],$$

where $\mathbb{P}\overline{\mathcal{SQ}}(a)^+$ and $\mathbb{P}\overline{\mathcal{SQ}}(a)^-$ denote the Zariski closures of $\mathcal{PSQ}(a)^+$ and $\mathcal{PSQ}(a)^-$ in $\mathbb{P}\overline{\mathcal{SQ}}(a)$. In the case where $|a| = 2g - 2 + n$, we have that

$$p_*[\mathbb{P}\overline{\mathcal{SQ}}_g(a)]^\pm = [\overline{\mathcal{M}}(a, 1)]^\pm.$$

Therefore, establishing a recursive formula for $[\mathbb{P}\overline{\mathcal{SQ}}_g(a)]^\pm$ in the spirit of [Sau19] will allow us to argue inductively as we argued in Section 1.3. In [HPS25] we prove such a formula for $[\mathbb{P}\overline{\mathcal{SQ}}_g(a)]^\pm$, where $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^n$ is a vector of odd integers, and we show by induction that all classes of the form $p_*(\xi^b[\mathbb{P}\overline{\mathcal{SQ}}_g(a)]^\pm)$ are tautological and computable. The base case for our induction turns out to be the classes

$$s_g^{\pm, b} := p_*(\xi^b[\mathbb{P}\overline{\mathcal{SQ}}_g(1, \dots, 1)]^\pm) \in A^b(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}).$$

To express these classes, we consider the set $\text{Tree}_{g,n} \subset \mathcal{G}_{g,n}$ of stable graphs with no loops and no genus 0 vertices. For all $t \in \mathbb{C}$, we denote

$$s_g^\pm(t) = \sum_{b \geq 0} t^b s_g^{\pm, b}, \text{ and} \tag{A.2}$$

$$L_g(t) = 2^{g-1} \Lambda(2t) \Lambda(-t) - 2^{2g-1}, \tag{A.3}$$

where $\Lambda(t) = 1 + t\lambda_1 + t^2\lambda_2 + \dots$. The following conjecture expresses the classes $s_g^\pm(1)$ in terms of the $L_g(1)$ -classes, which are tautological and computable because λ -classes are tautological and computable.

Conjecture A.2.1. For all $g, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that $2g - 2 + n > 0$, and for all $t \in \mathbb{C}$ we have

$$L_g(t) = \sum_{\Gamma \in \text{Tree}_{g,n}} \frac{t^{|E(\Gamma)|}}{|\text{Aut}(\Gamma)|} \zeta_{\Gamma*} \left(\bigotimes_{v \in V} s_{g(v)}(t) \right), \text{ and} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

$$s_g(t) = \sum_{\Gamma \in \text{Tree}_{g,n}} \frac{(-t)^{|E(\Gamma)|}}{|\text{Aut}(\Gamma)|} \zeta_{\Gamma*} \left(\bigotimes_{v \in V} L_{g(v)}(t) \right). \quad (\text{A.5})$$

The presence of the quadratic Hodge symbol in the LHS of formula A.4 is due to the identity

$$\Lambda(-1)\Lambda(1/2) = \epsilon_* ([\pm] s_* (R^* \pi_* \mathcal{L}))$$

that was used in the expression for the spin Hurwitz numbers and spin Gromov–Witten invariants of \mathbb{P}^1 [GKL21, GKLS22].