



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Advancing FAIR practices in biomedical research: challenges, methods and innovations for sustainable data management
Bernabé, C.H.

Citation

Bernabé, C. H. (2025, November 26). *Advancing FAIR practices in biomedical research: challenges, methods and innovations for sustainable data management*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/4283926>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/4283926>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Bibliography

- [1] Wilkinson MD, Dumontier M, Aalbersberg IJ, Appleton G, Axton M, Baak A, et al. The FAIR Guiding Principles for scientific data management and stewardship. *Scientific data*. 2016;3:1–9.
- [2] Jacobsen A, Kaliyaperumal R, da Silva Santos LOB, Mons B, Schultes E, Roos M, et al. A generic workflow for the data FAIRification process. *Data Intelligence*. 2020;2(1-2):56–65.
- [3] Commission E, Services PE. Cost-benefit analysis for FAIR research data: Cost of not having FAIR research data; 2018.
- [4] Bloemers M, Montesanti A. The FAIR funding model: providing a framework for research funders to drive the transition toward FAIR data management and stewardship practices. *Data Intelligence*. 2020;2(1-2):171–180.
- [5] Commission E, for Research DG, Innovation. Turning FAIR into reality – Final report and action plan from the European Commission expert group on FAIR data. Publications Office; 2018.
- [6] Kersloot MG, Jacobsen A, Groenen KH, dos Santos Vieira B, Kaliyaperumal R, Abu-Hanna A, et al. De-novo FAIRification via an Electronic Data Capture system by automated transformation of filled electronic Case Report Forms into machine-readable data. *Journal of Biomedical Informatics*. 2021;122:103897.
- [7] Nguengang Wakap S, Lambert DM, Olry A, Rodwell C, Gueydan C, Lanneau V, et al. Estimating cumulative point prevalence of rare diseases: analysis of the Orphanet database. *European journal of human genetics*. 2020;28(2):165–173.
- [8] RD E. The European Joint Programme on Rare Diseases (EJP RD); 2022. <https://www.ejprarediseases.org/>.
- [9] Bernabé CH, Thielemans L, Carta C, et al. Building expertise on FAIR through evolving Bring Your Own Data (BYOD) workshops: Describing the data, software, and management focused approaches and their evolution; 2023.
- [10] Guizzardi G. Ontology, ontologies and the “T” of FAIR. *Data Intelligence*. 2020;.

Bibliography

- [11] Horkoff J, Aydemir FB, Cardoso E, et al. Goal-oriented requirements engineering: An extended systematic mapping study. *Requirements engineering*. 2019;24:133–160.
- [12] Van Lamsweerde A. Goal-oriented requirements engineering: A guided tour. In: *Proceedings fifth IEEE international symposium on requirements engineering*. IEEE; 2001. p. 249–262.
- [13] Guizzardi G, Baião F, Lopes M, Falbo R. The role of foundational ontologies for domain ontology engineering: An industrial case study in the domain of oil and gas exploration and production. *International Journal of Information System Modeling and Design (IJISMD)*. 2010;.
- [14] Guizzardi G, Wagner G, Almeida JPA, Guizzardi RS. Towards ontological foundations for conceptual modeling: The unified foundational ontology (UFO) story. *Applied ontology*. 2015;.
- [15] de Almeida Falbo R. SABiO: Systematic approach for building ontologies. *Proc of the 1st Joint Ws on Ontologies in Conceptual Modeling and Inf Systems Engineering*. 2014;1201.
- [16] Dalpiaz F, Franch X, Horkoff J. iStar 2.0 language guide. *arXiv preprint arXiv:160507767*. 2016;.
- [17] Guizzardi G, Fonseca CM, Benevides AB, et al. Endurant types in ontology-driven conceptual modeling: Towards OntoUML 2.0. In: *Conceptual Modeling. ER 2018*. vol. 11157. Springer; 2018. p. 136–150.
- [18] Guizzardi G, Botti Benevides A, Fonseca CM, et al. UFO: Unified Foundational Ontology. *Applied Ontology*. 2022;17(1):167–210.
- [19] Queralt-Rosinach N, Kaliyaperumal R, Bernabé CH, Long Q, Joosten SA, van der Wijk HJ, et al. Applying the FAIR principles to data in a hospital: challenges and opportunities in a pandemic. *medRxiv*. 2021;.
- [20] Kaliyaperumal R, Wilkinson MD, Moreno PA, Benis N, Cornet R, dos Santos Vieira B, et al. Semantic modelling of common data elements for rare disease registries, and a prototype workflow for their deployment over registry data. *Journal of biomedical semantics*. 2022;13(1):9.
- [21] van Damme P, Moreno PA, Bernabé CH, Ballesteros AC, Le Cornec CM, Vieira BDS, et al. A Resource for Guiding Data Stewards to Make European Rare Disease Patient Registries FAIR. *Ubiquity Press*. 2023;.
- [22] Bernabé C, Keet CM, Khan ZC, Mahlaza Z. A Method to Improve Alignments Between Domain and Foundational Ontologies. In: *Formal Ontology in Information Systems*. IOS Press; 2023. p. 125–139.
- [23] Baldovino S, Moliner AM, Taruscio D, et al. Rare diseases in Europe: From a wide to a local perspective. *Israel Medical Association Journal*. 2016;18(6).

- [24] Hogan Smith K. Review of Rare Diseases Resources: National Organization for Rare Disorders (NORD) Rare Disease Database, NIH Genetic and Rare Diseases Information Center, and Orphanet. *Journal of Consumer Health on the Internet*. 2017;21(2):216–225.
- [25] Saltonstall P, Mike Scott EMD. Toward a focused, multinational, rare disease awareness initiative. In: *Rare Diseases: Challenges and Opportunities for Social Entrepreneurs*. Routledge; 2017.
- [26] Rubinstein YR, Robinson PN, Gahl WA, et al. The case for open science: rare diseases. *JAMIA open*. 2020;3(3):472–486.
- [27] Courbier S, Dimond R, Bros-Facer V. Share and protect our health data: an evidence based approach to rare disease patients’ perspectives on data sharing and data protection-quantitative survey and recommendations. *Orphanet journal of rare diseases*. 2019;14(1):1–15.
- [28] European Commission. European Reference Networks; 2023. <https://health.ec.europa.eu/european-reference-networks>.
- [29] Wilkinson MD, Dumontier M, Aalbersberg IJ, et al. The FAIR Guiding Principles for scientific data management and stewardship. *Scientific Data*. 2016;3:1–9.
- [30] Choudhury A, van Soest J, Nayak S, et al. Personal Health Train on FHIR: A Privacy Preserving Federated Approach for Analyzing FAIR Data in Healthcare. In: *Communications in Computer and Information Science*. vol. 1240 CCIS; 2020. p. 85–95.
- [31] Hallock H, Marshall SE, ’t Hoen PAC, et al. Federated Networks for Distributed Analysis of Health Data. *Frontiers in Public Health*. 2021;9.
- [32] Jacobsen A, Kaliyaperumal R, da Silva Santos LOB, et al. A generic workflow for the data FAIRification process. *Data Intelligence*. 2020;2(1-2):56–65.
- [33] Kochev N, Jeliaskova N, Paskaleva V, et al. Your spreadsheets can be FAIR: A tool and FAIRification workflow for the eNanoMapper database. *Nanomaterials*. 2020;10(10):1908.
- [34] Sinaci AA, Núñez-Benjumea FJ, Gencturk M, et al. From raw data to FAIR data: the FAIRification workflow for health research. *Methods of information in medicine*. 2020;59(S 01):e21–e32.
- [35] Groenen KH, Jacobsen A, Kersloot MG, dos Santos Vieira B, van Enckevort E, Kaliyaperumal R, et al. The de novo FAIRification process of a registry for vascular anomalies. *Orphanet Journal of Rare Diseases*. 2021;.
- [36] Kersloot MG, Jacobsen A, Groenen KHJ, et al. De-novo FAIRification via an Electronic Data Capture system by automated transformation of filled electronic Case Report Forms into machine-readable data. *Journal of Biomedical Informatics*. 2021;122.

Bibliography

- [37] EU RD Platform. Set of Common Data Elements; accessed 2023. https://eu-rd-platform.jrc.ec.europa.eu/set-of-common-data-elements_en.
- [38] Harris PA, Taylor R, Minor BL, et al. The REDCap consortium: Building an international community of software platform partners. *Journal of biomedical informatics*. 2019;95:103208.
- [39] EvidentIQ. XClinical; 2022. <https://xclinical.com/>.
- [40] RD E. Semantic data model of the set of common data elements for rare disease registration; 2022. <https://github.com/ejp-rd-vp/CDE-semantic-model/wiki>.
- [41] Hub ES. European Rare Disease Registry Infrastructure (ERDRI); 2022. https://eu-rd-platform.jrc.ec.europa.eu/erdri-description_en.
- [42] Üstün TB, Chatterji S, Kostanjsek N, et al. Developing the world health organization disability assessment schedule 2.0. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*. 2010;88(11).
- [43] RD E. EJP RD - European Joint Programme on Rare Diseases - Our Publications; 2022. <https://www.ejprarediseases.org/our-publications/>.
- [44] RD E. ERN Events; 2022. <https://ejprd.sharepoint.com/sites/EJPRD-ERN-EVENTS>.
- [45] Singh J. The portal for rare diseases and orphan drugs. *Journal of Pharmacology & Pharmacotherapeutics*. 2013;4(2):168.
- [46] authors V. International Summer School on Rare Disease Registries and FAIRification of Data; 2022. <http://www.ejprarediseases.org/international-summer-school-on-rare-disease-registries-and-fairification-of-data/>.
- [47] EuRRECa. Data Elements of EuRRECa; 2022. <https://eurreca.net/data-elements-2/>.
- [48] RD E. Hackathon Implementation CDE Semantic Model for ERNs EDC providers; 2022. https://github.com/ejp-rd-vp/EJP-RD-hackathons-workshops/tree/master/EJPRD_Workshop_2020-06_Hackathon_Implementation_CDE_semantic_model_for_ERNs.
- [49] RD-CODE. RD Code; 2022. <https://www.rd-code.eu/>.
- [50] RD E. ERN Registries Generic Informed Consent Forms; 2022. <https://www.ejprarediseases.org/ern-registries-generic-icf/>.
- [51] ERICA. The European Rare Disease Research Coordination and Support Action consortium (ERICA); 2022. <https://erica-rd.eu/>.
- [52] RD E. Metadata for EJP rare disease patient registries, biobanks and catalogs; 2022. <https://github.com/ejp-rd-vp/resource-metadata-schema>.

- [53] Dimou A, Vander Sande M, Colpaert P, Verborgh R, Mannens E, Van de Walle R. RML: A generic language for integrated RDF mappings of heterogeneous data. *Ldow*. 2014;1184.
- [54] JRC. SPIDER pseudonymisation tool; 2022. <https://eu-rd-platform.jrc.ec.europa.eu/spider/>.
- [55] Facilitating International Cooperation in Non-Commercial Clinical Trials; 2011. October.
- [56] Detlev Gabel TH. GDPR Guide to National Implementation; 2022. <https://www.whitecase.com/publications/article/gdpr-guide-national-implementation>.
- [57] European Union. Regulation 2016/679 of the European parliament and the Council of the European Union. *Official Journal of the European Communities*. 2016;.
- [58] Merrell E, Kelly RM, Kasmier D, et al. Benefits of Realist Ontologies to Systems Engineering. *Phillpapers*. 2021;.
- [59] Hank C, Bishop BW. Measuring FAIR Principles to Inform Fitness for Use. *International Journal of Digital Curation*. 2018;13(1).
- [60] Henning P, Silva LOBd, Pires LF, et al. The FAIRness of data management plans: an assessment of some European DMPs. *Revista Eletrônica De Comunicação, Informação e Inovação em Saúde*. 2021;.
- [61] Schultes E, Magagna B, Hettne KM, et al. Reusable FAIR implementation profiles as accelerators of FAIR convergence. In: *Advances in Conceptual Modeling. ER 2020*. vol. 12584. Springer; 2020. p. 138–147.
- [62] RD E. Introduction to The Advisory Regulatory Ethics Board (AREB); 2022. <https://www.ejprarediseases.org/introduction-to-areb/>.
- [63] RD E. EJP RD General Assembly 2021; 2022. <https://www.ejprarediseases.org/ejp-rd-general-assembly-2021/>.
- [64] RD E. FAIRopoly – FAIRification Guidance for ERN Patient Registries; 2022. <https://www.ejprarediseases.org/fairopoly/>.
- [65] Lusher S, Mons B. Jointly designing a data FAIRPORT; 2014. Lorentz Center at Snellius.
- [66] Mark D Wilkinson and Dominique Batista. FAIR Evaluation Services; 2023. <https://fairsharing.github.io/FAIR-Evaluator-FrontEnd/>.
- [67] FAIRSharing Team and Data Readiness Group. FAIRAssist; 2023. <https://fairassist.org/>.

Bibliography

- [68] FAIR Data Team. FAIRifier; 2023. <https://github.com/FAIRDataTeam/FAIRifier>.
- [69] van der Velde KJ, Imhann F, Charbon B, Pang C, van Enckevort D, Slofstra M, et al. MOLGENIS research: advanced bioinformatics data software for non-bioinformaticians. *Bioinformatics*. 2019;35(6):1076–1078.
- [70] W3C. Data Catalog Vocabulary (DCAT) - Version 2. World Wide Web Consortium (W3C); 2019.
- [71] da Silva Santos LOB, Burger K, Kaliyaperumal R, Wilkinson MD. FAIR Data Point: A FAIR-oriented approach for metadata publication. *Data Intelligence*. 2022; p. 1–21.
- [72] (W3C) W3C. Resource Description Framework (RDF); Accessed 2023. <https://www.w3.org/RDF/>.
- [73] Creative Commons. Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License; 2023. <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.
- [74] EJP-RD. Metadata for EJP rare disease patient registries, biobanks and catalogs; 2023. <https://github.com/ejp-rd-vp/resource-metadata-schema>.
- [75] EJP-RD Consortium. International Summer School on Rare Disease Registries and FAIRification of Data; 2023. <https://www.ejprarediseases.org/event/international-summer-school-on-rare-disease-registries-and-fairification-of-data/>.
- [76] dos Santos Vieira B, Bernabé CH, Zhang S, et al. Towards FAIRification of sensitive and fragmented rare disease patient data: Challenges and solutions in European reference network registries. *Orphanet Journal of Rare Diseases*. 2022;17:436.
- [77] DTL. Successful Hackathon to Make MOLGENIS FAIR; 2016. <https://www.dtls.nl/2016/10/30/successful-hackathon-make-molgenis-fair/>.
- [78] Dutch Techcentre for Life Sciences. First Green BYOD - Yet Another Successful Bring Data Workshop; 2015. <https://www.dtls.nl/2015/02/11/first-green-byod-yet-another-successful-bring-data-workshop/>.
- [79] Dutch Techcentre for Life Sciences. Bring Rett Syndrome Data workshop: a summary; 2016. <https://www.dtls.nl/2016/11/10/bring-rett-syndrome-data-workshop-summary/>.
- [80] Wageningen University & Research. CGN Tomato Collection; 2006. <https://www.wur.nl/nl/onderzoek-resultaten/wettelijke-onderzoekstaken/centrum-voor-genetische-bronnen-nederland-1/plant/genebank/cgn-crop-collections/cgn-fruit-vegetables-collection/cgn-tomato-collection.htm>.

- [81] Dutch Techcentre for Life Sciences. MolData2 implementation study: Facilitating molecular studies of rare diseases; 2018. <https://www.dtls.nl/2018/07/06/moldata2-implementation-study-facilitating-molecular-studies-of-rare-diseases/>.
- [82] Roos M, Gray AJ, Waagmeester A, Thompson M, Kaliyaperumal R, Van Der Horst E, et al. Bring Your Own Data Workshops: A Mechanism to Aid Data Owners to Comply with Linked Data Best Practices. In: SWAT4LS; 2014. p. 1–4.
- [83] Gray, Alasdair J G . First FAIRPORT-ELIXIR BYOD workshop; 2014. [Online]. Available at: <https://alasdairgray.github.io/posts/2014-07-11-first-byod-workshop>.
- [84] Dutch Techcentre for Life Sciences. About DTL; 2023. <https://www.dtls.nl/>.
- [85] ELIXIR Europe. ELIXIR Europe; 2023. <https://elixir-europe.org/>.
- [86] Uhlen M, Oksvold P, Fagerberg L, Lundberg E, Jonasson K, Forsberg M, et al. Towards a knowledge-based human protein atlas. *Nature biotechnology*. 2010;28(12):1248–1250.
- [87] Robert V, Vu D, Amor ABH, van de Wiele N, Brouwer C, Jabas B, et al. MycoBank gearing up for new horizons. *IMA fungus*. 2013;4:371–379.
- [88] Wilkinson MD, McCarthy L, Vandervalk B, Withers D, Kawas E, Samadian S. SADI, SHARE, and the in silico scientific method. In: *BMC bioinformatics*. vol. 11. BioMed Central; 2010. p. 1–5.
- [89] Williams AJ, Harland L, Groth P, Pettifer S, Chichester C, Willighagen EL, et al. Open PHACTS: semantic interoperability for drug discovery. *Drug discovery today*. 2012;17(21-22):1188–1198.
- [90] Slenter DN, Kutmon M, Hanspers K, Riutta A, Windsor J, Nunes N, et al. WikiPathways: a multifaceted pathway database bridging metabolomics to other omics research. *Nucleic acids research*. 2018;46(D1):D661–D667.
- [91] Gray AJ, Groth P, Loizou A, Askjaer S, Brenninkmeijer C, Burger K, et al. Applying linked data approaches to pharmacology: Architectural decisions and implementation. *Semantic Web*. 2014;5(2):101–113.
- [92] Willighagen EL, Waagmeester A, Spjuth O, Ansell P, Williams AJ, Tkachenko V, et al. The ChEMBL database as linked open data. *Journal of cheminformatics*. 2013;5(1):1–12.
- [93] Lane L, Argoud-Puy G, Britan A, Cusin I, Duek PD, Evalet O, et al. neXtProt: a knowledge platform for human proteins. *Nucleic acids research*. 2012;40(D1):D76–D83.

Bibliography

- [94] Simone Baldovino M, Domenica Taruscio M, Dario Roccatello M. Rare diseases in Europe: from a wide to a local perspective. *Isr Med Assoc J.* 2016;18:359–63.
- [95] RD-Connect. RD-Connect; 2022. Retrieved May 3, 2023, from <https://rd-connect.eu/>.
- [96] IRDiRC. Current IRDiRC Recognized Resources; 2023. <https://irdirc.org/resources-2/irdirc-recognized-resources/current-irdirc-recognized-resources/>.
- [97] RD E, editor. International Summer School on Rare Disease Registries and FAIRification of Data. Rome, Italy: Istituto Superiore di Sanità; 2022.
- [98] Bernabé C, Sales TP, Schultes E, van Ulzen N, Jacobsen A, da Silva Santos LOB, et al. A goal-oriented method for FAIRification planning. *Research Square.* 2023;1(Preprint).
- [99] Zhang S, Benis N, Cornet R. Automated approach for quality assessment of RDF resources. *BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making.* 2023;23(1):1–16.
- [100] dos Santos Vieira B, Bernabé CH, Henriques I, Zhang S, Camara AB, García JAR, et al.. Critical steps towards large-scale implementation of the FAIR data principles; 2023. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7867293>.
- [101] Bernabé CH, Queralt-Rosinach N, Silva Souza VE, Bonino da Silva Santos LO, Mons B, Jacobsen A, et al. The use of foundational ontologies in biomedical research. *Journal of Biomedical Semantics.* 2023;14(1):21.
- [102] Neuhaus F, Hastings J. Ontology development is consensus creation, not (merely) representation. *Applied Ontology.* 2022;17(4):495–513.
- [103] Hettne, Kristina M and Verhaar, Paul and Companjen, Bas and Kaliyaperumal, Rajaram and Jacobsen, Annika and Burger, Kees and Sesink, Leonie. Bring Your Own Data FAIRification workshop 18 June 2019; 2023. Retrieved from <https://osf.io/8ecg2>.
- [104] University of Twente. FAIR Principles and the FAIRification process course; 2021. <https://edu.nl/xy96d>.
- [105] Aktau A, Gambardella A, Hettne K, Ulzen van N. Final outcomes of the taskforce FAIRification as a Service; 2023. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7546767>.
- [106] GO FAIR. Making FAIR Metadata; 2023. <https://www.go-fair.org/today/making-fair-metadata/>.
- [107] FAIR G. How to GO FAIR; 2023. <https://www.go-fair.org/how-to-go-fair/>.
- [108] Welter D, Juty N, Rocca-Serra P, Xu F, Henderson D, Gu W, et al. FAIR in action—a flexible framework to guide FAIRification. *Scientific data.* 2023;10(1):291.

- [109] Schultes E. The FAIR hourglass: A framework for FAIR implementation. *Fair Connect.* 2023;1(1):13–17.
- [110] van Lin N, Paliouras G, Vroom E, t Hoen PA, Roos M. How patient organizations can drive FAIR data efforts to facilitate research and health care: A report of the virtual second international meeting on Duchenne data sharing, march 3, 2021. *Journal of Neuromuscular Diseases.* 2021;8(6):1097–1108.
- [111] Engelhardt C, Biernacka K, Coffey A, Cornet R, Danciu A, Demchenko Y, et al. D7.4 How to be FAIR with your data. A teaching and training handbook for higher education institutions. Zenodo; 2022. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6674301>.
- [112] Thomer AK, Akmon D, York JJ, Tyler AR, Polasek F, Lafia S, et al. The craft and coordination of data curation: Complicating workflow views of data science. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction.* 2022;6:1–29.
- [113] Welter D, Juty N, Rocca-Serra P, Xu F, Henderson D, Gu W, et al. FAIR in action—a flexible framework to guide FAIRification. *Scientific Data.* 2023;10(1):291.
- [114] dos Santos Vieira B, Bernabé CH, Henriques I, Zhang S, Camara AB, García JAR, et al.. Critical steps towards large-scale implementation of the FAIR data principles; 2023. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7867293>.
- [115] Pressman RS. *Software engineering: A practitioner’s approach.* 7th ed. McGraw-Hill; 2010.
- [116] Freeman RE. *Strategic management: A stokcholder approach.* Pitman; 1984.
- [117] National Information Standards Organization (NISO). *Understanding Metadata.* Bethesda, MD: NISO Press; 2004. Available from: <https://www.niso.org/publications/understanding-metadata>.
- [118] van Damme P, Alarcón Moreno P, Cámara Ballesteros A, Bernabé CH, Le Cornec CMA, Dos Santos Vieira B, et al. A Resource for Guiding Data Stewards to Make European Rare Disease Patient Registries FAIR. *Data Science Journal.* 2023;.
- [119] Queralt-Rosinach N, Kaliyaperumal R, Bernabé CH, et al. Applying the FAIR principles to data in a hospital: challenges and opportunities in a pandemic. *Journal of Biomedical Semantics.* 2022;.
- [120] Davis FD. *User acceptance of information systems: the technology acceptance model (TAM).* Graduate School of Business, University of Michigan. 1987;Working paper no. 529.
- [121] Davis FD, Granić A, Marangunić N. The technology acceptance model 30 years of TAM. *Technology.* 2023;.

Bibliography

- [122] European Joint Programme for Rare Diseases. EJP-RD VP Resource Metadata Schema; 2021. <https://github.com/ejp-rd-vp/resource-metadata-schema>.
- [123] OMG. Business Process Model and Notation (BPMN), Version 2.0; 2011. <http://www.omg.org/spec/BPMN/2.0>.
- [124] Grüninger M, Fox MS. The role of competency questions in enterprise engineering. *Benchmarking—Theory and practice*. 1995;.
- [125] Sansone SA, McQuilton P, Rocca-Serra P, et al. FAIRsharing as a community approach to standards, repositories and policies. *Nature Biotechnology*. 2019;.
- [126] Barcelos PPF, Sales TP, Fumagalli M, et al. A FAIR model catalog for ontology-driven conceptual modeling research. In: *Conceptual Modeling. ER 2022*. vol. 13607. Springer; 2022. p. 3–17.
- [127] Sales TP, Barcelos PPF, Fonseca CM, et al. *A FAIR Catalog of Ontology-Driven Conceptual Models*; 2023.
- [128] Albertoni R, Browning D, Cox S, et al. The W3C Data Catalog Vocabulary, Version 2: Rationale, Design Principles, and Uptake. arXiv preprint arXiv:230308883. 2023;.
- [129] Wohlin C, Runeson P, Höst M, Ohlsson MC, Regnell B, Wesslén A. *Experimentation in Software Engineering*. Springer; 2012.
- [130] Kitchenham B, Linkman S, Law D. DESMET: a methodology for evaluating software engineering methods and tools. *Computing & Control Engineering Journal*. 1997;8(3):120–126.
- [131] Bernabé C, Sousa IV, da Silva Santos LOB, Roos M. *Insights in FAIRification planning*; 2023.
- [132] Horkoff J, Yu E. Analyzing goal models: different approaches and how to choose among them. In: *Proceedings of the 2011 ACM Symposium on Applied Computing*; 2011. p. 675–682.
- [133] Kline RB. *Principles and practice of structural equation modeling*. Guilford publications; 2023.
- [134] Bernabé C, Sousa IV, dos Santos Vieira B, Carta C, Jacobsen A, da Silva Santos LOB, et al.. *Insights in FAIRification planning*; 2024.
- [135] Jiang L, Topaloglou T, Mylopoulos J, Borgida A. Goal-oriented conceptual database design. In: *15th IEEE International Requirements Engineering Conference (RE 2007)*. IEEE; 2007. p. 195–204.
- [136] Giorgini P, Rizzi S, Garzetti M. GRANd: A goal-oriented approach to requirement analysis in data warehouses. *Decision Support Systems*. 2008;45(1):4–21.

- [137] Sothilingam R, Pant V, Shahrin N, Eric S. Towards a Goal-Oriented Modeling Approach for Data Governance. In: PoEM (Forum); 2021. p. 69–77.
- [138] Asnar Y, Giorgini P, Mylopoulos J. Goal-driven risk assessment in requirements engineering. *Requirements Engineering*. 2011;16:101–116.
- [139] Van Lamsweerde A, Letier E. Handling obstacles in goal-oriented requirements engineering. *IEEE Transactions on software engineering*. 2000;26(10):978–1005.
- [140] Van Lamsweerde A, Darimont R, Letier E. Managing conflicts in goal-driven requirements engineering. *IEEE transactions on Software engineering*. 1998;24(11):908–926.
- [141] Monfardini GKQ, Salamon JS, Barcellos MP. Use of Competency Questions in Ontology Engineering: A Survey. In: *International Conference on Conceptual Modeling*. Springer; 2023. p. 45–64.
- [142] Splendiani A, Donato M, Drăghici S. *Ontologies for bioinformatics*. Springer Handbook of Bio-/Neuroinformatics. 2014;.
- [143] Keet CM. The use of foundational ontologies in ontology development: an empirical assessment. In: *Extended Semantic Web Conference*. Springer; 2011. p. 321–335.
- [144] Amaral G, Baião F, Guizzardi G. Foundational ontologies, ontology-driven conceptual modeling, and their multiple benefits to data mining. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery*. 2021;11(4):e1408.
- [145] Consortium GO. The Gene Ontology (GO) database and informatics resource. *Nucleic acids research*. 2004;32(suppl_1):D258–D261.
- [146] Lewis SE. Gene Ontology: looking backwards and forwards. *Genome biology*. 2005;6(1):1–4.
- [147] Bodenreider O, Stevens R. Bio-ontologies: current trends and future directions. *Briefings in bioinformatics*. 2006;7(3):256–274.
- [148] Jackson R, Matentzoglou N, Overton JA, Vita R, Balhoff JP, Buttigieg PL, et al. OBO Foundry in 2021: operationalizing open data principles to evaluate ontologies. *Database*. 2021;2021.
- [149] Noy NF, Shah NH, Whetzel PL, Dai B, Dorf M, Griffith N, et al. BioPortal: ontologies and integrated data resources at the click of a mouse. *Nucleic acids research*. 2009;.
- [150] Stevens R, Wroe C, Lord P, Goble C. *Ontologies in bioinformatics*. Handbook on ontologies. 2004; p. 635–657.
- [151] Hoehndorf R, Schofield PN, Gkoutos GV. The role of ontologies in biological and biomedical research: a functional perspective. *Briefings in bioinformatics*. 2015;16(6):1069–1080.

Bibliography

- [152] Verdonck M, Gailly F, de Cesare S. Comprehending 3D and 4D ontology-driven conceptual models: An empirical study. *Information Systems*. 2020;93:101568.
- [153] Guizzardi G, et al. On ontology, ontologies, conceptualizations, modeling languages, and (meta) models. *Frontiers in artificial intelligence and applications*. 2007;155:18.
- [154] Guarino N. Semantic matching: Formal ontological distinctions for information organization, extraction, and integration. In: *International Summer School on Information Extraction*. Springer; 1997. p. 139–170.
- [155] de Almeida Falbo R, Barcellos MP, Nardi JC, Guizzardi G. Organizing ontology design patterns as ontology pattern languages. In: *Extended Semantic Web Conference*. Springer; 2013. p. 61–75.
- [156] Flügel S, Glauer M, Neuhaus F, Hastings J. When one Logic is Not Enough: Integrating First-order Annotations in OWL Ontologies. *arXiv preprint arXiv:221003497*. 2022;.
- [157] Keet M. Foundational Ontologies; 2020. Available from: <https://eng.libretexts.org/@go/page/6393>.
- [158] Smith B, Kumar A, Bittner T. Basic formal ontology for bioinformatics. *Phill-Papers*. 2005;.
- [159] Grenon P, Smith B. SNAP and SPAN: Towards dynamic spatial ontology. *Spatial cognition and computation*. 2004;4(1):69–104.
- [160] Iqbal R, Murad MAA, Mustapha A, Sharef NM, et al. An analysis of ontology engineering methodologies: A literature review. *Research journal of applied sciences, engineering and technology*. 2013;6(16):2993–3000.
- [161] Kitchenham B, Charters S. Guidelines for performing systematic literature reviews in software engineering. *Information and Software Technology*. 2007;.
- [162] Gruber TR. A translation approach to portable ontology specifications. *Knowledge acquisition*. 1993;5(2):199–220.
- [163] Studer R, Benjamins VR, Fensel D. Knowledge engineering: Principles and methods. *Data & knowledge engineering*. 1998;.
- [164] Dumontier M, Baker CJ, Baran J, Callahan A, Chepelev L, Cruz-Toledo J, et al. The SemanticScience Integrated Ontology (SIO) for biomedical research and knowledge discovery. *Journal of biomedical semantics*. 2014;.
- [165] Beisswanger E, Schulz S, Stenzhorn H, Hahn U. BioTop: An upper domain ontology for the life sciences. *Applied Ontology*. 2008;3(4):205–212.
- [166] Masolo C, Borgo S, Gangemi A, Guarino N, Oltramari A. *Ontology Library. WonderWeb Deliverable D18 (ver. 1.0, 31-12-2003)*; 2003.

- [167] Herre H. General Formal Ontology (GFO): A foundational ontology for conceptual modelling. *Theory and Applications of Ontology: Computer Applications*. 2010;.
- [168] Niles I, Pease A. Towards a standard upper ontology. In: *Proceedings of the international conference on Formal Ontology in Information Systems*; 2001. p. 2–9.
- [169] Mizoguchi R. YAMATO: yet another more advanced top-level ontology. In: *Proceedings of the sixth Australasian ontology workshop*. Citeseer; 2010. p. 1–16.
- [170] Vasant D, Chanas L, Malone J, Hanauer M, Olry A, Jupp S, et al. Ordo: an ontology connecting rare disease, epidemiology and genetic data. In: *Proceedings of ISMB*. vol. 30; 2014. p. 1–4.
- [171] Group TB. Journal/Author Name Estimator; 2007. <https://jane.biosemantics.org>.
- [172] for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) NC. PubMed; 2022. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov>.
- [173] Elsevier. ScienceDirect; 2022. <https://www.sciencedirect.com>.
- [174] Schulz S, Spackman K, James A, Cocos C, Boeker M. Scalable representations of diseases in biomedical ontologies. In: *Journal of Biomedical Semantics*. vol. 2. BioMed Central; 2011. p. 1–13.
- [175] Pesquita C, Ferreira JD, Couto FM, Silva MJ. The epidemiology ontology: an ontology for the semantic annotation of epidemiological resources. *Journal of biomedical semantics*. 2014;5(1):1–7.
- [176] Hur J, Özgür A, Xiang Z, He Y. Development and application of an interaction network ontology for literature mining of vaccine-associated gene-gene interactions. *Journal of biomedical semantics*. 2015;6(1):1–10.
- [177] Vogt L. Spatio-structural granularity of biological material entities. *BMC bioinformatics*. 2010;11(1):1–32.
- [178] Vogt L. Levels and building blocks—toward a domain granularity framework for the life sciences. *Journal of biomedical semantics*. 2019;10(1):1–29.
- [179] Vogt L, Grobe P, Quast B, Bartolomaeus T. Fiat or bona fide boundary—a matter of granular perspective. *PLoS One*. 2012;7(12):e48603.
- [180] Röhl J, Jansen L. Why functions are not special dispositions: an improved classification of realizables for top-level ontologies. *Journal of biomedical semantics*. 2014;5(1):1–16.

Bibliography

- [181] Jensen M, Cox AP, Chaudhry N, Ng M, Sule D, Duncan W, et al. The neurological disease ontology. *Journal of biomedical semantics*. 2013;4:1–10.
- [182] Brochhausen M, Zheng J, Birtwell D, Williams H, Masci AM, Ellis HJ, et al. OBIB-a novel ontology for biobanking. *Journal of biomedical semantics*. 2016;.
- [183] Tao C, Solbrig HR, Chute CG. CNTRO 2.0: a harmonized semantic web ontology for temporal relation inferencing in clinical narratives. *AMIA summits on translational science proceedings*. 2011;.
- [184] Hoehndorf R, Dumontier M, Oellrich A, Rebholz-Schuhmann D, Schofield PN, Gkoutos GV. Interoperability between biomedical ontologies through relation expansion, upper-level ontologies and automatic reasoning. *PloS one*. 2011;.
- [185] Pisanelli DM, Gangemi A, Battaglia M, Catenacci C. Coping with medical polysemy in the semantic web: the role of ontologies. In: *MEDINFO 2004*. IOS Press; 2004. p. 416–419.
- [186] Brochhausen M, Schneider J, Malone D, Empey PE, Hogan WR, Boyce RD. Towards a foundational representation of potential drug-drug interaction knowledge. In: *CEUR workshop proceedings*. vol. 1309. NIH Public Access; 2014. p. 16.
- [187] Machado CM, Rebholz-Schuhmann D, Freitas AT, Couto FM. The semantic web in translational medicine: current applications and future directions. *Briefings in bioinformatics*. 2015;.
- [188] Martinez-Costa C, Abad-Navarro F. Towards a Semantic Data Harmonization Federated Infrastructure. In: *Public Health and Informatics*. IOS Press; 2021. p. 38–42.
- [189] Masuya H, Makita Y, Kobayashi N, Nishikata K, Yoshida Y, Mochizuki Y, et al. The RIKEN integrated database of mammals. *Nucleic Acids Research*. 2010;doi:10.1093/nar/gkq1078.
- [190] Burek P, Hoehndorf R, Loebe F, Visagie J, Herre H, Kelso J. A top-level ontology of functions and its application in the Open Biomedical Ontologies. *Bioinformatics*. 2006;22(14):e66–e73.
- [191] Keet CM. Transforming semi-structured life science diagrams into meaningful domain ontologies with DiDON. *Journal of biomedical informatics*. 2012;45(3):482–494.
- [192] Some BMJ, Bordea G, Thiessard F, Schulz S, Diallo G. Design considerations for a knowledge graph: The WATRIMed use case. In: *Healthcare of the Future*. IOS Press; 2019. p. 59–64.
- [193] Boeker M, Jansen L, Grewe N, Röhl J, Schober D, Seddig-Raufie D, et al. Effects of guideline-based training on the quality of formal ontologies: A randomized controlled trial. *Plos one*. 2013;.

- [194] Antoniou G, Harmelen Fv. Web ontology language: Owl. Handbook on ontologies. 2009; p. 91–110.
- [195] Rumbaugh J, Jacobson I, Booch G. Unified Modeling Language Reference Manual, The (2nd Edition). London: Pearson Higher Education; 2004.
- [196] Kong YM, Dahlke C, Xiang Q, Qian Y, Karp D, Scheuermann RH. Toward an ontology-based framework for clinical research databases. Journal of biomedical informatics. 2011;44(1):48–58.
- [197] Barwise J. An introduction to first-order logic. vol. 90. Madison: Elsevier; 1977.
- [198] Burek P, Scherf N, Herre H. A pattern-based approach to a cell tracking ontology. Procedia Computer Science. 2019;159:784–793.
- [199] Brank J, Grobelnik M, Mladenic D. A survey of ontology evaluation techniques. In: Proceedings of the conference on data mining and data warehouses (SiKDD 2005). Citeseer Ljubljana, Slovenia; 2005. p. 166–170.
- [200] Smith B, Ashburner M, Rosse C, Bard J, Bug W, Ceusters W, et al. The OBO Foundry: coordinated evolution of ontologies to support biomedical data integration. Nature biotechnology. 2007;.
- [201] Noy NF, McGuinness DL, et al.. Ontology development 101: A guide to creating your first ontology; 2001.
- [202] Kassel G. Integration of the DOLCE top-level ontology into the OntoSpec methodology. arXiv preprint cs/0510050. 2005;.
- [203] He Y, Xiang Z, Zheng J, Lin Y, Overton JA, Ong E. The eXtensible ontology development (XOD) principles and tool implementation to support ontology interoperability. Journal of biomedical semantics. 2018;9(1):1–10.
- [204] Boeker M, Schober D, Raufie D, Grewe N, Röhl J, Jansen L, et al. Teaching Good Biomedical Ontology Design. In: ICBO. Citeseer; 2012. p. 21–25.
- [205] Fernández-López M, Gómez-Pérez A, Juristo N. Methontology: from ontological art towards ontological engineering. Proceedings of the Ontological Engineering AAAI-97 Spring Symposium Series. 1997;.
- [206] Egana M, Rector A, Stevens R, Antezana E. Applying ontology design patterns in bio-ontologies. In: International Conference on Knowledge Engineering and Knowledge Management. Springer; 2008. p. 7–16.
- [207] Gangemi A, Catenacci C, Ciaramita M, Lehmann J. Modelling ontology evaluation and validation. In: European Semantic Web Conference. Springer; 2006. p. 140–154.

Bibliography

- [208] Cozzi S, Martinuzzi A, Della Mea V. Ontological modeling of the International Classification of Functioning, Disabilities and Health (ICF): Activities&Participation and Environmental Factors components. *BMC medical informatics and decision making*. 2021;.
- [209] Verdonck M, Gailly F, Pergl R, Guizzardi G, Martins B, Pastor O. Comparing traditional conceptual modeling with ontology-driven conceptual modeling: An empirical study. *Information Systems*. 2019;.
- [210] Hlomani H, Stacey D. Approaches, methods, metrics, measures, and subjectivity in ontology evaluation: A survey. *Semantic Web Journal*. 2014;.
- [211] Peroni S. A simplified agile methodology for ontology development. In: *OWL: Experiences and Directions–Reasoner Evaluation: 13th International Workshop, OWLED 2016, and 5th International Workshop, ORE 2016, Bologna, Italy, November 20, 2016, Revised Selected Papers 13*. Springer; 2017. p. 55–69.
- [212] Fernandes PCB, Guizzardi RS, Guizzardi G. Using goal modeling to capture competency questions in ontology-based systems. *Journal of Information and Data Management*. 2011;.
- [213] Simon J, Dos Santos M, Fielding J, Smith B. Formal ontology for natural language processing and the integration of biomedical databases. *International journal of medical informatics*. 2006;.
- [214] Emeruem C, Keet CM, Dawood ZC, Wang S. BFO Classifier: Aligning domain ontologies to BFO. *Research Space*. 2022;.
- [215] of Twente U. *Ontology-Driven Conceptual Modeling with Applications*; 2022. <https://bit.ly/3q6AOOv>.
- [216] Nardi JC, de Almeida Falbo R, Almeida JPA. Foundational ontologies for semantic integration in EAI: a systematic literature review. In: *Collaborative, Trusted and Privacy-Aware e/m-Services: 12th IFIP WG 6.11 Conference on e-Business, e-Services, and e-Society, I3E 2013, Athens, Greece, April 25-26, 2013. Proceedings 12*. Springer; 2013. p. 238–249.
- [217] Elmhadhbi L, Karray MH, Archimède B. Toward the use of upper-level ontologies for semantically interoperable systems: An emergency management use case. In: *Enterprise Interoperability VIII: Smart Services and Business Impact of Enterprise Interoperability*. Springer; 2019. p. 131–140.
- [218] Baumgartner N, Retschitzegger W. A survey of upper ontologies for situation awareness. In: *Proc. of the 4th IASTED International Conference on Knowledge Sharing and Collaborative Engineering, St. Thomas, US VI*; 2006. p. 1–9.
- [219] Trojahn C, Vieira R, Schmidt D, Pease A, Guizzardi G. Foundational ontologies meet ontology matching: A survey. *Semantic Web*. 2022;13(4):685–704.

- [220] Partridge C, Mitchell A, Cook A, Sullivan J, West M. A Survey of Top-Level Ontologies - to inform the ontological choices for a Foundation Data Model. CDBB; 2020. Available from: <https://www.repository.cam.ac.uk/handle/1810/313452>.
- [221] Brnabic A, Hess LM. Systematic literature review of machine learning methods used in the analysis of real-world data for patient-provider decision making. *BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making*. 2021;21(1):54.
- [222] Hanson B, Stall S, Cutcher-Gershenfeld J, Vrouwenvelder K, Wirz C, Rao Y, et al. Garbage in, garbage out: mitigating risks and maximizing benefits of AI in research. *Nature*. 2023;623(7985):28–31.
- [223] Jacobsen A, de Miranda Azevedo R, Juty N, Batista D, Coles S, Cornet R, et al. FAIR principles: interpretations and implementation considerations; 2020.
- [224] Guarino N, Guizzardi G, Mylopoulos J. On the philosophical foundations of conceptual models. In: *Information modelling and knowledge bases XXXI*. vol. 321. IOS Press; 2020. p. 1–15.
- [225] Perdomo-Quinteiro P, Wolstencroft K, Roos M, Queralt-Rosinach N. Knowledge Graphs and Explainable AI for Drug Repurposing on Rare Diseases. *bioRxiv*. 2024;doi:10.1101/2024.10.17.618804.
- [226] Ortigossa ES, Gonçalves T, Nonato LG. EXplainable Artificial Intelligence (XAI)–From Theory to Methods and Applications. *IEEE Access*. 2024;.
- [227] Pushpakom S, Iorio F, Eyers PA, Escott KJ, Hopper S, Wells A, et al. Drug repurposing: progress, challenges and recommendations. *Nature reviews Drug discovery*. 2019;18(1):41–58.
- [228] Attia YM, Ewida H, Ahmed MS. Successful stories of drug repurposing for cancer therapy in hepatocellular carcinoma. In: *Drug Repurposing in Cancer Therapy*. Elsevier; 2020. p. 213–229.
- [229] Roessler HI, Knoers NV, van Haelst MM, van Haaften G. Drug repurposing for rare diseases. *Trends in pharmacological sciences*. 2021;42(4):255–267.
- [230] Shefchek KA, Harris NL, Gargano M, Matentzoglou N, Unni D, Brush M, et al. The Monarch Initiative in 2019: an integrative data and analytic platform connecting phenotypes to genotypes across species. *Nucleic acids research*. 2020;48(D1):D704–D715.
- [231] Ursu O, Holmes J, Knockel J, Bologna CG, Yang JJ, Mathias SL, et al. Drug-Central: online drug compendium. *Nucleic acids research*. 2016; p. gkw993.
- [232] Chen X, Ji ZL, Chen YZ. TTD: therapeutic target database. *Nucleic acids research*. 2002;30(1):412–415.

Bibliography

- [233] Hamilton W, Ying Z, Leskovec J. Inductive representation learning on large graphs. *Advances in neural information processing systems*. 2017;30.
- [234] Bernabé C, Zwart R, Perdomo-Quinteiro P, Jacobsen A, Prince Sales T, Queralt-Rosinach N, et al.. Restructuring knowledge graphs with conceptual models - Supplementary material; 2025. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.28576469>.
- [235] Guizzardi G, Fonseca CM, Almeida JPA, Sales TP, Benevides AB, Porello D. Types and taxonomic structures in conceptual modeling: A novel ontological theory and engineering support. *Data & Knowledge Engineering*. 2021;134:101891.
- [236] Janssens ACJ, Martens FK. Reflection on modern methods: Revisiting the area under the ROC Curve. *International journal of epidemiology*. 2020;49(4):1397–1403.
- [237] Hand DJ, Christen P, Kirielle N. F*: an interpretable transformation of the F-measure. *Machine Learning*. 2021;110(3):451–456.
- [238] Mao A, Mohri M, Zhong Y. Cross-entropy loss functions: Theoretical analysis and applications. In: *International conference on Machine learning*. PMLR; 2023. p. 23803–23828.
- [239] Gao Z, Fu G, Ouyang C, Tsutsui S, Liu X, Yang J, et al. edge2vec: Representation learning using edge semantics for biomedical knowledge discovery. *BMC bioinformatics*. 2019;20:1–15.
- [240] Szigyarto CAK, Spitali P. Biomarkers of Duchenne muscular dystrophy: current findings. *Degenerative neurological and neuromuscular disease*. 2018; p. 1–13.
- [241] Walker FO. Huntington’s disease. *The Lancet*. 2007;369(9557):218–228.
- [242] Rauch F, Glorieux FH. Osteogenesis imperfecta. *The Lancet*. 2004;363(9418):1377–1385.
- [243] Bergstra J, Bengio Y. Random search for hyper-parameter optimization. *Journal of machine learning research*. 2012;13(2).
- [244] Albertoni R, Colantonio S, Skrzypczyński P, Stefanowski J. Reproducibility of machine learning: Terminology, recommendations and open issues. *arXiv preprint arXiv:230212691*. 2023;.
- [245] Confalonieri R, Guizzardi G. On the multiple roles of ontologies in explanations for neuro-symbolic AI. *Neurosymbolic Artificial Intelligence;(Preprint):1–15*.
- [246] Maass W, Storey VC. Pairing conceptual modeling with machine learning. *Data & Knowledge Engineering*. 2021;134:101909.

- [247] Lukyanenko R, Castellanos A, Parsons J, Chiarini Tremblay M, Storey VC. Using conceptual modeling to support machine learning. In: Information Systems Engineering in Responsible Information Systems: CAiSE Forum 2019, Rome, Italy, June 3–7, 2019, Proceedings 31. Springer; 2019. p. 170–181.
- [248] Crosby JP. The Business Manager’s Guide to Software Projects. Springer; 2023.
- [249] Pergl R, Hooft R, Suchánek M, Knaisl V, Slifka J. “Data Stewardship Wizard”: A tool bringing together researchers, data stewards, and data experts around data management planning. *Data Science Journal*. 2019;18:59–59.

Bibliography
