

Performative transactions: worlding compositional ecosystems Łukawski, A.

Citation

Łukawski, A. (2025, November 21). *Performative transactions: worlding compositional ecosystems*. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/4283663

Version: Publisher's Version

License: License agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the

Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden

Downloaded from: https://hdl.handle.net/1887/4283663

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Performative Transactions:

Worlding Compositional Ecosystems

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van de graad van doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden, op gezag van rector magnificus prof.dr.ir. H. Bijl, volgens besluit van het college voor promoties, te verdedigen op vrijdag 21 november 2025 klokke 10:00 uur

> Door Adam Łukawski geboren te Suwałki, Polen in 1997

Promotor

Prof. dr. Richard Barrett

Copromotores

Dr. Paulo de Assis (Orpheus Institute, Ghent, Belgium)

Dr. Michiel Schuijer (Conservatorium van Amsterdam, The Netherlands)

Promotiecommissie

Prof. dr. Rachel Beckles Willson (secretaris)

Prof. dr. Kitty Zijlmans

Prof. dr. Artemi-Maria Gioti (Mozarteum University Salzburg)

Dr. Martin Zeilinger (Abertay University, Dundee, Scotland)

Dit proefschrift is geschreven als een gedeeltelijke vervulling van de vereisten voor het doctoraatsprogramma docARTES. De overblijvende vereiste bestaat uit een demonstratie van de onderzoeksresultaten in de vorm van een artistieke presentatie. Het docARTES programma is georganiseerd door het Orpheus Instituut te Gent.

Acknowledgements	1
Introduction—Artistic Research with New Technologies	4
Artistic Research and the Creation of Concepts	
Phase 1—Four Roles of Artists. Chapters 1-4	5
Phase 2—A New Compositional Ecosystem. Chapters 5-6	11
Artistic Research with New Technologies	17
Chapter 1. Artists as Operators	22
Navigating the Complexity of Musical Space	22
Part 1—On Automation	25
Two AI Lineages: Connectionism and Computationalism	25
Automation and Creative Work	28
What to Automate and What to Control	32
Part 2—On Methods	36
Teaching Creative Workflows with Artificial Intelligence	
Part 2.1—Composing with AI Models Trained on Music	38
Generate, Select, Edit, Continue	38
Case Study: Ani(mate) (Movements 1–5)	
Biases in Training Data and Artistic Control	44
Part 2.2—Composing with Large Language Models	46
Large Language Models as AI Agents for Musical Tasks	46
"Could you continue my MIDI, please?"	50
AI Agents Controlling Music Environments	
Artists as Operators	55
Chapter 2: Artists as Curators	58
Part 1—Creativity and Problematisation	58
The Creative Act of Problematisation	
Curating as a Creative Practice in Contemporary Art	
Recombining the Creative	61
Part 2—Composition, Agency, and Assemblage	69
Musical Works as Assemblages	
Posthumanist Agential Assemblages	73
Artists as Curators	78
Chapter 3. Artists as System-Builders	80
Encoding Process, Enforcing Trust	80
Part 1—Composing Autonomous Systems	84
From Chance to Probability	

Automatism and Affordances of a Musical System	85
Artists Building Generative Systems	88
Composers as Programmers	
Part 2—Blockchain for the Arts	94
What is Blockchain	94
Machines that Keep the Score	98
An Example: Hic Et Nunc	100
Generative Music NFTs	103
Long-Form Generative Art	104
Three Experimental Prototypes: Canons, Tone Row, Subtraction (2024)	106
More Long-Form Generative Music?	107
Artists as System-Builders	113
Chapter 4. Artists Worlding Ecosystems	114
Cybernetics and Systems Aesthetics	
Complexity Theory and Second-Order Cybernetics	
Individuation and Allagmatics of Artistic Research	
Allagmatic Composition—Composing for Epistemic Complexity	
New Kinds of Strange Agential Assemblages	123
Envisioning Abstract Machines	
Worlding	130
Organising Free-Range Creativity	133
Worlding Compositional Ecosystems	137
Chapter 5. Autopoietic Rhizomatic Metamodelling Machine	141
Designing the Abstract Machine	141
Software Design Principles after Miller Puckette	143
Intelligent Cultural Commons	147
Blockchain as the Art's Medium for Composing Agencies	
Rhizomatic Metamodelling	154
Social Complexity of the Autopoietic Rhizomatic Metamodelling Machine	162
Autopoietic Rhizomatic Metamodelling Machine	168
Chapter 6. Decentralised Creative Networks and Performative Transactions	172
Decentralised Creative Networks	172
A Compositional System as Public API	 174
Composing with Transformations, Features, and Conditions	175
Performative Transactions	176
Composing and Testing Transactions	178
What Are We Modelling? Indexes, Structures, and Interpretation	180
How the Infrastructure Works	190
AI Agents and Recursive Infrastructure	192
Case Studies: Ani(mate) (Movement VI), Allagma, and Chain of Thoughts	196
Building on the Infrastructure: Interfaces, Applications, and Experimental Econo	
	200
From Composition to Ecosystem: Worlding through Performative Transactions _	202

Conclusions	205
Summary	210
Samenvatting	211
Bibliography	212
Curriculum Vitae	224

Acknowledgements

Even systems that can shape themselves don't grow in isolation. This thesis, though concerned with recursive systems and self-modifying structures, had an emergence that was anything but autonomous. It has been shaped, supported, challenged, and cared for by many—human and non-human—whose contributions cannot be reduced to citations or code. What follows is a small gesture of thanks for the companionship, guidance, and moments of care that fully participated in its worlding.

I begin by thanking my promotor, Richard Barrett, whose guidance, attentiveness, and sharp critical insight have accompanied this project from its earliest stages. His ability to engage both rigorously and creatively with my ideas has been invaluable, and I am deeply grateful for the space he gave me to develop this work in my own voice. I wish to express my special thanks to Paulo de Assis, not only as co-promotor and my daily supervisor, but as indispensable intellectual companion throughout this trajectory. Our collaboration at the Orpheus Institute—within the MetamusicX research cluster was more than a parallel context to this dissertation; it was an ongoing dialogue through which many of its core ideas took shape. Over the years, we developed a shared language for thinking and experimenting together—one that gave form to bold, adventurous, and deliberately unruly ideas that might not have found grounding elsewhere. Without Paulo's unwavering trust, critical acuity, and commitment to both Artistic Research and experimental thought, this dissertation would not be what it became. My heartfelt thanks also go to Michiel Schuijer, whose support and feedback remained generous and steady throughout the years. From guiding my earlier studies at the Conservatorium van Amsterdam to becoming a copromotor of this doctoral trajectory, Michiel has been a consistent presence and a thoughtful reader of both my music and ideas.

At Leiden University, I am grateful to the Academy of Creative and Performing Arts (ACPA). I thank Erik Viskil, ACPA's Academic Director, for his kind support throughout the process. I am thankful to Rosalien van der Poel, the Institute Manager, whose generosity, precision, and guidance were a constant source of reassurance. I would also like to acknowledge the Leiden University Centre for Digital Humanities, whose resources provided foundation to some of the digital aspects of this research. My heartfelt thanks go to the Orpheus Institute. I am particularly grateful to the Director Peter Dejans who created an environment where experimental artistic work

could flourish with confidence and ambition. I thank the docARTES coordinators Joost Vanmaele, and Luk Vaes for their generous guidance and responsiveness throughout the trajectory. I am grateful to my colleagues from the MetamusicX research cluster—Martin Zeilinger, Ilpo Jauhainen, Alicia Reyes, Diego Castro Magas, and Paolo Giudici—for their presence, feedback, and companionship throughout these years. I also wish to thank Kathleen Snyers, Heike Vermeire, and Kayo Quintens for their steady and thoughtful support at every step—their presence not only behind the scenes made a world of difference. I would like to thank Jonathan Impett, Einar Torfi Einarsson, Gabriel Paiuk, Tiziano Manca, Marek Poliks, and Roberto Alonso Trillo for enabling various platforms and exchanges that became crucial to the development of this research. I also wish to thank the Conservatorium van Amsterdam and the Iceland University of the Arts for offering the space and trust to develop and teach my experimental course. I am especially grateful to the talented students who participated—thank you for your courageous approaches, sharp observations, and fearless creative experimentation.

Thank you to Michał Skarzyński, whose technical expertise, patience, and creativity were instrumental in bringing the ideas of this dissertation into working systems. From countless conversations to the long hours of collaborative problem-solving, his ability to think across code and use-case was vital to translating my ideas into executable form. Working with Michał was a reminder that building systems can also mean building trust, clarity, and shared excitement for what art and technology can become. Thank you to my friends Ramin Amin-Tafreshi, Nuno Lobo, Alexandra Pouta, Alex Tay, Boris Bezemer and Wiktor Piechowski for your support.

I want to thank my parents Agnieszka and Tomasz, my sister Gabriela, my parents-in-law Jola and Kornel, and my sister-in-law Iga for their unwavering support throughout this long and often complex journey. Whether through practical help, emotional grounding, or simply the confidence that I would find my way, your presence was a vital foundation.

To Julia, my wife, my partner, and my constant companion—thank you for walking this path with me. For your love, your clarity, your strength. For holding the centre when I got lost in abstractions, and for reminding me why it all matters. For your sense of humour, your care, and your fierce intelligence. This work may bear my name, but its rhythm has always been ours.