



Universiteit
Leiden

The Netherlands

Exploring the synergies between transfer in reinforcement learning and procedural content generation

Müller-Brockhausen, M.F.T.

Citation

Müller-Brockhausen, M. F. T. (2025, November 5). *Exploring the synergies between transfer in reinforcement learning and procedural content generation*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/4282228>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/4282228>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Exploring the Synergies between Transfer in Reinforcement Learning and Procedural Content Generation

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van
de graad van doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van rector magnificus prof.dr.ir. H. Bijl,
volgens besluit van het college voor promoties
te verdedigen op woensdag 5 november 2025
klokke 16:00 uur

door

Matthias Müller-Brockhausen

geboren te Bonn, Duitsland

in 1994

Promotor: Prof.dr. A. Plaat
Co-promotor: Dr. M. Preuss
Promotiecommissie: Prof.dr. M.M. Bonsangue
Prof.dr. K.J. Batenburg
Prof.dr. G.N. Yannakakis (L-Università ta' Malta)
Prof.dr. S.M. Lucas (Queen Mary University of London)
Dr. T.M. Moerland
Dr. V. Volz (CWI Research institute, Amsterdam)

Copyright © 2025 Matthias Müller-Brockhausen.

Cover Design by Matthias Müller-Brockhausen

Cover contains Images published under CC0 by freesvg.org (IDs of used SVGs are:
13274, 149881, 118363, 146014, 19872, 187886, 15473, 21379, 125678)

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Background	1
1.2	Research Questions	2
1.2.1	RQ1	3
1.2.2	RQ2	3
1.2.3	RQ3	4
1.3	Contributions	4
1.3.1	Published Collaborations of the Author	5
2	A New Challenge: Approaching Tetris Link with AI	7
2.1	Introduction	8
2.2	Related Work	9
2.3	Tetris Link	9
2.3.1	Verification that all games can fill the board	11
2.3.2	Game complexity	12
2.3.3	First move advantage	13
2.4	AI Player Design	14
2.4.1	Heuristic	14
2.4.2	MCTS	16
2.4.3	Reinforcement Learning Environment and Agent	16
2.5	Agent Training and Comparison	17
2.5.1	MCTS Effectiveness	18
2.5.2	RL Agents Training	19
2.5.3	Tournament	21
2.6	Conclusion and Future Work	23
3	PCG: Better Benchmarks for TRL	25
3.1	Introduction	27
3.2	Related Work	27
3.3	Method	29
3.4	Analysis	31

0.0. CONTENTS

3.4.1	Social Network Structure	31
3.4.2	Category Data	33
3.4.3	Generalization Capabilities	36
3.5	Research Agenda	38
3.6	Conclusion	40
4	Towards verifiable Benchmarks for Reinforcement Learning	41
4.1	Introduction	43
4.2	Background: Minimal Traces	44
4.3	Related Work	45
4.3.1	Why minimal traces?	46
4.4	Method	49
4.4.1	Data compression	50
4.4.2	Re-Usable Visualizations	51
4.4.3	Data availability	53
4.5	Reproducibility Agenda	53
4.5.1	Agenda applied to CartPole	54
4.6	Discussion	55
4.6.1	Environmental Impact	56
4.7	Conclusion	56
5	Scalable PCG via TRL	59
5.1	Introduction	60
5.2	Related Work	60
5.3	Linerider	61
5.4	3D Linerider Framework	62
5.4.1	Formalizing the Environment	63
5.4.2	Environment Hyperparameters	64
5.5	Experiments	65
5.6	Results	66
5.6.1	World Size Base Experiment	67
5.6.2	Transfer Learning Between World Sizes	68
5.6.3	Reward Shaping	70
5.7	Conclusion & Future Work	73

6 Chatter Generation through Language Models	75
6.1 Introduction	76
6.2 Related Work	76
6.2.1 Dialogue	76
6.2.2 Procedural content generation in Games	77
6.3 Chatter	77
6.3.1 Definition	77
6.3.2 Why not Dialogue?	79
6.3.3 Generating Chatter using Personas	82
6.3.4 Potential applications	84
6.4 Hardware Target Audience	84
6.5 Vision	85
6.6 Conclusion	86
7 Conclusions	89
7.1 Answers to research questions	89
7.1.1 Improving Benchmarks	89
7.1.2 TRL & PCG	90
7.1.3 LLMs & PCG	90
7.2 Limitations and Future work	91
7.2.1 Improving Benchmarks	91
7.2.2 TRL & PCG	92
7.2.3 LLMs & PCG	92
Bibliography	93
Samenvatting	121
Curriculum Vitae	127
List of Publications	129
Acknowledgments	131