



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Quantitative research assessment and its unintended consequences

Dagiene, E.

Citation

Dagiene, E. (2025, October 30). *Quantitative research assessment and its unintended consequences*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/4281943>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/4281943>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Quantitative Research Assessment and its Unintended Consequences

Eleonora Dagienė

October 2025

The Centre for Science and Technology Studies (CWTS)

Faculty of Social and Behavioural Sciences

Leiden University, The Netherlands



**Universiteit
Leiden**
The Netherlands

Quantitative Research Assessment and its Unintended Consequences

Eleonora Dagienė

Copyright © 2025 by Eleonora Dagienė

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0). You are free to share and adapt this work for any purpose, provided you give appropriate credit to the author, provide a link to the license, and indicate if changes were made. To view a copy of this license, visit: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Cover design and layout by Eleonora Dagienė.
Cover image generated by Google Gemini.

Quantitative Research Assessment and its Unintended Consequences

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van

de graad van doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van rector magnificus prof.dr.ir. H. Bijl,
volgens besluit van het college voor promoties
te verdedigen op donderdag 30 oktober 2025

klokke 16:00 uur

door

Eleonora Dagienė

geboren te Kaunas, Litouwen

in 1963

Promotor:

Prof.dr. L.R. Waltman

Co-promotores:

Prof.dr. V.G. Larivière

Dr. G. Dix

Promotiecommissie:

Prof.dr. B.A. Barendregt (Decaan Graduate School/voorzitter)

Dr. R. Costas Comesana

Dr. A.D. Rushforth

Prof.dr. J. Gläser (Technical University Berlin, Germany)

Prof.dr. A. Zuccala (University of Copenhagen, Denmark)

Prof.dr. E. Kulczycki (Adam Mickiewicz University, Poland)

“Be not righteous over much; neither make thyself over wise:
why shouldest thou destroy thyself?”

Ecclesiastes 7:16

Acknowledgements

The completion of this dissertation marks the culmination of a challenging yet rewarding academic journey, one that would not have been possible without the unwavering support, expert guidance, and generous contributions of those I encountered along the way. My deepest gratitude goes out to each person who has played a role, large or small, in bringing this work to fruition.

First and foremost, I wish to thank my primary supervisor, Professor Ludo Waltman. I value the academic freedom he encouraged in allowing me to choose and pursue research topics that resonated most deeply with me. His patience in editing my writings, unwavering attention to detail, and open-minded willingness to discuss ideas were instrumental in shaping me into the confident researcher I am today. I am equally thankful to my second supervisor, Professor Vincent Larivière, for agreeing to supervise me from the outset, and for his gracious support amid new developments in my research agenda. When I decided to add a qualitative component to my research, Ludo introduced me to Guus Dix, who became my third supervisor. Thus, I extend my sincere thanks to Guus, with whom I spent months meticulously analysing interviews conducted with Lithuanian academics. This significant part of my research simply would not be possible without his involvement and expertise.

I am also deeply appreciative of the stimulating and welcoming atmosphere at the Centre for Science and Technology Studies (CWTS) at Leiden University, where I conducted my research. Firstly, thank you to my fellow PhD candidates – Wout, Zhichao, Juan, Soohong, Qianqian, Philip, Dmitrii, Andrea, Renate, and Ana – sharing this journey and your camaraderie meant a great deal. My sincere thanks also go to all the CWTS researchers. You welcomed me so warmly, especially during the challenging times of the pandemic. Your support, both for my research and in keeping spirits high (even through the online CWTS bar during lockdown!), was invaluable and genuinely brightened a difficult period.

Furthermore, I want to extend my gratitude to the Lithuanian academics who gave freely of their time in face-to-face interviews. Their participation provided crucial insights, and I greatly appreciate their openness and thoughtful reflections. In addition to these participants, I thank fellow academics who showed curiosity about my research and kept in touch. Thank you to Saulius Maskeliūnas for your generous assistance in finding policies and documents missing from the official portals; to Rita Vaičekauskaitė for faithful support in navigating the often-troubled waters of academic research; and to Karolina Bagdonė for your encouragement to write pieces for a lay audience and your help in communicating my research more broadly. Thank you to Artiom Magomedov for consistently asking how my research was progressing, a small check-in that always

meant a lot; to Jelena Stankevičienė for our long-distance walks and the meaningful conversations we shared, providing much-needed perspective; and, finally, to Irma Čižauskaitė, for the joy she brought to sharing every research milestone, for her patient listening, and for the treasured gift of her enduring faith in me.

A crucial part of my academic journey was the welcoming and supportive community at Mykolas Romeris University that I joined in the middle of my PhD studies. My thanks go first to the Rector, Professor Inga Žalėnienė, who opened the university door to me, and to the Dean, Professor Odeta Merfeldaitė, who warmly welcomed me to the faculty. From the moment I arrived, I have felt an immediate sense of belonging, as if I had been here for years. I am truly grateful for being provided with a safe and nurturing environment for my research and teaching work.

On a profoundly personal note, this journey would not have been possible without the wholehearted support of my family, who encouraged my unconventional idea to pursue a PhD later in life at 55. My deepest gratitude goes to my parents and parents-in-law for their unconditional love and support. Thank you to my elder son Jevgenij and his wife Kristina, and to my elder daughter Olga and her husband Karolis, for supporting my PhD endeavour and showing genuine interest in my research. A heartfelt thank you to my dear younger kids, Joris and Adèle, for helping themselves and allowing Mum the uninterrupted time needed for research. My deepest gratitude is reserved for my beloved and loving husband, friend, and strongest supporter, Algirdas. Without his unwavering belief and constant encouragement, my dream of becoming a researcher simply would not have come true.

My PhD journey was not without its challenges, but the generous help I received along the way made all the difference. My sincere thanks also extend to many others whose support, encouragement, or brief interactions were invaluable along the way. Thank you all from the bottom of my heart.

Eleonora Dagienė, 2025

Table of contents

Chapter 1. General introduction	1
1.1. The rise of quantitative research assessment.....	2
1.2. External evaluations and the narrative of “lagging behind”	5
1.3. External validation and internal tensions in policymaking	10
1.4. Review of literature on Lithuanian research system trends.....	13
1.5. Lithuanian quantitative research assessment	16
1.6. Research objectives, approach, and research questions	22
Chapter 2. Multi-actor policy dynamics in research evaluation: Experts, databases, and academics	27
2.1. Introduction	28
2.2. Data sources and methods	30
2.3. The expert dynamic: Introducing international standards of excellence in research evaluation	32
2.4. The database dynamic: How policymakers, journal publishers and publication data providers interact.....	36
2.5. Academic dynamics: Researchers challenging the quantitative measures	40
2.6. Discussion and conclusions	44
Chapter 3. Incentivising, excluding, and enduring: The policy dynamics of quantitative research assessment in Lithuania	47
3.1. Introduction	48
3.2. Literature review	49
3.3. Data and methods	53
3.4. Stakeholders and their decision-making strategies	56
3.5. Policymakers navigating international aspirations and domestic realities	59
3.6. Evolving university strategies in the PBFS landscape	66
3.7. Case studies of researcher responses	70
3.8. Discussion and conclusions	72
Chapter 4. Prestige of scholarly book publishers: An investigation into criteria, processes, and practices across countries	75
4.1. Introduction	76
4.2. Research design	77
4.3. Defining prestigious book publishers	79
4.4. Inconsistencies in assessing the book publisher prestige	86
4.5. Verifying whether book publishers meet mandatory prerequisites	91
4.6. Discussion and conclusions	96

Chapter 5. The challenge of assessing academic books: The UK and Lithuanian cases through the ISBN lens	99
5.1. Introduction	100
5.2. Research design and data compilation	103
5.3. Determining book genres	110
5.4. Determining book publishers	112
5.5. Analysing book publishing practices using GRP metadata	120
5.6. Discussion and conclusions	128
Chapter 6. Mapping scholarly books: Library metadata and research assessment	131
6.1. Introduction	132
6.2. Literature review	133
6.3. Research design	135
6.4. Key book metadata elements for research assessment	138
6.5. The visibility of books in library catalogues	149
6.6. Discussion and conclusions	154
Chapter 7. Conclusions	157
7.1. Main findings	158
7.2. Fulfilment of research objectives.....	163
7.3. Policy recommendations	165
7.4. Directions for future research.....	168
References	171
Summary	188
Samenvatting	192
Santrauka	196
About the author	200
List of publications	201