



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

A relational approach to understanding interactions in interactive art

Xu, D.

Citation

Xu, D. (2025, October 7). *A relational approach to understanding interactions in interactive art*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/4266648>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/4266648>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Bibliography

- Ahmed, S. U. (2018). Interaction and interactivity: In the context of digital interactive art installation. In M. Kurosu (Ed.), *Human-computer interaction. interaction in context* (pp. 241–257, Vol. 10902). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-91244-8_20
- Archives of digital art [Last accessed 01 July 2023]. (2023). <https://digitalartarchive.at>
- Ars electronica archives [Last accessed 01 July 2023]. (2023). <https://archive.aec.at>
- Beaudouin-Lafon, M. (2000). Instrumental interaction: An interaction model for designing post-wimp user interfaces. *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, 446–453. <https://doi.org/10.1145/332040.332473>
- Bell, D. (2023). Explore the uml sequence diagram [Last accessed 13 February 2025]. <https://developer.ibm.com/articles/the-sequence-diagram/>
- Bell, S. C. (1991). *Participatory art and computers: Identifying, analysing and composing the characteristics of works of participatory art that use computer technology* [Doctoral dissertation, Loughborough University]. https://repository.lboro.ac.uk/articles/thesis/Participatory_art_and_computers_identifying_analysing_and_composing_the_characteristics_of_works_of_participatory_art_that_use_computer_technology/9406286
- Bongers, B. (2000). Physical interfaces in the electronic arts (M. M. Wanderley & M. Battier, Eds.). *Trends in Gestural Control of Music*, 41–70.
- Bourriaud, N. (2002). *Relational aesthetics*. Les Presses du Réel.
- Bryde, D., Broquetas, M., & Volm, J. M. (2013). The project benefits of building information modelling (bim). *International Journal of Project Management*, 31(7), 971–980. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2012.12.001>
- Burroughs, W. S. (1961). The cut-up method of brion gysin. *The Third Mind*, 29–33.
- Cabrita, N., & Bernardes, G. (2016). Relational interactive art: A framework for interaction in a social context. In E. Akleman, L. Bartram, A. Çamcı, A. Forbes, & P. Machado

Bibliography

- (Eds.), *Expressive 2016 - posters, artworks, and bridging papers* (pp. 35–39). The Eurographics Association. <https://doi.org/10.2312/exp.20161264>
- Cornock, S., & Edmonds, E. (1973). The creative process where the artist is amplified or superseded by the computer. *Leonardo*, 6(1), 11–16. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1572419>
- Duarte, E. F., & Baranauskas, M. C. C. (2018). Revisiting interactive art from an interaction design perspective: Opening a research agenda. *Proceedings of the 17th Brazilian Symposium on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3274192.3274227>
- Duarte, E. F., Merkle, L. E., & Baranauskas, M. C. C. (2019). The interface between interactive art and human-computer interaction: Exploring dialogue genres and evaluative practices. *Journal on Interactive Systems*, 10(2), 20–34. <https://doi.org/10.5753/jis.2019.551>
- Edmonds, E. (2010). The art of interaction. *Digital Creativity*, 21(4), 257–264. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14626268.2010.556347>
- Eriksson, H.-E., Penker, M., Lyons, B., & Fado, D. (2003). *Uml 2 toolkit*. Wiley Publishing.
- Fava, F., Soares, C. M., & Carvalhais, M. (2019a). Interspecies playful interaction: Towards the expansion of interaction design. *xCoAx 2019 Proceedings of the Seventh Conference on Computation, Communication, Aesthetics & X*, 229–239.
- Fava, F., Soares, C. M., & Carvalhais, M. (2019b). Playful design, empathy and the nonhuman turn. *Technoetic Arts*, 17(1), 141–154. https://doi.org/10.1386/tear_00012_1
- Forlano, L. (2017). Posthumanism and design. *She Ji: The Journal of Design, Economics, and Innovation*, 3(1), 16–29. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sheji.2017.08.001>
- Giaccardi, E., & Redström, J. (2020). Technology and more-than-human design. *Design Issues*, 36(4), 33–44. https://doi.org/10.1162/desi_a_00612
- Google. (2024). Cloud firestore [Last accessed 15 June 2024]. <https://firebase.google.com/docs/firestore>
- Guljajeva, V. (2018). *From interaction to post-participation: The disappearing role of the active participant* [Doctoral dissertation, Estonian Academy of Arts].
- Gupfinger, R., & Kaltenbrunner, M. (2019). Animal-centred sonic interaction design: Musical instruments and interfaces for grey parrots. *Proceedings of the Sixth International Conference on Animal-Computer Interaction*. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3371049.3371062>
- Holdar, M. (2017). Doing things together: Objectives and effects of harald szeemann’s happening & fluxus, 1970. *Journal of Curatorial Studies*, 6(1), 90–114. https://doi.org/10.1386/jcs.6.1.90_1

- Jeon, M., Fiebrink, R., Edmonds, E. A., & Herath, D. (2019). From rituals to magic: Interactive art and hci of the past, present, and future. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, *131*, 108–119. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhcs.2019.06.005>
- Kleinberger, R., Cunha, J., Vemuri, M. M., & Hirskyj-Douglas, I. (2023). Birds of a feather video-flock together: Design and evaluation of an agency-based parrot-to-parrot video-calling system for interspecies ethical enrichment. *Proceedings of the 2023 CHI conference on human factors in computing systems*. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3544548.3581166>
- Kobayashi, H., Ueoka, R., & Hirose, M. (2009). Human computer biosphere interaction: Towards a sustainable society. *CHI'09 Extended Abstracts on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, 2509–2518. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1520340.1520355>
- Krauth, A. (2022b). *More-than-human creative practice: Approaches to making interactive and digital art as enrichment for wild flying foxes and domesticated dogs* [Doctoral dissertation, Queensland University of Technology]. <https://doi.org/10.5204/thesis.eprints.235060>
- Kwastek, K. (2008). Interactivity – a word in process. In C. Sommerer, L. C. Jain, & L. Mignonneau (Eds.), *The art and science of interface and interaction design* (pp. 15–26). Springer Berlin Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-79870-5_2
- Kwastek, K. (2013). *Aesthetics of interaction in digital art*. MIT press. <https://doi.org/10.7551/mitpress/9592.001.0001>
- Lucidchart. (2025). Uml diagram tool [Last accessed 10 January 2025]. https://www.lucidchart.com/pages/examples/uml_diagram_tool
- Mancini, C. (2011). Animal-computer interaction: A manifesto. *Interactions*, *18*(4), 69–73. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1978822.1978836>
- McGrath, R. E. (2009). Species-appropriate computer mediated interaction. *CHI '09 Extended Abstracts on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, 2529–2534. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1520340.1520357>
- Mubarak, O. (2018). *Designing and modelling collective co-located interactions for art installations* [Doctoral dissertation, Conservatoire National des Arts et Metiers-CNAM].
- Noz, F., & An, J. (2011). Cat cat revolution: An interspecies gaming experience. *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, 2661–2664. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1978942.1979331>
- Observable. (2024). D3: The javascript library for bespoke data visualization [Last accessed 15 June 2024]. <https://d3js.org/>

Bibliography

- Oh, P. S., & Oh, S. J. (2011). What teachers of science need to know about models: An overview. *International Journal of Science Education*, 33(8), 1109–1130. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2010.502191>
- Riedel-Kruse, I. H., Chung, A. M., Dura, B., Hamilton, A. L., & Lee, B. C. (2011). Design, engineering and utility of biotic games. *Lab on a Chip*, 11(1), 14–22. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C0LC00399A>
- Sanders, E. B.-N., & Stappers, P. J. (2008). Co-creation and the new landscapes of design. *Co-design*, 4(1), 5–18.
- Schraffenberger, H., & van der Heide, E. (2012). Interaction models for audience-artwork interaction: Current state and future directions. In A. L. Brooks (Ed.), *Arts and technology* (pp. 127–135, Vol. 101). Springer Berlin Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-33329-3_15
- Schraffenberger, H., & van der Heide, E. (2015). Audience-artwork interaction. *International Journal of Arts and Technology*, 8(2), 91–114. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJART.2015.069550>
- Tan, R. T. K. C., Cheok, A. D., Peiris, R., Todorovic, V., Loi, H. C., Loh, C. W., Nguyen, D. T. K., Sen, J. Y. P., & Yio, E. Z. (2008). Metazoa ludens: Mixed reality interactions and play for small pets and humans. *Leonardo*, 41(3), 308–309. <https://doi.org/10.1162/leon.2008.41.3.308>
- Usselman, R. (2003). The dilemma of media art: Cybernetic serendipity at the ica london. *Leonardo*, 36(5), 389–396. <https://doi.org/10.1162/002409403771048191>
- van Eck, W., & Lamers, M. H. (2013). Hybrid biological-digital systems in artistic and entertainment computing. *Leonardo*, 46(2), 151–158. https://doi.org/10.1162/LEON_a_00530
- Xu, D., Lamers, M., & van der Heide, E. (2023). Towards a relational model of co-located interaction in interactive art. In E. Mahé (Ed.), *Proceedings of the 28th international symposium on electronic art*. École des Arts Décoratifs - PSL. <https://doi.org/10.69564/ISEA2023-92-full-Xu-et-al-Co-located-Interaction>
- Xu, D., Lamers, M. H., & van der Heide, E. (2024). Describing and comparing co-located interaction in interactive art using a relational model. In A. L. Brooks (Ed.), *Artsit, interactivity and game creation* (pp. 198–217, Vol. 565). Springer Nature Switzerland. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-55312-7_15
- Xu, D., Lamers, M. H., & van der Heide, E. (2025). A novel web-based tool for modelling, visualising, and generating interactions in interactive art. In A. L. Brooks (Ed.), *Artsit, interactivity and game creation* (pp. 3–19, Vol. 650). Springer Nature Switzerland. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-97254-6_1

- Xu, D., Lamers, M. H., van der Heide, E., & Verbeek, F. J. (2025). A relational look at interactions between humans and nonhuman organisms in interactive art. *Leonardo*, 58(2), 220–228. https://doi.org/10.1162/leon_a_02660
- Xu, D., Lamers, M. H., van der Heide, E., & Verbeek, F. J. (accepted and in print). Reflections on using the relational modelling tool for describing, visualising and generating interactive dialogues. *ArtsIT, Interactivity and Game Creation*.
- Zimmerman, J., & Forlizzi, J. (2014). Research through design in hci. In J. S. Olson & W. A. Kellogg (Eds.), *Ways of knowing in hci* (pp. 167–189). Springer New York. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-0378-8_8

Bibliography

Artworks

- Benayoun, M. (1997). World skin [Last accessed 26 July 2023]. <https://benayoun.com/mobesn/1997/02/12/world-skin-a-photo-safari-in-the-land-of-war>
- Germanidis, A. (2016). Randomly generated social interactions [Last accessed 01 July 2023]. <https://vimeo.com/156882347>
- Hjelm, S. I. (2003). Research+design: The making of brainball. *Interactions*, 10(1), 26–34.
- Kobayashi, H., Muramatsu, K., Okuno, J., Nakamura, K., Fujiwara, A., & Saito, K. (2015). Playful rocksalt system: Animal-computer interaction design in wild environments. *Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on Advances in Computer Entertainment Technology*. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2832932.2837012>
- Krauth, A. (2020). Making-with: Nonhuman animal inclusivity in an electronic literature practice. *Proceedings of the Seventh International Conference on Animal-Computer Interaction*. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3446002.3446051>
- Krauth, A. (2022a). Intrafacing [Last accessed 25 July 2024]. www.youtube.com/watch?v=oMEs0NZ54fI
- Lee McCarthy, L., & McDonald, K. (2020). Vibe check [Last accessed 15 June 2024]. <https://lauren-mccarthy.com/Vibe-Check>
- Li, J. (2023). Mouse coach [Last accessed 25 July 2024]. www.jiabaoli.org/mouse-coach
- Li, J. (2024). Creating with more-than-humans. *Proceedings of the Halfway to the Future Symposium*. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3686169.3686191>
- Lozano-Hemmer, R. (2001). Body movies [Last accessed 26 July 2023]. https://www.lozano-hemmer.com/body_movies.php
- Lozano-Hemmer, R. (2015). Zoom pavilion [Last accessed 26 July 2023]. https://www.lozano-hemmer.com/texts/manuals/zoom_pavillion.pdf
- Scenocosme. (2009). Lights contacts [Last accessed 26 July 2023]. https://www.scenocosme.com/contacts_installation_en.htm

Artworks

- Snibbe, S. (1998). Boundary functions [Last accessed 26 July 2023]. <https://www.snibbe.com/art/boundaryfunctions>
- Spačal, S. (2013). Myconnect [Last accessed 25 July 2024]. www.agapea.si/en/projects/myconnect
- van der Heide, E. (2011). Spatial sounds (100db at 100km/h) in the context of human robot personal relationships. In M. H. Lamers & F. J. Verbeek (Eds.), *Human-robot personal relationships: Third international conference* (pp. 27–33, Vol. 59). Springer Berlin Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-19385-9_4
- Xu, D., Thaw, J., & Wedderburn, L. (2023). I wish you knew that you are me [Last accessed 15 June 2024]. <https://danxxu.github.io/iwish.html>
- Youngs, A. M. (2013). Encounters of a domestic nature [Last accessed 25 July 2024]. www.hypernatural.com/portfolio/encounters-of-a-domestic-nature/