



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Increasing public perceived legitimacy of the European Union through the integration of psychological insights into law

Grosfeld, E.

Citation

Grosfeld, E. (2025, September 25). *Increasing public perceived legitimacy of the European Union through the integration of psychological insights into law*. Meijers-reeks. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/4261792>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/4261792>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Increasing Public Perceived Legitimacy of the European Union Through the Integration of Psychological Insights into Law

Increasing Public Perceived Legitimacy of the European Union Through the Integration of Psychological Insights into Law

PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van
de graad van doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van rector magnificus prof.dr.ir. H. Bijl,
volgens besluit van het college voor promoties
te verdedigen op 25 september 2025
klokke 13.00 uur

door

Eva Grosfeld

geboren te Oosterhout

in 1995

Promotores: prof. dr. A. Cuyvers
 prof. dr. D.T. Scheepers
 prof. dr. N. Ellemers

Promotiecommissie: prof. dr. S.C.G. van den Bogaert
 prof. dr. L.F. van Dillen
 prof. dr. K. van den Bos (Universiteit Utrecht)
 prof. dr. N.N. Shuibhne (University of Edinburgh,
 Scotland)
 prof. dr. J. Tallberg (Stockholm University, Sweden)

Lay-out: AlphaZet prepress, Bodegraven
Printwerk: Ipskamp Printing

© 2025 E. Grosfeld

Behoudens de in of krachtens de Auteurswet van 1912 gestelde uitzonderingen mag niets uit deze uitgave worden vervoelvoudigd, opgeslagen in een geautomatiseerd gegevensbestand of openbaar gemaakt, in enige vorm of op enige wijze, hetzij elektronisch, mechanisch, door fotokopieën, opnamen of enig andere manier, zonder voorafgaande schriftelijke toestemming van de auteur.

No part of this book may be reproduced in any form, by print, photoprint, microfilm or any other means without written permission of the author.

Table of Contents

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	IX
1 GENERAL INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Relevance and aims	1
1.1.1 From broad acceptance to a divided audience	2
1.1.2 The EU as problem-solving authority	5
1.1.3 The importance of legitimacy	6
1.1.4 Research aims and assumptions	8
1.2 Conceptual and theoretical framework	10
1.2.1 Legitimacy: a multidimensional concept	10
1.2.2 Sources of perceived EU legitimacy	16
1.2.3 Social psychological theories	23
1.3 Research questions, approach, and methodology	26
1.3.1 Research questions	26
1.3.2 An inductive and interdisciplinary approach	27
1.4 Structure of the dissertation	28
1.4.1 A compilation of articles	28
1.4.2 Overview of chapters	29
1.4.3 Data	37
1.5 Final introductory remarks	38
2 VALUE ALIGNMENT AND PUBLIC PERCEIVED LEGITIMACY OF THE EUROPEAN UNION AND THE COURT OF JUSTICE	39
Abstract	39
2.1 Introduction	40
2.2 Method	44
2.2.1 Procedure	44
2.2.2 Participants	44
2.2.3 Materials	44
2.2.4 Data analysis	45
2.3 Results	46
2.4 Discussion	50
3 MAPPING THE MORAL FOUNDATIONS OF THE EUROPEAN UNION: WHY A LACK OF MORAL DIVERSITY MAY UNDERMINE PERCEIVED EU LEGITIMACY	53
Abstract	53
3.1 Introduction	54
3.1.1 Shared values increase perceived legitimacy	55
3.1.2 Lack of moral diversity in the EU?	57

3.1.3	Increasing legitimacy through moral reframing and rebalancing	58
3.1.4	Overview of the current research	59
3.2	Method	60
3.2.1	Study 1	60
3.2.2	Study 2	60
3.2.3	Pilot Study 3	62
3.2.4	Study 3	64
3.3	Results	66
3.3.1	Study 1	66
3.3.2	Study 2	67
3.3.3	Exploratory results Study 2	68
3.3.4	Study 3	69
3.4	Discussion	72
3.4.1	Findings	72
3.4.2	Theoretical and practical contributions	73
3.4.3	Limitations and conclusion	76
4	THE INTEGRATION OF SUBGROUPS AT THE SUPRANATIONAL LEVEL: THE RELATION BETWEEN SOCIAL IDENTITY, NATIONAL THREAT, AND PERCEIVED LEGITIMACY OF THE EU	79
	Abstract	79
4.1	Introduction	80
4.1.1	Conceptualizing and operationalizing perceived EU legitimacy	81
4.1.2	Social identity and perceived EU legitimacy	82
4.1.3	Social identity, EU legitimacy, and threat to the nation	85
4.1.4	The present research	87
4.2	Method	88
4.2.1	Procedure	88
4.2.2	Participants	88
4.2.3	Materials	89
4.3	Results	91
4.3.1	Identification and threat across countries	91
4.3.2	Predicting legitimacy from identification and threat	95
4.4	Discussion	98
4.4.1	Findings and implications	98
4.4.2	Limitations and future research	100
4.4.3	Conclusion	102
5	AFFIRMATION OF EU IDENTITY REDUCES PERCEIVED NATIONAL IDENTITY THREAT AND INCREASES PERCEIVED LEGITIMACY OF THE EUROPEAN UNION	103
	Abstract	103
5.1	Introduction	104
5.1.1	National identity threat and perceived EU legitimacy	105

5.1.2	Group affirmation	106
5.1.3	Group affirmation in superordinate group contexts	107
5.1.4	National identity versus EU identity affirmation	108
5.1.5	The present research	109
5.2	Preliminary studies	109
5.3	Main study	111
5.3.1	Method	111
5.3.2	Results	115
5.4	Discussion	120
5.4.1	Main findings	120
5.4.2	Theoretical implications	122
5.4.3	Strengths, limitations, and future directions	123
5.4.4	Conclusion	125
6	THE PSYCHOLOGICAL POTENTIAL OF ARTICLE 4(2) TEU: BRIDGING THE GAP BETWEEN CITIZENS' IDENTITIES AND THE IDENTITY CLAUSE	127
	Abstract	127
6.1	Introduction	128
6.2	Psychological insights on EU citizens' identities and values	132
6.2.1	Theoretical framework of the empirical studies	132
6.2.2	Key insight 1: a strong EU identity, rooted in shared values, exists and fosters perceived EU legitimacy	133
6.2.3	Key insight 2: national identity undermines perceived EU legitimacy when it is seen as exclusive to EU identity or as threatened by the EU	134
6.2.4	Key insight 3: respect for national identities is a source of perceived EU legitimacy among people with an exclusive or threatened national identity	137
6.3	Evaluating Article 4(2) TEU in light of psychological insights	140
6.3.1	The use of Article 4(2) TEU in the CJEU's case law	140
6.3.2	The effect of Article 4(2) TEU on public perceived EU legitimacy	144
6.4	Adapting Article 4(2) TEU to psychological insights: Legal implications and the fact-value gap	146
6.4.1	From citizens' identities to the identity clause: how to bridge the gap	146
6.4.2	From citizens' identities to the identity clause: practical suggestions	148
6.5	Conclusion	154
7	GENERAL DISCUSSION	157
7.1	Summary of the findings	158
7.1.1	Overarching conclusion	158
7.1.2	Conclusion to research question 1	159
7.1.3	Conclusion to research question 2	160
7.1.4	Conclusion to research question 3	162

7.2	Broader implications and directions for future research	164
7.2.1	Empirical research on perceived legitimacy of the EU	164
7.2.2	Reconciling moral foundations theory and social identity theory	166
7.2.3	Interdisciplinary research	171
7.2.4	The future of European integration	177
7.3	Concluding remarks	178
8	BIBLIOGRAPHY	181
9	APPENDICES	197
9.1	Appendix Chapter 2	197
9.2	Appendix Chapter 3	201
9.3	Appendix Chapter 4	216
9.4	Appendix Chapter 5	225
9.4.1	Participants, materials, and procedure Preliminary Study 1	225
9.4.2	Participants, materials, and procedure Preliminary Study 2	226
9.4.3	Latent profile analysis	227
9.4.4	National affirmation lists across countries	228
9.4.5	Supplementary tables and figures	232
	NEDERLANDSE SAMENVATTING (DUTCH SUMMARY)	241
	CURRICULUM VITAE	247

Acknowledgements

It has taken four and a half years to complete this PhD dissertation, and the day has finally come to express my gratitude to all the people who contributed to this achievement.

First, I want to thank my supervisors – Armin Cuyvers, Daan Scheepers, and Naomi Ellemers – for their trust, guidance, and support throughout this entire project, and for showing me the potential of social psychological insights for law and policy. Armin, thank you for introducing me to the fascinating discipline of EU law with such patience and humour. You were a brilliant teacher, always seeing opportunities to link abstract empirical findings to contemporary developments in the EU. Daan, I deeply appreciate your friendly supervision, sharp attention to detail, and extensive knowledge of the literature. You offered valuable directions while allowing me the freedom to explore my own ideas. Naomi, thank you for welcoming me into your research group; your enthusiasm has been very encouraging and your vision inspiring.

I extend my gratitude to all the members of the reading committee – Stefaan van den Bogaert, Lotte van Dillen, Niamh Nic Shuibhne, Kees van den Bos, and Jonas Tallberg – for taking the time and effort to read my manuscript. Your valuable comments have been greatly appreciated.

To my paranympths, Amarins Jansma and Corlijn Reijgwart, thank you for standing by my side throughout the entire PhD journey and, quite literally, during the defense, not only as colleagues but also as dear friends. Amarins, it has been special to follow such a similar path ever since the Master's program. I am grateful for all the moments we worked together, which were both highly motivating and fun, especially during the pandemic. I have learned, and continue to learn, a great deal from your perseverant and meticulous way of conducting research. Corlijn, I truly admire your kind and generous heart. Thank you for always being so willing to help, whether it is with questions about EU law or life in general.

Furthermore, I thank the research programme Institutions for Conflict Resolution for providing financial support, the opportunity to conduct interdisciplinary research within the faculty, and a stimulating academic environment.

My research stay at Stockholm University was made possible by the generous hospitality of Jonas Tallberg. Thank you for offering the opportunity to engage in inspiring discussions with the researchers from the LegGov project during an early stage of my research. I am also grateful to Lisa Dellmuth for her stimulating feedback. To the friends I made during this time, thank you for making my stay in Stockholm so much fun (and thanks, Johannes, for pulling me across the finish line after the half marathon).

My heartfelt thanks to all the amazing colleagues at the Europa Institute for creating such a positive, intellectual, and international working environment. I was fortunate to learn this new discipline from the best. A special thanks to my office mates Veronika, Emma, and Corlijn, with whom I shared many laughs, PhD frustrations, and chocolate. I am also grateful to my colleagues at the research group Organisational Behaviour; it was an honour to be guest researcher in such a talented team. Marlou, our collaborative project with Amarins was not only a fun break from our usual PhD research, with our trip to Lisbon as an absolute highlight, but also a valuable learning experience – thank you for that.

I also want to express my gratitude to my close friends for showing interest in my research, providing me with some much-needed distractions, and being such amazing and supportive friends.

To my family-in-law, Inge and Rob, thank you for everything! ;-) I have always felt incredibly welcomed and supported by you.

Mom and dad, thank you for your unwavering love and support. I am forever grateful for the warm home you have always provided. My dear brother and sisters, Daniël, Anna, and Sara, we share many happy childhood memories, and I am thankful for all the new ones we are creating together. The prospect of another skiing holiday with our ‘clan’ (thank you, Hannah, Eugeniu, and Pepijn, for voluntarily being part of it) certainly helped me write the final bits of this dissertation.

Finally, my dearest Josefien and Samuel, you may not yet understand what this book is about, but I hope you know that your giggles and cuddles bring me joy every single day. Dear Auke, thank you for your patience and your humour, for your motivational speeches (and songs), for critically questioning my ideas while inspiring me with your own, for making my morning coffees, for being a wonderful father, and for always standing by my side. I truly could not have done this without you.