



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Shadowboxing: legal mobilization and the marginalization of race in the Dutch metropole, 1979-1999

Fischer, A.L.

Citation

Fischer, A. L. (2025, September 18). *Shadowboxing: legal mobilization and the marginalization of race in the Dutch metropole, 1979-1999*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/4261301>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/4261301>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Shadowboxing

Legal Mobilization and the Marginalization
of Race in the Dutch Metropole, 1979-1999

ALISON FISCHER

Printing Ridderprint, www.ridderprint.nl

Cover design Arina van London, Ridderprint

© 2025 Alison L. Fischer

Shadowboxing
Legal mobilization and the marginalization of race in the Dutch metropole,
1979-1999

Proefschrift
ter verkrijging van
de graad van doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van rector magnificus prof.dr.ir. H. Bijl,
volgens besluit van het college voor promoties
te verdedigen op donderdag 18 september 2025
klokke 11.30 uur

door

Alison Leigh Fischer
geboren te Orange Park, Verenigde Staten
in 1977

Promotoren: dr. E.S.J. Captain
prof. dr. R.M.A.L. Höfte
prof. dr. M.A.H. van der Woude

Promotiecommissie: prof. dr. A.W. Bedner
dr. K.J. Fatah-Black
prof. dr. G.R. Jones (Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam)
prof. dr. J. Mesman

The research in this PhD dissertation was funded by the Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences (KNAW) and the Royal Netherlands Institute of Southeast Asian and Caribbean Studies (KITLV).

Acknowledgments

One advantage of beginning a PhD in middle-age has been bringing half a lifetime's worth of lessons to the project. A disadvantage is the impossibility of thanking all the teachers of those lessons in 800 words. I am grateful for every voice, even if I cannot name every name.

First, thank you to the people I have named in the appendices of this dissertation. You let me record and share your stories. You guided me to sources. Our discussions sharpened my analysis. None of this would have been possible without you. Additional thanks to Art.1 archivist Eddie Nieuwenhuizen for guiding me through the LBR reports, publications, and online databases.

Thank you to my doctoral committee for reading and considering this work, and inspiring me along the way. Adriaan, you questioned me early about the boundaries between racism and neoliberalism; I hope I've answered here. Guno, Karwan, and Judi, your work educated me years before we met; it is a privilege to have you engage with mine.

To my interdisciplinary-super-women-supervision trio, Esther, Maartje and Rosemarijn, I hit the jackpot when you signed on to this project. Your guidance is always clear, but I equally treasure our more ambivalent discussions: Is law a means for justice? Academia a path to fulfilment? Florida a reasonable place to call home? We may never know but I'm grateful to question alongside you.

The financial support of the KITLV made this dissertation possible; the people of the KITLV made completing it a pleasure. Special thanks to Sikko Visser and the PhD Peer Group for sharing every milestone regardless of time zones, to Claudia Piqué, Ellen Sitinjak, Dagmar Schuiling and Yayah Siegers Samaniri for endless patience and speedy answers, and to my creative, quirky, polymathic research colleagues for sharing your works in progress, travel stories, and international snacks. If KITLV was my PhD *gezin*, VVI has been my *familie*; thanks for that to Adriaan Bedner, Matthew Canfield, Danielle Chevalier and Maartje van der Woude. Hoko Hori, co-teaching with you was a joy.

For early conversations that helped me connect many disciplinary threads into one research fabric, thank you Betty de Hart, Guno Jones, Adriaan Bedner, Elsbeth Locher Scholten, Francio Guadeloupe, Gert Oostindie, Harold Munneke, Domenica Ghidei Biidu, and Rabin Baldewsingh. For moving our discussions of

Dutch racialization out of conference corners, thank you Eline Westra, Em Wolff, Nawal Mustafa, and Suzan Aboyid. For including me in your visions (and sounds?) of how archives, art and activism shape each other, thanks to the Inward Outward gang, Alana Osborne, Eleni Tzialli, Carine Zaayman, Isabel Berigo and Rachel Somers Miles. For being a role model of academic allyship, persistence and justified impatience, thank you Isabel Hoving.

Before this research was a PhD, it was a hobby, helped along by kind strangers and generous colleagues. For those early pushes, I thank the faculty and participants of the 2018 Black European Summer School, Quinsy Gario for talking legal strategy, and Rachel Gillet and Laura Henderson for including me early and often at Utrecht University. Thanks to my former UvA colleagues, Rob Schwitters for sharing lecture time (and lecturer salary), Carla Zoethout for early reading, Madeleine Moret for hiring me at PPLE, Lucy Hall, Tobias Arnoldussen and Robert Weaver for being my work family there, and Danielle Chevalier, Elke Olthuis and Nawal Mustafa for (gently) pushing me out.

To my oldest friends, Sherica Bryan, Corye Dunn, Joanne Werdel, Smita Shah, Kate Weisburd, Ji Seon Song, and Penny Sheets, you are the peer-review board of my life. For every phone call, train ticket, plane ride, lunch-chat, and odd-hour text these past five years, and the decades before that, thank you. I know who I am, or at least who I am trying to be, because of you.

To my parents, Penny and Ross Fischer, you have given me roots and wings; thank you for celebrating when I fly, and always keeping the runway clear for safe returns. To my children, Miles and Rex van den Broek, thank you for pulling me out of my thoughts each evening, demanding better answers to your why-questions than ‘racism’ or ‘colonialism’, and reminding me every day why those answers matter. To Marcel van den Broek, my first and best *inburgeringsdocent*, thank you for accepting that work like this is how I make myself at home. There is no perfect place; I’m glad I’m here, and glad I’m here with you.

This PhD is my third university degree, and I am grateful. Every year though, I appreciate more the miracle that was my free, public, surprisingly decolonial, secondary school education. It was there Mitchell Haness introduced me to Toni Morrison, Larry Cox offered Chinua Achebe to answer Joseph Conrad, and Shirley Yaskin taught me that good writing speaks truth to power.

Table of Contents

1. Between the shadows	11
1.1. Research questions and project overview.....	18
1.2. Contributions to existing research.....	20
1.2.1. The <i>how</i> and <i>why</i> of racialization	22
1.2.1.1. Critical Race Theory in the Dutch context	29
1.2.2. Postcolonial history	34
1.2.2.1. Afterlives of colonialism	40
1.2.2.2. Archival silence and postcolonial memory in the Netherlands	45
1.2.2.2.1. Law and public memory	49
1.3. Choice of case study	55
1.3.1. The Landelijk Bureau Racismebestrijding	59
1.4. Methodology and data collection.....	61
1.5. Positionality.....	63
1.6. Conclusion	68
2. Colonial constructions of race (1596-1974)	69
2.1. Racialization and the (legal) construction of Europe.....	70
2.1.1. Race creates material benefits for people racialized as white.....	72
2.1.2. Creating the material value of whiteness	73
2.1.3. Protecting the material value of whiteness in the colonies.....	76
2.1.4. Protecting the material value of whiteness in the metropole	80
2.2. Protecting the value of whiteness in the postcolonial metropole	83
2.2.1. Limiting access to the metropole.....	83
2.2.2. Hiding race from the metropole	88
2.3. Postcolonial racialization changes means but not ends.	91
2.3.1. Condemning racism while protecting other racializing practices ...	92
2.3.2. Giving a limited definition of racism the force of law	98
2.4. Conclusion	100
3. Law, race, and Dutch ‘minorities policies’ (1974-1983)	103
3.1. Introduction	103

3.2.	The status quo and Dutch political culture.....	106
3.2.1.	Perceived threats to the Dutch status quo, 1974-1983.....	109
3.3.	Postcolonial occlusion and aphasia in characterizing the problems of 'ethnic minorities'	118
3.3.1.	The role of culture in postcolonial occlusion and racialization	123
3.3.2.	Connecting racialized inequality to colonial oppression	124
3.3.3.	Ignoring and obscuring colonial causes of racialized inequality	127
3.4.	Postcolonial occlusion and aphasia in designing 'minorities policies' ...	128
3.4.1.	Criminal Law and procedure exacerbate a problem	130
3.4.2.	Legal paths not taken.....	136
3.5.	Grassroots groups and the politics of accommodation	139
3.6.	Conclusion	148
4.	Nonperformative intent? Creation of the Landelijk Bureau Racismebestrijding	151
4.1.	Need for a national institute (1983-1985)	151
4.2.	Early ideas and visions	152
4.2.1.	Congress on Law and Race Relations	154
4.2.2.	Grassroots organizations	162
4.2.3.	Research and recommendations	163
4.3.	Cabinet proposal	167
4.4.	Tweede Kamer critique	170
4.5.	Conclusion	174
5.	Nonperformative antiracism? The mandate to use 'legal measures'	175
5.1.	Introduction	175
5.2.	LBR start-up period (1983-1985): Limiting expectations	178
5.2.1.	Organizational charter	183
5.2.2.	Start-up board of directors and staff	186
5.3.	Executing the LBR mandate through 'legal measures', 1985-2000.....	192
5.3.1.	A 'second line organization'	193
5.3.2.	Financial support for individual victims	195
5.4.	Identifying and addressing structural forms of racial discrimination ...	197
5.4.1.	Court cases and administrative complaints	198

5.4.2.	Consultation with government organizations	209
5.4.3.	Legal consciousness raising	210
5.4.4.	Conducting research	215
5.5.	Conclusion	224
6.	Racism or ‘not-racism TM ’? The mandate to combat racial discrimination	229
6.1.	Racist denial and ‘not-racism TM ’ in the postcolonial metropole	229
6.2.	‘Not-racism TM ’ in choice of LBR strategies	233
6.2.1.	Knowledge is power as manifestation of white innocence.....	233
6.2.2.	LBR preference for dialogue and voluntary settlement	235
6.3.	Mission drift, occlusion and aphasia of race in the Dutch metropole ..	240
6.3.1.	Categorization of potential victims of racial discrimination.....	240
6.3.2.	Alienation of antiracist/grassroots groups.....	241
6.3.3.	Conflation of racism with other forms of discrimination	245
6.4.	Erasure of race in LBR education and training practices.....	257
6.5.	Erasure/limited definitions of race in <i>LBR Bulletin</i>	259
6.6.	Conclusion	260
7.	Ends and beginnings	263
7.1.	The end of the LBR’s legal mission	263
7.2.	Question and Answers	267
7.2.1.	Racialization becomes legally untouchable.....	268
7.2.2.	Racializing practices become historically illegible	273
7.3.	New beginnings	276
7.4.	Looking back and moving forward	279
7.5.	Conclusion	282
	Bibliography	285
	Appendix A – Interviews	311
	Appendix B – Legal Cases and Controversies	313
	Appendix C – Parliamentary Records	315
	Appendix D – Abbreviations.....	317
	Dutch Summary	319
	Curriculum vitae	324