



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Migration, abduction and children's rights: the relevance of children's rights and the European supranational system to child abduction cases with immigration components

Florescu, A.S.

Citation

Florescu, A. S. (2025, June 12). *Migration, abduction and children's rights: the relevance of children's rights and the European supranational system to child abduction cases with immigration components*. Meijers-reeks. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/4249679>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/4249679>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Literature list

- Abram, E. F. (1995). The Child's Right to Family Unity in International Immigration Law. *Law & Policy*, 17(4), 397-439.
- Abrams, K. (2006). Immigration status and the best interests of the child standard. *Va. J. Soc. Pol'y & L.*, 14, 87.
- Adair DYER. (1991). Childhood's rights in private international law. *Australian Journal of Family Law*, 5(2), 103-119.
- Aden, F. S. K. (2021) Family Reunification of Unaccompanied Minors, University of Talinn 2021.
- Agopian, M. W. (1984). The impact on children of abduction by parents. *Child Welfare*, 511-519.
- Alderson, P. (2007). Competent children? Minors' consent to health care treatment and research. *Social Science & Medicine*, 65(11), 2272-2283.
- Aliverti, A. (2014). *Crimes of Mobility-Criminal Law and the Regulation of Immigration*, Routledge.
- Alston, P. (1994). The best interests principle: Towards a reconciliation of culture and human rights. *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family*, 8(1), 1-25.
- Anitha, S. (2011). Legislating Gender Inequalities: The Nature and Patterns of Domestic Violence Experienced by South Asian Women with Insecure Immigration Status in the United Kingdom. *Violence Against Women*, 17(10), 1260-1285.
- Ann Mason, M., Fine, M. A., & Carnochan, S. (2001). Family law in the new millennium: For whose families? *Journal of Family Issues*, 22(7), 859-881.
- Annabelle Gray, M. K. (2023). Redressing the balance: How Australia's approach under the Hague Abduction Convention is still endangering victims of domestic violence, *International Journal of Law Policy and The Family* 2023, 37(1).
- Antognini, A. (2014). Family unity revisited: Divorce, separation, and death in immigration law. *SCL Rev.*, 66, 1.
- Anton, A. E. (1981). The Hague Convention on International Child Abduction. *The International and Comparative Law Quarterly*, 30(3), 537-567.
- Arato, J. (2010). Subsequent practice and evolutive interpretation: Techniques of treaty interpretation over time and their diverse consequences. *The Law & Practice of International Courts and Tribunals*, 9(3), 443-494.
- Archard, D. (2014). *Children: Rights and childhood*. Routledge.
- Archard, D., & Skivenes, M. (2009). Balancing a child's best interests and a child's views. *The International Journal of Children's Rights*, 17(1), 1-21.
- Archard, D., & Uniacke, S. (2021). The child's right to a voice. *Res Publica*, 27(4), 521-536.
- Ariès, P. (2014). *Enfant et la vie familiale sous l'Ancien régime (L')*. Média Diffusion.
- Arneil, B. (2002). *Becoming versus being: A critical analysis of the child in liberal theory*, In *The Moral and Political Status of Children*. Oxford University Press.
- Bailey-Harris, R., Barron, J., & Pearce, J. (1999). From utility to rights? The presumption of contact in practice. *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family*, 13(2), 111-131.

- Baker, H., & Groff, M. (2016). The impact of the Hague Conventions on European family law. In *European Family Law Volume I* (pp. 143-208). Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Baker, M. (1995). *Canadian family policies: Cross-national comparisons*. University of Toronto Press.
- Bala, N. (2014). Bringing Canada's Divorce Act into the new millennium: Enacting a child-focused parenting law. *Queen's LJ*, 40, 425.
- Bartolini, S. (2019). In the name of the best interests of the child: The principle of mutual trust in child abduction cases. *Common Market Law Review*, 56(1).
- Bates, E. (2010). *The evolution of the European Convention on Human Rights: From its inception to the creation of a permanent Court of Human Rights*. Oxford University Press.
- Beaumont, P., & Holliday, J. (2015). Recent developments on the meaning of "habitual residence" in alleged child abduction cases. *Župan, Mirela*, 37-56.
- Beaumont, P., & McEleavy, P. E. (1999). *The Hague Convention on international child abduction*. Oxford University Press.
- Beaumont, P., Trimmings, K., Walker, L., & Holliday, J. (2015). Child abduction: Recent jurisprudence of the European Court of Human Rights. *International & Comparative Law Quarterly*, 64(1), 39-63.
- Beaumont, P., Walker, L., & Holliday, J. (2016). Conflicts of EU courts on child abduction: The reality of Article 11(6)-(8) Brussels IIa proceedings across the EU. *Journal of Private International Law*, 12(2), 211-260.
- Bennett Jr, W. H. (1987). A Critique of the Emerging Convention on the Rights of the Child. *Cornell Int'l LJ*, 20, 1.
- Bernardi, L. (2018). *Lone Parenthood in the Life Course* (1st ed. 2018.). Springer Nature.
- Biagioni, G. (2023). The interplay of EU Regulation 2019/1111 with the 1980 Hague Convention in matters of child abduction. *Cuadernos Derecho Transnacional*, 15, 1077.
- Bhabha, J. (2014). Child Migration and Human Rights in a Global Age. In *Child Migration and Human Rights in a Global Age*. Princeton University Press.
- Birnbaum, R., Bala, N., & Boyd, J.-P. (2016). The Canadian experience with Views of the Child Reports: A valuable addition to the toolbox? *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family*, 30(2), 158-178.
- Blicharska, M., Teutschbein, C., & Smithers, R. J. (2021). SDG partnerships may perpetuate the global North-South divide. *Scientific Reports*, 11(1), 22092.
- Blöndal, E. K. (2020). Non-refoulement and Personal Circumstances in *Mobility and Transnational Iceland*, University of Iceland Press, Reykjavik
- Boele-Woelki, K. (2008). What comparative family law should entail. *Utrecht Law Review*, 1-24.
- Boele-Woelki, K., Ferrand, F., González Beilfuss, C., Jänträ-Jareborg, M., Lowe, N., Martiny, D., & Pintens, W. (2007). *Principles of European family law regarding parental responsibilities*. Intersentia.
- Boele-Woelki, K., & Martiny, D. (2007). The Commission on European Family Law (CEFL) and its Principles of European Family Law Regarding Parental Responsibilities*. *ERA Forum*, 8(1), 125-143.
- Boele-Woelki, K., & Sverdrup, T. (2008). *The CEFL principles regarding parental Responsibilities: Predominance of the common*. Core, Intersentia.
- Bossin, M., & Demirdache, L. (2012). When children seek asylum from their parents: A Canadian case study. *New Directions for Child and Adolescent Development*, 2012(136), 47-64.

- Bremner, R. H. (1976). Public policy and childhood in the United States. *Theory Into Practice*, 15(1), 70-76.
- Brems, E. (2014). Should pluriform human rights become one? Exploring the benefits of human rights integration. *European Journal of Human Rights*, 447-470.
- Brems, E., Desmet, E., & Vandenhoe, W. (2017). *Children's rights law in the global human rights landscape: Isolation, inspiration, integration?* (1st ed.). Routledge.
- Brems, E., & Ouald-Chaib, S. (2018). *Fragmentation and Integration in Human Rights Law: Users' Perspectives*. Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Brown, T., Lundgren, A., Stevens, L.-M., & Boadle, J. (2010). Shared parenting and parental involvement in children's schooling following separation and divorce. *Children Australia*, 35(1), 7-13.
- Brownridge, D. A. (2006). Violence against women post-separation. *Aggression and Violent Behavior*, 11(5), 514-530.
- Bruch, C. S. (1996). How to Draft a Successful Family Law Convention: Lessons from the Child Abduction Conventions. In *Children on the Move* (pp. 47-60). Brill Nijhoff.
- Bruch, C. S. (2004). The unmet needs of domestic violence victims and their children in Hague child abduction convention cases. *Family Law Quarterly*, 38(3), 529-545.
- Byrne, B. (2016). Do Children still need to Escape Childhood?: A Reassessment of John Holt and his Vision for Children's Rights. *The International Journal of Children's Rights*, 24(1), 113-134.
- Çalı, B., Costello, C., & Cunningham, S. (2020). Hard protection through soft courts? Non-refoulement before the United Nations treaty bodies. *German Law Journal*, 21(3), 355-384.
- Callaghan, J. E., Alexander, J. H., Sixsmith, J., & Fellin, L. C. (2018). Beyond "witnessing": Children's experiences of coercive control in domestic violence and abuse. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 33(10), 1551-1581.
- Callewaert, J. (2018). Do we still need Article 6 (2) TEU? Considerations on the absence of EU accession to the ECHR and its consequences. *Common Market Law Review*, 55(6).
- Callewaert, J. (2023). Convention Control Over the Application of Union Law by National Judges: The Case for a Wholistic Approach to Fundamental Rights. *European Papers-A Journal on Law and Integration*, 2023(1), 331-347.
- Cantwell, N. (2017). Are 'best interests' a pillar or a problem for implementing the human rights of children. *The United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child: Taking Stock After*, 25, 61-72, Brill.
- Carbone, J. (1995). *Child Custody and the Best Interests of Children—A Review of "From Father's Property to Children's Rights: The History of Child Custody in the United States"* *Family Law Quarterly*, vol. 29, no. 3, 1995, pp. 721-39.
- Carr, B. A. (2009). Incorporating a Best Interests of the Child Approach into Immigration Law and Procedure. *Yale Hum. Rts. & Dev. LJ*, 12, 120.
- Cass, B. (2020). Undocumented and Unsettled? The Impact of Immigration Status on the Well-Settled Defense of the 1980 Hague Convention on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction. *Suffolk University Law Review*, 53(4), 349-.
- Caulley, A. M. (2018). Equal Isn't Always Equitable: Reforming the Use of Joint Custody Presumptions in Judicial Child Custody Determinations. *BU Pub. Int. LJ*, 27, 403.
- Chen, Y. (2022). Gender, Power and Subjectivity: Divorce of Chinese Migrant Women within a Transnational Marriage in Switzerland. *Revue Européenne Des Migrations Internationales*, 161-183.

- Chesler, P. (1991). Mothers on Trial: The Custodial Vulnerability of Women. *Feminism & Psychology*, 1(3), 409-425.
- Chinkin, C. (1999). A critique of the public/private dimension. *European Journal of International Law*, 10(2), 387-395.
- Choudhry, S., & Fenwick, H. (2017). Taking the rights of parents and children seriously: Confronting the welfare principle under the Human Rights Act. In *Parental Rights and Responsibilities* (pp. 399-438). Routledge.
- Ciliberto, G. (2019). Non-refoulement in the Eyes of the Strasbourg and Luxembourg Courts: What Room for Its Absoluteness? *Borders, Legal Spaces and Territories in Contemporary International Law: Within and Beyond*, 59-91.
- Cliche, M.-A. (1997). Puissance paternelle et intérêt de l'enfant: La garde des enfants lors des séparations de corps dans le district judiciaire de Montréal, 1795-1930. *Lien Social et Politiques*, 37, 53-62.
- Clooney, A., & Webb, P. (2021a). *The right to a fair trial in international law*. Oxford University Press, USA.
- Cohen, C. P. (1982). The human rights of children. *Cap. UL Rev.*, 12, 369.
- Cohen, C. P. (1993). Developing Jurisprudence of the Rights of the Child, *St. Thomas Law Review*, Vol. 6, Issue 1 (Fall 1993), pp. 1-96
- Cohen, J., & Gershbain, N. (2001). For the sake of the fathers? Child custody reform and the perils of maximum contact. *Canadian Family Law Quarterly*, 19(1), 121-183.
- Corneloup, S., & Kruger, T. (2020). Le règlement 2019/1111, Bruxelles II: La protection des enfants gagne du ter (rain). *Revue Critique de Droit International Privé*, 20(2), 215-245.
- Costa, J. P. (2011). The best interests of the child in the recent case law of the European Court of Human Rights. *Proceedings of an Irish-British-French Symposium on Family Law: 14 May 2011*.
- Costello, C. (2016). *The human rights of migrants in European law*. Oxford University Press.
- Cottier, M., Widmer, E. D., Tornare, S., & Girardin, M. (2017). Étude interdisciplinaire sur la garde alternée. Genève, mars.
- Couzens, M. M. (2019). *The application of the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child by national courts*. Leiden.
- Craig, P., & De Búrca, G. (2011). *EU law: Text, cases, and materials*. Oxford University Press.
- Crenshaw, K. (1991). Mapping the margins: Identity politics, intersectionality, and violence against women. *Stanford Law Review*, 43(6), 1241-1299.
- Cretney, S. M., & Probert, R. (2003). *Cretney's family law / by Rebecca Probert* (5th ed). Sweet & Maxwell.
- Crossman, K. A., Hardesty, J. L., & Raffaelli, M. (2016). "He could scare me without laying a hand on me" mothers' experiences of nonviolent coercive control during marriage and after separation. *Violence against Women*, 22(4), 454-473.
- Dal Pozzo, F. R. (2013). *Citizenship rights and freedom of movement in the European Union*. Kluwer Law International BV.
- Daly, A. (2017). *Children, autonomy and the courts: Beyond the right to be heard* (Vol. 3). Brill.
- Daly, A. (2018). No weight for "due weight"? A children's autonomy principle in best interest proceedings. *The International Journal of Children's Rights*, 26(1), 61-92.
- Daly, A. (2020). Assessing Children's Capacity: Reconceptualising our Understanding through the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child. *The International Journal of Children's Rights*, 28(3), 471-499.

- de Boer, T. M. (2008). *The Hague Convention on Child Abduction: How about the best interests of the child?* NijmegenArs Aequi Libri.
- De Graeve, K. (2015). Children's rights from a gender studies perspective: Gender, intersectionality and ethics of care. In *Routledge International Handbook of Children's Rights Studies* (pp. 147-163). Routledge.
- DeMause, L. (1974). The evolution of childhood. *The Journal of Psychohistory*, 1(4), 503.
- DeMause, L., & Browning, E. B. (2005). The evolution of childhood. *Child Welfare: Historical Perspectives*, 21-92.
- Desmet, E. (2018). Chapter 1: Methodologies to study human rights law as an integrated whole from a users' perspective: Lessons learnt. In *Fragmentation and Integration in Human Rights Law*. Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Detrick, S. (1999a). *A Commentary on the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child*. martinus nijhoff publishers.
- Detrick, S. (1999b). *A Commentary on the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child*. Martinus Nijhoff Publishers.
- di Torella, E. C., & Masselot, A. (2004). Under construction: EU family law. *European Law Review*, 29(1), 32-51.
- DiFonzo, J. H. (2014). From the rule of one to shared parenting: Custody presumptions in law and policy. *Family Court Review*, 52(2), 213-239.
- Doek, J. (2006). *A commentary on the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child, Articles 8-9: The right to preservation of identity and the right not to be separated from his or her parents* (Vol. 8). BRILL.
- Doek, J. E. (2006). What does the children's Convention require? *Emory Int'l L. Rev.*, 20, 199.
- Downes, J., Kelly, L., & Westmarland, N. (2019). 'It's a work in progress': Men's accounts of gender and change in their use of coercive control. *Journal of Gender-Based Violence*, 3(3), 267-282.
- Drozd, L., Saini, M., & Olesen, N. (2016). *Parenting Plan Evaluations: Applied Research for the Family Court*. Oxford University Press.
- Dzremczewski, A. (2000). The European Human Rights Convention: Protocol No. 11-Entry into force and first year of application. *Human Rights Law Journal*, 21(1/3), 1-17.
- Du Plessis, P. J., Ando, C., & Tuori, K. (2016). *The Oxford handbook of Roman law and society*. Oxford University Press.
- Duncan, W. (2000). Action in support of the Hague Child Abduction Convention: A view from the permanent bureau. *NYUJ Int'l L. & Pol.*, 33, 103.
- Dyer, A. (1996). The Internationalization of Family Law. *UC Davis L. Rev.*, 30, 625.
- Dyer, A., Bailey, M., Boggs, D. J., Bruch, C. S., Coester-Waltjen, D., DeHart, G. F., Duncan, W., Johnson, T. A., Lowe, N. V., Siehr, K., Silberman, L., Spector, R. G., & Wolfe, K. (2000). Celebrating twenty years: The past and promise of the 1980 Hague Convention on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction. *New York University Journal of International Law & Politics*, 33(1), 1-377.
- Edleson, J. L., Ellerton, A. L., Seagren, E. A., Kirchberg, S. L., Schmidt, S. O., & Ambrose, A. T. (2007). Assessing child exposure to adult domestic violence. *Children and Youth Services Review*, 29(7), 961-971.
- Edward Elgar Publishing, Freeman, M., & Taylor, N. (2023). *Research handbook on international child abduction: The 1980 Hague Convention*. Edward Elgar Publishing Limited.
- Edward Kruk, Margarita Ortiz-Tallo, & José Manuel de Torres Perea. (2021). *The Routledge International Handbook of Shared Parenting and Best Interest of the Child*. Taylor and Francis.

- Eekelaar, J. (1986a). The emergence of children's rights. *Oxford J. Legal Stud.*, 6, 161.
- Eekelaar, J. (1994). The interests of the child and the child's wishes: The role of dynamic self-determinism. *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family*, 8(1), 42-61.
- Eekelaar, J. (2015). The role of the best interests principle in decisions affecting children and decisions about children. *The International Journal of Children's Rights*, 23(1), 3-26.
- Elrod, L. (2023). The global effort to deter parental kidnapping: A history of the Hague Child Abduction Convention. *Research Handbook on International Child Abduction*, 47-62.
- Elrod, L. D. (2010). Please Let Me Stay: Hearing the Voice of the Child in Hague Abduction Cases. *Okla. L. Rev.*, 63, 663.
- Elrod, L. D. (2016). Child Custody: Back to the Future-Still Searching for Best Interests. In *Family Law in Britain and America in the New Century* (pp. 107-126). Brill Nijhoff.
- Erez, E., Adelman, M., & Gregory, C. (2009). Intersections of Immigration and Domestic Violence: Voices of Battered Immigrant Women. *Feminist Criminology*, 4(1), 32-56.
- Erler, C. (2018). Far from Now-Settled: The Supreme Court's Decision in *Lozano v. Montoya Alvarez* as a Violation of Substantive and Procedural Due Process Under the International Child Abduction Remedies Act. *American University Journal of Gender, Social Policy & the Law*, 26(2), 5.
- Estin, A. L. (2015). Protecting Child Welfare in Abduction and Asylum Proceedings. *NCJ Int'l L.*, 41, 793.
- Farris, M. P. (2010). Nannies in Blue Berets: Understanding the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child: A Legal Analysis. *Regent JL & Pub. Pol'y*, 2, 107.
- Fass, P. S. (2011). A historical context for the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child. *The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, 633(1), 17-29.
- Fenton-Glynn, C. (2014). Participation and Natural Justice: Children's Rights and Interests in Hague Abduction Proceedings. *J. Comp. L.*, 9, 129.
- Fenton-Glynn, C. (2021). *Children and the European Court of Human Rights*. Oxford University Press, USA.
- Fenwick, H., & Choudhury, S. (2005). Taking the Rights of Parents and Children Seriously: Confronting the Welfare Principle under the Human Rights Act. *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies*, 25(3).
- Fernando, M., & Ross, N. (2018). Stifled Voices: Hearing Children's Objections in Hague Child Abduction Convention Cases in Australia. *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family*, 32(1), 93-108.
- Finkelhor, D., Hotelling, G., & Sedlak, A. (1991). Children abducted by family members: A national household survey of incidence and episode characteristics. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 805-817.
- Forowicz, M. (2010). 107Child Rights. In M. Forowicz (Ed.), *The Reception of International Law in the European Court of Human Rights* (p. 0). Oxford University Press.
- Fortin, J. (2006). Accommodating children's rights in a post Human Rights Act era. *The Modern Law Review*, 69(3), 299-326.
- Fragmentation and Integration in Human Rights Law: Users' Perspectives. (2018). In *Fragmentation and Integration in Human Rights Law*. Edward Elgar Publishing.

- Franklin, C. (2010). The legal status of the EU Charter of fundamental Rights after the Treaty of Lisbon. *Tilburg L. Rev.*, 15, 137.
- Freeman, M. (1998). The right to be heard. *Adoption & Fostering*, 22(4), 50-59.
- Freeman, M. (2000). Rights of Custody and Access under the Hague Child Abduction Convention-A Questionable Result. *Cal. W. Int'l LJ*, 31, 39.
- Freeman, M. D. (1983). The rights and wrongs of children. (No Title).
- Freeman, M. D. (1992). Taking children's rights more seriously. *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family*, 6(1), 52-71.
- Freeman, M. D. (1997). *The moral status of children: Essays on the rights of the children*. Martinus Nijhoff Publishers.
- Freeman, M., & Saunders, B. J. (2014). Can we conquer child abuse if we don't outlaw physical chastisement of children? *The International Journal of Children's Rights*, 22(4), 681-709.
- Freeman, M., & Taylor, N. (2020). Domestic violence and child participation: Contemporary challenges for the 1980 Hague child abduction convention. *Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law*, 42(2), 154-175.
- Freeman, M., & Taylor, N. (2022). Domestic violence as an aspect of 1980 Hague Child Abduction Convention return proceedings. *Domestic Violence and Parental Child Abduction: The Protection of Abducting Mothers in Return Proceedings*. Edited by Katarina Trimmings, Anatol Dutta, Costanza Honorati and Mirela Župan. Cambridge: Intersentia Ltd, 39-65.
- Garbolino, J. D. (2019). Intersecting issues involving asylum in the United States and cases arising under the 1980 Hague Convention on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction. *Family Court Review*, 57(2), 159-174.
- Gardner, R. A. (1992). *The parental alienation syndrome: A guide for mental health and legal professionals*. Creative therapeutics.
- Gardner, R. A. (2002a). Denial of the Parental Alienation Syndrome Also Harms Women. *The American Journal of Family Therapy*, 30(3), 191-202.
- Gardner, R. A., Sauber, S. R., & Lorandos, D. (2006). *The international handbook of parental alienation syndrome: Conceptual, clinical and legal considerations*. Charles C. Thomas.
- Garlick, M. (2015). International protection in court: The asylum jurisprudence of the Court of Justice of the EU and UNHCR. *Refugee Survey Quarterly*, 34(1), 107-130.
- Garlicki, L. (2009). Judicial Deliberations: The Strasbourg Perspective. *The Legitimacy of Highest Courts' Rulings: Judicial Deliberations and Beyond*, 389-397.
- George, R. (2014a). Children's state of mind and habitual residence in abduction cases. *Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law*, 36(3), 311-313.
- Gerards, J. (2011). Pluralism, Deference and the Margin of Appreciation Doctrine. *European Law Journal*, 17(1), 80-120.
- Gillis, J. R. (1985). *Forgotten Children: Parent-Child Relations from 1500-1900*. JSTOR.
- Glas, L. R., & Krommendijk, J. (2017). From Opinion 2/13 to Avotiņš: Recent developments in the relationship between the Luxembourg and Strasbourg Courts. *Human Rights Law Review*, 17(3), 567-587.
- Gonzalez, A., MacMillan, H., Tanaka, M., Jack, S. M., & Tonmyr, L. (2014). Subtypes of exposure to intimate partner violence within a Canadian child welfare sample: Associated risks and child maladjustment. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 38(12), 1934-1944.
- Gottlieb, L. J. (2012). *The parental alienation syndrome: A family therapy and collaborative systems approach to amelioration*. Charles C Thomas Publisher.

- Gray, A., & Kaye, M. (2023). Redressing the balance: How Australia's approach under the Hague Abduction Convention is still endangering victims of domestic violence. *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family*, 37(1), ebac021.
- Greer, S., Gerards, J., Slowe, R. 'The Fundamental Rights Jurisprudence of the European Court of Justice', in: *Human Rights in the Council of Europe and the European Union* (United Kingdom: Cambridge University Press 2018) 293-367
- Greif, G. L., & Hegar, R. L. (1994). Parents who abduct: A qualitative study with implications for practice. *Family Relations*, 283-288.
- Group, U. N. I. L. C. S., & Koskenniemi, M. (2007). *Fragmentation of International Law: Difficulties arising from the diversification and expansion of international law*. Erik Castrén Institute of International Law and Human Rights.
- Groussot, X., & Pech, L. (2010). Fundamental rights protection in the EU post Lisbon Treaty. *European Issue*, 173, 1-13.
- Guggenheim, M. (2005). *What's wrong with children's rights*. Harvard University Press.
- Guggenheim, M. (2006). Ratify the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child, but don't expect any miracles. *Emory Int'l L. Rev.*, 20, 43.
- Gutowski, E. R., & Goodman, L. A. (2023). Coercive Control in the Courtroom: The Legal Abuse Scale (LAS). *Journal of Family Violence*, 38(3), 527-542.
- Hacker, D. (2017). Parental Citizenship. *Journal of Comparative Family Studies*, 48(3), 285-301.
- Hafen, B. C., & Hafen, J. O. (1996a). Abandoning children to their autonomy: The United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child. *Harv. Int'l. LJ*, 37, 449.
- Hafen, B. C., & Hafen, J. O. (1996b). Abandoning children to their autonomy: The United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child. *Harv. Int'l. LJ*, 37, 449.
- Hale, B. (n.d.). Taking Flight—Domestic Violence and Child Abduction'(2017). *Current Legal Problems*, 70, 3.
- Hamdan, E. (2016). *The principle of non-refoulement under the ECHR and the UN Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment* (Vol. 115). Brill.
- Hanna, C. (2009). The paradox of progress: Translating Evan Stark's coercive control into legal doctrine for abused women. *Violence Against Women*, 15(12), 1458-1476.
- Hanson, K. (2012). Schools of thought in children's rights. *Children's Rights from below: Cross-Cultural Perspectives*, 63-79.
- Hanson, K. (2015). International legal procedures and children's conceptual autonomy. In *Childhood* (Vol. 22, Issue 4, pp. 427-431). SAGE Publications Sage UK: London, England.
- Hanson, K., & Lundy, L. (2017). Does exactly what it says on the tin?: A critical analysis and alternative conceptualisation of the so-called "general principles" of the Convention on the Rights of the Child. *The International Journal of Children's Rights*, 25(2), 285-306.
- Healey, J. (2013). *Single parent families*. Spinney Press.
- Henaghan, M., Poland, C., & Kong, C. (2023). Abducted Child's Best Interests versus the Theoretical Child's Best Interests: Australia, New Zealand and the Pacific. *Laws*, 12(4), 63.
- Hoekstra, M., & Orozco-Aleman, S. (2017). Illegal immigration, state law, and deterrence. *American Economic Journal: Economic Policy*, 9(2), 228-252.
- Hoffman, S. (2022). Claire Fenton-Glynn, Children and the European Court of Human Rights. *The International Journal of Children's Rights*, 30(2), 609-614.
- Hofmann, A. (2018). Resistance against the Court of Justice of the European Union. *International Journal of Law in Context*, 14(2), 258-274.

- Höjer, I., & Röbbäck, K. (2009). Constructing children's views in the enforcement of contact orders. *The International Journal of Children's Rights*, 17(4), 663-680.
- Holt, S. (2018). A voice or a choice? Children's views on participating in decisions about post-separation contact with domestically abusive fathers. *Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law*, 40(4), 459-476.
- Houston, C. (2017). The Trouble with Feminist Advocacy Around Child Victims of Domestic Violence. *Women's Rts. L. Rep.*, 39, 85.
- Hoyles, M. (1979). Childhood in historical perspective. *Changing Childhood*, 10.
- Huijbers, L. M. (2017). The European Court of Human Rights' procedural approach in the age of subsidiarity. *Cambridge International Law Journal*, 6(2), 177-201.
- Hurrelmann, A., & Manolov, M. (2013). The Court of Justice of the European Union: Federalizing actor in a multilevel system. *Democratic Dilemma: Reforming Canada's Supreme Court*, 129, 237.
- Ibili, F., & Olland, A. C. (2019). *Internationale kindertoevoering*. Wolters Kluwer.
- Ismaili, N. (2019). *Who cares for the child?: Regulating custody and access in family and migration law in the Netherlands, the European Union and the Council of Europe*.
- Jacobs, F. G. (2003). Judicial dialogue and the cross-fertilization of legal systems: The European Court of Justice. *Tex. Int'l LJ*, 38, 547.
- James, C. (2006). Winners and losers: The father factor in Australian child custody law in the 20th Century. *Legal History*, 10(1/2), 207-238.
- Jenkins, K. (2022). The Hague Convention on International Parental Kidnapping: Still the Best Hope for Children? *Cardozo Int'l & Comp. L. Rev.*, 6, 623.
- Jenks, C. (1983). *The sociology of childhood: Essential readings*.
- Jelinic, A. B. (2019). Australia's family violence provisions in migration law: A comparative study. *Flinders LJ*, 21, 259.
- Johnson, M. P., Leone, J. M., & Xu, Y. (2014). Intimate terrorism and situational couple violence in general surveys: Ex-spouses required. *Violence against Women*, 20(2), 186-207.
- Johnston, J. R., & Sullivan, M. J. (2020a). Parental Alienation: In Search of Common Ground For a More Differentiated Theory. *Family Court Review*, 58(2), 270-292.
- Johnston, J. R., & Sullivan, M. J. (2020b). Parental alienation: In search of common ground for a more differentiated theory. *Family Court Review*, 58(2), 270-292.
- Johnston, J. R., & Ver Steegh, N. (2013). Historical trends in family court response to intimate partner violence: Perspectives of critics and proponents of current practices. *Fam. Ct. Rev.*, 51, 63.
- Kalverboer, M., Beltman, D., Van Os, C., & Zijlstra, E. (2017). The best interests of the child in cases of migration: Assessing and determining the best interests of the child in migration procedures. *The International Journal of Children's Rights*, 25(1), 114-139.
- Kaganas, F. (2018). Parental involvement: A discretionary presumption. *Legal Studies*, 38(4), 549-570.
- Katz, E. (2022). *Coercive control in children's and mothers' lives*. Oxford University Press.
- Katz, E., Nikupeteri, A., & Laitinen, M. (2020). When coercive control continues to harm children: Post-separation fathering, stalking and domestic violence. *Child Abuse Review*, 29(4), 310-324.
- Kaye, M. (1999). The Hague Convention and the flight from domestic violence: How women and children are being returned by coach and four. *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family*, 13(2), 191-212.
- Keller, H., & Heri, C. (2015). Protecting the best interests of the child: International child abduction and the European Court of Human Rights. *Nordic Journal of International Law*, 84(2), 270-296.

- Kelly, J. B. (1994). The determination of child custody. *The Future of Children*, 121-142.
- Kelly, J. B., & Johnson, M. P. (2008). Differentiation among types of intimate partner violence: Research update and implications for interventions. *Family Court Review*, 46(3), 476-499.
- Kelly, K. A. (2003). *Domestic violence and the politics of privacy*. Cornell University Press.
- Khazova, O. A., & Mezmur, B. D. (2020). Continued Reflections on Family Law Issues in the Jurisprudence of the CRC Committee: The Convention on the Rights of the Child@ 30. *Int'l Surv. Fam. L.*, 337.
- Kilkelly, U. (1999). *The child and the European Convention on Human Rights*. Ashgate/ Dartmouth.
- Kilkelly, U. (2016). The Best Interests of the Child: A Gateway to Children's Rights? In *Implementing Article 3 of the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child* (pp. 51-66). Cambridge University Press.
- Kilkelly, U., & Liefwaard, T. (2019). International children's rights: Reflections on a complex, dynamic, and relatively young area of law. *International Human Rights of Children*, 617-627.
- Klaassen, M. A. K. (2015). The right to family unification: Between migration control and human rights. *Leiden University Repository*: [Http://Hdl. Handle. Net/1887/36049](http://hdl.handle.net/1887/36049).
- Kodilinye, L. (1992). Is Access the Right of the Parent or the Right of the Child? A Commonwealth View. *International & Comparative Law Quarterly*, 41(1), 190-199.
- Kohm, L. M. (2007). Tracing the foundations of the best interests of the child standard in American jurisprudence. *JL & Fam. Stud.*, 10, 337.
- Kolbo, J. R., Blakely, E. H., & Engleman, D. (1996). Children who witness domestic violence: A review of empirical literature. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 11(2), 281-293.
- Krisch, N. (2008). The open architecture of European human rights law. *The Modern Law Review*, 71(2), 183-216.
- Kritzman-Amir, T. (2015). Iterations of the family: Parents, children and mixed-status families. *Minn. J. Int'l L.*, 24, 245.
- Kruger, T., Carpaneto, L., Maoli, F., Lembrechts, S., Van Hof, T., & Sciacaluga, G. (2022). Current-day international child abduction: Does Brussels IIb live up to the challenges? *Journal of Private International Law*, 18(2), 159-185.
- Kruger, T., & Samyn, L. (2016). Brussels II bis: Successes and suggested improvements. *Journal of Private International Law*, 12(1), 132-168.
- Kruk, E. (2010). Parental and social institutional responsibilities to children's needs in the divorce transition: Fathers' perspectives. *The Journal of Men's Studies*, 18(2), 159-178.
- Kruk, E. (2018). Arguments Against a Presumption of Shared Physical Custody in Family Law. *Journal of Divorce & Remarriage*, 59(5), 388-400.
- Kruk, E. (2018). Parental alienation as a form of emotional child abuse: Current state of knowledge and future directions for research. *Family Science Review*, 22(4), 141-164.
- Krutzinna, J. (2022). Who is "the child"? Best interests and individuality of children in discretionary decision-making. *The International Journal of Children's Rights*, 30(1), 120-145.
- Kvisberg, T. E. (2019). Child Abduction Cases in the European Court Of Human Rights – Changing Views on the Child's Best Interests. *Oslo Law Review*, 6(2), 90-106.
- LaFave, L. L. (1989). Origins and Evolution of the Best Interests of the Child Standard. *SDL Rev.*, 34, 459.

- Lamont, M., & Molnár, V. (2002). The Study of Boundaries in the Social Sciences. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 28(1), 167-195.
- Lamont, R. (2019). Protecting children's rights after child abduction: The interaction of the CJEU and the ECtHR in interpreting Brussels IIbis. In *Fundamental rights and the best interests of the child in transnational families* (pp. 225-242). Intersentia Publishing.
- Langrognet, F. (2018). The Best Interests of the Child in French Deportation Case Law. *Human Rights Law Review*, 18(3), 567-592.
- Lansdown, G. (2000). Children's rights and domestic violence. *Child Abuse Review: Journal of the British Association for the Study and Prevention of Child Abuse and Neglect*, 9(6), 416-426.
- Lansdown, G. (2005). *Can You Hear Me? The Right of Young Children to Participate in Decisions Affecting Them. Working Papers in Early Childhood Development*, No. 36. ERIC.
- Latino, A. (2017). The Principle of Non-refoulement between International Law and European Union Law. In *International Law and the Protection of Humanity* (pp. 131-151). Brill Nijhoff.
- Lebreton, G. (2003). Le droit de l'enfant au respect de son «intérêt supérieur». Critique républicaine de la dérive individualiste du droit civil français. *Cahiers de La Recherche Sur Les Droits Fondamentaux*, 2, 77-86.
- Lee, E. (1999). Immigrants and immigration law: A state of the field assessment. *Journal of American Ethnic History*, 85-114.
- Lembrechts, S., Putters, M., Van Hoorde, K., Kruger, T., Ponnet, K., & Vandenhole, W. (2019). Conversations between children and judges in child abduction cases in Belgium and the Netherlands. *Family & Law*.
- Liebel, M. (2018). Welfare or agency? Children's interests as foundation of children's rights. *The International Journal of Children's Rights*, 26(4), 597-625.
- Liefwaard, T., & Doek, J. E. (2015). Litigating the Rights of the Child. *The UN Convention on the Rights of the Child In*.
- Liefwaard, T., & Doek, J. E. (2016). *Litigating the Rights of the Child*. Springer.
- Lindhorst, T., & Edleson, J. L. (2012). *Battered women, their children, and international law: The unintended consequences of the Hague Child Abduction Convention*. UPNE.
- Lock, T. (2009). The ECJ and the ECtHR: The future relationship between the two European courts. *The Law & Practice of International Courts and Tribunals*, 8(3), 375-398.
- Loo, H. (2016). In the child's best interests: Examining international child abduction, adoption, and asylum. *Chi. J. Int'l L.*, 17, 609.
- Lowe, N. V. (2005). The allocation of parental rights and responsibilities—The position in England and Wales. *Family Law Quarterly*, 39(2), 267-299.
- Lowe, N. V., & Stephens, V. (2012). Global trends in the operation of the 1980 Hague Abduction Convention. *Family Law Quarterly*, 46(1), 41-85.
- Lowe, N. (2023). Whither the 1980 Hague Abduction Convention?. In *Research Handbook on International Child Abduction* (pp. 387-402). Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Lubin, B. E. (2005). International parental child abduction: Conceptualizing new remedies through application of the Hague Convention. *Wash. U. Global Stud. L. Rev.*, 4, 415.
- Lundy, L. (2007). 'Voice' is not enough: Conceptualising Article 12 of the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child. *British Educational Research Journal*, 33(6), 927-942.
- Lundy, L., & McEvoy, L. (2012). Childhood, the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child, and Research: What Constitutes a 'Rights-Based' Approach? In *Law and Childhood Studies: Current Legal Issues Volume 14* (pp. 75-91). Oxford University Press.

- Lux, G., & Gill, S. (2021). Identifying Coercive Control in Canadian Family Law: A Required Analysis in Determining the Best Interests of the Child. *Family Court Review*, 59(4), 810-827.
- Mair, J., & Orucu, E. (2010). *Juxtaposing legal systems and the principles of European family law on parental responsibilities* (Vol. 27). Intersentia.
- Mantle, G., Moules, T., Johnson, K., Leslie, J., Parsons, S., & Shaffer, R. (2007). Whose Wishes and Feelings? Children's Autonomy and Parental Influence in Family Court Enquiries. *The British Journal of Social Work*, 37(5), 785-805.
- Marshall, D. (1999). The construction of children as an object of international relations: The declaration of children's rights and the child welfare committee of league of nations, 1900-1924. *The International Journal of Children's Rights*, 7(2), 103-148.
- Martin, N. G. (2014). International Parental Child Abduction and Mediation: An Overview. *Family Law Quarterly*, 48(2), 319-350.
- Martuscelli, P. N. (2023). 'Anchor Babies' and 'Imposter Children': Childhoods' Representations in Global Migration Politics. In *Children, Childhoods, and Global Politics* (pp. 17-30). Bristol University Press.
- Mason, M. A. (1994). *From father's property to children's rights: The history of child custody in the United States*. Columbia University Press.
- Mast, K. S. (2003). The Application of the Fundamental Principles Exception of the Hague Convention on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction. *Emory Int'l L. Rev.*, 17, 241.
- Masterton, G. H. (2021). *Australia's embrace of the 1980 Hague Abduction Convention: How the judiciary's narrow interpretation of the "grave risk of harm" exception harms abused taking mothers and their children*. Queensland University of Technology.
- Mavrogordatos, G. T. (1996). JOINT CUSTODY AND THE POLITICS OF PARENTHOOD. *ECPR Joint Sessions*.
- Maxwell, A. (2016). *The Hague Convention on the civil aspects of child abduction 1980: The New Zealand courts approach to the "grave risk" exception for victims of domestic violence*.
- Mayall, B. (2013). *A history of the sociology of childhood* [IOE Press advance information].
- McAdam, J. (2017). The enduring relevance of the 1951 Refugee Convention. In *International Journal of Refugee Law* (Vol. 29, Issue 1, pp. 1-9). Oxford University Press UK.
- McCann, P., Poot, J., & Sanderson, L. (2010). Migration, relationship capital and international travel: Theory and evidence. *Journal of Economic Geography*, 10(3), 361-387.
- McEleavy, P. (2002). The Brussels II Regulation: How the European Community has moved into family law. *International & Comparative Law Quarterly*, 51(4), 883-908.
- McEleavy, P. (2005). The New Child Abduction Regime in the European Union: Symbiotic Relationship or Forced Partnership? *Journal of Private International Law*, 1(1), 5-34.
- McEleavy, P. (2015). The European Court of Human Rights and the Hague Child Abduction Convention: Prioritising Return or Reflection? *Netherlands International Law Review*, 62, 365-405.
- McFarland, M., & Spangler, E. M. (2008). A Parent's Undocumented Immigration Status Should Not Be Considered under the Best Interest of the Child Standard. *William Mitchell Law Review*, 35, 247.
- McGee, K. (2018). What's So Exceptional about Immigration and Family Law Exceptionalism? An Analysis of Canonical Family and Immigration Law as Reflective of American Nationalism. *Geo. J. Gender & L.*, 20, 699.

- McGillivray, A. (2011). Children's rights, paternal power and fiduciary duty: From Roman law to the Supreme Court of Canada. *The International Journal of Children's Rights*, 19(1), 21-54.
- Meier, J. S. (2015). Johnson's differentiation theory: Is it really empirically supported? *Journal of Child Custody*, 12(1), 4-24.
- Menjívar, C., & Salcido, O. (2002). Immigrant women and domestic violence: Common experiences in different countries. *Gender & Society*, 16(6), 898-920.
- Minow, M. (1986). Rights for the next generation: A feminist approach to children's rights. *Harv. Women's LJ*, 9, 1.
- Minow, M., & Shanley, M. L. (1996). Relational rights and responsibilities: Revisioning the family in liberal political theory and law. *Hypatia*, 11(1), 4-29.
- Minow, M., & Shanley, M. L. (1997). Revisioning the family: Relational rights and responsibilities. *Reconstructing Political Theory: Feminist Perspectives*, 84-108.
- Mnookin, R. H., & Maccoby, E. (2002). Facing the Dilemmas of Child Custody. *Virginia Journal of Social Policy & the Law*, 10, 54.
- Mohsin, M. bin. (2023). The Exceptional Unfairness of the "Exceptional and Extremely Unusual Hardship" Test. *Santa Clara J. Int'l L.*, 22, 1.
- Mol, C. (2019). Children's Representation in Family Law Proceedings: A Comparative Evaluation in Light of Article 12 of the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child. *The International Journal of Children's Rights*, 27(1), 66-98.
- Mol, C. (2023). *The child's right to participate in family law proceedings: represented, heard or silenced?*, Cambridge Intersentia.
- Mol, C., & Kruger, T. (2018). International child abduction and the best interests of the child: An analysis of judicial reasoning in two jurisdictions. *Journal of Private International Law*, 14(3), 421-454.
- Monaghan, S. C. (1998). European Union legal personality disorder: The union's legal nature through the prism of the German federal constitutional court's Maastricht decision. *Emory Int'l L. Rev.*, 12, 1443.
- Monk, L., & Bowen, E. (2021). Coercive control of women as mothers via strategic mother-child separation. *Journal of Gender-Based Violence*, 5(1), 23-42.
- Moody, Z. (2015). The United Nations Declaration of the Rights of the Child (1959): Genesis, transformation and dissemination of a treaty (re) constituting a transnational cause. *Prospects*, 45(1), 15-29.
- Moore, C. (2003). Women and domestic violence: The public/private dichotomy in international law. *The International Journal of Human Rights*, 7(4), 93-128.
- Mosher, J. (2023). Domestic Violence, Precarious Immigration Status, and the Complex Interplay of Family Law and Immigration Law. *Can. J. Fam. L.*, 35, 297.
- Mungianu, R. (2016). *Frontex and non-refoulement: The international responsibility of the EU*. Cambridge University Press.
- Musseva, B. (2020). The recast of the Brussels IIa Regulation: The sweet and sour fruits of unanimity. *ERA Forum*, 21(1), 129-142.
- Niemi, J., & Poikela, L.-M. (2022). Protective and Return-Seeking Parents: The Power of Language in Child Abduction Law. *Domestic Violence and Parental Child Abduction*.
- Norris, C. (2010a). Immigration and Abduction: The Relevance of US Immigration Status to Defenses Under the Hague Convention on International Child Abduction. *California Law Review*, 98(1), 159-195.
- Ochiltree, G. (2006). *Grandparents, grandchildren and the generation in between*. Australian Council for Educational Research.
- Olivares, M. (2011). A final obstacle: Barriers to divorce for immigrant victims of domestic violence in the United States. *Hamline L. Rev.*, 34, 149.

- Ornstein, P., & Rickne, J. (2013a). When does intimate partner violence continue after separation? *Violence against Women*, 19(5), 617-633.
- Parkes, A. (2013). *Children and international human rights law: The right of the child to be heard*. Routledge.
- Parkinson, P. (2008). Freedom of Movement in an ERA of Shared Parenting: The Differences in Judicial Approaches to Relocation. *Federal Law Review*, 36(2), 145-171.
- Parkinson, P. (2016). Family laws and access to justice. *Contemporary Readings in Law and Social Justice*, 8(1), 37-69.
- Peleg, N. (2018). Marginalisation by the Court: The Case of Roma Children and the European Court of Human Rights. *Human Rights Law Review*, 18(1), 111-131.
- Picontó Novalés, T. (2012). The equality rights of parents and the protection of the best interests of the child after partnership breakdown in Spain. *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family*, 26(3), 378-400.
- Pinchbeck, I., & Hewitt, M. (1969). *Children in English Society Volume I: From Tudor Times to the Eighteenth Century*. University of Toronto Press.
- Pobjoy, J. M. (2013). A child rights framework for assessing the status of refugee children. In *Contemporary issues in refugee law* (pp. 91-137). Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Pobjoy, J. M. (2017a). *The child in international refugee law*. Cambridge University Press.
- Pollock, L. A. (1983). *Forgotten children: Parent-child relations from 1500 to 1900*. Cambridge University Press.
- Pousson, J. (2010). *L'intérêt supérieur de l'enfant: Vecteur de simplification ou de déstructuration du droit de la famille en Europe?*
- Probert, R., & Cretney, S. M. (2003). *Cretney's family law*. Sweet & Maxwell.
- Pruett, M. K., Cowan, C. P., Cowan, P. A., & Diamond, J. S. (2012). Supporting father involvement in the context of separation and divorce. *Parenting Plan Evaluations: Applied Research for the Family Court*, 123-151.
- Puckett, K. W. (2017). The Hague Convention on International Child Abduction: Can Domestic Violence Establish the Grave Risk Defense Under Article 13. *J. Am. Acad. Matrimonial Law.*, 30, 259.
- Quennerstedt, A. (2010). Children, But Not Really Humans? Critical Reflections on the Hampering Effect of the "3 p's". *The International Journal of Children's Rights*, 18(4), 619-635.
- Quennerstedt, A. (2013). Children's rights research moving into the future-Challenges on the way forward. *The International Journal of Children's Rights*, 21(2), 233-247.
- Quennerstedt, A., Robinson, C., & I'Anson, J. (2018). The UNCRC: The voice of global consensus on children's rights? *Nordic Journal of Human Rights*, 36(1), 38-54.
- Rap, S., Schmidt, E., & Liefwaard, T. (2020). Safeguarding the Dynamic Legal Position of Children: A Matter of Age Limits? Reflections on the Fundamental Principles and Practical Application of Age Limits in Light of International Children's Rights Law. *Erasmus L. Rev.*, 13, 4.
- Rathus, Z. (2020). A history of the use of the concept of parental alienation in the Australian family law system: Contradictions, collisions and their consequences. *Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law*, 42(1), 5-17.
- Ricordeau, G. (2012). Devenir une First World Woman: Stratégies migratoires et migrations par le mariage. *SociologieS*.
- Rietiker, D. (2012). Un enlèvement d'enfant devant la Grande Chambre de la Cour européenne des droits de l'homme: L'affaire Neulinger et Shuruk c. suisse. Analysée à la lumière des méthodes d'interprétation des traités internationaux: Cour européenne des droits de l'homme, Grande Chambre, Neulinger et Shuruk c. Suisse, 6 juillet 2010. *Revue Trimestrielle Des Droits de l'homme*, 23(90), 377-413.

- Ripley, P. (2008). A defence of the established approach to the Grave Risk Exception in the Hague Child Abduction Convention. *Journal of Private International Law*, 4(3), 443-477.
- Robinson, E. (2023). Evasive manoeuvres: Strasbourg, the Hague Child Abduction Convention and the absolute prohibition on ill-treatment. *Human Rights Law Review*, 23(2), ngad011.
- Roels, L. (2023). *How protecting your daughter can lead you to being denied international protection in Belgium: On Rape Mythology, Delayed Disclosure, and Asylum*.
- Rood-de Boer, M. (1984). *Evolutie van een rechtsbegrip "Het belang van het kind": Rede uitgesproken bij de viering van de 348ste dies natalis der Rijksuniversiteit de Utrecht op maandag 26 maart 1984 in die Jacobikerk*. Gouda Quint.
- Rosenbury, L. (2015). A feminist perspective on children and law. *International Perspectives and Empirical Findings on Child Participation: From Social Exclusion to Child-Inclusive Policies*, 1, 17-33.
- Rubellin-Devichi, J. (1994). The best interests principle in French law and practice. *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family*, 8(2), 259-280.
- Ruitenberg, G. C. A. M. (2015). *De toepassing van het Haags Kinderontvoeringsverdrag in Nederland en het belang van het kind*.
- Saini, M., Johnston, J. R., Fidler, B. J., & Bala, N. (2016). *Empirical studies of alienation* (Vol. 2). Oxford University Press New York, NY.
- Sainz-Pardo, P. (2014). Women and children versus domestic violence. Legal reflections, needs and challenges in Spain today. *The International Journal of Human Rights*, 18.
- Salter, M. (2014). Getting Hugged: The impact of international law on child abduction by protective mothers. *Alternative Law Journal*, 39(1), 19-23.
- Sandgren, L. (2022). "THE BEST INTEREST PRINCIPLE"-A qualitative study on the interpretation of "the child's best interest" by the European Court of Human Rights and national states.
- Sarelin, A. L. (2007). Human rights-based approaches to development cooperation, HIV/AIDS, and food security. *Human Rights Quarterly*, 460-488.
- Schepard, A. (1999a). The evolving judicial role in child custody disputes: From fault finder to conflict manager to differential case management. *UALR L. Rev.*, 22, 395.
- Scherpe, J. M. (2016). Parental responsibility in a European perspective. In *European Family Law Volume III* (pp. 284-310). Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Schmahl, S. (2021). *United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child: Article-by-article commentary* (First edition.). Nomos/Hart.
- Schrama, W. M., Freeman, M., Law, F., Taylor, N. J., & Bruning, M. R. (2021). *International handbook on child participation in family law*. Intersentia Cambridge.
- Schuller, R. A., & Vidmar, N. (1992). Battered woman syndrome evidence in the courtroom. *Law and Human Behavior*, 16(3), 273-291.
- Schuz, R. (2001). Habitual Residence of children under the Hague Child Abduction Convention-theory and practice. *Child & Fam. LQ*, 13, 1.
- Schuz, R. (2002). The Hague Child Abduction Convention and Children's Rights. *Transnat'l L. & Contemp. Probs.*, 12, 393.
- Schuz, R. (2008). In search of a settled interpretation of article 12 (2) of the Hague child abduction convention. *Child & Fam. LQ*, 20, 64.
- Schuz, R. (2014a). The doctrine of comity in the age of globalization: Between international child abduction and cross-border insolvency. *Brook. J. Int'l L.*, 40, 31.
- Schuz, R. (2014b). *The Hague child abduction convention: A critical analysis*. A&C Black.

- Schuz, R. (2015). Thirty Years of the Hague Abduction Convention: A Children's Rights Perspective. In *Law in Society: Reflections on Children, Family, Culture and Philosophy* (pp. 607-633). Brill Nijhoff.
- Schuz, R. (2021). The Hague Child Abduction Convention and Re-Relocation Disputes. *International Journal of Law, Policy and The Family*, 35(1), ebaa021.
- Schuz, R. (2023). Child participation and the child objection exception. In *Research Handbook on International Child Abduction* (pp. 115-130). Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Scott, E. S., & Emery, R. E. (2014). Gender politics and child custody: The puzzling persistence of the best-interest standard. *Law & Contemp. Probs.*, 77, 69.
- Segrave, M. (2018). Temporary migration and family violence: The borders of coercive control. In *Intimate Partner Violence, Risk and Security* (pp. 126-141). Routledge.
- Segrave, M. (2021). Temporary migration and family violence: How perpetrators weaponise borders. *International Journal for Crime, Justice and Social Democracy*, 10(4), 26-38.
- Senden, H. C. K. (2011). *Interpretation of fundamental rights in a multilevel legal system: An analysis of the European Court of Human Rights and the Court of Justice of the European Union*. Leiden University.
- Shetty, S., & Edleson, J. L. (2005). Adult domestic violence in cases of international parental child abduction. *Violence Against Women*, 11(1), 115-138.
- Sicilianos, L.-A. (2017). The European Court of human rights facing the security council: Towards systemic harmonization. *International & Comparative Law Quarterly*, 66(4), 783-804.
- Silberman, L. (1994). Hague Convention on International Child Abduction: A brief overview and case law analysis. *Family Law Quarterly*, 9-34.
- Silberman, L. (2004a). Interpreting the Hague Abduction Convention: In Search of a Global Jurisprudence. *UC Davis L. Rev.*, 38, 1049.
- Silberman, L. J. (2010). The Hague Convention on Child Abduction and Unilateral Relocations by Custodial Parents: A Perspective from the United States and Europe-Abbott, Neulinger, Zarraga. *Okla. L. Rev.*, 63, 733.
- Silberman, L., & Wolfe, K. (2003). The Importance of Private International Law for Family Issues in an Era of Globalization: Two Case Studies-International Child Abduction and Same-Sex Unions. *Hofstra L. Rev.*, 32, 233.
- Silberman, L. (2003). Patching Up the Abduction Convention: A Call for a New International Protocol and a Suggestion for Amendments to ICARA. *Tex. Int'l LJ*, 38, 41.
- Simonovic, D. (2014). Global and regional standards on violence against women: The evolution and synergy of the CEDAW and Istanbul Conventions. *Hum. Rts. Q.*, 36, 590.
- Skelton, A. (2023). The CRC perspective in the context of international child abduction and the 1980 Hague Convention. In *Research Handbook on International Child Abduction* (pp. 279-297). Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Sloth-Nielsen, J., Collinson, J., & Spalding, A. (2023). Rights claims of citizen children of foreign national parents in South Africa, the UK, and the European Court of Human Rights: Hierarchies of 'illegality' and deservingness. *International Journal of Law, Policy, and the Family*, 37(1).
- Smolin, D. M. (2003). A tale of two treaties: Furthering social justice through the redemptive myths of childhood. *Emory Int'l L. Rev.*, 17, 967.
- Smyth, C. (2015). The Best Interests of the Child in the Expulsion and First-entry Jurisprudence of the European Court of Human Rights: How Principled is the Court's Use of the Principle? *European Journal of Migration and Law*, 17(1), 70-103.

- Sormunen, M. (2020). Understanding the best interests of the child as a procedural obligation: The example of the European Court of Human Rights. *Human Rights Law Review*, 20(4), 745-768.
- Spearman, K. J., Hardesty, J. L., & Campbell, J. (2023). Post-separation abuse: A concept analysis. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 79(4), 1225-1246.
- Spector, R. G. (2015). International Abduction of Children: Why the UCCJEA Is Usually a Better Remedy Than the Abduction Convention. *Family Law Quarterly*, 49(3), 385-407.
- Spector, R. G. (2019). Proceedings Under the Hague Child Abduction Convention. *Family Law Quarterly*, 52(4), 567-580.
- Spijkerboer, T. (2009). Subsidiarity and 'arguability': The European court of human rights' case law on judicial review in asylum cases. *International Journal of Refugee Law*, 21(1), 48-74.
- Stalford, H. (2014). The CRC in Litigation Under EU Law. In *Litigating the Rights of the Child* (pp. 211-230). Springer Netherlands.
- Stark, B. (2015). Foreign Fathers, Japanese Mothers, and the Hague Abduction Convention: Spirited Away. *NCJ Int'l L.*, 41, 761.
- Stark, B. (2017). *International family law: An introduction*. Routledge.
- Stark, E. (2009). *Coercive control: The entrapment of women in personal life*. Oxford University Press.
- Steward, P. (1997). Access Rights: A Necessary Corollary to Custody Rights Under the Hague Convention on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction. *Fordham Int'l LJ*, 21, 308.
- Sthoeger, E. (2011). International Child Abduction an Children's Rights: Two Means to the Same End, 32 Mich. J. Int'l. L., 511.
- Stone, L. (1977). *The family, sex and marriage in England 1500-1800* (Vol. 43). Citeseer.
- Stone Sweet, A. (2010). The European Court of Justice and the judicialization of EU governance. *Living Reviews in EU Governance*.
- Stoyanova, V. (2018). A Stark Choice: Domestic Violence or Deportation?: The Immigration Status of Victims of Domestic Violence under the Istanbul Convention. *European Journal of Migration and Law*, 20(1), 53-82.
- Sullivan, M. J. (2014). Conditional Residence for Unauthorised Immigrant Parents. *The International Journal of Children's Rights*, 22(4), 776-806.
- Sweet. (2009). Constitutionalism, Legal Pluralism, and International Regimes. *Indiana Journal of Global Legal Studies*, 16(2), 621.
- Symons, K., Ponnet, K., & De Marez, L. (2019). *The voice of the child in international child abductions in Europe: Work stream one: Survey results*.
- Taylor, N., Fitzgerald, R., Morag, T., Bajpai, A., & Graham, A. (2012). International models of child participation in family law proceedings following parental separation/divorce. *The International Journal of Children's Rights*, 20(4), 645-673.
- Thery, I. (1986). The 'Interest of the Child' and the Regulation of the Post-Divorce Family. *International Journal of the Sociology of Law*, 14(3), 341-358.
- Thronson, D. B. (2002). Kids Will Be Kids-Reconsidering Conceptions of Children's Rights Underlying Immigration Law. *Immigr. & Nat'lity L. Rev.*, 23, 3.
- Thronson, D. B. (2005). Choiceless choices: Deportation and the parent-child relationship. *Nev. LJ*, 6, 1165.
- Thronson, D. B. (2007). Custody and contradictions: Exploring immigration law as federal family law in the context of child custody. *Hastings LJ*, 59, 453.
- Thronson, D. B. (2008). Creating crisis: Immigration raids and the destabilization of immigrant families. *Wake Forest L. Rev.*, 43, 391.

- Thronson, D. B. (2010). Thinking small: The need for big changes in immigration law's treatment of Children. *Immigr. & Nat'lity L. Rev.*, 31, 79.
- Thronson, D. B. (2013). Thinking globally, acting locally: The problematically peripheral role of immigration law in the globalization of family law. *Transnat'l L. & Contemp. Probs.*, 22, 655.
- Thronson, V. T. (2012). Domestic Violence and Immigrants in Family Court. *Juvenile and Family Court Journal*, 63(1), 63-76.
- Thym, D. (2008). Respect for private and family life under Article 8 ECHR in immigration cases: A human right to regularize illegal stay? *International & Comparative Law Quarterly*, 57(1), 87-112.
- Tisdall, E. K. M., Morrison, F., & Warburton, J. (2021). Challenging undue influence? Rethinking children's participation in contested child contact. *Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law*, 43(1), 8-22.
- Tobin, J. (2013). Justifying children's rights. *The International Journal of Children's Rights*, 21(3), 395-441.
- Tobin, J. (2016). Understanding a human rights based approach to matters involving children: Conceptual foundations and strategic considerations. In *The Human Rights of Children* (pp. 61-98). Routledge.
- Tobin, J. (2019). *The UN Convention on the Rights of the Child: A commentary*. OUP Oxford.
- Tobin, J., Lowe, N., & Luke, E. (2019). Article 11: Protection against the illicit transfer and non-return of children abroad. In *The UN Convention on the Rights of the Child: A commentary* (pp. 370-396). Oxford University Press.
- Todres, J. (2006). Analyzing the opposition to US ratification of the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child. *The United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child: An Analysis of Treaty Provisions and Implications of US Ratification*, 19-31.
- Treloar, R., & Boyd, S. B. (2014). Family law reform in (Neoliberal) context: British Columbia's new family law act. *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family*, 28(1), 77-99.
- Tridimas, G., & Tridimas, T. (2004). National courts and the European Court of Justice: A public choice analysis of the preliminary reference procedure. *International Review of Law and Economics*, 24(2), 125-145.
- Tridimas, T. (2006). *The general principles of EU law*. Oxford University Press.
- Tridimas, T. (2011). Constitutional review of member state action: The virtues and vices of an incomplete jurisdiction. *International Journal of Constitutional Law*, 9(3-4), 737-756.
- Trimming, K. (2013). *Child abduction within the European Union*. Bloomsbury Publishing.
- Trimming, K., & Momoh, O. (2021). Intersection between domestic violence and international parental child abduction: Protection of abducting mothers in return proceedings. *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family*, 35(1), ebab001.
- Trimming, K., Le Xuan, T., & Kalaitoglou, K. (2024). The 1996 Hague convention on international child protection in the UK post-Brexit: focus on jurisdiction and recognition and enforcement. *International Journal of Law, Policy and The Family*, 38(1), ebae018.
- Tucker, M. J. (1974). The Child as Beginning and End: Fifteenth and Sixteenth Century English Childhood. Mause, L. de (Ed.): *The History of Childhood*, New York (The Psychohistory Press) 1974, Pp. 229-258.
- Ubertazzi, B. (2017). The hearing of the child in the Brussels IIa Regulation and its Recast Proposal. *Journal of Private International Law*, 13(3), 568-601.

- Van Den Eeckhout, V. (2008). Promoting human rights within the Union: The role of European private international law. *European Law Journal*, 14(1), 105-127.
- Van Dijk, P., Van Hoof, F., Van Rijn, A., & Zwaak, L. (2006). Theory and practice of the European convention on human rights. Intersentia. *Bast, Antwerpen-Oxford*.
- Van Hof, T., & Kruger, T. (2018). Separation from the Abducting Parent and the Best Interests of the Child: A Comparative Analysis of Case Law in Belgium, France and Switzerland. *Netherlands International Law Review*, 65, 131-153.
- Van Hof, T., Lembrechts, S., Maoli, F., Sciaccaluga, G., Kruger, T., Vandenhole, W., & Carpaneto, L. (2019a). To hear or not to hear: Reasoning of judges regarding the hearing of the child in international child abduction proceedings.
- Van Hoorde, K., Putters, M., Buser, G., Lembrechts, S., Ponnet, K., Kruger, T., Vandenhole, W., Demarré, H., Broothaerts, N., & Coruz, C. (2017). *Bouncing back: The wellbeing of children in international child abduction cases*.
- Van Horn, P., & Groves, B. M. (2006). Children exposed to domestic violence: Making trauma-informed custody and visitation decisions. *Juvenile and Family Court Journal*, 57(1), 51-60.
- Van Krieken, R. (2005). The 'best interests of the child' and parental separation: On the 'civilizing of parents'. *The Modern Law Review*, 68(1), 25-48.
- van Loon, H. (2016). Protecting Children across Borders: The Interaction between the CRC and the Hague children's conventions. *The United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child: Taking Stock after 25 Years and Looking Ahead*, 31.
- van Loon, H. (2017). Protecting Children across Borders: The Interaction between the CRC and the Hague children's conventions. *The United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child*, 31-46.
- Vandenhole, W. (2015). Children's rights from a legal perspective: Children's rights law. In *Routledge international handbook of Children's rights studies* (pp. 27-42). Routledge.
- Vann, R. T. (1976). Review Essays: THE MAKING OF THE MODERN FAMILY Reviewed by Richard T. Vann* Edward Shorter New York: Basic Books, 1975, 369 pages, \$15.00. *Journal of Family History*, 1(1), 106-117.
- Varadan, S. (2019). The Principle of Evolving Capacities under the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child. *The International Journal of Children's Rights*, 27(2), 306-338.
- Vasil, S. (2023). Understanding the nature of family violence against women with insecure migration status in Australia. *Violence against women*, 29(15-16), 3158-3181.
- Veerman, P. E. (1992). *The rights of the child and the changing image of childhood* (Vol. 18). Martinus Nijhoff Publishers.
- Veerman, P. E. (1992). *The rights of the child and the changing image of childhood*. Brill. (n.d.).
- Ver Steegh, N. (2004). Differentiating types of domestic violence: Implications for child custody. *La. L. Rev.*, 65, 1379.
- Villanueva Sainz-Pardo, P. (2014). Women and children versus domestic violence. Legal reflections, needs and challenges in Spain today. *The International Journal of Human Rights*, 18(6), 660-713.
- Vivatvaraphol, T. (2008a). Back to basics: Determining a child's habitual residence in international child abduction cases under the Hague Convention. *Fordham L. Rev.*, 77, 3325.
- Voolma, H. (2018). "I must be silent because of residency": Barriers to escaping domestic violence in the context of insecure immigration status in England and Sweden. *Violence against women*, 24(15), 1830-1850.
- Vuolanto, V. (2016). *Child and parent in Roman law*, In *The Oxford Handbook of Roman Law and Society*. Oxford University Press.

- Walker, L. (2010). The Impact of the Hague Abduction Convention on the Rights of the Family in the Case-Law of the European Court of Human Rights and the Un Human Rights Committee: The Danger of *Neulinger*. *Journal of Private International Law*, 6(3), 649-682.
- Walker, L., & Beaumont, P. (2011). Shifting the balance achieved by the Abduction Convention: The contrasting approaches of the European Court of Human Rights and the European Court of Justice. *J. Priv. Int'l L.*, 7, 231.
- Walsh, K., & Atkins, S. (2022). When our Paths Cross Again: The Supreme Court's Management of Related Asylum and Child Abduction Claims in *G v. G*. *The Modern Law Review*, 85(5), 1245-1260.
- Walsh, M. R., & Savard, S. W. (2006). International Child Abduction and the Hague Convention. *Barry L. Rev.*, 6, 29.
- Weiner, M. H. (2000). International child abduction and the escape from domestic violence. *Fordham L. Rev.*, 69, 593.
- Weiner, M. H. (2002). Potential and challenges of transnational litigation for feminists concerned about domestic violence here and abroad. *Am. UJ Gender Soc. Pol'y & L.*, 11, 749.
- Weiner, M. H. (2003a). Strengthening Article 20. *USFL Rev.*, 38, 701.
- Weiner, M. H. (2003b). The Potential and Challenges of Transnational Litigation for Feminist Concerned about Domestic Violence Here and Abroad. *American University Journal of Gender, Social Policy & the Law*, 11(2), 19.
- Weiner, M. H. (2004). Using article 20. *Family Law Quarterly*, 38(3), 583-622.
- Weiner, M. H. (2021). You can and you should: How judges can apply the Hague Abduction Convention to protect victims of domestic violence. *UCLA Women's LJ*, 28, 223.
- Weisberg, D. K. (1978). Evolution of the Concept of the Rights of the Child in the Western World. *International Commission of Jurists Review*, 21, 43.
- Weisberg, D. K. (2016). In Harm's Way: The Evolving Role of Domestic Violence in the Best Interests Analysis. In *Implementing Article 3 of the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child* (pp. 249-264). Cambridge University Press.
- Whalen, C. (2022). Article 10: The Right to Family Reunification. *Monitoring State Compliance with the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child*, 135.
- Wolf, G. I. (1996). Preserving Family Unity: The Rights of Children to Maintain the Companionship of Their Parents and Remain in Their Country of Birth. *Ind. J. Global Legal Stud.*, 4, 207.
- Woodhouse, B. B. (2001). Children's rights. In *Handbook of Youth and Justice* (pp. 377-410). Springer.
- Woodhouse, B. B. (2002). Talking about children's rights in judicial custody and visitation decision-making. *Family Law Quarterly*, 36(1), 105-133.
- Woodhouse, B. B. (2006a). The changing status of the child. *The United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child: An Analysis of Treaty Provisions and Implications of US Ratification*, 51-63.
- Woodhouse, B. B. (2006b). The family-supportive nature of the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child. *The United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child: An Analysis of Treaty Provisions and Implications of US Ratification*, 37-49.
- Woodhouse, B. B. (2009). A World Fit for Children Is a World Fit for Everyone: Ecogenerism, Feminism, and Vulnerability. *Hous. L. Rev.*, 46, 817.
- Wright, D. C. (2002a). The crisis of child custody: A history of the birth of family law in England. *Colum. J. Gender & L.*, 11, 175.
- Wright, D. C. (2002b). The crisis of child custody: A history of the birth of family law in England. *Colum. J. Gender & L.*, 11, 175.

- Yamaguchi, S., & Lindhorst, T. (2016a). Domestic violence and the implementation of the Hague Convention on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction: Japan and US Policy. *Journal of International Women's Studies*, 17(4), 16-30.
- Zainaldin, J. S. (1978). Emergence of a Modern American Family Law: Child Custody, Adoption, and the Courts, 1796-1851. *Nw. UL Rev.*, 73, 1038.
- Zermatten, J. (2003). *L'intérêt supérieur de l'enfant: De l'analyse littérale à la portée philosophique*. Institut international des droits de l'enfant: Institut universitaire Kurt Bösch.
- Zhang, J. (2009). Fair trial rights in ICCPR. *J. Pol. & L.*, 2, 39.
- Zug, M. (2011). Should I Stay or Should I Go: Why Immigrant Reunification Decisions Should Be Based on the Best Interest of the Child. *Brigham Young University Law Review*, 2011(4), 1139-
- Župan, M., & Drventić Barišić, M. (2023). Continuity of Parental Responsibility in Child Abduction Cases: Lesson Learned from the Case of Z. v. Croatia. *Laws*, 12(5), 82.

International Legal Instruments

League of Nations/ United Nations

- Geneva Declaration of the Rights of the Child of 1924, adopted Sept. 26, 1924, League of Nations O.J. Spec. Supp. 21, at 43 (1924).
- League of Nations. The Covenant of the League of Nations, Including Amendments in Force, February 1, 1938.
- United Nations, Convention Related to the Status of Refugees 28 July 1951, 189 UNTS 137.
- United nations, 1967 Protocol relating to the Status of Refugees, 22 December 2009, HCR/GIP/09/08
- International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (the "ICESCR") Adopted on 16 December 1966 by General Assembly Resolution 2200A (XXI), entry into force 3 January 1976.
- International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights (the "ICCPR"), Adopted on 16 December 1966 by General Assembly Resolution 2200A (XXI), entry into force 23 March 1976.
- Convention on the Elimination of All forms of Discrimination Against Women, 18 December 1979, United Nations, Treaty Series, VI. 1249, p. 13.
- CEDAW Committee General Recommendation No. 19: Violence against women 1992.
- Convention on the Rights of the Child, 20 November 1989, Treaty Series 1577, 3.
- Optional Protocol to the Convention on the Rights of the Child on a Communication Procedure, Adopted and opened for signature, ratification and accession by General Assembly resolution A/RES/66/138 of 19 December 2011.

General Comments/ Recommendations

Human Rights Committee

- ICCPR General Comment No. 17: Article 24 (Rights of the Child), 7 April 1989

CRC Committee

- UN Committee on the Rights of the Child, *General Guidelines for Periodic Reports*, UN Doc CRC/C/58, 20 November 1996, para 9
- General comment no. 5 (2003): General measures of implementation of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, CRC/GC/2003/5, 27 November 2003

General comment No. 10 (2007): Children's Rights in Juvenile Justice CRC/C/GC/10
25 April 2007

General comment NO. 11 (2009) Indigenous children and their rights under the
Convention, CRC/C/GC/11, 12 February 2009

General comment No. 12 (2009): The right of the child to be heard CRC/C/GC/12,
20 July 2009

General comment No. 13 (2011) The right of the child to freedom from all forms of
violence, CRC/C/GC/13, 18 April 2011

General comment No. 14 (2013) on the right of the child to have his or her best interests
taken as a primary consideration (art. 3, para. 1), CRC/C/GC/14, 29 May 2013.

General comment No. 20 (2016) on the implementation of the rights of the child
during adolescence, CRC/C/GC/20, 6 December 2016

Joint General Comments

Joint general comment No. 3 (2017) of the Committee on the Protection of the Rights
of All Migrant Workers and Members of Their Families and No. 22 (2017) of the
Committee on the Rights of the Child on the general principles regarding the
human rights of children in the context of international migration, CRC/C/
GC/22 – CMW/C/GC/3, 16 November 2017

Joint general recommendation No. 31 of the Committee on the Elimination of
Discrimination against Women/general comment No. 18 of the Committee on
the Rights of the Child (2019) on harmful practices, CEDAW/C/GC/31/REV.1
– CRC/C/GC/18/Rev.1

International Labour Organisation

C138 – Minimum Age Convention, 1973 (No. 138);

R146 – Minimum Age Recommendation, 1973 (No. 146);

C182 – Worst Forms of Child Labour Convention, 1999 (No. 182);

R190 – Worst Forms of Child Labour Recommendation, 1999 (No. 190).

Council of Europe

European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Free-
doms, as amended by Protocols Nos. 11 and 14, ETS 5, 4 November 1950.

Protocol No. 15 amending the Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and
Fundamental Freedoms, Strasbourg, 24 June 2013, Council of Europe Treaty
Series – No. 213.

Council of Europe Convention on preventing and combating violence against
women and domestic violence (CETS No. 210), in force since 01 August 2014.

The European Union (EU laws)

Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union (hereinafter the "EU Charter"
or the "Charter"), 2000/C 364/01, OJ C/364/1, 18 December 2000.

Council Framework Decision of 13 June 2002 on the European arrest warrant and
the surrender procedures between Member States (the "(EAW) Framework Deci-
sion"), Published in the Official Journal of the European Union L 190, 18 July
2002 p. 0001 – 0020.

Council Regulation (EC) No 2201/2003 of 27 November 2003 concerning jurisdiction
and the recognition and enforcement of judgments in matrimonial matters and
the matters of parental responsibility, repealing Regulation (EC) No 1347/2000
("Brussels II bis (Regulation)), Published in the Official Journal of the European
Union of L 338 of 23 December 2003, pp. P. 0001 – 0029.

- Directive 2004/38/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 29 April 2004 on the right of citizens of the Union and their family members to move and reside freely within the territory of the Member States amending Regulation (EEC) No 1612/68 and repealing Directives 64/221/EEC, 68/360/EEC, 72/194/EEC, 73/148/EEC, 75/34/EEC, 75/35/EEC, 90/364/EEC, 90/365/EEC and 93/96/EEC (the “Citizens’ Directive” or the “CD”), Published in the Official Journal of the European Union L 158, 30.4.2004, p. 77-123.
- Proposal for a Council Regulation of [...] establishing a procedure for the negotiation and conclusion of bilateral agreements between Member States and third countries concerning sectoral matters and covering jurisdiction, recognition and enforcement of judgments and decisions in matrimonial matters, parental responsibility and maintenance obligations, and applicable law in matters relating to maintenance obligations /* COM/2008/0894 final – CNS 2008/0266 */ , Brussels, 19 December 2008.
- Council Regulation (EC) No 664/2009 of 7 July 2009 establishing a procedure for the negotiation and conclusion of agreements between Member States and third countries concerning jurisdiction, recognition and enforcement of judgments and decisions in matrimonial matters, matters of parental responsibility and matters relating to maintenance obligations, and the law applicable to matters relating to maintenance obligations, OJ L200/46, 31 July 2009.
- Protocol No 22 on the position of Denmark, annexed to the TEU and to the TFEU, Published in the Official Journal of the European Union OJ C 326, 26.10.2012, p. 299-303.
- The Regulation (EU) No 604/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 June 2013 establishing the criteria and mechanisms for determining the Member State responsible for examining an application for international protection lodged in one of the Member States by a third-country national or a stateless person (recast) (the Dublin III Regulation), Published in the Official Journal of the European Union L 180/31 of 29 June 2013.
- Explanations relating to the Charter of Fundamental Rights, OJ C 303/17, 14 December 2017
- Directive 2011/95/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 13 December 2011 on standards for the qualification of third-country nationals or stateless persons as beneficiaries of international protection, for a uniform status for refugees or for persons eligible for subsidiary protection, and for the content of the protection granted, Published in the Official Journal of the European Union L 337 of 20 December 2011, pp. 9-26.
- Directive 2013/32/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 June 2013 on common procedures for granting and withdrawing international protection, Published in the Official Journal of the European Union of 29 June 2013, p. 60-95.
- Regulation (EU) no 604/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 June 2013 establishing the criteria and mechanisms for determining the Member State responsible for examining an application for international protection lodged in one of the Member States by a third-country national or a stateless person (recast), Published in the Official Journal of the European Union of 26 June 2013, pp. 31-59.
- Council Regulation (EU) 2019/1111 of 25 June 2019 on jurisdiction, the recognition and enforcement of decisions in matrimonial matters and the matters of parental responsibility, and on international child abduction (recast) (the “Brussels II *ter* (Regulation)”), Published in the Official Journal of the European Union L 178 of 2 July 2019, pp. 1-115.

Agreement on the withdrawal of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland from the European Union and the European Atomic Energy Community 2019/C 384 I/01, Published in the Official Journal of the European Union C 384I, 12.11.2019, p. 1-177.

Hague Conference: Conventions

Hague Convention of 25 October 1980 on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction

Convention of 19 October 1996 on Jurisdiction, Applicable Law, Recognition, Enforcement and Co-operation in respect of Parental Responsibility and Measures for the Protection of Children

The Convention of 23 November 2007 on the International Recovery of Child Support and Other Forms of Family Maintenance

Hague Conference: Other documents

Pérez-Vera, E. (1982). Explanatory report on the 1980 Hague child abduction convention. Netherlands: HCCH Publications.

Overall Conclusions of the Special Commission of October 1989 on the operation of the Hague Convention of 25 October 1980 on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction, 26 October 1989.

Questionnaire concerning the practical operation of the Convention and views on possible recommendations drawn up by William Duncan, Deputy Secretary General, Preliminary Document no 1, for the attention of the Special Commission of March 2001 October 2000, available at < <https://assets.hcch.net/docs/eb5e4390-834f-4283-83c3-3032c30c71bb.pdf>>.

Checklist of issues raised and recommendations made in response to the Questionnaire concerning the practical operation of the Hague Convention of 25 October 1980 on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction (Prel. Doc. No 1), drawn up by the Permanent Bureau Preliminary Document no 5, March 2001.

Questionnaire concerning the practical operation of the Hague Convention of 25 October 1980 on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction and the Hague Convention of 19 October 1996 on Jurisdiction, Applicable Law, Recognition, Enforcement and Co-Operation in Respect of Parental Responsibility and Measures for the Protection of Children (Prel. Doc. No 1 of November 2010).

Guide to Good Practice under the HCCH 1980 Child Abduction Convention, which deals with a crucial provision of the Convention: Article 13(1)(b) (the grave risk of harm exception), Published by The Hague Conference on Private International Law – HCCH Permanent Bureau, 2020 (Guide to Good Practice Article 13(1)(b)).

Nigel V. Lowe, Sarah Armstrong, & Anest Mathias, A Statistical Analysis of Applications Made in 1999 Under the Hague Convention of 25 October 1980 on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction, Prel. Doc. No. 3 (rev. version, Nov 2001).

A statistical analysis of applications made in 2003 under the Hague Convention of 25 October 1980 on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction, 2007 update (Prel. Doc. No 3, Part I, of September 2008).

Lowe and Victoria Stephens, A statistical analysis of applications made in 2015 under the Prel. Doc. No 11 A of September 2017 – Part I — A statistical analysis of applications made in 2015 under the *Hague Convention of 25 October 1980 on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction* — Global Report.

- N. Lowe and V. Stevens, Goba Report – Statistical study of applications made in 2021 under the 1980 Child Abduction Convention, Preliminary document 19A, October 2023
- Preliminary Document no 5 of January 2023.
- Preliminary document no 16 Prel. Doc. No 16 of August 2023 – Discussion paper on international child abduction return applications where the taking parent lodged a parallel asylum claim.

Reports, studies

- Reunite, The outcomes for children returned following an abduction a report by the Reunite Research Unit Funded by The Foreign and Commonwealth Office, September 2003.
- United Nations Recommendations on Statistics of International Migration, Series M, No. 58, Rev, 1, 1998
- UNHCR Guidelines on International Protection No. 8: Child Asylum Claims under Articles 1(A)2 and 1(F) of the 1951 Convention and/or 1967 Protocol relating to the Status of Refugees, 22 December 2009, HCR/GIP/09/08
- ECRE report: The length of asylum procedures in Europe, October 2016, Council of Europe, Committee on Migration, Refugees and Population, Report 'Protecting migrant women in the labour market', Doc. 12549 24 March 2011
- European Parliament, Cross-border parental child abduction in the European Union, Study for the LIBE Committee, Brussels, 2015.
- European Parliament, Children On the Move: A Private International Law Perspective, Directorate General for Internal Policies of the Union, Policy Department for Citizens' Rights and Constitutional Affairs, PE 583.15, 8- June 2017.
- IOM World Migration Report 2022.

CRC Committee Views

- CRC Committee 11 July 2018, no. 35/2017 (*K.A.B. v. Germany*)
- CRC Committee 24 October 2018, no. 12/2017 (*C.E. v. Belgium*)
- CRC Committee 15 May 2019, no. 13/2017 (*J.S.H.R. v. Spain*)
- CRC Committee, 12 March 2020, no. 30/2017 (*N.R. v. Paraguay*)
- CRC Committee 20 June 2022, no. 121/2020 (*N.E.R.Á. on behalf of J.M. v. Chile*)
- CRC Committee 12 September 2022, no. 94/2019 (*W.W. and S.W. v. Ireland*)

ECtHR Judgments

- ECtHR 10 July 1978, no. 8030/77 (*Confédération Française Démocratique du Travail v. the European Communities, alternatively: their Member States a) jointly and b) severally(dec.)*)
- ECtHR 28 May 1985, nos. 9214/80 9473/81 9474/81 (*Abdulaziz, Cabales and Balkandali v. The United Kingdom*)
- ECtHR 9 December 1987, no. 11123/84 (*Etienne Tete v. France*)
- ECtHR 21 June 1988, no. 10730/84 (*Berrehab v. The Netherlands*)
- ECtHR 7 July 1989, no. 14038/88 (*Soering v. The United Kingdom*)c
- ECtHR 21 February 1990, no. 9310/81 (*Powell and Rayner v. The United Kingdom*)
- ECtHR 20 March 1991, no. 15576/89 (*Cruz Varas and Others v. Sweden*)
- ECtHR 15 November 1996, no. 17862/91 (*Cantoni v. France*)
- ECtHR 4 September 1996 no. 26376/95 (*Catherine Irene Laylle v. Germany (dec.)*)
- ECtHR 18 February 1999 no. 24833/94 (*Matthews v. The United Kingdom*),
- ECtHR 25 January 2000, no. 31679/96 (*Ignaccolo-Zenide v. Romania*)

- ECtHR 11 July 2000, no 29192/95 (*Ciliz v. The Netherlands*)
- ECtHR 13 July 2000 nos. 39221/98 and 41963/98 (*Scozzari and Giunta v. Italy* [GC])
- ECtHR 5 October 2000, no. 39652/98 (*Maaouia v. France* (GC))
- ECtHR 2 August 2001, no. 54273/00 (*Boultif v. Switzerland*)
- ECtHR 21 November 2001, no. 35763/97 (*Al-Adsani v. the United Kingdom* [GC])
- ECtHR 5 February 2002, no. 51564/99 (*Conka v. Belgium*)
- ECtHR 5 March 2002, no. 56673/00 (*Iglesias Gil and A.U.I. v. Spain*)
- ECtHR 31 October 2002, no. 37295/97 (*Yildiz v. Austria*)
- ECtHR 25 March 2003, no. 41226/98 (*I.M. v. The Netherlands* (dec.))
- ECtHR 15 May 2003, no. 4065/04 (*Paradis and others v. Germany* (dec))
- ECtHR 26 June 2003, no. 48206/99 (*Maire v. Portugal*)
- ECtHR 2 September 2003, no. 56838/00 (*Guichard v. France*(Dec))
- ECtHR 4 December 2003, no. 39272/98 (*M.C. v. Bulgaria*)
- ECtHR 5 April 2005, no. 71099/01 (*Monory v. Romania and Hungary*)
- ECtHR 16 December 2005, no. 14600/05 (*Eskinazi and Chelouche*(dec))
- ECtHR 30 June 2005, no. 45036/98 (*Bosphorus Hava Yollari Turizm ve Ticaret Anonim Sirketi v. Ireland*)
- ECtHR, 31 January 2006, no. 50435/99 (*Rodrigues da Silva and Hoogkamer v. The Netherlands*)
- ECtHR 22 June 2006, no. 7548/04 (*Bianchi v. Switzerland*)
- ECtHR 6 July 2006, no. 13594/03 (*Priya v. Denmark* (dec))
- ECtHR 27 July 2006, no. 7198/04 (*Iosub Caras*)
- ECtHR 10 October 2006, 16931/04 (*Cooperative des agriculteurs de Mayenne and Cooperative Laitière Maine-Anjou v. France* (Dec))
- ECtHR 18 October 2006, no. 46410/99 (*Üner v. The Netherlands*)
- ECtHR 12 November 2006, no. 41092/06 (*Mattenklott v. Germany* (dec))
- ECtHR 6 December 2007, 39388/05 (*Maumousseau and Washington v. France*)
- ECtHR 8 January 2008, no 8677/03 (*P.P. v. Poland*)
- ECtHR 4 February 2008, no 7239/08, (*Van den Berg and Sarri v. The Netherlands* (dec))
- ECtHR 3 June 2008, no. 19055/05 (*Deak v. Romania and the United Kingdom*)
- ECtHR 6 November 2008, no 49492/06 (*Carlson v. Switzerland*)
- ECtHR 8 January 2009, no 41615/07 (*Neulinger and Shuruk v. Switzerland* (Chamber))
- ECtHR 20 January 2009, no. 13645/05 (*Cooperatieve Producentenorganisatie Van De Nederlandse Kokkelvisserij U.A. v. The Netherlands*)
- ECtHR 22 September 2009, no. 30471/08 (*Abdolkhani et Karimnia v. Turkey*)
- ECtHR 13 October 2009, no. 37395/08 (*Bayerlv Germany* (dec))
- ECtHR 24 April 2010, no. 7354/10 (*Levadna v. Ukraine* (dec))
- ECtHR 7 May 2010, no. 26755/10, (*Lipkowsky and Dawn McCormack v. Germany* (dec))
- ECtHR 6 July 2010, no. 41615/07 (*Neulinger and Shuruk v. Switzerland* (GC))
- ECtHR 26 October 2010, no. 25437/08 (*Raban v. Romania*)
- ECtHR 2 November 2010, no 31515/04 (*Serghides v. Poland*)
- ECtHR 21 January 2011, no. 30696/09 (*M.S.S. v. Belgium and Greece* [GC])
- ECtHR 1 February 2011, no. 23205/08 (*Karoussiotis v. Portugal*)
- ECtHR, 28 June 2011 no. 55597/09 (*Nunez v. Norway*)
- ECtHR 26 July 2011, no. 6457/09 (*Shaw v. Hungary*)
- ECtHR 6 September 2011, no. 8984/11 (*Tarkhova v. Ukraine*)
- ECtHR 13 December 2011, no 27853/09 (*X v. Latvia* (Chamber))
- ECtHR 18 October 2011, no. 24147/11 (*I. v. the Netherlands* (dec.))
- ECtHR 20 December 2011, no. 6222/10 (*A.H. Khan v. The United Kingdom*)
- ECtHR 2 February 2012, no. 9152/09 (*I.M. v. France*)

- ECtHR 21 February 2012, no. 16965/10 (*Karrer v. Romania*)
ECtHR 5 April 2012, no. 3684/07 (*Stromblad v. Sweden*)
ECtHR 15 May 2012, no. 13420/12 (*M.R. and L.R. v. Estonia (dec)*)
ECtHR 10 July 2012, 4320/11 (*B. v. Belgium*)
ECtHR 6 December 2012, no. 12323/11 (*Michaud v. France*)
ECtHR 17 January 2013, no. 61680/10 (*Chabrowski v. Ukraine*)
ECtHR 7 March 2013, 10131/11 (*Raw and others v. France*)
ECtHR 16 April 2013, no. 12020/09 (*Udeh v. Switzerland*)
ECtHR 18 June 2013, no. 3890/11 (*Povse v. Austria (dec)*).
ECtHR 25 June 2013, no. 5968/09 (*Anghel v. Italy*)
ECtHR 5 September 2013, no. 886/11 (*K.A.B. v. Sweden*)
ECtHR 26 November 2013, no. 27853/09 (*X v. Latvia (GC)*)
ECtHR 25 March 2014, no. 59297/12 (*M.G. v. Bulgaria*)
ECtHR 3 June 2014, no. 10280/12 (*López Guió v. Slovakia*)
ECtHR 1 July 2014, no. 54443/10 (*Blaga v. Romania*)
ECtHR 7 October 2014, no. 15069/08 (*Loy v. Ger*)
ECtHR 23 October 2014, 61362/12 (*V.P. v. Russia*)
ECtHR 4 November 2014, no. 29217/12 (*Tarakhel v. Switzerland*)
ECtHR 13 January 2015, no. 35632/13 (*Hoholm v. Slovakia*)
ECtHR 15 January 2015, no. 4097/13 (*M.A. v. Austria*)
ECtHR 12 March 2015, no. 22643/14, (*Adzic v. Croatia*)
ECtHR 21 July 2015, no. 2361/13, (*G.S. v. Georgia*)
ECtHR 10 September 2015, no. 4601/14 (*R.H. v. Sweden*)
ECtHR 3 December 2015 no. 10161/13 (*M and M v. Croatia*)
ECtHR 14 January 2016, no. 30955/12 (*Mandet v. France*)
ECtHR 23 May 2016, no. 17502/07 (*Avotins v. Latvia*)
ECtHR 25 June 2016, nos. 40324/16 and 12623/17 (*Bivolaru and Moldovan v. France*)
ECtHR 19 July 2016, no. 2171/14, (*G.N. v. Poland*)
ECtHR 1 March 2016 no. 30813/14, (*K.J. v. Poland*)
ECtHR 18 October 2016, no. 49437/14 (*Akdag v. The Netherlands (dec)*)
ECtHR 21 September 2017, no. 53661/15 (*Severe v. Austria*)
ECtHR 3 October 2017, no. 23022/13 (*D.M.D. v. Romania*)
ECtHR 1 February 2018, no. 51312/16 (*M.K.v Greece*)
ECtHR 1 March 2018, no. 58681/12 (*T.C.E. v. Germany*)
ECtHR 6 March 2018, no. 9114/16 (*Royer v. Hungary*)
ECtHR 12 June 2018, nos. 42825/17 and 66857/17 (*Roche v. Malta (dec)*)
ECtHR 23 October 2018, no. 25593/14 (*Assem Hassan Ali v. Denmark*)
ECtHR 21 May 2019, no. 49450/17 (*O.C.I. v. Romania*)
ECtHR 26 March 2019, no. 37043/16 (*Nedelcu v. Romania (dec)*)
ECtHR 2 May 2019, no. 19601/16 (*Adžić v. Croatia (no2)*)
ECtHR 18 June 2019, no. 15122/17 (*Ushakov v. Russia*)
ECtHR 18 June 2019, no. 16572/17, (*Haddad v. Spain*)
ECtHR 9 July 2019, no. 8351/17, (*Romeo Castaño v. Belgium*)
ECtHR 19 September 2019, 79441/17 (*Andersena v. Latvia*)
ECtHR 14 January 2020, no. 10926/09 (*Rinau v. Lithuania*)
ECtHR 7 July 2020, no. 9256/19 (*Voica v. Romania*)
ECtHR 7 July 2020, no. 10395/19 (*Michnea v. Romania*)
ECtHR 1 December 2020, no. 61984/17 (*Makhmudova v. Russia*)
ECtHR 28 January 2021, no. 12354/19, (*Satanovska and Rodges v. Ukraine*)
ECtHR 25 March 2021 nos. 40324/16 and 12623/17, (*Bivolaru and Moldovan v. France*)

ECtHR 30 March 2021, 36048/17 (*Thompson v. Russia*)
 ECtHR 1 April 2021, no 16202/14 (*M.V. v. Poland*)
 ECtHR 15 June 2021, no 17665/17 (*Y.S. and O.S. v. Russia*)
 ECtHR 11 January 2022, no 34176/18 (*E.D. v. Russia*)
 ECtHR 3 May 2022, no 30560/19 (*P.D. v. Russia*)
 ECtHR 17 March 2022, no. 80606/17 (*Moga v. Poland*)
 ECtHR 12 May 2022, no. 64886/19 (*X. v. The Czech Republic*)
 ECHR 17 May 2022, no 20425/20 (*Loiry v. Romania*);
 ECtHR 7 June 2022, no. 29601/20 (*C.-A.D. and L.-C.D. v. Russia*)
 ECtHR 7 February 2023, no. 39298/20 (*Ciocirlan v. Romania* (dec))
 ECtHR 04 May 2023, no. 28982/19 (*Baharov v. Ukraine*)
 ECtHR 6 June 2023, no. 12083/20 (*Viotto v. Moldova*)
 ECtHR 13 June 2023, no. 57202/21 (*Kukavika v. Bulgaria*)
 ECtHR 9 May 2023, no. 46263/20 (*Bercuci v. Romania*(dec))
 ECtHR 28 November 2023, no. 30129/21 (*Ghazaryan v. Armenia*)
 ECtHR 7 February 2023, no. 39298/20 (*Ciocirlan v. Romania* (dec))
 ECtHR 04 May 2023, no. 28982/19 (*Baharov v. Ukraine*)
 ECtHR 6 June 2023, no. 12083/20 (*Viotto v. Moldova*)
 ECtHR 13 June 2023, no. 57202/21 (*Kukavika v. Bulgaria*)
 ECtHR 9 May 2023, no. 46263/20 (*Bercuci v. Romania*(dec))
 ECtHR 28 November 2023, no. 30129/21 (*Ghazaryan v. Armenia*)
 ECtHR 28 March 2024, no. 19664/20 (*Verhoeven v. France*)
 ECtHR 16 April 2024, no. 10772/21 (*Fernandes de Arauso v. Romania v. Romania*).

CJEU

ECJ 28 March 1996, C 2/94, ECLI:EU:C:1996:140 (Opinion 2/94; Accession by the Community to the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms)
 ECJ 18 December 2014, C 2/13, ECLI:EU:C:2014:2454 (Advisory Opinion 2/2013)
 ECJ 5 February 1963, C-26/62, ECLI:EU:C:1963:1 (*Van Gend en Loos*)
 ECJ judgment of 15 July 1964 Case 6/64, ECLI:EU:C:1964:66 (*Costa v. Enel*)
 ECJ 12 November 1969, C-29/69, ECLI:EU:C:1969:57 (*Stauder v. City of Ulm*)
 ECJ 17 December 1970, C-11/70, ECLI:EU:C:1970:114 (*Internationale Handesgesellschaft*)
 ECJ 9 March 1978, C-106/77, [1978] ECR I 0629 (*Simmmenthal*)
 ECJ 5 March 1996, Joined Cases C-46/93 and 48/93, ECLI:EU:C:1996:79 (*Brasserie du Pêcheur SA*)
 ECJ 7 September 2002, C-413/99, ECLI:EU:C:2002:493 (*Baumbast and R v. Secretary of State for the Home Department*)
 ECJ 19 October 2004, C-20/02 ECLI:EU:C:2004:639 (*Kunqian Catherine Zhu and Man Lavette Chen/Secretary of State for the Home Department*)
 ECJ 27 November 2007, C-435/06, ECLI:EU:C:2007:714 (C.)
 ECJ 11 July 2008, C-195/08 PPU, ECLI:EU:C:2008:406 (*Rinau*)
 ECJ 2 April 2009, C-523/07, ECLI:EU:C:2009:225 (A.)
 CJEU, 23 December 2009, C-403/09 PPU, ECLI:EU:C:2009:810 (*Detiček/Sgueglia*)
 CJEU 23 February 2010, C-480/08, ECLI:EU:C:2010:83, (*Maria Teixeira v. London Borough of Lambeth and Secretary of State for the Home Department*)
 CJEU 1 July 2010, C-211/10, ECLI:EU:C:2010:400 (*Povse/Alpago*)
 CJEU 5 October 2010, C-400/10 PPU, ECLI:EU:C:2010:582 (*J.McB./L.E*)
 CJEU 22 December 2010, C-497/10 PPU, ECLI:EU:C:2010:829 (*Mercredi/Chaffe*)
 CJEU 22 December 2010, C-491/10 PPU, ECLI:EU:C:2010:828 (*Aguirre Zarraga/Pelz*)

- CJEU 8 March 2011, C-34/09 ECLI:EU:C:2011:124 (Gerardo Ruiz Zambrano/Office national de l'emploi)
- CJEU 15 November 2011, C-256/11, ECLI:EU:C:2011:734 (Murat Dereci and Others/Bundesministerium für Inneres)
- CJEU 21 December 2011 Joined Cases C-411, 493/10, ECLI:EU:C:2011:865 (N.S. and Others/Secretary of State for the Home Department)
- CJEU 8 November 2012, C-40/11, ECLI:EU:C:2012:691 (Yoshikazu Iida/Stadt Ulm)
- CJEU 6 December 2012, C-356/11, ECLI:EU:C:2012:776 (O and S v. Maahanmuuttovirasto and Maahanmuuttovirasto /L)
- CJEU 26 February 2013, C-399/11, ECLI:EU:C:2013:107 (Stefano Melloni v. Ministerio Fiscal)
- CJEU 26 February 2013 C-617/10, ECLI:EU:C:2013:105 (Åklagaren/Hans Åkerberg Fransson)
- CJEU 8 May 2013, C-529/11, ECLI:EU:C:2013:290 (Olaitan Ajoke Alarape and Olukayode Azeez Tijani/Secretary of State for the Home Department)
- CJEU 6 June 2013, C-648/11, ECLI:EU:C:2013:367 (The Queen on the application of MA, BT, DA v. Secretary of State for the Home Department)
- CJEU 9 October 2014, C-376/14, ECLI:EU:C:2014:2268 (C./M.)
- CJEU 18 December 2014, C-562/13, ECLI:EU:C:2014:2453 (Centre public d'action sociale d'Ottignies-Louvain-La-Neuve/Moussa Abdida)
- CJEU 9 January 2015, C-498/14 PPU, ECLI:EU:C:2015:3 (Bradbrooke/Aleksandrowicz)
- CJEU 21 October 2015, C-215/15, ECLI:EU:C:2015:710 (Gogova/Iliev)
- CJEU 17 December 2015, C-239/14, ECLI:EU:C:2015:824 (Abdoulaye Amadou Tall/Centre public d'action sociale de Huy (CPAS de Huy))
- CJEU 5 April 2016, Joined Cases C-404/15 and C-659/15 ECLI:EU:C:2016:198 (Pál Aranyosi and Robert Căldăraru v. Generalstaatsanwaltschaft Bremen)
- CJEU 6 September 2016, C-182/15, ECLI:EU:C:2016:630 (Aleksei Petruhhin/Latvijas Republikas Ģenerālprokuratūra)
- CJEU 16 February 2017, C-578/16 PPU, ECLI:EU:T:2017:590 (C.K. and Others v. Republika Slovenija)
- CJEU 10 May 2017, C-133/15, ECLI:EU:C:2017:354 (H.C. Chavez-Vilchez and Others v. Raad van bestuur van de Sociale verzekeringsbank and Others)
- CJEU 8 June 2017, C-111/17 PPU, ECLI:EU:C:2017:436 (O.L./P.Q.)
- CJEU 19 September 2018, C-325/18 and C-375/18 PPU, ECLI:EU:C:2018:739 (Hampshire County Council/C.E. N.E.)
- CJEU 8 May 2018, C-82/16, ECLI:EU:C:2018:308 (K.A. and Others v. Belgische Staat)
- CJEU 19 June 2018, C-181/16, ECLI:EU:C:2018:465 (Sadikou Gnandi/État belge)
- CJEU 17 October 2018, C-393/18 PPU, ECLI:EU:C:2018:835 (U.D. v. X.B.)
- CJEU 19 March 2019, Joined Cases C-297/17, C-318/17, C-319/17, C-438/17, ECLI:EU:C:2019:219 (Bashar Ibrahim and Others v. Bundesrepublik Deutschland and Bundesrepublik Deutschland/Taus Magamadov)
- CJEU 2 October 2019, C-93/18, ECLI:EU:C:2019:809 (Ermira Bajratar v. Secretary of State for the Home Department)
- CJEU 2 April 2020, C-897/19, ECLI:EU:C:2020:262 (Ruska Federacija/I.N.)
- CJEU 30 September 2020, C-402/19, ECLI:EU:C:2020:759 (LM/Centre public d'action sociale de Seraing)
- CJEU 19 November 2020, C-454/19, ECLI:EU:C:2020:947 (Z.W.)
- CJEU 24 March 2021, C-603/20 PPU, ECLI:EU:C:2021:231 (SS/MCP)
- CJEU 2 August 2021, C-262/21 PPU, ECLI:EU:C:2021:640 (A./B.)

CJEU 12 May 2022, C-644/20, ECLI:EU:C:2022:371 (W.J./L.J. and J.J.)
 CJEU 31 January 2023, Case C-158/21 ECLI:EU:C:2023:57 (Puig Gordi and Others)
 CJEU 16 February 2023, C-638/22, ECLI:EU:C:2023:103 (T.C.)
 CJEU 13 July 2023, C-87/22, ECLI:EU:C:2023:571 (TT/AK)

Views/Opinions Advocate Generals

CJEU 16 June 2010, C-211/10, ECLI:EU:C:2010:344 (Povse/Alpago), View of AG Sharpston
 CJEU 6 December 2010, C-497/10 PPU, ECLI:EU:C:2010:738 (Mercredi/Chaffe), View AG Cruz Villalon
 CJEU 14 July 2021, C-262/21 PPU, ECLI:EU:C:2021:592 (A/B), Opinion of Advocate General
 CJEU 19 October 2023, C-352/22, ECLI:EU:C:2023:794 (Generalstaatsanwaltschaft Hamm (Demande d'extradition d'un réfugié vers la Turquie), Opinion of the Advocate General Richard de la Tour

National Judgments

Belgium

TPI Bruxelles, 2004, N. 03/3585/A

Australia

Townsend & Director-General, Department of Families, Youth and Community (1999) 24 Fam LR 495, [1999] FamCA 285, (1999) FLC 92-842
 Director-General, Department of Families, Youth and Community Care v. Thorpe (1997) FLC 92-785, [1997] FamCA 45

Canada

Central Authority of Victoria v. Ardito, 29 October 1997
 Katsigiannis v. Kottick-Katsigiannis (2001), 55 O.R. (3d) 456 (C.A.)
 A.M.R.I. v. K.E.R., 2011 ONCA 417
 Garcia Perez v. Polet, AF 14-30-08222, 10 September 2014
 2012 QCCA 21, Cour D'Appel, Quebec; Cour de Cassation, Chambre Civile, purvoi 14-17493, 19 novembre 2014
 Office of the Children's Lawyer v. Balev, 2018 SCC 16, [2018] 1 S.C.R. 398
 Ludwig v. Ludwig, 2019 ONCA 680
 Habimana v. Mukundwa, 2019 ONSC 1781
 Wallace v. Williamson 2020 ONSC 1376
 Sabeahat v. Sabihat, 2020 ONSC 2784
 F.v.N., 2022 SCC 51, 2 December 2022
 L v. R, 2022 ONCA 582 HC/E/CA 1534

France

Cour d'Appel Paris 27 October 2005, 05/15032
 Cour d'Appel Poitiers, 6 mai 2009, No de RG 09/00305, HC/E/FR 1134
 Court de Cassation, chambre civile, 14-17493, 19 November 2014
 Cour d'Appel Versailles, 2e chambre, 1re section, 24 November 2016, no 16/05302

Germany

AG Pankow / Weißensee -13 F 8440/19 – 31 January 2020, HC/E/DE 1473

Ireland

2009 9 HLC, Ireland High Court

[2018] IEHC 316

C v. G, SC 419/03

Israel

Caro v. Sher 687 A.2d 354,

FC 10701-04-20 M.B.R. v. Y.R, HC/E/IL 1466

Japan

2019 (Ra) No. 636 Appeal case against an order to return the child; HC/E/JP 1527

New Zealand

Secretary for Justice (New Zealand Central Authority) v. HJ [2006] NZSC 97, [2007] 2 NZRL 289

Simpson v. Hamilton CA398/2018 [2019] NZCA 579, HC/E/NZ 1484

CA/743/2018 [2020] NZCA 209, 3 April 2020, HC/E/NZ 1451

South Africa

Case no 238/03

Case no 6090/05

Sonderup v. Tondelli 2001 (1) SA 1171 (CC)

Spain

Re S., Auto de 21 abril de 1997, Audiencia Provincial Barcelona, Sección 1a (INCA-DAT cite HC/E/ES 244)

Switzerland

5A_105/2009 Swiss Federal Tribunal, 16 April 2009

Federal Supreme Court 5A_437/2021, 8 September 2021, HC/E/CH 1523

United States

Friedrich v. Freidrich, 983 F.2d 1396, 1401 (6th Cir. 1993)

Walsh v. Walsh, 221 F.3d 204 (1st Cir. 2000)

Mozes v. Mozes 239 F 3rd 1067 (9th Circuit 2001)

Furnes v. Reeves, 362 F.3d 702, 723 (11th Cir. 2004)

Mendoza v. Mendoza, No. 08-55067, 18 March 2009 (United States Court of Appeal for the Ninth Circuit)

Abbott v. Abbott, 130 S. Ct. 1983 (2010)

Lozano v. Montoya Alvarez, 134 S. Ct. 1224, 1236 (2014)

Sanchez, No 12-50783, 1 August 2014

Monasky v. Taglieri, 589 U.S. ____ (2020), 25 February 2020.

Brief of Amicus Curiae per Reunite International Child Abduction Centre in support of neither party (on Writ of Certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit) (August 21, 2019)

United Kingdom

- H and others (Minors) (Abduction: Acquiescence) [1998] A.C. 72 (H.L.)
 [2001] EWCA Civ 2092
 Re S (Children) (Abduction: Asylum Appeal) [2002] EWCA Civ 843
 PW v. AL [2003] ScotCS 176
 W. v. W. 2003 SCLR 478, HK/e/Uks 508
 Canon v. Canon [2004] EWCA Civ 1331
 Re C (A Child), [2006] EWHC 1229 (Fam) (United Kingdom High Court of Justice, Family Division)
 Re M. (Children) (Abduction: Rights of Custody) [2007] UKHL 55, [2008] 1 AC 1288;
 Re F. (Children) (Abduction: Removal Outside Jurisdiction) [2008] EWCA Civ. 854, [2008] 2 F.L.R. 1649
 Re (E) (Children) (FC) [2011] UKSC 27, 10 June 2011
 Re S (A Child) (Abduction: Rights of Custody) [2012] UKSC 10 [6]
 RE Y 2013(EWCA CIV [2013] 2 FLR 649 [2013] 2 FLR 649
 A v. A and Another (Children: Habitual residence) Reunite International Child Abduction Centre intervening) [2013] LC (Children) [2014] UKSC 60
 LC (Children) (No 2) [2014] UKSC 1, 15 January 2014 On appeal from [2013] EWCA Civ 1058
 Re K (A Child)(Reunite International Child Abduction Centre Intervening [2014] UKSC 29
 Re H (A Child) [2016] EWCA Civ 988
 [2017] EWHC 3654 (Fam)
 J (A Child) (Finland) (Habitual Residence) [2017] EWCA Civ 80.
 F and M and A and the Secretary of State for the Home Department Joint Counsel for the Welfare of Immigrants, [2017] EWHC 949 (Fam)
 [2018] EWHC 1639 (Fam)
 RE W [2018] EWCA Civ 664
 B (A Child) (Abduction: Habitual Residence) [2020] EWCA Civ 1187, 10 September 2020
 M (Children) (Habitual Residence: 1980 Hague Child Abduction Convention), [2020] EWCA Civ 1105
 [2020] HCKA 317
 G v. G [2021] UKSC 9
 R v. G [2022] EWHC 655 (Fam) HC/E/UKe 1561

Websites/Blogs

- Commentary of the International Child Abduction Database available at <www.incadat.com/index.cfm?act=search.detail&cid=34&lng=1&sl=1>, 8 January 2021
<https://www.hcch.net/en/instruments/conventions/publications1/?dtid=32&cid=24>,
<https://www.hcch.net/en/publications-and-studies/details4/?pid=8520&dtid=33>,
<https://assets.hcch.net/docs/fcb00f53-ba49-4f62-ae79-0f0724b59093.pdf>>>,
<https://www.oas.org/juridico/english/sigs/a-61.html>>>, last accessed on 18 May 2023
<https://www.coe.int/en/web/gender-matters/council-of-europe-convention-on-preventing-and-combating-violence-against-women-and-domestic-violence#:~:text=The%20Convention%20entered%20into%20force,and%20a%20form%20of%20discrimination>>>, last accessed on 18 May 2023.
<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2015/9/contents/enacted>
<https://www.justice.gc.ca/fra/pr-rp/jp-cj/vf-fv/freevf-rfcsfv/p4.html>
<https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/violence-against-women>>,

- <https://research.abdn.ac.uk/poam/>>,
 <<<http://ceflonline.net/parental-responsibility-reports-by-jurisdiction/>>>
http://www.echr.coe.int/NR/rdonlyres/EA6F3298-FE75-48E7-B8A7-F9C5FF5EB710/0/FICHES_Union_Europeenne_EN.pdf,
 <<<https://conflictoflaws.net/2020/a-few-thoughts-on-the-guide-to-good-practice-on-the-grave-risk-exception-art-131b-under-the-child-abduction-convention-through-the-lens-of-human-rights-part-i/>>>
 <<<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/2019/519/regulation/3>>>
 C. Cojocariu, *Silencing the Voices of People with Disabilities: Recent Developments before The European Court Of Human Rights*, 3 December 2014, available at << <https://strasbourgobservers.com/2014/12/03/silencing-the-voices-of-people-with-disabilities-recent-developments-before-the-european-court-of-human-rights/>>>
 M.A.K. Klaassen, The right of residence for non-EU parents of EU citizen children: the Chavez-Vilchez case, 12 May 2017, Leiden Law Blog.
 M.A.K. Klaassen, P. Rodriguez, The Committee on the Rights of the Child on female genital mutilation and non-refoulement, 28 March 2018, Leiden Law Blog.
 M.S. Basi and C.M. Pedreño, *Communication No. 121/2020 N.E.R.Á. on behalf of J.M. v. Chile*, Leiden Children's Rights Observatory, Case note 2022/3, 31 October 2022.
 C. Paul, *Communication No. 94/2019: S.F. on behalf of W.W. and W.F v. Ireland*, Leiden Children's Rights Observatory, Case Note 2023/02, 29 March 2023.