



Universiteit  
Leiden  
The Netherlands

## **Examining the EU reaction to a humanitarian emergency from a network perspective: the response to cyclones Idai and Kenneth**

Bravo Laguna, C.D.

### **Citation**

Bravo Laguna, C. D. (2022). Examining the EU reaction to a humanitarian emergency from a network perspective: the response to cyclones Idai and Kenneth. *Journal Of Common Market Studies*, 61(3), 673-691.  
doi:10.1111/jcms.13402

Version: Not Applicable (or Unknown)

License: [Leiden University Non-exclusive license](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/4249437>

**Note:** To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

## Correction to “Examining the EU Reaction to a Humanitarian Emergency from a Network Perspective: The Response to Cyclones Idai and Kenneth”

Bravo-Laguna, C. (2023) Examining the EU Reaction to a Humanitarian Emergency from a Network Perspective: The Response to Cyclones Idai and Kenneth. *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies*, 61: 673–691. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcms.13402>

Table 3 was presented incorrectly in the published article. Some data in Table 3 were mixed up, with many of the indicators in Models II and III being transferred to Models I and II by mistake.

Below is the correct Table:

Table 3: Exponential Random Graph Models of the network that managed the response to Cyclones Idai and Kenneth. Source: own elaboration.

|   | <i>Model I</i> | <i>Model II</i> | <i>Model III</i> |
|---|----------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Network Density                         | −1.57* (0.64)  | 0.73** (0.27)   | −1.46*** (0.20)  |
| Reciprocity                             | 0.66* (0.28)   | 0.66* (0.28)    | 0.74* (0.30)     |
| Geometrically Weighted In-Degree (0.5)  | −3.90** (1.45) | −4.40*** (1.28) |                  |
| Geometrically Weighted Out-Degree (0.5) | −3.43* (1.53)  | −3.64** (1.37)  |                  |
| Tie EU Actor – Non-EU Govern            | 0.25 (0.82)    |                 |                  |
| Tie IO, Moz & NGOs – Non-EU Govern      | 1.01 (0.68)    |                 |                  |
| Tie Non-EU Govern – EU Actor            | −0.86 (0.99)   |                 |                  |
| Tie EU Actor – EU Actor                 | 1.09 (0.76)    |                 |                  |
| Tie IO, Moz & NGOs – EU Actor           | 1.14 (0.67)    |                 |                  |
| Tie Non-EU Govern – IO, Moz & NGOs      | 0.91 (0.70)    |                 |                  |
| Tie EU Actor – IO, Moz & NGOs           | 1.73* (0.70)   |                 |                  |
| Tie IO, Moz & NGOs – IO, Moz & NGOs     | 2.16** (0.66)  |                 |                  |
| In-degree Non-EU Government             |                | −1.13*** (0.25) |                  |
| In-degree EU Actor                      |                | −1.07*** (0.24) |                  |
| Out-degree Non-EU Government            |                | −1.32*** (0.26) |                  |
| Out-degree EU Actor                     |                | −0.50* (0.25)   |                  |
| Homophily Donor/Recipient               |                | −0.002 (0.19)   | 0.04 (0.19)      |
| Degree Recipient                        |                |                 | 1.05*** (0.15)   |

Significance codes: \*\*\* $p < 0.001$  \*\* $p < 0.01$  \* $p < 0.05$ .

We apologize for this error.