



Universiteit
Leiden

The Netherlands

Separating quantum and classical computing: rigorous proof and practical application

Marshall, S.C.

Citation

Marshall, S. C. (2025, May 27). *Separating quantum and classical computing: rigorous proof and practical application*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/4247215>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/4247215>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Bibliography

- [1] M. A. NIELSEN & I. CHUANG. Quantum computation and quantum information (2002).
- [2] J. PRESKILL. Quantum computing in the NISQ era and beyond. *Quantum*, **2**, 79 (2018).
- [3] S. BRAVYI, G. SMITH & J. A. SMOLIN. Trading classical and quantum computational resources. *Physical Review X*, **6**, 021043 (2016).
- [4] T. PENG, A. W. HARROW, M. OZOLS & X. WU. Simulating large quantum circuits on a small quantum computer. *Physical Review Letters*, **125** (2020).
- [5] K. MITARAI & K. FUJII. Constructing a virtual two-qubit gate by sampling single-qubit operations. *New Journal of Physics*, **23**, 023021 (2021).
- [6] A. EDDINS, M. MOTTA, T. P. GUJARATI, S. BRAVYI, A. MEZZACAPO, C. HADFIELD & S. SHELDON. Doubling the size of quantum simulators by entanglement forging. *PRX Quantum*, **3**, 010309 (2022).
- [7] C. PIVETEAU & D. SUTTER. Circuit knitting with classical communication. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.00016* (2022).

Bibliography

- [8] S. C. MARSHALL, C. GYURIK & V. DUNJKO. High dimensional quantum machine learning with small quantum computers. *Quantum*, **7**, 1078 (2023).
- [9] A. LOWE, M. MEDVIDOVIĆ, A. HAYES, L. J. O'RIORDAN, T. R. BROMLEY, J. M. ARRAZOLA & N. KILLORAN. Fast quantum circuit cutting with randomized measurements. *Quantum*, **7**, 934 (2023).
- [10] H. HARADA, K. WADA & N. YAMAMOTO. Optimal parallel wire cutting without ancilla qubits, arXiv:2303.07340 (2023).
- [11] E. PEDNAULT. An alternative approach to optimal wire cutting without ancilla qubits, arXiv:2303.08287 (2023).
- [12] S. BRAVYI, G. SMITH & J. A. SMOLIN. Trading classical and quantum computational resources. *Physical Review X*, **6**, 021043 (2016).
- [13] M. SIPSER. Introduction to the theory of computation. *ACM Sigact News*, **27**, 27–29 (1996).
- [14] S. AARONSON. The equivalence of sampling and searching. *Theory of Computing Systems*, **55**, 281–298 (2014).
- [15] S. AARONSON & A. ARKHIPOV. The computational complexity of linear optics. In *Proceedings of the forty-third annual ACM symposium on Theory of computing*, pages 333–342 (2011). URL <https://doi.org/10.1145/1993636.1993682>.
- [16] T. BAKER, J. GILL & R. SOLOVAY. Relativizations of the $p=?np$ question. *SIAM Journal on computing*, **4**, 431–442 (1975).
- [17] S. AARONSON & A. ARKHIPOV. The computational complexity of linear optics. In *Proceedings of the forty-third annual ACM symposium on Theory of computing*, pages 333–342 (2011).
- [18] M. J. BREMNER, A. MONTANARO & D. J. SHEPHERD. Average-case complexity versus approximate simulation of commuting quantum computations. *Physical review letters*, **117**, 080501 (2016).
- [19] S. AARONSON. Quantum computing, postselection, and probabilistic polynomial-time. *Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, **461**, 3473–3482 (2005).

- [20] R. O'DONNELL & A. C. SAY. The weakness of ctc qubits and the power of approximate counting. *ACM Transactions on Computation Theory (TOCT)*, **10**, 1–22 (2018).
- [21] K. FUJII, H. KOBAYASHI, T. MORIMAE, H. NISHIMURA, S. TAMATE & S. TANI. Power of quantum computation with few clean qubits. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1509.07276* (2015).
- [22] S. AARONSON, G. KUPERBERG & C. GRANADE. The complexity zoo (2005).
- [23] J. FEIGENBAUM & L. FORTNOW. On the random-self-reducibility of complete sets, university of chicago technical report 90-22. *Computer Science Department*, **20** (1990).
- [24] V. ARVIND & J. KÖBLER. New lowness results for zppnp and other complexity classes. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, **65**, 257–277 (2002).
- [25] S. TODA. Pp is as hard as the polynomial-time hierarchy. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, **20**, 865–877 (1991).
- [26] M. BLUM & S. KANNAN. Designing programs that check their work. *Journal of the ACM (JACM)*, **42**, 269–291 (1995).
- [27] J. KÖBLER & O. WATANABE. New collapse consequences of np having small circuits. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, **28**, 311–324 (1998).
- [28] Y. HAN, L. A. HEMASPAANDRA & T. THIERAUF. Threshold computation and cryptographic security. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, **26**, 59–78 (1997).
- [29] T. MORIMAE. Hardness of classically sampling the one-clean-qubit model with constant total variation distance error. *Physical Review A*, **96**, 040302 (2017).
- [30] D. AHARONOV, X. GAO, Z. LANDAU, Y. LIU & U. VAZIRANI. A polynomial-time classical algorithm for noisy random circuit sampling. In *Proceedings of the 55th Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*, pages 945–957 (2023).
- [31] L. STOCKMEYER. On approximation algorithms for # p. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, **14**, 849–861 (1985).

Bibliography

- [32] L. G. VALIANT & V. V. VAZIRANI. Np is as easy as detecting unique solutions. In *Proceedings of the seventeenth annual ACM symposium on Theory of computing*, pages 458–463 (1985).
- [33] D. ADRIAN, K. BHARGAVAN, Z. DURUMERIC, P. GAUDRY, M. GREEN, J. A. HALDERMAN ET AL. Imperfect forward secrecy: How diffie-hellman fails in practice. In *Proceedings of the 22nd ACM SIGSAC Conference on Computer and Communications Security*, pages 5–17 (2015).
- [34] S. AARONSON. Nsa in p/poly: The power of precomputation (2015). URL <https://scottaaronson.blog/?p=2293>. Published on May 22nd, 2015.
- [35] S. JERBI, C. GYURIK, S. C. MARSHALL, R. MOLTENI & V. DUNJKO. Shadows of quantum machine learning. *Nature Communications*, **15**, 5676 (2024).
- [36] F. J. SCHREIBER, J. EISERT & J. J. MEYER. Classical surrogates for quantum learning models. *Physical Review Letters*, **131**, 100803 (2023).
- [37] J. LANDMAN, S. THABET, C. DALYAC, H. MHIRI & E. KASHEFI. Classically approximating variational quantum machine learning with random fourier features. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.13200* (2022).
- [38] H.-Y. HUANG, M. BROUGHTON, M. MOHSENI, R. BABBUSH, S. BOIXO, H. NEVEN & J. R. MCCLEAN. Power of data in quantum machine learning. *Nature communications*, **12**, 2631 (2021).
- [39] R. GAVALDA. The complexity of learning with queries. In *Proceedings of IEEE 9th Annual Conference on Structure in Complexity Theory*, pages 324–337 (1994).
- [40] I. VOLKOVICH. On learning, lower bounds and (un) keeping promises. In *International Colloquium on Automata, Languages, and Programming*, pages 1027–1038. Springer (2014).
- [41] N. RAJGOPAL & R. SANTHANAM. On the structure of learnability beyond P/Poly. In M. WOOTTERS & L. SANITÀ, editors, *Approximation, Randomization, and Combinatorial Optimization. Algorithms and Techniques (APPROX/RANDOM 2021)*, volume 207 of *Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics (LIPIcs)*, pages 46:1–46:23. Schloss Dagstuhl – Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, Dagstuhl, Germany (2021). Sections 2.4, 3.

- [42] R. GAVALDA. Bounding the complexity of advice functions. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, **50**, 468–475 (1995).
- [43] K.-I. KO & U. SCHÖNING. On circuit-size complexity and the low hierarchy in np. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, **14**, 41–51 (1985).
- [44] J. KÖBLER & T. THIERAUF. Complexity-restricted advice functions. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, **23**, 261–275 (1994).
- [45] N. H. BSHOUTY, R. CLEVE, R. GAVALDÀ, S. KANNAN & C. TAMON. Oracles and queries that are sufficient for exact learning. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, **52**, 421–433 (1996).
- [46] S. AARONSON & A. DRUCKER. A full characterization of quantum advice. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, **43**, 1131–1183 (2014).
- [47] S. AARONSON, G. KUPERBERG & O. HABRYKA. Complexity zoo (2023). URL <https://complexityzoo.net/>. Accessed: date.
- [48] A. MEDUNA. *Turing Transducers*, pages 833–887. Springer London, London (2000). ISBN 978-1-4471-0501-5. URL https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4471-0501-5_11.
- [49] S. AARONSON, H. BUHRMAN & W. KRETSCHMER. A qubit, a coin, and an advice string walk into a relational problem. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.10332* (2023).
- [50] J. HARTMANIS. On sparse sets in np-p. *Information Processing Letters*, **16**, 55–60 (1983).
- [51] M. CEREZO, M. LARocca, D. GARCÍA-MARTÍN, N. DIAZ, P. BRACCIA, E. FONTANA ET AL. Does provable absence of barren plateaus imply classical simulability? or, why we need to rethink variational quantum computing. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.09121* (2023).
- [52] J. WATROUS. Quantum computational complexity. *arXiv preprint arXiv:0804.3401* (2008).
- [53] J. PRESKILL. Quantum computing in the nisq era and beyond. *Quantum*, **2**, 79 (2018).
- [54] V. HAVLÍČEK, A. D. CÓRCOLES, K. TEMME, A. W. HARROW, A. KANDALA, J. M. CHOW & J. M. GAMBETTA. Supervised learning with quantum-enhanced feature spaces. *Nature*, **567**, 209–212 (2019).

Bibliography

- [55] M. SCHULD & N. KILLORAN. Quantum machine learning in feature hilbert spaces. *Physical review letters*, **122**, 040504 (2019).
- [56] J.-G. LIU & L. WANG. Differentiable learning of quantum circuit born machines. *Physical Review A*, **98**, 062324 (2018).
- [57] T. PENG, A. W. HARROW, M. OZOLS & X. WU. Simulating large quantum circuits on a small quantum computer. *Physical Review Letters*, **125**, 150504 (2020).
- [58] W. TANG, T. TOMESH, M. SUCHARA, J. LARSON & M. MARTONOSI. Cutqc: using small quantum computers for large quantum circuit evaluations. In *Proceedings of the 26th ACM International Conference on Architectural Support for Programming Languages and Operating Systems*, pages 473–486 (2021).
- [59] M. A. PERLIN, Z. H. SALEEM, M. SUCHARA & J. C. OSBORN. Quantum circuit cutting with maximum-likelihood tomography. *npj Quantum Information*, **7**, 1–8 (2021).
- [60] Z. H. SALEEM, T. TOMESH, M. A. PERLIN, P. GOKHALE & M. SUCHARA. Quantum divide and conquer for combinatorial optimization and distributed computing. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2107.07532* (2021).
- [61] M. BECHTOLD. *Bringing the concepts of virtualization to gate-based quantum computing*. Master’s thesis, University of Stuttgart (2021).
- [62] J. AVRON, O. CASPER & I. ROZEN. Quantum advantage and noise reduction in distributed quantum computing. *Physical Review A*, **104**, 052404 (2021).
- [63] S. BASU, A. SAHA, A. CHAKRABARTI & S. SUR-KOLAY. *i-qer*: An intelligent approach towards quantum error reduction. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.06347* (2021).
- [64] T. HAUG, C. N. SELF & M. S. KIM. Quantum machine learning of large datasets using randomized measurements. *Machine Learning: Science and Technology*, **4**, 015005 (2023).
- [65] W. LI, S. LU & D.-L. DENG. Quantum federated learning through blind quantum computing. *Science China Physics, Mechanics & Astronomy*, **64**, 1–8 (2021).

- [66] E. PETERS, J. CALDEIRA, A. HO, S. LEICHENAUER, M. MOHSENI, H. NEVEN, P. SPENTZOURIS, D. STRAIN & G. N. PERDUE. Machine learning of high dimensional data on a noisy quantum processor. *npj Quantum Information*, **7**, 1–5 (2021).
- [67] K. FUJII, K. MIZUTA, H. UEDA, K. MITARAI, W. MIZUKAMI & Y. O. NAKAGAWA. Deep variational quantum eigensolver: a divide-and-conquer method for solving a larger problem with smaller size quantum computers. *PRX Quantum*, **3**, 010346 (2022).
- [68] X. YUAN, J. SUN, J. LIU, Q. ZHAO & Y. ZHOU. Quantum simulation with hybrid tensor networks. *Physical Review Letters*, **127**, 040501 (2021).
- [69] A. KANDALA, A. MEZZACAPO, K. TEMME, M. TAKITA, M. BRINK, J. M. CHOW & J. M. GAMBETTA. Hardware-efficient variational quantum eigensolver for small molecules and quantum magnets. *Nature*, **549**, 242–246 (2017).
- [70] S. BALAKRISHNAN & R. SANKARANARAYANAN. Operator-schmidt decomposition and the geometrical edges of two-qubit gates. *Quantum Information Processing*, **10**, 449–461 (2011).
- [71] K. MITARAI & K. FUJII. Overhead for simulating a non-local channel with local channels by quasiprobability sampling. *Quantum*, **5**, 388 (2021).
- [72] S. JERBI, C. GYURIK, S. MARSHALL, H. J. BRIEGEL & V. DUNJKO. Variational quantum policies for reinforcement learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2103.05577* (2021).
- [73] M. MOHRI, A. ROSTAMIZADEH & A. TALWALKAR. *Foundations of machine learning*. MIT press (2018).
- [74] M. C. CARO, E. GIL-FUSTER, J. J. MEYER, J. EISERT & R. SWEKE. Encoding-dependent generalization bounds for parametrized quantum circuits. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.03880* (2021).
- [75] M. SCHULD, R. SWEKE & J. J. MEYER. Effect of data encoding on the expressive power of variational quantum-machine-learning models. *Physical Review A*, **103**, 032430 (2021).
- [76] F. J. GIL VIDAL & D. O. THEIS. Input redundancy for parameterized quantum circuits. *Frontiers in Physics*, **8**, 297 (2020).

Bibliography

- [77] P. L. BARTLETT & S. MENDELSON. Rademacher and gaussian complexities: Risk bounds and structural results. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, **3**, 463–482 (2002).
- [78] JS21 ([HTTPS://MATHOVERFLOW.NET/USERS/21724/JS21](https://mathoverflow.net/users/21724/js21)). Product of estimates of mean values - concentration of measure inequality. MathOverflow. URL <https://mathoverflow.net/q/286787>. URL:<https://mathoverflow.net/q/286787> (version: 2017-11-23).
- [79] G. E. CROOKS. Gradients of parameterized quantum gates using the parameter-shift rule and gate decomposition. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1905.13311* (2019).
- [80] J. R. MCCLEAN, S. BOIXO, V. N. SMELYANSKIY, R. BABBUSH & H. NEVEN. Barren plateaus in quantum neural network training landscapes. *Nature communications*, **9**, 1–6 (2018).
- [81] S. H. SACK, R. A. MEDINA, A. A. MICHAILIDIS, R. KUENG & M. SERBYN. Avoiding barren plateaus using classical shadows. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2201.08194* (2022).
- [82] S. J. WRIGHT. Coordinate descent algorithms. *Mathematical Programming*, **151**, 3–34 (2015).
- [83] L. DENG. The mnist database of handwritten digit images for machine learning research. *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*, **29**, 141–142 (2012).
- [84] H.-Y. HUANG, M. BROUGHTON, M. MOHSENI, R. BABBUSH, S. BOIXO, H. NEVEN & J. R. MCCLEAN. Power of data in quantum machine learning. *Nature Communications*, **12** (2021).
- [85] E. FARHI & H. NEVEN. Classification with quantum neural networks on near term processors. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1802.06002* (2018).
- [86] L. YANN. If you listen carefully, you can hear the goat screaming “MNIST results!” But the dude isn’t listening carefully. *Twitter* (2022).
- [87] T. F. RØNNOW, Z. WANG, J. JOB, S. BOIXO, S. V. ISAKOV, D. WECKER, J. M. MARTINIS, D. A. LIDAR & M. TROYER. Defining and detecting quantum speedup. *Science*, **345**, 420–424 (2014).

- [88] S. BOIXO, S. V. ISAKOV, V. N. SMELYANSKIY, R. BABBUSH, N. DING, Z. JIANG, M. J. BREMNER, J. M. MARTINIS & H. NEVEN. Characterizing quantum supremacy in near-term devices. *Nature Physics*, **14**, 595–600 (2018).
- [89] G. CHIRIBELLA, G. M. D’ARIANO & P. PERINOTTI. Quantum circuit architecture. *Physical Review Letters*, **101**, 060401 (2008).
- [90] N.-H. CHIA, C.-N. CHOU, J. ZHANG & R. ZHANG. Quantum meets the minimum circuit size problem. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2108.03171* (2021).
- [91] E. KNILL & R. LAFLAMME. Power of one bit of quantum information. *Physical Review Letters*, **81**, 5672 (1998).
- [92] K. FUJII, H. KOBAYASHI, T. MORIMAE, H. NISHIMURA, S. TAMATE & S. TANI. Impossibility of classically simulating one-clean-qubit model with multiplicative error. *Physical Review Letters*, **120**, 200502 (2018).
- [93] J. BIAMONTE, P. WITTEK, N. PANCOTTI, P. REBENTROST, N. WIEBE & S. LLOYD. Quantum machine learning. *Nature*, **549**, 195–202 (2017).
- [94] V. DUNJKO & H. J. BRIEGEL. Machine learning & artificial intelligence in the quantum domain: a review of recent progress. *Reports on Progress in Physics*, **81**, 074001 (2018).
- [95] M. SCHULD & F. PETRUCCIONE. *Supervised learning with quantum computers*, volume 17. Springer (2018).
- [96] M. BENEDETTI, E. LLOYD, S. SACK & M. FIORENTINI. Parameterized quantum circuits as machine learning models. *Quantum Science and Technology*, **4**, 043001 (2019).
- [97] M. CEREZO, A. ARRASMITH, R. BABBUSH, S. C. BENJAMIN, S. ENDO, K. FUJII ET AL. Variational quantum algorithms. *Nature Reviews Physics*, **3**, 625–644 (2021).
- [98] K. BHARTI, A. CERVERA-LIERTA, T. H. KYAW, T. HAUG, S. ALPERIN-LEA, A. ANAND ET AL. Noisy intermediate-scale quantum algorithms. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, **94**, 015004 (2022).
- [99] M. SCHULD & N. KILLORAN. Quantum machine learning in feature hilbert spaces. *Physical review letters*, **122**, 040504 (2019).

Bibliography

- [100] M. SCHULD, A. BOCHAROV, K. M. SVORE & N. WIEBE. Circuit-centric quantum classifiers. *Physical Review A*, **101**, 032308 (2020).
- [101] J.-G. LIU & L. WANG. Differentiable learning of quantum circuit born machines. *Physical Review A*, **98**, 062324 (2018).
- [102] S. JERBI, C. GYURIK, S. MARSHALL, H. BRIEGEL & V. DUNJKO. Parametrized quantum policies for reinforcement learning. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, **34** (2021).
- [103] A. SKOLIK, S. JERBI & V. DUNJKO. Quantum agents in the gym: a variational quantum algorithm for deep q-learning. *Quantum*, **6**, 720 (2022).
- [104] V. HAVLÍČEK, A. D. CÓRCOLES, K. TEMME, A. W. HARROW, A. KANDALA, J. M. CHOW & J. M. GAMBETTA. Supervised learning with quantum-enhanced feature spaces. *Nature*, **567**, 209–212 (2019).
- [105] D. ZHU, N. M. LINKE, M. BENEDETTI, K. A. LANDSMAN, N. H. NGUYEN, C. H. ALDERETE ET AL. Training of quantum circuits on a hybrid quantum computer. *Science advances*, **5**, eaaw9918 (2019).
- [106] E. PETERS, J. CALDEIRA, A. HO, S. LEICHENAUER, M. MOHSENI, H. NEVEN, P. SPENTZOURIS, D. STRAIN & G. N. PERDUE. Machine learning of high dimensional data on a noisy quantum processor. *npj Quantum Information*, **7**, 161 (2021).
- [107] T. HAUG, C. N. SELF & M. KIM. Quantum machine learning of large datasets using randomized measurements. *Machine Learning: Science and Technology*, **4**, 015005 (2023).
- [108] Y. LIU, S. ARUNACHALAM & K. TEMME. A rigorous and robust quantum speed-up in supervised machine learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.02174* (2020).
- [109] C. GYURIK & V. DUNJKO. On establishing learning separations between classical and quantum machine learning with classical data. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.06339* (2022).
- [110] C. GYURIK & V. DUNJKO. Exponential separations between classical and quantum learners. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.16028* (2023).

- [111] F. J. SCHREIBER, J. EISERT & J. J. MEYER. Classical surrogates for quantum learning models. *Physical Review Letters*, **131**, 100803 (2023).
- [112] J. LANDMAN, S. THABET, C. DALYAC, H. MHIRI & E. KASHEFI. Classically approximating variational quantum machine learning with random fourier features. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.13200* (2022).
- [113] M. SCHULD, R. SWEKE & J. J. MEYER. Effect of data encoding on the expressive power of variational quantum-machine-learning models. *Physical Review A*, **103**, 032430 (2021).
- [114] S. JERBI, L. J. FIDERER, H. POULSEN NAUTRUP, J. M. KÜBLER, H. J. BRIEGEL & V. DUNJKO. Quantum machine learning beyond kernel methods. *Nature Communications*, **14**, 517 (2023).
- [115] H.-Y. HUANG, R. KUENG & J. PRESKILL. Predicting many properties of a quantum system from very few measurements. *Nature Physics*, **16**, 1050–1057 (2020).
- [116] C. BERTONI, J. HAFERKAMP, M. HINSCHKE, M. IOANNOU, J. EISERT & H. PASHAYAN. Shallow shadows: Expectation estimation using low-depth random clifford circuits. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.12924* (2022).
- [117] K. WAN, W. J. HUGGINS, J. LEE & R. BABBUSH. Matchgate shadows for fermionic quantum simulation. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, pages 1–72 (2023).
- [118] H.-Y. HU, S. CHOI & Y.-Z. YOU. Classical shadow tomography with locally scrambled quantum dynamics. *Physical Review Research*, **5**, 023027 (2023).
- [119] M. SCHULD. Supervised quantum machine learning models are kernel methods. *arXiv:2101.11020* (2021).
- [120] M. CRAMER, M. B. PLENIO, S. T. FLAMMIA, R. SOMMA, D. GROSS, S. D. BARTLETT, O. LANDON-CARDINAL, D. POULIN & Y.-K. LIU. Efficient quantum state tomography. *Nature communications*, **1**, 149 (2010).
- [121] M. C. CARO, E. GIL-FUSTER, J. J. MEYER, J. EISERT & R. SWEKE. Encoding-dependent generalization bounds for parametrized quantum circuits. *Quantum*, **5**, 582 (2021).

Bibliography

- [122] C. GYURIK, V. DUNJKO ET AL. Structural risk minimization for quantum linear classifiers. *Quantum*, **7**, 893 (2023).
- [123] R. A. SERVEDIO & S. J. GORTLER. Equivalences and separations between quantum and classical learnability. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, **33**, 1067–1092 (2004).
- [124] R. SWEKE, J.-P. SEIFERT, D. HANGLEITER & J. EISERT. On the quantum versus classical learnability of discrete distributions. *Quantum*, **5**, 417 (2021).
- [125] M. J. KEARNS & U. VAZIRANI. *An introduction to computational learning theory*. MIT press (1994).
- [126] P. W. SHOR. Polynomial-time algorithms for prime factorization and discrete logarithms on a quantum computer. *SIAM review*, **41**, 303–332 (1999).
- [127] W. ALEXI, B. CHOR, O. GOLDBREICH & C. P. SCHNORR. Rsa and rabin functions: Certain parts are as hard as the whole. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, **17**, 194–209 (1988).
- [128] B. CASAS & A. CERVERA-LIERTA. Multidimensional fourier series with quantum circuits. *Physical Review A*, **107**, 062612 (2023).
- [129] L. K. GROVER. A fast quantum mechanical algorithm for database search. In *Proceedings of the twenty-eighth annual ACM symposium on Theory of computing*, pages 212–219 (1996). URL <https://doi.org/10.1145/237814.237866>.
- [130] L. ADLEMAN. Two theorems on random polynomial time. In *19th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (sfcs 1978)*, pages 75–83. IEEE Computer Society (1978). URL <https://doi.org/10.1109/SFCS.1978.37>.
- [131] H.-Y. HUANG, M. BROUGHTON, M. MOHSENI, R. BABBUSH, S. BOIXO, H. NEVEN & J. R. MCCLEAN. Power of data in quantum machine learning. *Nature Communications*, **12**, 1–9 (2021).
- [132] L. LEONE, S. F. OLIVIERO & A. HAMMA. Nonstabilizerness determining the hardness of direct fidelity estimation. *Physical Review A*, **107**, 022429 (2023).

- [133] H.-Y. HUANG, M. BROUGHTON, J. COTLER, S. CHEN, J. LI, M. MOHSENI ET AL. Quantum advantage in learning from experiments. *Science*, **376**, 1182–1186 (2022).
- [134] P. DAGUM, R. KARP, M. LUBY & S. ROSS. An optimal algorithm for monte carlo estimation. *SIAM Journal on computing*, **29**, 1484–1496 (2000).
- [135] R. CANETTI, G. EVEN & O. GOLDREICH. Lower bounds for sampling algorithms for estimating the average. *Information Processing Letters*, **53**, 17–25 (1995).
- [136] S. AARONSON. The learnability of quantum states. *Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, **463**, 3089–3114 (2007).
- [137] M. ANTHONY & P. L. BARTLETT. Function learning from interpolation. *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing*, **9**, 213–225 (2000).
- [138] A. AMBAINIS, A. NAYAK, A. TA-SHMA & U. VAZIRANI. Dense quantum coding and quantum finite automata. *Journal of the ACM (JACM)*, **49**, 496–511 (2002).
- [139] L. WANG & X. MA. Bounds of graph energy in terms of vertex cover number. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, **517**, 207–216 (2017).
- [140] M. A. NIELSEN & I. L. CHUANG. *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information*. Cambridge University Press (2000).
- [141] S. C. MARSHALL, S. AARONSON & V. DUNJKO. Improved separation between quantum and classical computers for sampling and functional tasks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.20935* (2024).
- [142] S. C. MARSHALL, C. GYURIK & V. DUNJKO. On bounded advice classes. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.18155* (2024).
- [143] S. C. MARSHALL, C. GYURIK & V. DUNJKO. High Dimensional Quantum Machine Learning With Small Quantum Computers. *Quantum*, **7**, 1078 (2023).
- [144] S. C. MARSHALL, J. TURA & V. DUNJKO. All this for one qubit? bounds on local circuit cutting schemes. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.13422* (2023).

Bibliography

- [145] S. C. MARSHALL & J. H. KIRCHNER. Understanding polyseman-
ticity in neural networks through coding theory. *arXiv preprint*
arXiv:2401.17975 (2024).
- [146] S. JERBI, C. GYURIK, S. C. MARSHALL, H. BRIEGEL & V. DUN-
JKO. Parametrized quantum policies for reinforcement learning. *Ad-
vances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, **34**, 28362–28375
(2021).
- [147] S. AARONSON, S. GREWAL, V. IYER, S. C. MARSHALL &
R. RAMACHANDRAN. PDQMA = DQMA = NEXP: QMA with hid-
den variables and non-collapsing measurements. *arXiv preprint*
arXiv:2403.02543 (2024).

Acknowledgments

First, I owe the greatest debt to my family. I thank my parents, Richard and Linda Marshall, for all their time and patience spent nurturing my inquisitiveness; my siblings, Helen, Andrew and Tonto for their tolerance; and my grandparents, Douglas and Ann Flower and Edwin and Flo Marshall, for so much. I also thank Redvers Flower, James Stewart, Catherine McLean and Mabel Jacques, whose procreative ability ensured the survival of the requisite genetic information for my creation.

Throughout my academic life, I have been fortunate to be mentored by a number of people for reasons that are still not entirely apparent to me. George Knee, Dominic Bradford, Jamie Friel, James Sprittles, and Scott Aaronson, all of whom gave me an amount of their valuable time far beyond what should have been logically afforded. Other people, such as Jan Kirchner and Beth Barnes, have afforded me time as part of a larger plot to achieve their own (albeit altruistic) goals, but I am non-the-less thankful for their contribution.

To Emiel and Kshiti, thank you for the day in and day out companionship. More waking hours are spent in the office than any other room, and you two have made that place an actual joy. Emiel, thank you for your entertainment. Kshiti, sorry about all the entertainment.

To Sofiene, Casper, and Yash, thank you for all your help early on in my PhD. To Riccardo, I thoroughly enjoyed our collaborations, even if you have yet to believe in your abilities as much as I believe in them.

I have often found that our research group, AQA, is the envy of other PhD students. This envy is well placed; in AQA I have found mentorship,

Acknowledgments

comradeship and friendship. I want to thank the group leaders, Vedran, Evert, Jordi, Alfons, Hao and Anna for creating such a welcoming and intellectually open community and all the members. A special thanks must be given to Alice, David, Jan, Eloïc, Elliot, Mahtab, and Ilse and the king among men, Felix, but all of AQA have helped so much over these years, I don't know half of you as well as I should like and I like less than half of you half as well as you deserve.

Moving to this country in October 2020 meant that it was always going to be a pandemic-shaped challenge. I could not have finished this PhD without the support of my friends. To Jo and Stefano, thank you for being there for me for 4 straight years. Jo, I do not understand why you haven't left yet. Fed, thank you for all the love and support, and for putting up with me when I'm in bad moods. Sarah, thank you for your patience and support through my first years. Finn, thank you for your irreplaceable friendship. I am grateful to Robert Harling, Anne le Roux, Charlotte Siegman, Toby Tremlett, Zakee Ulhaq, Janvi Ahuja, Amanda Matthes, and Jan Werner, my life trajectory would have been significantly worse without your guidance. Thank you to Jack Green, Thomas Bingham, Conrad Bullows-Weeks, Gaia Webb, Jeremy Rubinoff, Sarah Cogan, Dr Henry Israel and Jeanne Vliet, without your support when I needed it I don't think I would have finished this PhD. I offer no thanks to Adam Howes, although much is deserved. To the rest of my friends: if I forgot to name you, assume you're part of the emotional support ensemble and take full credit anyway.

Finally, I will end by thanking my mentor, Vedran Dunjko, while our relationship has not always been easy I can say with certainty that I would not be half the mathematician I am today if you had not pushed me to be so and I am very glad I chose to become your PhD student 5 years ago.