Separating quantum and classical computing: rigorous proof and practical application

Marshall, S.C.

Separating quantum and classical computing: rigorous proof and practical application

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van
de graad van doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van rector magnificus prof.dr.ir. H. Bijl
volgens besluit van het college voor promoties
te verdedigen op dinsdag 27 mei 2025
klokke 10 uur

door

Simon Callum Marshall

geboren te Frimley, Het Verenigd Koninkrijk van Groot-Brittannië en Noord-Ierland
in 1998

Promotores:	Prof.dr. V. Dunjko Prof.dr. T.H.W. Bäck
Co-promotor:	Dr. E.P.L. van Nieuwenburg
Promotiecommissie:	Prof.dr. M. Bonsangue Prof.dr. A. Plaat Dr. H. Basold Prof.dr. S. Jeffery (Universiteit van Amsterdam) Prof.dr. I. Kerenidis (Université Paris Diderot)

Contents

1 Introduction **1**

1.1 Quantum Computing . . . 3

1.1.1 Limited modern machines . . . 5

1.1.2 Circuit Cutting . . . 5

1.2 Machine Learning . . . 6

1.3 Complexity Theory . . . 7

1.3.1 Computational Models . . . 8

1.3.2 Formal Languages . . . 8

1.3.3 Complexity classes . . . 8

1.3.4 Proof Relativisation . . . 12

1.4 Research questions . . . 12

2 Improved separation between quantum and classical computers for sampling and functional tasks **15**

2.1 Main theorem . . . 17

2.2 PostBQP and PostBPP . . . 27

2.3 Improved Hardness of BosonSampling, IQP, and DQC1 . . . 29

2.4 Conclusion and Open Problems . . . 32

3 On Bounded Advice Classes **35**

3.1 Introduction . . . 35

3.2 Background . . . 37

3.2.1 General notation and definitions . . . 37

3.2.2 Oracle-machines and double oracles 38
3.2.3 Bounded and unbounded advice classes 39
3.2.4 Previous work 41
3.3 Connection to sparse and unary languages 42
3.4 When is bounded advice useful? 45
3.4.1 Useful classical advice 46
3.4.2 Useful Quantum advice 47

4 High Dimensional Quantum Machine Learning With Small Quantum Computers 51
4.1 Introduction 51
4.2 Related work 52
4.3 Model Motivation and Specification 53
4.3.1 Parameterised Quantum Circuits 54
4.3.2 Circuit Partitioning 55
4.3.3 Reduced Partition Model 59
4.4 Generalisation Error 61
4.4.1 Encoding Dependent Generalisation Gap 62
4.4.2 Term-Based Generalisation Gap 64
4.5 Evaluation and training of reduced partition model 65
4.5.1 Evaluation 65
4.5.2 Training 67
4.5.3 Barren Plateaus 68
4.6 Numerics 69
4.6.1 A Large Problem: Handwriting 69
4.6.2 Tests on Synthetic Data 72
4.7 Conclusion and future work 75
Appendices 76
4.A Example of increased complexity after the removal of a 2-qubit gate 76
4.B Proofs of theorems 77

5 All this for one Qubit? 79
5.1 Introduction 79
5.2 Background 81
5.3 Bounds on the optimal scheme 83
5.4 Generalizations to other schemes 87
5.5 Conclusion 88

6 Shadows of quantum machine learning 91
6.1 Introduction 91

6.2 The flipped model . . . 94
6.2.1 Flipped model definition . . . 95
6.2.2 Properties of flipped models . . . 96
6.2.3 Quantum advantage of a shadow model . . . 98
6.3 General shadow models . . . 100
6.3.1 Shadow models beyond Fourier . . . 101
6.3.2 All shadow models are shadows of flipped models . 102
6.3.3 Not all quantum models are shadowfiable . . . 104
6.4 Discussion . . . 106
Appendices . . . 107
6.A Formal definitions . . . 107
6.A.1 Linear models . . . 107
6.A.2 Shadow models . . . 107
6.A.3 Complexity classes . . . 109
6.B Properties of flipped models . . . 111
6.B.1 Sample complexity of evaluating quantum models 111
6.B.2 Generalization performance . . . 113
6.B.3 Flipping bounds . . . 117
6.C Quantum advantage using shadow models . . . 121
6.C.1 Discrete cube root learning task . . . 121
6.C.2 A simple shadow model . . . 126
6.D Relations between shadow models . . . 127
6.D.1 Flipped models are universal . . . 127
6.D.2 BQP and P/poly . . . 129
6.D.3 Shadow models beyond Fourier . . . 131
6.D.4 Shadowfiablility . . . 133

7 Conclusion **139**
7.1 Research Overview . . . 139
7.1.1 Future work . . . 140

Bibliography **143**

Acknowledgments **157**

Summary **159**

Samenvatting **161**

Curriculum Vitæ **165**

List of publications **167**