



Universiteit  
Leiden

The Netherlands

## Separating quantum and classical computing: rigorous proof and practical application

Marshall, S.C.

### Citation

Marshall, S. C. (2025, May 27). *Separating quantum and classical computing: rigorous proof and practical application*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/4247215>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/4247215>

**Note:** To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

# Separating quantum and classical computing: rigorous proof and practical application

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van  
de graad van doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,  
op gezag van rector magnificus prof.dr.ir. H. Bijl  
volgens besluit van het college voor promoties  
te verdedigen op dinsdag 27 mei 2025  
klokke 10 uur

door

Simon Callum Marshall

geboren te Frimley, Het Verenigd Koninkrijk van Groot-Brittannië en  
Noord-Ierland  
in 1998

Promotores: Prof.dr. V. Dunjko  
Prof.dr. T.H.W. Bäck

Co-promotor: Dr. E.P.L. van Nieuwenburg

Promotiecommissie: Prof.dr. M. Bonsangue  
Prof.dr. A. Plaat  
Dr. H. Basold  
Prof.dr. S. Jeffery (Universiteit van Amsterdam)  
Prof.dr. I. Kerenidis (Université Paris Diderot)





---

# Contents

---

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Quantum Computing . . . . .	3
1.1.1	Limited modern machines . . . . .	5
1.1.2	Circuit Cutting . . . . .	5
1.2	Machine Learning . . . . .	6
1.3	Complexity Theory . . . . .	7
1.3.1	Computational Models . . . . .	8
1.3.2	Formal Languages . . . . .	8
1.3.3	Complexity classes . . . . .	8
1.3.4	Proof Relativisation . . . . .	12
1.4	Research questions . . . . .	12
<b>2</b>	<b>Improved separation between quantum and classical computers for sampling and functional tasks</b>	<b>15</b>
2.1	Main theorem . . . . .	17
2.2	PostBQP and PostBPP . . . . .	27
2.3	Improved Hardness of BosonSampling, IQP, and DQC1 . .	29
2.4	Conclusion and Open Problems . . . . .	32
<b>3</b>	<b>On Bounded Advice Classes</b>	<b>35</b>
3.1	Introduction . . . . .	35
3.2	Background . . . . .	37
3.2.1	General notation and definitions . . . . .	37

3.2.2	Oracle-machines and double oracles . . . . .	38
3.2.3	Bounded and unbounded advice classes . . . . .	39
3.2.4	Previous work . . . . .	41
3.3	Connection to sparse and unary languages . . . . .	42
3.4	When is bounded advice useful? . . . . .	45
3.4.1	Useful classical advice . . . . .	46
3.4.2	Useful Quantum advice . . . . .	47
<b>4</b>	<b>High Dimensional Quantum Machine Learning With Small Quantum Computers</b>	<b>51</b>
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	51
4.2	Related work . . . . .	52
4.3	Model Motivation and Specification . . . . .	53
4.3.1	Parameterised Quantum Circuits . . . . .	54
4.3.2	Circuit Partitioning . . . . .	55
4.3.3	Reduced Partition Model . . . . .	59
4.4	Generalisation Error . . . . .	61
4.4.1	Encoding Dependent Generalisation Gap . . . . .	62
4.4.2	Term-Based Generalisation Gap . . . . .	64
4.5	Evaluation and training of reduced partition model . . . . .	65
4.5.1	Evaluation . . . . .	65
4.5.2	Training . . . . .	67
4.5.3	Barren Plateaus . . . . .	68
4.6	Numerics . . . . .	69
4.6.1	A Large Problem: Handwriting . . . . .	69
4.6.2	Tests on Synthetic Data . . . . .	72
4.7	Conclusion and future work . . . . .	75
	Appendices . . . . .	76
4.A	Example of increased complexity after the removal of a 2-qubit gate . . . . .	76
4.B	Proofs of theorems . . . . .	77
<b>5</b>	<b>All this for one Qubit?</b>	<b>79</b>
5.1	Introduction . . . . .	79
5.2	Background . . . . .	81
5.3	Bounds on the optimal scheme . . . . .	83
5.4	Generalizations to other schemes . . . . .	87
5.5	Conclusion . . . . .	88
<b>6</b>	<b>Shadows of quantum machine learning</b>	<b>91</b>
6.1	Introduction . . . . .	91

6.2	The flipped model . . . . .	94
6.2.1	Flipped model definition . . . . .	95
6.2.2	Properties of flipped models . . . . .	96
6.2.3	Quantum advantage of a shadow model . . . . .	98
6.3	General shadow models . . . . .	100
6.3.1	Shadow models beyond Fourier . . . . .	101
6.3.2	All shadow models are shadows of flipped models .	102
6.3.3	Not all quantum models are shadowfiable . . . . .	104
6.4	Discussion . . . . .	106
	Appendices . . . . .	107
6.A	Formal definitions . . . . .	107
6.A.1	Linear models . . . . .	107
6.A.2	Shadow models . . . . .	107
6.A.3	Complexity classes . . . . .	109
6.B	Properties of flipped models . . . . .	111
6.B.1	Sample complexity of evaluating quantum models	111
6.B.2	Generalization performance . . . . .	113
6.B.3	Flipping bounds . . . . .	117
6.C	Quantum advantage using shadow models . . . . .	121
6.C.1	Discrete cube root learning task . . . . .	121
6.C.2	A simple shadow model . . . . .	126
6.D	Relations between shadow models . . . . .	127
6.D.1	Flipped models are universal . . . . .	127
6.D.2	BQP and P/poly . . . . .	129
6.D.3	Shadow models beyond Fourier . . . . .	131
6.D.4	Shadowfiability . . . . .	133
<b>7</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>139</b>
7.1	Research Overview . . . . .	139
7.1.1	Future work . . . . .	140
	<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>143</b>
	<b>Acknowledgments</b>	<b>157</b>
	<b>Summary</b>	<b>159</b>
	<b>Samenvatting</b>	<b>161</b>
	<b>Curriculum Vitæ</b>	<b>165</b>
	<b>List of publications</b>	<b>167</b>



