



Universiteit  
Leiden  
The Netherlands

## **Childhood maltreatment and eating disorder pathology: a systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis**

Molendijk, M.L.; Hoek, H.W.; Brewerton, T.D.; Elzinga, B.M.

### **Citation**

Molendijk, M. L., Hoek, H. W., Brewerton, T. D., & Elzinga, B. M. (2017). Childhood maltreatment and eating disorder pathology: a systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis. *Psychological Medicine*, 47(8), 1402-1416. doi:10.1017/S0033291716003561

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licensed under Article 25fa Copyright Act/Law \(Amendment Taverne\)](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/4212263>

**Note:** To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

# Childhood maltreatment and eating disorder pathology: a systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis

M. L. Molendijk<sup>1,2\*</sup>, H. W. Hoek<sup>3,4,5</sup>, T. D. Brewerton<sup>6</sup> and B. M. Elzinga<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Psychology, Clinical Psychology Unit, Leiden University, Leiden, The Netherlands

<sup>2</sup>Leiden Institute for Brain and Cognition, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands

<sup>3</sup>Parnassia Psychiatric Institute, The Hague, The Netherlands

<sup>4</sup>Department of Psychiatry, University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands

<sup>5</sup>Department of Epidemiology, Columbia University, New York, USA

<sup>6</sup>Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston, South Carolina, USA

**Background.** Meta-analyses have established a high prevalence of childhood maltreatment (CM) in patients with eating disorders (EDs) relative to the general population. Whether the prevalence of CM in EDs is also high relative to that in other mental disorders has not yet been established through meta-analyses nor to what extent CM affects defining features of EDs, such as number of binge/purge episodes or age at onset. Our aim is to provide meta-analyses on the associations between exposure to CM (i.e. emotional, physical and sexual abuse) on the occurrence of all types of EDs and its defining features.

**Method.** Systematic review and meta-analyses. Databases were searched until 4 June 2016.

**Results.** CM prevalence was high in each type of ED (total  $N = 13\,059$ , prevalence rates 21–59%) relative to healthy ( $N = 15\,092$ , prevalence rates 1–35%) and psychiatric ( $N = 7736$ , prevalence rates 5–46%) control groups. ED patients reporting CM were more likely to be diagnosed with a co-morbid psychiatric disorder [odds ratios (ORs) range 1.41–2.46,  $p < 0.05$ ] and to be suicidal (OR 2.07,  $p < 0.001$ ) relative to ED subjects who were not exposed to CM. ED subjects exposed to CM also reported an earlier age at ED onset [effect size (Hedges'  $g$ ) =  $-0.32$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ], to suffer a more severe form of the illness ( $g = 0.29$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ), and to binge-purge ( $g = 0.31$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ) more often compared to ED patients who did not report any CM.

**Conclusion.** CM, regardless of type, is associated with the presence of all types of ED and with severity parameters that characterize these illnesses in a dose dependent manner.

Received 17 June 2016; Revised 10 October 2016; Accepted 15 December 2016; First published online 19 January 2017

**Key words:** Anorexia, bulimia, childhood abuse, eating disorders, meta-analysis.

## Introduction

The Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders 4th edition (DSM-IV-TR; APA, 2000) specified only two types of eating disorders (EDs): anorexia nervosa (AN) and bulimia nervosa (BN), and a residual category ED not otherwise specified (EDNOS), which included binge-eating disorder (BED) for which in DSM-IV a criteria set has been proposed for further study. In the DSM-5 (APA, 2013), BED has been added as an official new diagnostic category and besides for BED the EDNOS category also

made way for two new residual categories: (1) other specified feeding or ED, including for instance sub-threshold BN and (2) unspecified feeding or ED. The lifetime prevalence of eating disorders according to DSM-5 criteria is estimated to be up to 4% for AN, 2% for BN, and 2% for BED (Smink *et al.* 2013).

EDs are associated with co-morbidity of other mental disorders, high mortality rates and high costs of treatment (Fairburn & Harrison, 2003; Arcelus *et al.* 2011; Mischoulon *et al.* 2011; Mitchell *et al.* 2012; Smink *et al.* 2013; Yao *et al.* 2016). Their etiology is complex. Heritability estimates for EDs vary between 40% and 60% (Trace *et al.* 2013). Non-shared environmental risk factors such as childhood maltreatment (CM) also contribute to the risk of developing an ED, according to some, an estimated 17–46% (Klump *et al.* 2002).

Dozens of studies have been published on the association between CM, usually defined as self-reported

\* Address for correspondence: M. L. Molendijk, Ph.D., Institute of Psychology, Clinical Psychology Unit, Leiden University, Leiden, The Netherlands and Leiden Institute for Brain and Cognition, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands.  
(Email: m.l.molendijk@fsw.leidenuniv.nl)

exposure to emotional abuse or neglect and physical and/or sexual abuse before the age of 18 years, and ED pathology. Among these studies are four meta-analyses (Rind *et al.* 1998; Smolak & Murnen, 2002; Chen *et al.* 2010; Caslini *et al.* 2016) and some narrative reviews (Kent & Waller, 2000; Brewerton 2007; Röhr *et al.* 2015). The data pooled in the meta-analyses, the highest level of evidence available (Haidich, 2010), clearly shows a relationship between CM and the presence of an ED diagnosis, although heterogeneity in the magnitude of effect-size estimates is apparent (Smolak & Murnen, 2002).

However, the meta-analytical results published so far are rather specific, as they pertain to college samples only (Chen *et al.* 2010) or sexual abuse only (Smolak & Murnen, 2002; Chen *et al.* 2010). The most recent meta-analysis summarized the associations between all CM types and all ED types up to January 2014, but did not include a psychiatric control group nor assessed the effect of CM on psychiatric co-morbidity or other severity parameters (Caslini *et al.* 2016). What is lacking is an in-depth overview that summarizes all CM types and all ED types and compares the prevalence not only with a healthy control group, but also with a control group with other mental disorders than EDs. Moreover, from a clinical perspective it is highly relevant to elucidate to what extent CM affects the defining features of EDs, such as its severity or age at onset since these define disease to a large extent (Fairburn & Harrison, 2003; Smink *et al.* 2013), beyond only the presence of a diagnostic entity. To date, this has not been subjected to systematic review.

The aim of this study hence is to provide a systematic overview on the associations between exposure to CM (i.e. emotional, physical and sexual abuse) on the occurrence of all types of EDs and some of its defining features such as severity and age at onset.

## Method

The methodology that we used adhered to the guidelines that are recommended by the preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses statement (Moher *et al.* 2009).

### Search strategy

The electronic databases PUBMED, PsycINFO, and EMBASE were searched, up to 4 June 2016, to identify relevant articles. The broad set of search terms can be found in section A of the Supplementary material. Additionally, we checked the references that were made to the two seminal papers on the association of interest (Oppenheimer *et al.* 1985; Root & Fallon,

1988) and reviewed the reference lists of the identified articles. All searches, from identification to decision on inclusion, were performed by at least two independent reviewers (M.L.M., M.v.S., M.O., V.K.). Inconsistencies between reviewers were resolved through consensus.

### Inclusion and exclusion criteria

This review included human studies (all age groups) that assessed the presence of a current or lifetime ED as defined by the DSM (APA, 1980, 2000, 2013) or the International Classification of Diseases (ICD; WHO, 2016a) and CM (before the age of 18 years). We largely followed the definition and categorization of the WHO in what we considered as exposure to CM. The WHO (2016b) distinguishes five subtypes of CM: physical CM, sexual CM, neglect, emotional CM and exploitation. However, given that neglect and emotional abuse are often reported as one entity we decided to pool these categories as 'emotional CM'. Furthermore, since exploitation is hardly a topic in the ED literature, we decided to not include this category in our meta-analysis. A general exclusion criterion was the use of non-diagnostic, quantitative assessment of eating behavior (e.g. disordered eating assessed through a questionnaire). Studies had to be published in English, French, German, Spanish or Dutch in order to be included.

### Data extraction

Data that were extracted included: demographic and methodological characteristics (e.g. mean age of the samples that were used and study design), CM prevalence by diagnostic group, i.e. AN, BN, BED, EDNOS, healthy controls (HCs) and psychiatric controls (PCs) and type of CM (i.e. reported emotional, physical, and sexual abuse), CM characteristics (i.e. type, mean age, assessment method), and ED characteristics (i.e. type, mean age at onset, the number of self-reported binge and purge episodes per week/month, the severity of the ED, assessed for instance by means of the eating attitudes test (Mintz & O'Halloran, 2000), the eating disorder examination (Luce *et al.* 2008), or the eating disorder inventory (Wear & Pratz, 1987), the self-reported mean severity of depressive/anxiety symptoms, psychiatric co-morbidity, suicidal behavior or self-harm, and inpatient *v.* outpatient status) when provided. We contacted by email the corresponding authors of papers who we expected to have data that would fit our purposes, but who did not provide the data in their paper, and requested them to provide us with the necessary data. Data extraction was performed independently by at least two of us (M.L.M., M.v.S., M.O., V.K.). Inconsistencies were resolved in consensus meetings.

### Quality assessment

Based on the Newcastle-Ottawa scale (Wells *et al.* 2016) the methodological quality of the included studies was assessed. This scale is recommended by the Cochrane collaboration (Cochrane Community Handbook, 2016). Detailed information on quality assessment is provided in Supplementary Tables S1 and S2.

### Data analyses

Data analyses were performed in Stata version 13 (StataCorp, 2013). All associations were tested for statistical significance at a confidence interval (CI).

First, the prevalence rates and 95% CI of CM (by type) for all EDs and for HCs and PCs were summarized over studies, regardless whether they included a HC and/or PC reference group. Next, pooled effect-size estimates were calculated on the association between CM (coded as yes *v.* no exposure) and the presence of an ED using data from studies that contained an ED sample and a HC and/or PC reference group. Within ED samples pooled effect-size estimates were calculated on the association between reported CM exposure and age at onset and severity of the ED, self-reported number of binge or purge episodes per week/month, severity of depressive and anxiety symptoms, psychiatric co-morbidity, suicidal behavior, and inpatient *v.* outpatient status.

The random-effects model, a model that includes both sampling- and study-level error (Borenstein *et al.* 2009) was adopted in all instances. As effect-size measures we chose to use odds ratios (ORs) for dichotomous outcome variables and Hedges' *g* for continuous outcome variables (Hedges & Olkin, 1985).

To assess the sensitivity of our results, all analyses were repeated with the data split-up as a function of type of childhood maltreatment (i.e. sexual, physical, and emotional maltreatment) and type of eating disorders [i.e. AN (subdivided by restricted [AN-R] and binge-and-purge subtype [AN-BP]), BN, EDNOS, and mixed samples].

The  $I^2$  measure (Higgins & Thompson, 2002) was used to quantify the amount of between-study heterogeneity in outcomes. Statistical significance of this measure was assessed using the  $\chi^2$  statistic. Meta-regression analyses were performed in case of significant between-study heterogeneity in outcomes. Predictors in these analyses were: mean age in years and gender distribution of the sample, inpatient *v.* outpatient status, country in which the study was performed (coded as Western *v.* non-Western) and the methodological quality score of the study.

Publication-bias was assessed by means of Egger's test (Egger *et al.* 1997). Where publication bias was evident, trim-and-fill procedures were applied to estimate

pooled effect sizes while taking bias into account (Duval & Tweedie, 2000).

### Results

The electronic searches yielded 3938 publications after duplicates were removed and 22 additional records through backward searches and reference lists. Of these, 3878 were excluded leaving a number of 82 studies that reported on at least one effect size that met our inclusion criteria. Study selection, from search to inclusion, is presented in the flowchart in Supplementary Fig. S1. Table 1 and Supplementary Table S3 list the papers that were included for analyses and provide information on the general characteristics of them. Full references to the included papers also are presented in the Supplementary reference list (pp. 14–18).

#### Prevalence rates of CM in EDs and healthy and psychiatric reference samples

Table 2 provides the prevalence rates (in percentage and 95% CIs) of self-reported CM exposure (by type) for all the EDs [total number of estimates ( $k$ )=214,  $N$ =13 059 unique individuals], for healthy and psychiatric reference samples ( $k$ =50,  $N$ =15 092 and  $k$ =26,  $N$ =7736, respectively). In general, prevalence rates of all types of CM appeared to be two- to fourfold higher in ED samples as HC samples. However, in patients with AN of the restrictive subtype the prevalence rates of reported CM exposure were less pronounced (see Table 2) and for some ED subtypes there was overlap in 95% CIs when compared to HCs (e.g. in the case of sexual, physical, and emotional maltreatment in samples of patients with AN of the restrictive subtype). Prevalence rates of emotional CM and exposure to >1 type of CM was at least twofold higher in ED samples as compared to those reported in PC samples. ED samples did not differ significantly from PC samples regarding the prevalence of physical and sexual CM.

#### CM in EDs *v.* healthy reference samples: direct comparisons

The data presented in Table 2 are derived from studies that reported CM prevalence rates in ED samples only, excluding the possibility for between-group comparisons. In a next step, we excluded studies that lacked a reference group and ran meta-analyses on the difference in prevalence rates of CM in ED samples *v.* healthy reference groups. Analyses were run stratified by type of CM and type of ED. From the data it appeared that in ED samples (any type), a history of CM (any type) is much more often reported compared to HC reference groups (ORs in most cases >2). In some specific instances there were no significant differences

**Table 1.** Basic characteristics of the included studies

Author, year	Analysis <sup>a</sup>	Diagnosis (n)	In/ outpatient	Maltreatment	% Female	Mean age	Country
Oppenheimer <i>et al.</i> (1985)	[1, 2, 3]	AN (36), BN (33), Mix (9)	N.K.	SA	100	24	UK
Root & Fallon (1988)	[1, 3]	BN (172)	Outpatients	PA, SA	100	25	USA
Palmer <i>et al.</i> (1990)	[1, 2, 3]	AN (80), BN (78)	Outpatients	SA	100	24	UK
Steiger & Zanko (1990)	[1, 2, 3]	AN-BP (12), AN-R (16), BN (45), HC (24), PC (21)	N.K.	SA	100	28	Canada
Stuart <i>et al.</i> (1990)	[1, 3]	BN (30), PC (15), HC (100)	N.K.	EA, SA	100	35	USA
Waller (1992)	[1, 3, 5]	BN (40)	N.K.	SA	100	25	UK
Folsom <i>et al.</i> (1993)	[1, 5]	AN (15), BN (57), Mix (21), ED-NOS (9), PC (49)	Inpatients	PA, SA	100	26	USA
Herzog <i>et al.</i> (1993)	[1, 5]	Mix (22)	Outpatients	SA	100	24	USA
Pitts & Waller (1993)	[1, 5]	Mix AN-BP/BN (41)	N.K.	SA	100	25	UK
Schmidt <i>et al.</i> (1993)	[1, 2, 3]	AN-BP (23), AN-R (63), BN (116)	Outpatients	SA	100	24	UK
Pope <i>et al.</i> (1994)	[1, 3]	BN (91)	Outpatients	SA	100	23	USA
Rorty <i>et al.</i> (1994)	[1, 5]	BN (80)	Outpatients	EA, PA, SA	100	24	USA
Waller (1994)	[1, 5]	AN (47), BN (68)	N.K.	SA	100	24	UK
Fullerton <i>et al.</i> (1995)	[1, 2, 3, 4, 5]	AN (98), BN (243), EDNOS (353), Mix AN/BN (18)	N.K.	PA, SA	100	24	USA
Garfinkel <i>et al.</i> (1995)	[1, 3]	BN (62), HC (585)	N.K.	SA	100	37	Canada
Kern & Hastings (1995)	[1, 3]	BN (30), HC (50)	Outpatients	SA	100	22	USA
Olivardia <i>et al.</i> (1995)	[1, 2, 3]	AN (6), BED (6), BN (13), HC (26)	Outpatients	PA, SA	0	18–25	USA
Schmidt <i>et al.</i> (1995)	[1]	AN-BP (23), AN-R (40), BN (95)	Outpatients	SA	100	23	UK
Sullivan <i>et al.</i> (1995)	[1, 3, 5]	BN (87)	N.K.	SA	100	27	New Zealand
Steiger <i>et al.</i> (1996)	[1, 3, 5]	BN (61)	Outpatients	PA, SA	100	26	Canada
Anderson <i>et al.</i> (1997)	[1, 2, 3]	BN (74)	Inpatients	SA	100	27	USA
Brown <i>et al.</i> (1997)	[1, 5]	AN/BN (117), HC (21)	Mix	PA, PA + SA, SA	97	28	Australia
Casper & Lyubomirsky (1997)	[1, 3]	BN (69), HC (92)	Outpatients	SA	100	N.K.	USA
Fairburn <i>et al.</i> (1997)	[1, 3]	BN (102), HC (104), PC (102)	Outpatients	PA, SA	100	24	UK
Favaro & Santonastaso (1997)	[1, 2, 3, 5]	AN (98), BN (111), ED-NOS (74)	Outpatients	SA	100	24	Italy
Friedman <i>et al.</i> (1997)	[1, 3]	BN (37), HC (48)	Inpatients	PA/SA Mix	100	29	USA
Welch <i>et al.</i> (1997)	[1, 3]	BN (102), HC (204)	Outpatients	PA, SA	100	24	UK
Fairburn <i>et al.</i> (1998)	[1, 4]	BED (52), HC (104), PC (102)	Outpatients	PA, SA	100	25	UK
Favaro <i>et al.</i> (1998)	[1, 2, 3, 5]	AN-BP (48), AN-R (38), BN (69), HC (81)	Mix	PA, SA	100	23	Italy
Waller (1998)	[1, 5]	AN (15), BN (40)	Outpatients	SA	100	23	UK
Deep <i>et al.</i> (1999)	[1, 2, 3, 5]	AN (26), BN (47), HC (44)	Outpatients	SA	100	25	USA
Matsunaga <i>et al.</i> (1999)	[1, 5]	BN (44)	Outpatients	PA, SA	100	25	Japan
Steiger <i>et al.</i> (2000)	[1, 3, 5]	BN (40), HC (25)	Outpatients	PA, SA	100	24	Canada
Webster & Palmer (2000)	[1, 2, 3]	AN (28), BN (32), HC (40), AN/BN (20), PC (40)	Mix	PA, SA	100	N.K.	UK
Grilo & Masheb (2001)	[1, 4, 5]	BED (145), HC (1125)	Outpatients	EA, PA, SA	77	38	USA

Table 1 (cont.)

Author, year	Analysis <sup>a</sup>	Diagnosis (n)	In/ outpatient	Maltreatment	% Female	Mean age	Country
Karwautz et al. (2001)	[1, 2]	AN (45), HC (45)	Outpatients	PA, SA	100	28	Austria
Mahon et al. (2001)	[1, 3]	BN (114)	Outpatients	PA, SA	100	27	UK
Nagata et al. (2001)	[1, 2, 3]	AN-BP (80), AN-R (67), BN (92), HC (99)	Mix	SA	100	23	Japan, USA
Romans et al. (2001)	[1]	AN (19), BN (26), AN/ BN (6), HC/PC (2522)	Outpatients	SA	100	22	New Zealand
Waller et al. (2001)	[1, 3, 5]	BN (61)	Outpatients	SA	100	23	UK
Grilo & Masheb (2002)	[1, 4, 5]	BED (116), HC (1125), PC (309)	Outpatients	EA, PA, SA	78	44	USA
Hartt & Waller (2002)	[1, 2, 3]	BN (15), BED (3), AN-BP (5)	N.K.	EA, PA, SA	100	29	UK
Schoemaker et al. (2002)	[1, 3, 5]	BN (38), HC (1350), PC (589)	Outpatients	EA, PA, PA + SA, SA	100	33	The Netherlands
Striegel-Moore et al. (2002)	[1, 3]	BED (102), HC (164), PC (86)	Outpatients	PA, SA	100	30	USA
Léonard et al. (2003)	[1, 3, 5]	BN (51), HC (25)	Outpatients	PA, SA	100	24	Canada
Basurte et al. (2004)	[1, 5]	Mix (25)	N.K.	PA, SA	100	N.K.	Spain
Lockwood et al. (2004)	[1]	AN-BP (8), AN-R (14), BN (21), EDNOS (19)	N.K.	PA, EA, SA	100	29	UK
Rayworth et al. (2004)	[1]	Mix (49), PC (515)	N.K.	PA, SA	100	40	USA
Steiger et al. (2004)	[1, 3]	BN (73), HC (50)	Outpatients	PA, SA	100	25	Canada
Van Gerko et al. (2005)	[1, 2, 3, 4, 5]	AN-BP (61), AN-R (62), BN (92), EDNOS (84)	N.K.	SA	100	29	UK
Vaz Leal et al. (2005)	[1, 3, 5]	BN (70)	N.K.	SA	100	N.K.	Spain
Wentz et al. (2005)	[1]	AN (37), HC (44)	N.K.	SA	95	16	Sweden
Carter et al. (2006)	[1, 2, 5]	AN-BP (41), AN-R (54)	Inpatients	SA	100	N.K.	Canada
Kugu et al. (2006)	[1]	BED/BN (21), HC (21)	Outpatients	EA, PA, SA	85	21	Turkey
Nickel et al. (2006)	[1, 3, 5]	BN (211)	N.K.	SA	100	18	Germany
Allison et al. (2007)	[1, 4]	BED (176), HC (38)	Outpatients	EA, PA, SA	79	45	USA
Claes & Vandereycken (2007)	[1, 2, 3, 5]	AN-BP (15), AN-R (22), BN (28)	Inpatients	PA, SA	100	22	Belgium
Corstorphine et al. (2007)	[1, 2, 3, 4, 5]	AN-BP (19), AN-R (23), BN (40), EDNOS (20)	N.K.	EA, PA, SA	99	29	UK
Feldman & Meyer (2007)	[1]	AN/BN (30), HC (163)	Outpatients	SA	0	N.K.	USA
Hepp et al. (2007)	[1]	AN (84), BN (152), EDNOS (41)	Mix	SA	100	28	Switzerland
Wonderlich et al. (2007)	[5]	BN (123)	Outpatients	EA, PA, SA	100	25	USA
Bardone-Cone et al. (2008)	[5]	BN (138)	N.K.	EA, PA, SA	100	26	USA
Cumella & Kally (2008, older)	[1]	Mix (302)	N.K.	SA	100	46	USA
Cumella & Kally (2008, younger)	[1]	Mix (302)	N.K.	SA	100	21	USA
Richardson et al. (2008)	[1, 5]	BN (89)	N.K.	PA, SA	100	17–49	Canada
Sanci et al. (2008)	[1, 2, 3]	AN (32), BN (35), HC (999)	Outpatients	SA	100	17	Australia
Steiger et al. (2008)	[1]	BN (90)	N.K.	PA, SA	100	25	Canada
Kong & Bernstein (2009)	[1, 5]	AN (29), BN (39), EDNOS (5)	N.K.	EA, PA, SA	97	24	South Korea
Favaro et al. (2010)	[1, 2]	AN (109), HC (554)	N.K.	PA/SA	100	~35	Italy
Mangweth-Matzek et al. (2010)	[1, 2, 3]	AN (9), BN (15), EDNOS (8), HC (43)	Mix	PA, SA	0	25	Austria

Table 1 (cont.)

Author, year	Analysis <sup>a</sup>	Diagnosis (n)	In/ outpatient	Maltreatment	% Female	Mean age	Country
Steiger <i>et al.</i> (2010)	[1, 2, 3]	AN-BP (8), AN-R (9), BN (108), EDNOS (13), HC (93)	Outpatients	EA, PA, SA	100	26	Canada
Becker & Grilo (2011)	[1, 4, 5]	BED (137)	Outpatients	EA, PA, SA	100	43	USA
Jaite <i>et al.</i> (2012)	[1, 2, 3]	AN-BP (27), AN-R (50), HC (44)	N.K.	EA, PA, SA	100	16	Germany
Sachs-Ericsson <i>et al.</i> (2012)	[1, 4]	BED (137), HC (2823)	Outpatients	PA, SA	58	42	USA
Backholm <i>et al.</i> (2013)	[1]	AN (891), BED (366), BN (1511), EDNOS (1760)	N.K.	PA, SA	97	26	Sweden
Castellini <i>et al.</i> (2013)	[1, 2, 3, 5]	AN (27), BN (31)	Outpatients	PA, SA	100	27	Italy
Tasca <i>et al.</i> (2013)	[1]	AN/BN/EDNOS (267)	N.K.	PA/SA	N.K.	27	Canada
Brewerton <i>et al.</i> (2014)	[1, 5]	BED/BN (139)	Outpatients	PA, SA	100	46	USA
Groleau <i>et al.</i> (2014)	[1]	BN (52)	Outpatients	PA, SA	100	22	Canada
Machado <i>et al.</i> (2014)	[1]	AN-R (58), AN-BP (28), HC (86), PC (86)	N.K.	EA, PA, SA	100	20	Portugal
Monteleone <i>et al.</i> (2015)	[1, 2, 3, 5]	AN (23), BN (21), HC (29)	Outpatients	EA, PA/SA	100	28	Italy
Racine & Wildes (2015)	[5]	AN (188)	Outpatients	EA, PA, SA	96	26	USA

AN, Anorexia nervosa; AN-BP, anorexia nervosa binge-purge subtype, AN-R, anorexia nervosa restrictive subtype; BED; binge-eating disorder, BN, bulimia nervosa; EA, emotional abuse (including emotional abuse and neglect and psychological abuse); HC, healthy controls; N.K. not known, PA, physical abuse; PC, psychiatric controls; SA, sexual abuse.

<sup>a</sup> This column indicates in which meta-analysis the study in the corresponding row is included:

- [1] Childhood maltreatment (CM) exposure in patients with an ED.
- [2] CM exposure in patients with AN *v.* that in HCs.
- [3] CM exposure in patients with BN *v.* that in HCs.
- [4] CM exposure in patients with BED/EDNOS *v.* that in HCs.
- [5] CM exposure and characteristics of EDs such as age at onset or psychiatric co-morbidity (for more information we refer to the Method section of the main text).

in CM exposure between ED and HC samples (e.g. in sexual, and physical maltreatment in samples of patients with AN of the restrictive subtype and ED-NOS, respectively). However, in all these instances that were based on a limited number of studies, the effect-size pointed in the direction of higher reported CM in ED samples. Table 3 provides an overview of the results of these analyses together with data on between-study heterogeneity and publication bias. Forest plots, by type of ED, are provided in Supplementary Figs S2–S5. For most pooled effect-size estimates there was little evidence for between-study heterogeneity or publication bias (see Table 3).

#### CM in EDs *v.* psychiatric reference samples: direct comparisons

Direct comparisons of CM prevalence rates in ED samples ( $N=1809$ ) *v.* psychiatric reference samples ( $k=36$ ,  $N=13\,186$ ; composed mostly of depressed and substance-dependent persons) showed that a history

of CM was more often reported in the EDs as relative to other psychiatric illnesses (OR 1.31, 95% CI 1.08–1.58,  $p<0.001$ ). There were no marked differences for type of CM or for type of ED. A forest plot is provided (Supplementary Fig. S6) by type of ED. There was evidence for between-study heterogeneity in outcome ( $I^2=55.7$ ,  $\chi^2=76.8$ ,  $p<0.001$ ) and publication bias (Egger's  $t=3.22$ ,  $p<0.01$ ). When we accounted for publication bias by means of trim-and-fill estimates, the difference in CM exposure was no longer significant.

#### Association between psychiatric co-morbidity and CM severity within ED patients

Within ED patients we assessed whether CM exposure was associated with the presence of psychiatric co-morbidity and suicidal/self-harm behavior. We found CM to be associated with a statistically significant increase in the odds on psychiatric co-morbidity and suicidal/self-harm behavior. Results by type of co-morbidity are provided in Table 4a and in the Supplementary

**Table 2.** Prevalence (in bold) of Child maltreatment (CM) exposure (by type) and estimated 95% CI and diagnostic status (by type)

	Sexual CM	Physical CM	Emotional CM	Double CM counts <sup>a</sup>
All EDs	<b>31%</b> (27–35%) (k = 121, N = 12 294)	<b>26%</b> (21–32%) (k = 63, N = 8620)	<b>45%</b> (38 – 54%) (k = 17, N = 1170)	<b>46%</b> (31 – 59%) (k = 13, N = 1173)
AN	<b>26%</b> (21–33%) (k = 42, N = 2689)	<b>17%</b> (11–25%) (k = 19, N = 1666)	<b>34%</b> (23–46%) (k = 8, N = 437)	No data available
AN binge-purge subtype	<b>37%</b> (30–45%) (k = 13, N = 424)	<b>25%</b> (16–35%) (k = 5, N = 117)	<b>48%</b> (34 – 62%) (k = 2, N = 46)	No data available
AN restrictive subtype	<b>19%</b> (12–27%) (k = 14, N = 553)	<b>17%</b> (9–30%) (k = 7, N = 251)	<b>24%</b> (18 – 32%) (k = 4, N = 182)	No data available
BN	<b>35%</b> (29–41%) (k = 45, N = 4395)	<b>33%</b> (23–45%) (k = 20, N = 2998)	<b>81%</b> (18–98%) (k = 2, N = 63)	<b>49%</b> (38–60%) (k = 3, N = 326)
BED	<b>24%</b> (16–33%) (k = 8, N = 1233)	<b>23%</b> (14–35%) (k = 8, N = 1233)	<b>59%</b> (48–70%) (k = 4, N = 574)	No data available
EDNOS	<b>21%</b> (9–45%) (k = 6, N = 2351)	<b>19%</b> (5–54%) (k = 4, N = 2193)	<b>45%</b> (–) <sup>b</sup> (k = 1, N = 20)	No data available
Mix EDs	<b>42%</b> (32–52%) (k = 20, N = 1626)	<b>41%</b> (33–50%) (k = 12, N = 530)	<b>46%</b> (35–57%) (k = 2, N = 83)	<b>40%</b> (16–56%) (k = 2, N = 122)
Healthy controls	<b>13%</b> (9–17%) (k = 32, N = 9245)	<b>10%</b> (7–15%) (k = 18, N = 6515)	<b>13%</b> (8–20%) (k = 9, N = 2737)	<b>7%</b> (1–35%) (k = 4, N = 1375)
Psychiatric controls	<b>27%</b> (19–38%) (k = 11, N = 4197)	<b>30%</b> (18–44%) (k = 8, N = 1639)	<b>21%</b> (8–46%) (k = 3, N = 847)	<b>12%</b> (5–30%) (k = 3, N = 1053)

AN, Anorexia nervosa; BN, bulimia nervosa; BED, binge-eating disorder; ED, Eating disorder; EDNOS, eating disorder not otherwise specified.

<sup>a</sup> Double maltreatment counts entails exposure to >1 type of CM (regardless of the exact CM type).

<sup>b</sup> No confidence interval could be calculated because only one estimate was available.

The number of studies and individuals given here in this table are not ‘unique’. Individuals may appear in various cells (e.g. subjects on which data is presented in an ‘emotional CM cell’ may also appear in a ‘sexual CM cell’. N’s thus do not add up to the unique N, just as the number of effect sizes does not add up to the number of studies.

material as a forest plot by type of ED (Supplementary Fig. S7). These associations were not due to a particular CM or ED type although statistical power to show differences may have been too low due to a relatively limited number of studies (range k = 7–16, range N = 497–2109). We did not detect evidence for between-study heterogeneity (p = 0.38), but publication bias was observed (Egger’s t = 2.4, p = 0.02). Effect-size estimates were not markedly different after we applied trim-and-fill estimates (data not shown).

**Association between ED psychopathology and CM exposure within ED patients**

We aggregated the reported associations between CM exposure and (i) severity of ED pathology measured on continuous scales such as the EAT, (ii) frequency of binge-purge episodes per week/month, (iii) age at ED onset, (iv) continuous scores on depression/anxiety severity within patients with an ED, and (v) the use of diuretics and laxatives. In general CM exposure was associated with higher severity of ED pathology, a larger number of binge/purge episodes, an earlier age at ED onset, higher symptom level of depression/anxiety, and a higher frequency of use of diuretics and laxatives (see Table 4b and forest plots; Supplementary Figs S8 and S9). In line with the idea that a history of CM is related with a more severe ED pathology was that prevalence rates of CM were in general higher in inpatients as compared to outpatients (prevalence rates of 0.45 v. 0.29 respectively, p for the difference <0.01).

**Association between psychiatric co-morbidity and severity measures of CM exposure within ED patients**

Forty-one effect-size estimates (N = 4683) used a continuous CM exposure measure (e.g. the sum score on the childhood trauma questionnaire) to predict general psychopathology (e.g. depression severity) and ED severity features (e.g. EAT score) within ED patients. Pooling these estimates showed that the severity of CM was positively correlated with continuous indices for depression and anxiety (weighted Pearson’s r = 0.27, 95% CI 0.10–0.53, k = 19, N = 2197) but not with ED severity features (r = 0.26, 95% CI –0.11 to 0.67, k = 13, N = 1067).

Given the limited amount of studies, we were not able to formally test whether the above-reported dose-response associations were particularly due to one type of CM or ED.

**Predictors of CM prevalence rates and between-study heterogeneity in outcomes**

By means of meta-regression analyses we identified sources of between-study heterogeneity in prevalence

**Table 3.** Child maltreatment (CM) exposure (overall and by type) and the odds (in bold) on ED (by type) v. healthy controls by type

	k	N	OR (95% CI)	Heterogeneity		Publication bias Egger's <i>t</i>
				<i>I</i> <sup>2</sup>	$\chi^2$	
<b>Overall CM</b>						
Any ED	96	34 521	<b>2.47</b> (2.15–2.84)***	57.4	222.6***	1.84
AN	33	5665	<b>2.25</b> (1.66–3.06)***	51.0	65.3**	0.34
Binge-purge subtype	11	852	<b>3.49</b> (1.66–7.33)***	63.7	22.0*	2.05*
Restrictive subtype	17	1571	<b>2.38</b> (1.42–3.99)*	45.1	25.5	1.96*
BED <sup>a</sup>	14	11 979	<b>2.23</b> (1.88–2.64)***	23.2	23.2*	0.9
BN	30	10 499	<b>3.05</b> (2.20–4.23)***	73.1	107.8***	2.08***
EDNOS <sup>a</sup>	2	304	<b>2.51</b> (0.70–9.05)	0.81	5.0*	n.a. <sup>b</sup>
Mix EDs	16	7704	<b>2.61</b> (2.01–3.38)***	6.3	17.1	0.62
<b>Sexual CM</b>						
Any ED	49	15 006	<b>2.23</b> (1.79–2.78)***	63.8	132.7***	1.92
AN	19	3251	<b>1.98</b> (1.31–2.98)***	61.0	46.1*	0.49
Binge-purge subtype	5	481	<b>3.09</b> (1.57–6.08)*	77.5	17.8**	2.1*
Restrictive subtype	7	715	<b>1.50</b> (0.89–2.54)	61	15.1	1.8
BED	5	3147	<b>1.88</b> (1.38–2.55)***	34.2	6.1	0.98
BN	16	4911	<b>2.57</b> (1.62–4.01)***	76.2	63.0**	1.13
EDNOS	1	152	<b>5.11</b> (1.94–13.64)**	n.a. <sup>b</sup>	n.a. <sup>b</sup>	n.a. <sup>b</sup>
Mix EDs	8	3516	<b>2.37</b> (1.53–2.68)***	29.7	9.9	0.55
<b>Physical CM</b>						
Any ED	32	10 347	<b>2.66</b> (2.18–3.25)***	29.3	43.8	1.40
AN	10	996	<b>2.42</b> (1.34–4.35)***	32.4	13.3	0.64
Binge-purge subtype	3	300	<b>3.06</b> (1.22–7.67)**	0.12	2.2	1.43
Restrictive subtype	5	538	<b>2.78</b> (1.13–6.78)*	33.5	6.0	0.98
BED	5	3147	<b>2.57</b> (1.99–3.31)***	16.0	4.8	0.40
BN	8	2771	<b>3.78</b> (2.25–6.32)***	52.6	14.8*	1.12
EDNOS	1	152	<b>1.38</b> (0.74–2.54)	n.a. <sup>b</sup>	n.a. <sup>b</sup>	n.a. <sup>b</sup>
Mix EDs	8	3516	<b>2.64</b> (1.82–3.82)**	1.0	6.2	0.17
<b>Emotional CM</b>						
Any ED	10	4594	<b>2.98</b> (2.30–3.87)***	25.0	11.9	0.81
AN	4	254	<b>3.81</b> (2.05–7.08)***	0.1	1.1	0.56
BED	3	2725	<b>2.44</b> (1.73–3.43)***	55.7	4.5	1.47
BN	2	1438	<b>5.13</b> (2.80–9.40)***	n.a. <sup>b</sup>	n.a. <sup>b</sup>	n.a. <sup>b</sup>
Mix EDs	1	42	<b>8.00</b> (0.92–69.72)	n.a. <sup>b</sup>	n.a. <sup>b</sup>	n.a. <sup>b</sup>
<i>No analyses were run for the AN subtypes, and ED-NOS given a lack of data</i>						
<b>Double CM counts<sup>c</sup></b>						
Any ED	5	4574	2.96 (1.512.55)*	0.2	0.9	0.22
<i>No analyses were run for Any ED, AN, the AN subtypes, ED-NOS, and Mix ED given a lack of data</i>						

AN, Anorexia nervosa; BN, bulimia nervosa; BED, binge-eating disorder; ED, eating disorder; EDNOS, eating disorder not otherwise specified; n.a., not available; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

<sup>a</sup> Although BED was subsumed in the EDNOS category in DSM-IV we decided to report data separately for these illnesses where possible because EDNOS is not necessarily BED.

<sup>b</sup> This could not be calculated because only 1 or 2 estimates were available.

<sup>c</sup> Double maltreatment counts entails exposure to >1 type of CM (regardless of the exact CM type).

\*Statistically significant at  $p < 0.05$ ; \*\*statistically significant at  $p < 0.01$ ; \*\*\*statistically significant at  $p < 0.001$ .

rates of CM. We found that a small part of the heterogeneity could be explained by (1) the methodological quality of a study; studies of higher quality reported somewhat lower prevalence rates ( $R^2 = 0.05$ ,  $p < 0.01$ );

(2) sample size; studies that used a larger sample size reported somewhat lower prevalence rates ( $R^2 = 0.07$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ), (3) a lower age threshold for maltreatment to count as CM; studies that applied a lower age

**Table 4.** Child maltreatment exposure, co-morbidity (part a), and eating disorder (ED) characteristics (part b) in ED patients

	k	N	OR (95% CI)	Heterogeneity		
				I <sup>2</sup>	χ <sup>2</sup>	Publication bias Egger's t
<i>(a) Psychiatric co-morbidity</i>						
Alcohol/substance dependence	8	990	1.81 (1.39 to 2.36)***	1.0	2.5	2.1
Axis I disorder	8	497	1.54 (1.08 to 2.20)*	2	6.0	1.3
Axis II disorder	7	550	2.33 (1.32 to 4.13)***	61.8	15.7* <sup>b</sup>	1.9
Suicidality/self-harm	16	2109	2.59 (2.02 to 3.31)***	32	21.5	0.8
			Hedges' g (95% CI)			
<i>(b) ED features</i>						
ED severity <sup>a</sup>	9	887	0.27 (0.14 to 0.41)***	85.8	63.3* <sup>b</sup>	1.3
No. of binge/purge episodes	22	1580	0.32 (0.22 to 0.42)***	40.8	35.5* <sup>b</sup>	1.5
Age at onset	4	175	-0.32 (-0.62 to 0.02)*	1.0	1.2	0.3
Depression/anxiety severity	7	546	0.67 (0.48 to 0.86)***	72.5	21.8* <sup>b</sup>	1.4
Diuretic/laxative use	4	583	0.35 (0.20 to 0.51)**	0.7	4.1	0.9

OR, Odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

<sup>a</sup> ED severity was measured eight times with the EAT (Mintz & O'Halloran, 2000), four times with the EDE (Luce & Crowther, 1999); three times with the EDI (Wear & Pratz, 1987) and one time with the BITE (Henderson & Freeman, 1987).

<sup>b</sup> In case there was between-study heterogeneity in outcomes, we tested whether this could be explained by the pooling together of several related but distinct constructs (e.g. different Axis II disorders or different questionnaires to measure ED severity with). Heterogeneity in outcomes, however, were in all cases independent of pooling constructs.

threshold (e.g. 16 *v.* 18 years age) reported somewhat lower prevalence rates ( $R^2=0.03$ ,  $p<0.01$ ), and (4) year of publication; studies that were published more recently also yielded somewhat lower prevalence rates ( $R^2=0.04$ ,  $p<0.01$ ). Besides, prevalence rates of CM were in general somewhat lower when they were acquired by means of an interview *v.* self-report questionnaire (prevalence rates of CM of 0.28 *v.* 0.34 respectively,  $p<0.05$ ). Mean age of the sample at assessment, gender distribution of a particular study, and whether the data was gathered in a Western *v.* a non-Western culture were not related to between-study heterogeneity in prevalence rates.

There were no statistically significant associations between the pre-specified moderators and between-study variation in OR estimates on the association between CM in ED samples *v.* HC and PC reference samples.

## Discussion

The purpose of this study was to provide a quantitative overview of studies that report on the association between CM exposure (including type and severity) and a lifetime diagnosis of an ED (including type and severity parameters). Eighty-two studies, reporting on 13 059 individuals with an ED, 15 092 HC and 7736 PC subjects were pooled. This pool of data, the largest of its kind to date, indicated that reported CM, regardless of type (emotional, physical, or sexual),

was strongly associated with the presence of all types of EDs. Overall, the lifetime prevalence rates of CM ranged from 1–35% in HCs, 5–46% in PCs, and 21–59% in individuals with any ED. The difference in prevalence rates of CM between patients with an ED and HCs is largely in line with the findings of previous meta-analyses (Rind *et al.* 1998; Smolak & Murnen, 2002; Chen *et al.* 2010; Caslini *et al.* 2016). Some discrepancies were found when considering the specific types of CM. Caslini *et al.* (2016) for instance did not find a significant difference with respect to the prevalence of emotional CM among ED patients *v.* HCs whereas we do. The reason for this discrepancy probably is statistical power; we report on 10 studies that assessed this effect and Caslini *et al.* (2016) reported on six. Besides, their estimated point estimate on the association between emotional CM and EDs (OR range 2.13–4.15) lies within the CI that we estimate on this association (i.e. 2.05–7.08). In addition, we found that the associations between CM and ED were particularly strong for BN, BED and for AN of the *binge-purge* subtype, whereas this seemed to be less the case for AN of the restrictive subtype. This is in line with the finding that ED patients with CM reported more bingeing and purging. Our findings that ED patients reporting CM had an earlier age at ED onset, suffered a more severe form of the illness, and binge-purged more often as compared to their non-maltreated counterparts is new. Also new is the finding that individuals with an ED reporting CM were more likely to be diagnosed

with a co-morbid psychiatric disorder and to be suicidal relative to ED patients who did not report exposure to CM is new. The finding of higher psychiatric co-morbidity in CM exposed patients with EDs is remarkable because this kind of co-morbidity tends to be the rule rather than the exception in individuals with EDs (Ulfvebrand *et al.* 2015; Keski-Rahkonen & Mustelin, 2016). The fact that both CM exposed and non-exposed groups allegedly have similar co-morbidities makes a potential difference between the two less likely to be detected.

We found some evidence suggesting higher prevalence rates of CM in patients with an ED compared to PCs. This finding, however, did not remain statistically significant when we corrected for the likely presence of publication bias. So, the difference in CM exposure between patients with an ED as compared to PCs may reflect the effect of some small-scale studies reporting overly large effect-size estimates (and hence are more likely to get published). In general, these *new* findings should be considered with some caution because with each further breakdown of variables (i.e. subtypes of eating disorder, trauma type, or severity measure) analyses were run on smaller number of studies, and results become less reliable (Cochrane Community Handbook, 2016).

The consistency of our findings across most types of EDs and forms of maltreatment (see the forest plots), the strength and dose-response nature of the association, and the likely temporal precedence suggests a causal link of CM exposure on ED pathology. Nevertheless, in the absence of longitudinal and experimental data we cannot prove this here (Hill, 1965). Furthermore, a large part of between-study heterogeneity in outcome could not be explained.

The mechanisms that may underlie the observed associations remain elusive. Moreover, the current meta-analytical approach cannot shed much light on the underlying processes. Yet, the outcomes do underline the importance of further elucidating the processes linking CM and EDs, with a particular focus on the associations with bingeing and purging behavior in the context of the enhanced negative affect (i.e. depression, suicidality and anxiety) and co-morbidity in EDs patients with reported CM. Clinically, it is important to elucidate whether similar or specific processes are at stake in patients with a history of CM compared to patients who do not report this (i.e. maladaptive emotion regulation styles (i.e. distorted cognitive schemas of self and body dissatisfaction, and dissociation), and whether this is further dependent on the type of CM a patient has been exposed to. Body dissatisfaction and dissociation may, for example, be specifically at stake in patients with histories of sexual CM (Dunkley *et al.* 2010; Muehlenkamp *et al.* 2011;

Duarte *et al.* 2016; Preti *et al.* 2016), while emotion dysregulation in general may be a process that is particularly prominent in individuals with a history of emotional CM (Michopoulos *et al.* 2015; Moulton *et al.* 2015; Racine & Wildes, 2015). In addition, research on dysregulations in stress-sensitive neurobiological systems, including the HPA-axis and serotonin and dopamine systems is also needed to further elucidate the key neurobiological processes underlying the link between CM and EDs (Kaye *et al.* 2013a, b; Nemeroff, 2016).

### *Strengths and limitations*

In addition to the use of meta-analytical techniques, this study has as strength that it is based on large sample sizes of diagnosed ED subjects and controls and the identification of the type and severity of CM. In addition, unlike previous meta-analyses, rates of CM were also examined in a non-ED psychiatric comparison groups and dose-response associations were assessed at the predictor and outcome level.

A limitation of our work is that prospective studies were not available and mediators of the associations of interest could not be assessed by means of meta-analysis. Moreover, counting as limitation is that we were not able to model the effect of CM exposure on ED course (e.g. duration of illness). A factor that might limit the generalizability of our findings is that most studies solely included women. Hence our results may not generalize to men with EDs. Furthermore, our findings may not generalize to the child and adolescent ED population.

### *Clinical implications*

Our results may have clinical implications. CM exposure obviously conveys important information on who is at risk for developing ED although between-study and between-subject variability in risk-estimates exist. Public health efforts will do well by prioritizing a reduction of CM prevalence and as such decrease the burden caused by the EDs (Fairburn & Harrison, 2003; Arcelus *et al.* 2011; Mischoulon *et al.* 2011; Mitchell *et al.* 2012; Smink *et al.* 2013; Yao *et al.* 2016) by depression (Nanni *et al.* 2012), substance abuse, suicide, and anxiety (Norman *et al.* 2012; Mason *et al.* 2014; Yao *et al.* 2016). Moreover, the importance of CM exposure should also be addressed in the clinical setting. As shown above, reported CM is indicative of a more severe clinical profile. Moreover, one study that we know of accounted for the impact of CM on ED treatment outcome and found that ED patients exposed to CM improve less as compared to those who were not exposed (Vrabel *et al.* 2010; Norman *et al.* 2012), a phenomenon that is well-documented

in the treatment of depression (Nanni *et al.* 2012). Standard assessment of CM exposure and additional treatment interventions for those reporting CM, such as enhancing emotion regulation strategies and/or focused trauma treatment may increase the rather low treatment responses in ED patients.

## Conclusions

We performed the most comprehensive meta-analysis of the association between CM exposure and EDs to date. We found that CM, regardless of whether it is emotional, sexual and/or physical in nature, was strongly associated with the presence of all types EDs and severity parameters that characterize these illnesses, often in a dose dependent manner. Notwithstanding strong and consistent associations, unexplained between-study heterogeneity in outcomes remained for most of the associations that we observed. This implies between-study and probably between-subject variability in risk estimates that need to be explained in future work on this important topic.

## Supplementary material

The supplementary material for this article can be found at <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291716003561>.

## Acknowledgements

This work is supported by Leiden University, The Netherlands (Molendijk). The funder had no role in the design and conduct of the study, the interpretation of the data, approval of the manuscript, and the decision to submit the manuscript for publication. We thank Majorie van Seggelen, Marit Oosterom, and Vera Kempen for their assistance with data collection.

## Declaration of Interest

None.

## References

- Anderson KP, Laporte D, Brandt H, Crawford S (1997). Sexual abuse and bulimia: response to inpatient treatment and preliminary outcome. *Journal of Psychiatric Research* **31**, 621–633.
- Allison KC, Grilo CM, Masheb RM, Stunkard AJ (2007). High self-reported rates of neglect and emotional abuse, by persons with binge eating disorder and night eating syndrome. *Behaviour Research and Therapy* **45**, 2874–2883.
- APA (1980). *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*, 3th edn. American Psychiatric Association: Washington, DC.
- APA (2000). *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*, 4th edn. American Psychiatric Association: Washington, DC.
- APA (2013). *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*, 5th edn. American Psychiatric Association: Washington, DC.
- Arcelus J, Mitchell AJ, Wales J, Nielsen S (2011). Mortality rates in patients with anorexia nervosa and other eating disorders: a meta-analysis of 36 studies. *Archives of General Psychiatry* **68**, 724–731.
- Backholm K, Isomaa R, Birgegård A (2013). The prevalence and impact of trauma history in eating disorder patients. *European Journal of Psychotraumatology* **4**, 1–8.
- Bardone-Cone AM, Maldonado CR, Crosby RD, Mitchell JE, Wonderlich SA, Joiner Jr. TE *et al.* (2008). Revisiting differences in individuals with bulimia nervosa with and without a history of anorexia nervosa: eating pathology, personality, and maltreatment. *International Journal of Eating Disorders* **41**, 697–704.
- Basurte E, Díaz-Marsá M, Martín O, Carrasco JL (2004). Traumatic childhood background, impulsiveness and hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal dysfunction in eating disorders; a pilot study. *Actas Españolas Psiquiátricas* **32**, 149–152.
- Becker DF, Grilo CM (2011). Childhood maltreatment in women with binge-eating disorder: associations with psychiatric comorbidity, psychological functioning, and eating pathology. *Eating and Weight Disorders* **16**, 113–120.
- Borenstein M, Hedges LV, Higgins JPT (2009). *Introduction to Meta-Analyses*. Chichester Wiley: NH, USA.
- Brewerton TD (2007). Eating disorders, trauma, and comorbidity: focus on PTSD. *Eating Disorders* **15**, 285–304.
- Brewerton TD, Rance SJ, Dansky BS, O'Neil PM, Kilpatrick DG (2014). A comparison of women with child-adolescent versus adult onset binge eating: results from the National Women's Study. *International Journal of Eating Disorders* **47**, 836–843.
- Brown L, Russell J, Thornton C, Dunn S (1997). Experiences of physical and sexual abuse in Australian general practice attenders and an eating disordered population. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry* **31**, 398–404.
- Carter JC, Bewell C, Blackmore E, Woodside DB (2006). The impact of childhood abuse in anorexia nervosa. *Child Abuse Neglect* **30**, 257–269.
- Casper RC, Lyubomirsky S (1997). Individual psychopathology relative to reports of unwanted sexual experiences as predictor of a bulimic eating pattern. *International Journal of Eating Disorders* **21**, 229–236.
- Castellini G, Lo Suaro C, Lelli L, Godini L, Vignozzi L, Rellini AH, Faravelli C, Maggi M, Ricca V (2013). Childhood sexual abuse moderates the relationship between sexual functioning and eating disorder psychopathology in anorexia nervosa: a 1-year follow-up study. *International Society of Sexual Medicine* **10**, 2190–2200.
- Claes L, Vandereycken W (2007). Is there a link between traumatic experiences and self-injurious behaviors in eating-disordered patients? *Treatment and Prevention* **15**, 305–315.

- Corstorphine E, Waller G, Lawson R, Ganis C (2007). Trauma and multiple-impulsivity in the eating disorders. *Eating Behaviour* 8, 23–30.
- Cumella EJ, Kally Z (2008). Comparison of middle-age and young women inpatients with eating disorders. *Eating and Weight Disorders* 13, 183–190.
- Caslini M, Bartoli F, Crocamo C, Dakanalis A, Clerici M, Carrà G (2016). Disentangling the association between child abuse and eating disorders: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychosomatic Medicine* 78, 79–90.
- Chen LP, Murad MH, Paras ML, Colbenson KM, Sattler AL, Goranson EN, Elamin MB, Seime RJ, Shinozaki G, Prokop LJ, Zirikzadeh A (2010). Sexual abuse and lifetime diagnosis of psychiatric disorders: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Mayo Clinic Proceedings* 85, 618–629.
- Cochrane Community Handbook (2016) (<http://community.cochrane.org/handbook>). Accessed 10 February 2016.
- Deep AL, Lilienfeld LR, Plotnicov KH, Pollice C, Kaye WH (1999). Sexual abuse in eating disorder subtypes and control women: the role of comorbid substance dependence in bulimia nervosa. *International Journal of Eating Disorders* 25, 1–10.
- Duarte C, Ferreira C, Pint-Gouveia J (2016). At the core of eating disorders: overvaluation, social rank, self-criticism and shame in anorexia, bulimia and binge eating disorder. *Comprehensive Psychiatry* 66, 123–131.
- Dunkley DM, Masheb RM, Grilo CM (2010). Childhood maltreatment, depressive symptoms, and body dissatisfaction in patients with binge eating disorder: the mediating role of self-criticism. *International Journal of Eating Disorders* 43, 274–281.
- Duval S, Tweedie RL (2000). A nonparametric trim and fill method of accounting for publication bias in meta-analysis. *Journal of the American Statistical Society* 95, 89–98.
- Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M, Minder C (1997). Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. *British Medical Journal* 315, 629–634.
- Fairburn CG, Welch SL, Doll HA, Davies BA, O'Conner ME (1997). Risk Factors for Bulimia Nervosa. *Archives of General Psychiatry* 54, 509–517.
- Fairburn CG, Doll HA, Welch SL, Hay PJ, Davies BA, O'Connor ME (1998). Risk factors for binge eating disorders: a community-based, case control study. *Archives of General Psychiatry* 55, 425–432.
- Favaro A, Santonastaso P (1997). Suicidality in eating disorders: clinical and psychological correlates. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica* 95, 508–514.
- Favaro A, Grave D, Santonastaso P (1998). Impact of a history of physical and sexual abuse in eating disordered and asymptomatic subjects. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica* 97, 358–363.
- Favaro A, Tenconi E, Santonastaso P (2010). The interaction between perinatal factors and childhood abuse in the risk of developing anorexia nervosa. *Psychological Medicine* 40, 657–665.
- Feldman MB, Meyer IH (2007). Childhood abuse and eating disorders in gay and bisexual men. *International Journal of Eating Disorders* 49, 418–423.
- Folsom V, Krahn D, Nairn K, Gold L, Demitrack MA, Silk KR (1993). The impact of sexual and physical abuse on eating disordered and psychiatric symptoms: a comparison of eating disordered and psychiatric inpatients. *International Journal of Eating Disorders* 13, 249–257.
- Friedman MA, Wilfley DE, Welch RR, Kuncze JT (1997). Self-directed hostility and family functioning in normal-weight bulimics and overweight binge eaters. *Addictive Behaviour* 22, 367–375.
- Fullerton DT, Wonderlich SA, Gosnell BA (1995). Clinical characteristics of eating disorder patients who report sexual or physical abuse. *International Journal of Eating Disorders* 17, 243–249.
- Fairburn CG, Harrison PJ (2003). Eating disorders. *Lancet* 361, 407–416.
- Garfinkel PE, Lin E, Goering P, Spegg C, Goldbloom DS, Kennedy SKaplan AS, Woodside D. (1995). Bulimia nervosa in a Canadian community sample: prevalence and comparison of subgroups. *American Journal of Psychiatry* 152, 1052–1058.
- Grilo CM, Masheb RM (2001). Childhood psychological, physical, and sexual maltreatment in outpatients with binge eating disorder: frequency and associations with gender, obesity, and eating related psychopathology. *Obesity Research* 9, 320–325.
- Grilo CM, Masheb RM (2002). Childhood maltreatment and personality disorders in adult patients with binge eating disorder. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica* 106, 183–188.
- Groleau P, Joobar R, Israel M, Zeramdini N, DeGuzman R, Steiger H (2014). Methylation of the dopamine D2 receptor (DRD2) gene promotor in women with bulimia-spectrum disorder: associations with borderline personality disorder and exposure to childhood abuse. *Journal of Psychiatric Research* 48, 121–127.
- Hart J, Waller G (2002). Child abuse, dissociation, and core beliefs in bulimic disorders. *Child Abuse and Neglect* 26, 923–938.
- Herzog Db, Staley JE, Carmody S, Robbins WM, van der Kolk BA (1993). Childhood sexual abuse in anorexia nervosa and bulimia nervosa: a pilot study. *Journal of the American Academy of Child Psychiatry* 32, 962–966.
- Henderson M, Freeman CP (1987). A self-rating scale for bulimia. The 'BITE'. *The British Journal of Psychiatry* 150(1), 18–24.
- Hepp U, Spindler A, Schnyder U, Kraemer B, Milos G (2007). Post-traumatic stress disorder in women with eating disorders. *Eating and Weight Disorders* 12, 24–27.
- Jaite C, Schneider N, Hilbert A, Pfeiffer E, Lehmkuhl U, Salbach-Andrae H (2012). Etiological role of childhood emotional trauma and neglect in adolescent anorexia nervosa: a cross-sectional questionnaire analysis. *Psychopathology* 45, 61–66.
- Haidich AB (2010) Meta-analysis in medical research. *Hippokratia* 14S, 29.
- Hedges LV, Olkin I (1985). *Statistical Method for Meta-Analysis*. Princeton, NJ, USA. Academic Press.
- Higgins JPT, Thompson SG (2002). Quantifying heterogeneity in meta-analysis. *Statistics in Medicine* 21, 1539–1558.
- Hill AB (1965). The environment and disease: association or causation? *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Medicine* 58, 295–300.

- Karwautz A, Rabe-Hesketh S, Hu X, Zhao J, Sham P, Collier DA, Treasure JL** (2001). Individual-specific risk factors for anorexia nervosa: a pilot study using a discordant sister-pair design. *Psychological Medicine* **31**, 317–329.
- Kaye WH, Wierenga CE, Bailer UF, Simmons AN, Bischoff-Grethe A** (2013a). Nothing tastes as good as skinny feels: the neurobiology of anorexia nervosa. *Trends in Neuroscience* **36**, 110–120.
- Kaye WH, Wierenga CE, Bailer UF, Simmons AN, Wagner A, Bischoff-Grethe A** (2013b). Does a shared neurobiology for foods and drugs of abuse contribute to extremes of food ingestion in anorexia and bulimia nervosa? *Biological Psychiatry* **73**, 836–842.
- Kern J, Hastings T** (1995). Differential family environment of bulimics and victims of childhood sexual abuse: achievement orientation. *Journal of Clinical Psychology* **51**, 499–506.
- Kong S, Bernstein K** (2009). Childhood trauma as a predictor of eating psychopathology and its mediating variables in patients with eating disorders. *Journal of Clinical Nursing* **18**, 1897–1907.
- Kugu N, Akyuz G, Dogan O, Ersan E, Izzic F** (2006). The prevalence of eating disorders among University students and the relationship with some individual characteristics. *Aus NZ Journal Psychiatry* **40**, 129–135.
- Kent A, Waller G** (2000). Childhood emotional abuse and eating psychopathology. *Clinical Psychology Review* **20**, 887–903.
- Keski-Rahkonen A, Mustelin L** (2016). Epidemiology of eating disorders in Europe: prevalence, incidence, comorbidity, course, consequences, and risk factors. *Current Opinion in Psychiatry* **29**, 340–345.
- Klump KL, Wonderlich S, Lehoux P, Lilienfeld LRR, Bulik CM** (2002). Does environment matter? A review of non-shared environment and eating disorders. *International Journal of Eating Disorders* **31**, 118–132.
- Léonard S, Steiger H, Kao A** (2003). Childhood and adulthood abuse in bulimic and nonbulimic women: prevalence and psychological correlates. *International Journal of Eating Disorders* **33**, 397–405.
- Lockwood R, Lawson R, Waller G** (2004). Compulsive features in the eating disorders: a role for trauma. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease* **192**, 247–249.
- Luce KH, Crowther JH, Pole M** (2008). Eating Disorder Examination Questionnaire (EDE-Q): norms for undergraduate women. *International Journal of Eating Disorders* **41**, 273–276.
- Mahon J, Bradley NS, Harvey PK, Winston AP, Palmer RL** (2001). Childhood trauma has dose effect relationship with dropping out from psychotherapeutic treatment for bulimia nervosa: a replication. *International Journal of Eating Disorders* **30**, 138–148.
- Machado BC, Gonçalves SF, Martins C, Hoek HW, Machado PP** (2014). Risk factors and antecedent life events in the development of anorexia nervosa: A Portuguese case-control study. *European Eating Disorders Reviews* **22**, 243–251.
- Mangweth-Matzek B, Rupp CI, Hausmann A, Gusmerotti S, Kemmler G, Biebl W** (2010). Eating disorders in men: current features and childhood factors. *Eating and Weight Disorders* **15**, 15–22.
- Monteleone AM, Monteleone P, Serino I, Scognamiglio P, Di Genio M, Maj M** (2015). Childhood trauma and cortisol awakening response in symptomatic patients with anorexia nervosa and bulimia nervosa. *International Journal of Eating Disorders* **48**(6), 615–621.
- Matsunaga H, Kaye WH, McConaha CRN, Plotnicov K, Pollice CBA, Rao R, Stein D** (1999). Psychopathological characteristics of recovered bulimics who have a history of physical or sexual abuse. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease* **187**, 472–477.
- Michopoulos V, Powers A, Moore C, Villarreal S, Ressler KJ, Bradley B** (2015). The mediating role of emotion dysregulation and depression on the relationship between childhood trauma exposure and emotional eating. *Appetite* **91**, 129–136.
- Mintz LB, O'halloran MS** (2000). The eating attitudes test: validation with DSM-IV eating disorder criteria. *Journal of Personality Assessment* **74**, 489–503.
- Mischoulon D, Eddy KT, Keshaviah A, Dinescu D, Ross SL, Kass AE, Franko DL, Herzog DB** (2011). Depression and eating disorders: treatment and course. *Journal of Affective Disorders* **130**, 470–477.
- Mitchell KS, Mazzeo SE, Schlesinger MR, Brewerton TD, Smith BN** (2012). Comorbidity of partial and subthreshold PTSD among men and women with eating disorders in the national comorbidity survey-replication. *International Journal of Eating Disorders* **45**, 307–315.
- Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG** (2009) Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the prisma statement. *Annals of Internal Medicine* **151**, 264–269.
- Moulton SJ, Newman E, Power K, Swanson V, Day K** (2015). Childhood trauma and eating psychopathology: a mediating role for dissociation and emotion dysregulation? *Child Abuse and Neglect* **39**, 167–174.
- Muehlenkamp JJ, Claes L, Smits D, Peat CM, Vandereycken W** (2011). Non-suicidal self-injury in eating disordered patients: a test of a conceptual model. *Psychiatry Research* **188**, 102–108.
- Nagata H, Kaye WH, Kiriki N, Rao R, Plotnicov KH** (2001). Physical and sexual abuse histories in patients with eating disorders: A comparison of Japanese and American patients. *Psychiatry and Clinical Neuroscience* **55**, 333–340.
- Nickel C, Simek M, Moleda A, Muehlbacher M, Buschmann W, Fartacek RBachler E, Egger C, Rother WK, Loew TH, Nickel MK** (2006). Suicide attempts versus suicidal ideation in bulimic female adolescents. *Pediatrics International* **48**, 374–381.
- Nanni V, Uher R, Danese A** (2012). Childhood maltreatment predicts unfavorable course of illness and treatment outcome in depression: a meta-analysis. *American Journal of Psychiatry* **169**, 141–151.
- Nemeroff CB** (2016). Paradise lost: the neurobiological and clinical consequences of child abuse and neglect. *Neuron* **89**, 892–909.
- Norman RE, Byambaa M, De R, Butchart A, Scott J, Vos T** (2012). The long-term health consequences of child physical abuse, emotional abuse, and neglect: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS Medicine* **9**, e1001349.

- Olivardia R, Pope HG, Mangweth B, Hudson JL** (1995). Eating disorders in college men. *American Journal of Psychiatry* **152**, 1279–1285.
- Oppenheimer R, Howells K, Palmer RL, Chaloner DA** (1985). Adverse sexual experience in childhood and clinical eating disorders: a preliminary description. *Journal of Psychiatric Research* **19**, 357–361.
- Palmer RL, Oppenheimer R, Dignon A, Chaloner DA, Howells K** (1990). Childhood sexual experiences with adults reported by women with eating disorders: an extended series. *British Journal of Psychiatry* **156**, 699–703.
- Pitts C, Waller G** (1993). Self-denigratory beliefs following sexual abuse: association with the symptomatology of bulimic disorders. *International Journal of Eating Disorders* **13**, 407–410.
- Pope HG, Mangweth B, Brooking Negrão MA, Hudson JI, Cordas TA** (1994). Childhood sexual abuse and bulimia nervosa: a comparison of American, Austrian, and Brazilian women. *American Journal of Psychiatry* **151**, 732–737.
- Preti A, Incani E, Camboni MV, Petretto DR, Masala C** (2016). Sexual and eating disorder symptoms: the mediator role of bodily dissatisfaction. *Comprehensive Psychiatry* **47**, 475–481.
- Racine SE, Wildes JE** (2015). Emotion dysregulation and anorexia nervosa: an exploration of the role of childhood abuse. *International Journal of Eating Disorders* **48**, 55–58.
- Rayworth BB, Wise LA, Harlow BL** (2004). Childhood abuse and risk of eating disorders in women. *Epidemiology* **15**, 271–278.
- Rind B, Tromovitch P, Bauserman R** (1998). A meta-analytic examination of assumed properties of child sexual abuse using college samples. *Psychological Bulletin* **124**, 22–53.
- Röhr S, Dölemeyer R, Klinitzke G, Steinig J, Wagner B, Kersting A** (2015). Kindesmisshandlung bei binge eating Störung: ein systematischer Literaturüberblick. *Psychiatrische Praxis* **42**, 125–132.
- Romans SE, Gendall KA, Martin JL, Mullen PE** (2001). Child sexual abuse and later disordered eating: a New Zealand epidemiological study. *International Journal of Eating Disorders* **29**, 380–392.
- Rorty M, Yager J, Rossotto E** (1994). Childhood sexual, physical, and psychological abuse and their relationship to comorbid psychopathology in bulimia nervosa. *International Journal of Eating Disorders* **21**, 317–334.
- Richardson J, Steiger H, Schmitz N, Joober R, Bruce KR, Israel M, Gauvin L, Anestin AS, Dandurand C, Howard H, de Guzman R** (2008). Relevance of the 5-HTTLPR polymorphism and childhood abuse to increased psychiatric comorbidity in women with bulimia spectrum disorders. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry* **69**, 981–990.
- Root MPP, Fallon P** (1988). The incidence of victimization experiences in a bulimic sample. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence* **3**, 161–173.
- Sanci L, Coffey C, Olsson C, Reid S, Carlin JB, Patton G** (2008). Childhood sexual abuse and eating disorders in females. Findings from the Victorian adolescent health cohort study. *Archives of Pediatric and Adolescent Medicine* **162**, 261–267.
- Steiger H, Zanko M** (1990). Sexual traumata among eating-disordered, psychiatric, and normal female groups. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence* **5**, 74–86.
- Steiger H, Richardson J, Joober R, Israel M, Bruce KR, Ying Kin NMK, Howard H, Anestin A, Dandurand C, Gauvin L** (2008). Dissocial behavior, the 5HTTLPR polymorphism, and maltreatment in women with bulimic syndromes. *American Journal of Medical Genetics part B (Neuropsychiatric Genetics)* **147B**, 128–130.
- Steiger H, Richardson J, Schmitz N, Israel M, Bruce KR, Gauvin L** (2010). Trait-defined eating disorder subtypes and history of childhood abuse. *International Journal of Eating Disorders* **43**, 428–423.
- Sachs-Ericsson N, Keel PK, Selby EA, Verona E, Coughle JR, Palmer E** (2012). Parental disorders, childhood abuse, and binge eating in a large community sample. *International Journal of Eating Disorders* **45**, 316–325.
- Stuart GW, Laraia MT, Ballenger JC, Lydiard RB** (1990). Early family experiences of women with bulimia and depression. *Archives of Psychiatric Nursing* **4**, 43–52.
- Schmidt UH, Tiller J, Treasure JL** (1993). Setting the scene for eating disorders: childhood care, classification and course of illness. *Psychological Medicine* **23**, 663–672.
- Steiger H, Léonard S, Kin NMK, Ladouceur C, Ramdoyal D, Young SN** (2000). Childhood abuse and platelet tritiated-paroxetine binding in bulimia nervosa: implications for borderline personality disorder. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry* **61**, 427–435.
- Schmidt U, Evans K, Tiller J, Treasure J** (1995). Puberty, sexual milestones and abuse: how are they related in eating disorder patients. *Psychological Medicine* **25**, 413–417.
- Sullivan PF, Bulik CM, Carter FA, Joyce PR** (1995). The significance of a history of childhood sexual abuse in bulimia nervosa. *British Journal of Psychiatry* **167**, 679–682.
- Steiger H, Jabalpurwala S, Champagne J** (1996). Axis II comorbidity and developmental adversity in bulimia nervosa. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease* **184**, 555–560.
- Schoemaker C, Smit F, van Bijl R, Vollebergh WAM** (2002). Bulimia Nervosa following psychological and multiple child abuse: support for the self-medication hypothesis in a population-based cohort study. *International Journal of Eating Disorders* **32**, 381–388.
- Striegel-Moore RH, Dohm F-A, Pike KM, Wifley DE, Fairburn CG** (2002). Abuse, bullying, and discrimination as risk factors for binge eating disorder. *American Journal of Psychiatry* **159**, 1902–1907.
- Steiger H, Gauvin L, Israël M, Kin NMKN, Young SN, Roussin J** (2004). Serotonin function, personality variations and childhood abuse in women with bulimia-spectrum eating disorders. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry* **65**, 830–837.
- Tasca GA, Ritchie K, Zachariades F, Proulx G, Trinneer A, Balfour L, Demidenko N, Hayden G, Wong A, Bissada H** (2013). Attachment insecurity mediates the relationship between childhood trauma and eating disorder psychopathology in a clinical sample: a structural equation model. *Child Abuse and Neglect* **37**, 926–933.
- Smink FRE, Van Hoeken D, Hoek HW** (2013). Epidemiology, course and outcome of eating disorders. *Current Opinion in Psychiatry* **26**, 543–548.

- Smolak L, Murnen SK** (2002). A meta-analytic examination of the relationship between child sexual abuse and eating disorders. *International Journal of Eating Disorders* **31**, 136–150.
- StataCorp** (2013). *Stata Statistical Software: Release 13*. StataCorp LP: College Station, TX.
- Trace SE, Baker JH, Peñas-Lledó E, Bulik CM** (2013). The genetics of eating disorders. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology* **9**, 589–562.
- Ulfvebrand S, Birgegård A, Norring C, Högdahl L, Hausswolff-Juhlin Y** (2015). Psychiatric comorbidity in women and men with eating disorders results from a large clinical database. *Psychiatry Research* **230**, 294–299.
- Vrabel KR, Hoffart A, Rø Ø, Martinsen EW, Rosenvinge JH** (2010). Co-occurrence of avoidant personality disorder and child sexual abuse predicts poor outcome in long-standing eating disorder. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology* **119**, 623–629.
- Van Gerko K, Hughes ML, Hamil M, Waller G** (2005). Reported childhood sexual abuse and eating-disordered cognitions and behaviors. *Child Abuse and Neglect* **18**, 375–382.
- Vaz Leal FJ, Guisado Marcias JA, Garcia-Herraiz MA, López Vinuesa B, Monge Bautista M, Bolívar Perálvarez M** (2005). History of sexual abuse in patients with bulimia nervosa: its influence on clinical status. *Actas Españolas Psiquiátricas* **33**, 135–140.
- Waller G** (1994). Childhood sexual abuse and borderline personality disorder in the eating disorders. *Child Abuse and Neglect* **18**, 97–101.
- Waller G** (1998). Perceived control in eating disorders: relationship with reported sexual abuse. *International Journal of Eating Disorders* **23**, 213–216.
- Waller G** (1992). Sexual abuse and the severity of bulimic symptoms. *British Journal of Psychiatry* **161**, 90–93.
- Waller G, Meyer C, Ohanian V, Elliott P, Dickson C, Sellings J** (2001). The psychopathology of bulimic women who report childhood sexual abuse: the mediating role of core beliefs. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease* **189**, 700–708.
- Webster JJ, Palmer RL** (2000). The childhood and family background of women with clinical eating disorders: a comparison with women with major depression and women without psychiatric disorder. *Psychological Medicine* **30**, 53–60.
- Wentz E, Gillberg IC, Gillberg G, Råstman M** (2005). Fertility and history of sexual abuse at 1-year follow-up of adolescent-onset anorexia nervosa. *International Journal of Eating Disorders* **37**, 294–298.
- Welch SL, Doll HA, Fairburn CG** (1997). Life events and the onset of bulimia nervosa. *Psychological Medicine* **27**, 515–522.
- Wonderlich SA, Rosenfeldt S, Crosby RD, Mitchell JE, Engel SG, Smyth J, Miltenberger R** (2007). The effects of childhood trauma on daily mood lability and comorbid psychopathology in bulimia nervosa. *Journal of Traumatic Stress* **20**, 77–87.
- Wear RW, Pratz O** (1987). Test-retest reliability for the eating disorder inventory. *International Journal of Eating Disorders* **6**, 767–769.
- Wells GA, Shea B, O'Connell D** (2016). The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) for assessing the quality non-randomised studies in meta-analyses. Ottawa Healthcare Institute (<http://www.ohri.ca>). Accessed 2 February 2016.
- WHO** (2016a). *International Classification of Diseases*. World Health Organization: Geneva.
- WHO** (2016b). Childhood abuse (2016). ([http://www.who.int/topics/child\\_abuse/en/](http://www.who.int/topics/child_abuse/en/)). World Health Organization. Accessed 3 January 2016.
- Yao S, Kuja-Halkola R, Thornton LM, Runfola CD, D'Onofrio BM, Almqvist C, Lichtenstein P, Sjölander A, Larsson H, Bulik CM** (2016). Familial liability for eating disorders and suicide attempts: evidence from a population registry in Sweden. *JAMA Psychiatry* **73**, 284–291.