



Universiteit  
Leiden  
The Netherlands

## Comparative genomics of the balanced lethal system in *Triturus newts*

France, J.M.

### Citation

France, J. M. (2025, April 3). *Comparative genomics of the balanced lethal system in Triturus newts*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/4210100>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/4210100>

**Note:** To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

# Comparative Genomics of the Balanced Lethal System in *Triturus* Newts



James France

# Comparative Genomics of the Balanced Lethal System in *Triturus* Newts

James Matthew France

2025

**James France (2025)** Comparative Genomics of the Balanced Lethal System in  
*Triturus* Newts

**PhD Thesis** at Leiden University, the Netherlands

**Printed** by Proefschriftenprinten.nl

**IBSN: 978-90-835161-8-9**

# **Comparative Genomics of the Balanced Lethal System in *Triturus* Newts**

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van  
de graad van doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,  
op gezag van rector magnificus prof.dr.ir. H. Bijl,  
volgens besluit van het college voor promoties  
te verdedigen op donderdag 3 april 2025  
klokke 10:00 uur

door

**James Matthew France**

geboren te Bolton, Verenigd Koninkrijk  
in 1990

**Promotor:**

Prof. dr. M. K. Richardson

**Co-promotor:**

Dr. B. M. Wielstra

**Promotiecommissie:**

Prof. dr. A.H. Meijer

Prof. dr. H.P. Spaink

Prof. dr. W. Babik (Jagiellonian University, Kraków, Poland)

Dr. R.E. Onstein (Naturalis Biodiversity Center)

Dr. K.A. Stewart

# Contents

**Chapter 1:** Introduction and Outline of Thesis ..... 7

**Chapter 2:** Linkage Mapping vs Association: A Comparison of Two RADseq-based Approaches to Identify Markers for Homomorphic Sex Chromosomes in Large Genomes.....31

**Chapter 3:** Identification of Y-chromosome Turnover in Newts Fails to Support a Sex Chromosome Origin for the *Triturus* Balanced Lethal System .....65

**Chapter 4:** Genomic Evidence Suggests the Balanced Lethal System in *Triturus* Newts Originated in an Instantaneous Speciation Event .....91

**Chapter 5:** Synthesis..... 127

Summary ..... 139

Samenvatting..... 142

Curriculum Vitae..... 145

List of Publications..... 146

Acknowledgements..... 148





## Introduction & Outline of Thesis

**JAMES FRANCE<sup>1,2</sup>**

<sup>1</sup> Institute of Biology Leiden, Leiden University, Leiden, The Netherlands

<sup>2</sup> Naturalis Biodiversity Center, Leiden, The Netherlands

## General Background

Biological evolution can appear a simple, even obvious idea. Thomas Huxley recalls his initial reflection upon reading Darwin's 'On the Origin of Species' (1859) as "How extremely stupid of me not to have thought of that!" (Huxley 1888). Yet in nature this apparently simple concept plays out as a multitude of complex interactions between subtle processes, frequently producing results that seem counterintuitive, or even paradoxical. For example, Darwin initially found it difficult to explain the evolution of costly traits that seemed to reduce the chance of surviving or producing offspring, such as the sterility of many eusocial insects, or the cumbersome tail feathers of male peacocks (Burkhardt et al. 1993). Later researchers puzzled over questions such as how evolution might allow animals to aid unrelated individuals at cost to themselves? (Hamilton 1963) Or the 'C-value paradox' - why some species had evolved genomes many times larger and more expensive to replicate than other, similarly complex species (Thomas 1971). These paradoxical phenomena proved more than mere curiosities. Darwin's confusion gave way to insights that prompted the development of theories of kin selection and sexual selection (Darwin 1859, 1871). The later examples led to entire subfields studying reciprocal altruism (Trivers 1971) and selfish genetic elements (Orgel & Crick 1980). The potential for unexpected insight makes the investigation of evolution's most counterintuitive outcomes valuable.

Amongst the most important principles of evolutionary theory is natural selection, a concept so simple and self-evident that it has been described as a tautology (Waddington 1959) – organisms with traits that make them efficient at surviving and producing numerous offspring tend to survive and produce numerous offspring. It follows that, if those beneficial traits are inheritable, they will become more common, and opposingly, traits which inhibit reproduction or survival will become less common and eventually go extinct (Darwin 1859). Despite this incontrovertible logic, some organisms appear to defy natural selection. A notorious example of this are the newts of the genus *Triturus*. In these species 50% of all offspring spontaneously die during embryogenesis, cutting their reproductive potential in half. This trait is clearly massively disadvantageous, provides no known benefit, and is not shared by any other newt taxa – clearly it should be heavily selected against. However, it has persisted for over 20 million years and is fixed in every species in the genus.

## ***Triturus* – The Crested and Marbled Newts**

Newts (subfamily Pleurodelinae) are salamanders in the family Salamandridae, which also includes the true salamanders. Newts are characterised by a semiaquatic lifestyle, where adults alternate between terrestrial and aquatic habitats, with breeding occurring in the water during spring and summer. All salamanders possess extremely large genomes and in newts the haploid genome consists of around 30 billion base pairs (30 Gbp). The newt genome is arranged into twelve pairs of chromosomes, except for the two new world genera, which have eleven pairs (Sessions 2008).

*Triturus* is a genus of newt distributed throughout Europe and western Asia. As of 2024 it is recognised to include three species of marbled newt and seven species of crested newt (Arntzen 2024). All *Triturus* species are capable of breeding together to produce viable offspring, however hybrids between the crested and marbled newt lineages have very low fertility (Arntzen et al. 2009, 2018). *Triturus* is part of a clade sometimes termed ‘modern European newts’, which also includes genera such as *Ichthyosaura* (the alpine newt) and *Ommatotriton* (banded newts) (Veith et al. 2018). The phylogenetic relationships within this lineage have been obscured by extensive ancient introgression, but recent publications place *Triturus* as the sister genus of *Lissotriton* (Rancilhac et al. 2021), which occupies a similar distribution. Europe is also home to ‘primitive newts’ of the genus *Pleurodeles*, which are much more distantly related, having diverged 60 mya (Marjanović & Laurin 2014). Compared to their close relatives, *Triturus* newts are notably larger and occupy different ecological niches. For example, the northern crested newt *Triturus cristatus* is approximately twice overall length and five times the total mass of the common newt *Lissotriton vulgaris*, with which it co-occurs across most of northern Europe and opportunistically predated upon (Cicort-Lucaciu et al. 2005; Sparreboom 2014).

The most striking difference between *Triturus* and its relatives concerns reproduction. Similar to other newt taxa, fertilisation occurs internally and the females lay clutches of 150-500 eggs, with approximately 200 being typical for most crested newt species (Sparreboom 2014). However, whereas in related genera close to 100% of fertilised eggs will hatch as viable larvae (Sessions et al. 1988), in *Triturus* only 50% will. The other 50% will develop normally until they reach the late tailbud stage, which occurs at day 6-7 in embryos developing at 20 °C, and then will spontaneously cease to develop and eventually die (Horner & Macgregor 1985).

The death of half of *Triturus* embryos had been noted over two centuries ago, by Italian naturalist Mauro Rusconi, in his treatise ‘*Amours des salamandres aquatiques*’ - The love life of newts (Rusconi 1821). However, the mechanism behind this trait and its evolutionary implications remained uninvestigated until the second half of the 20th century. Superficially this phenomenon might appear similar to phenomena observed in other amphibians. Frogs of the genus *Oophaga* lay unfertilized eggs, which are eaten


by their tadpoles (Brust 1993). In fire salamanders, *Salamandra salamandra*, intrauterine cannibalism is observed in viviparous populations (Buckley et al. 2007). However, in these examples, the sacrifice of a mother's resources is balanced by a clear benefit to her surviving offspring. In contrast, *Triturus* larvae do not consume their unhatched brood mates (Grossen et al. 2012), and the result is *Triturus* losing half of their reproductive capacity, which must be considered strictly maladaptive.

## Chromosome 1 in *Triturus*

The logic of natural selection suggests that trait as deleterious as the spontaneous loss of half of all offspring should be swiftly eliminated (Darwin 1859), but a peculiarity of the *Triturus* genome prevents this. The largest chromosome pair (chromosome 1) is unusual, while clearly a matched pair, the chromosomes are heteromorphic – the long arm of one chromosome is extended in comparison to the other (Callan et al. 1960). The larger of these forms is designated 1A and the smaller 1B. Under Giemsa staining, the long arms of chromosome 1 show an extreme amount of banding, in a pattern that differs between 1A and 1B (Sims et al. 1984). This implies that the long arms are a heterochromatic region that does not undergo homologous recombination, a suggestion supported by the lack of chiasmata observed in this region (Morgan 1978).

Initially chromosome 1A and 1B were proposed to be sex chromosomes, as the lack of recombination and accumulation of heterochromatin would indicate (Callan et al. 1960; Mancino & Nardi 1971). As many early investigations into the karyology of salamanders relied on lampbrush chromosomes prepared from female oocytes, and female heteromorphism (Z- and W-chromosomes) was already known in *Pleurodeles* (Lacroix 1970), this determination was accepted until it was realized that chiasmata were absent from the long arm in both males and females (Morgan 1978; Macgregor 1979) and chromosome 1 is heterozygous in both sexes. As all *Triturus* adults carry both chromosome 1A and 1B, Mendelian genetics would suggest that half of all offspring will be homozygous (1A,1A or 1B,1B). However, these genotypes are never observed in adults, because it is these homozygote embryos that undergo premature arrest (Macgregor & Horner 1980).

The requirement of both 1A and 1B for survival in *Triturus* is likely due to each form of the chromosome carrying genes that are essential for viability, that are either not functional or not present on the other form. This phenomenon, where heterozygosity is persevered in a population because homozygosity is invariably lethal, is termed a balanced lethal system (Muller 1918).



**Figure 1: The balanced lethal system in *Triturus* chromosome 1.** Each form of chromosome 1 has (recessively) lethal alleles at different locations. Consequently the 50% of offspring that inherit two copies of either version are unable to survive embryogenesis, and their development arrests, followed by eventual death. The 50% of offspring that inherit one copy of both versions of chromosome 1 survive and hatch as viable larvae. (Photographs courtesy of Micheal Fahrbach).

## Balanced Lethal Systems

For over a century, artificial balanced lethal systems have been used to maintain stocks of organisms which carry alleles that are recessively lethal (Muller 1917). Most of these systems have been created in *Drosophila*, but they have also been engineered in nematodes (Herman et al. 1976) and mice (Zheng et al. 1999). Balanced lethal systems preserve recessively lethal alleles in a population by pairing them with a ‘balancer chromosome’ (Miller et al. 2019). This is a homologous chromosome which also carries a gene that is lethal when homozygous. This enforces heterozygosity, ensuring all that all individuals possess the allele of interest.

Genetic recombination is a problem for balanced lethal systems. If the balancer chromosome exchanges its lethal allele for a functional gene, it will become viable when homozygous and displace the allele of interest from the population. To prevent this, balancer chromosomes are developed with one or more genetic inversions (Miller et al. 2019). Recombination between the inverted and normal chromosome is inhibited within the inverted region both because the formation of chiasmata is often suppressed and because the chiasmata that do occur tend to result in inviable gametes (Coyne et al. 1993; Navarro & Ruiz 1997; del Priore & Pigozzi 2015).

The naturally occurring balanced lethal system in *Triturus* accounts for the inability of natural selection to purge what has been termed ‘chromosome 1 syndrome’ (Wallace 1994). However, it remains difficult to explain the origin of such a disastrously maladaptive trait. While extreme artificial selection has occasionally forced a balance lethal system into existence, as Dawson (1967) demonstrated with *Tribolium* beetles, it is improbable these conditions could occur in nature. However, the *Triturus* balanced

lethal system cannot be dismissed as an evolutionary fluke; similar systems have been described in widely divergent branches of the tree of life, including *Oenothera* (Steiner 1956), *Isotoma* (James et al. 1990) and *Drosophila Tropicalis* (Dobzhansky & Pavlovsky 1955).

## Suppressed Recombination

For the balanced lethal system to remain stable, recombination between the two forms of chromosome 1 must be inhibited. This implies that the region of suppressed recombination we observe in *Triturus* chromosome 1 must have evolved before or concurrently with the balanced lethal system. The non-recombining region may have expanded afterwards, forming strata similar to those observed on sex chromosomes (Lahn & Page 1999).

Suppression of recombination is often primarily discussed in relation to genetic inversions, but other chromosomal rearrangements such as deletions and translocations can also inhibit homologous recombination, although these are much more likely to be immediately deleterious and hence are observed less frequently. Certain DNA sequences, for example the PRDM9 binding site (Paigen & Petkov 2018), also affect the local frequency of recombination and transposable elements have a bidirectional association with regions of suppressed recombination (Kent et al. 2017).

When initially describing the *Triturus* balanced lethal system Macgregor and Horner (1980) briefly posited that both chromosome 1A and 1B could have been created in a single event, during an unequal exchange between sister chromosomes. Sessions et al. (1988) elaborated upon this, suggesting that a pair of reciprocal deletions and duplications resulting from such an exchange would explain the non-viability of the homozygotes as well as suppressing recombination. However, this concept was dismissed by later authors as it provided no mechanism by which such deleterious mutations could achieve fixation (Grossen et al. 2012).

Recombination is a process of immense importance in evolution and so its suppression has significant consequences. Recombination is vital to the efficient purging of deleterious alleles, and its absence results in an accumulation of such alleles in an effect termed 'Muller's ratchet' (Felsenstein 1974), which may gradually reduce the fitness of the population. This effect is responsible for the accumulation of transposons and other repetitive elements in non-recombining regions resulting in the build-up of heterochromatin commonly observed (Charlesworth et al. 1994). As alleles for different loci within a non-recombining region will be inherited together for many generations the synergistic effects between them become more visible to selective pressures, which contributes to the evolution of phenomena such as sex chromosomes and supergenes (Charlesworth et al. 2005; Thompson & Jiggins 2014).

## Supergenes

A supergene is a group of genes located on the same chromosome and consistently inherited together (Dobzhansky 1970). Supergenes can have profound effects on the evolution of a species (Thompson et al. 2014). By locking together a large number of genes a supergene can produce highly distinctive, complex phenotypes (Schwander et al. 2014). Among the most studied systems are the Müllerian mimicry supergenes in *Heliconius* butterflies (Joron et al. 2006) and the supergenes that control social organization in fire ants of the genus *Solenopsis* (Wang et al. 2013). Example in vertebrates include the supergenes that give rise to the spectacular mating morphs in the ruff (Küpper et al. 2016) and white throated sparrow (Tuttle et al. 2016).

Supergenes can also promote speciation, via several mechanisms. A lack of recombination can cause a supergene to rapidly accumulate mutations (Muller 1964), resulting in faster divergence between populations. Rigid genetic linkage also facilitates the evolution of meiotic drive systems, which selfishly destroy gametes that do not possess the supergene (Larracuent & Presgraves 2012). Drive systems typically impose a fertility penalty, favouring the evolution of genes which suppress them (Zanders & Unckless 2019). If a hybridization event introduces the drive system into a population that lacks the suppressor, then less fertile offspring will be produced (McDermott & Noor 2010). This will result in reproductive isolation and may explain some of the hybrid infertility often observed in nature (Patten 2018). Ironically, while supergenes can separate species by preventing hybridization, some supergenes are created by hybridization between two species (Tuttle et al. 2016; Jay et al. 2018). In addition, like any gene, supergenes can introgress between species, sometimes carrying over entire complex phenotypes with them (Corcoran et al. 2016).

As supergenes can only exist in regions of suppressed recombination, they often originate as a chromosomal inversion (Kirkpatrick 2010). If the inversion is not immediately deleterious, it may spread through the population and start to acquire mutations that cannot be passed back to the original chromosome, the beginnings of a supergene. With the inverted chromosome now in competition with the original, selection or genetic drift will eventually eliminate one of the variants. If the inversion is adaptive, it may become fixed in the population (Lande 1985). It must be noted that typically, an inverted chromosome will be capable of recombining with itself. Consequently, if the inversion becomes fully fixed, there will be no suppressed recombination, and the chromosome will cease to evolve as a supergene system.

For a supergene system to be stable in the long term, it must exist in a balanced polymorphism, where some selective mechanism actively maintains multiple alleles in a population (Charlesworth 2006). One possible mechanism is negative frequency dependent selection, where a trait is advantageous when rare, but disadvantageous when common (Ayala & Campbell 1974). This may be the case in grove snails *Cepaea*

*nemoralis*, which exist in several distinct morphs, coded for by a supergene (Gonzalez et al. 2019). It is suggested that the rarer a morph is, the less likely it is to be recognized by the snail's main predator, the song thrush (Clarke 1969).

Balanced polymorphism may also be sustained by heterozygote advantage. This occurs when possession of a single copy of an allele is more advantageous than having two (or zero) (Birchler et al. 2003). In severe cases an otherwise advantageous allele may be lethal when homozygous, which is the case in many supergene systems, such as those found in fire ants, ruffs and fruit flies (Kenvon 1972; Wang et al. 2013; Küpper et al. 2016). Recessive lethality may result from the accumulation of deleterious mutations, or from the disruption of an essential gene by the original inversion (Albornoz & Domínguez 1994).

The non-recombining region of *Triturus* chromosome 1, can be viewed as a supergene system exhibiting the most extreme form of heterozygote advantage possible. However, this prompts the question of how the original, un-inverted (or otherwise rearranged) form of the chromosome also loses viability when homozygous. A possible mechanism is that of a self-reinforcing heterozygote advantage: An increased proportion of a population heterozygous for a supergene leads to fewer opportunities for recombination within the affection section of the chromosome. With less recombination deleterious alleles are purged less efficiently, which in turn increases the relative heterozygote advantage. Berdan et al. (2021) model this scenario, showing that under strict conditions symmetric degeneration within a supergene locus can eventually result a balanced lethal system.

Alternatively, chromosomes 1A and 1B may be two incompatible descendants of the same supergene, with the original arrangement driven extinct. This would be the case if the balanced lethal system evolved from the most ubiquitous class of supergene: a sex chromosome.

## Sex Chromosomes as Supergenes

The human Y-chromosome may be the most familiar example of a supergene (Charlesworth 2016). It displays all the typical characteristics of a supergene: it gives rise to a complex phenotype, it does not undergo homologous recombination over a portion of its length, and it is maintained in balanced polymorphism with the X-chromosome (Charlesworth 2017).

Sex chromosomes can also demonstrate some of the more diverse aspects of supergene biology. Haldane's rule summarizes how sex chromosomes decrease the fertility of hybrids and promote speciation (Haldane 1922). In some species highly divergent Y chromosomes can code for distinct morphs. This is the case in the guppy, *Poecilia reticulata*, where the polymorphism is maintained by females which preferentially mate with rare male morphs (Hughes et al. 2013). Interestingly it is

possible to breed viable YY guppies (by using temperature dependent sex reversal to produce an XY female), but only if the Y-chromosomes are heterozygous. This indicates that each Y chromosome lineage possesses a unique set of recessively lethal alleles (Haskins et al. 1970).

In contrast to mammals and birds, where the XY and ZW systems have been relatively stable for hundreds of millions of years (Cortez et al. 2014; Zhou et al. 2014), amphibians exhibit a far more diverse array of sex determination systems (Nakamura 2009; Miura 2017). For example, the Iberian ribbed newt, *Pleurodeles waltl*, possesses a ZW system (Cayrol et al. 1983), but in *Triturus* chromosome 4 is identified an XY pair (Sims et al. 1984). In many Salamander species sex chromosomes are difficult to identify with a karyotype, as they are relatively homomorphic (Keinath et al. 2018), which is characteristic of evolutionarily young chromosomes (Stöck et al. 2011). This suggests the Salamanders experience rapid turnover of sex determination systems (Hillis & Green 1990).

## Did Chromosome 1 Evolve from a Sex Chromosome System?

Wallace (1987) suggested that this rapid turnover explained the origin of the balanced lethal system - chromosome 1A and 1B were the remnants of an ancient sex determination system. This model relied upon the X-chromosome becoming self-incompatible but provided no mechanism by which this mutation might become fixed. A similar, but more developed hypothesis was later proposed by Grossen et al. (2012) where it was given the imaginative title “A Ghost of Sex Chromosomes Past?”. This model is based on the following sequence of events:

- 1) Firstly, *Triturus* chromosome 1 was previously the Y-chromosome of a XY sex determination system.
- 2) Within this system the Y-chromosome diverged into two lineages, which were maintained in balanced polymorphism. The authors propose that, after divergence, each Y-chromosome lost several (different) essential genes which were maintained on the X-chromosome, creating a unique set of recessively lethal alleles on each lineage of the Y-chromosome. This created a system much like that observed in guppies.
- 3) The climate became colder. The sex determination mechanisms of salamanders are affected by temperature, with lower temperatures having a feminizing effect (Wallace & Wallace 2000). The environmental shift resulted in a proportion of XY *Triturus* developing as female.
- 4) When XY females bred with XY males, YY offspring resulted. These behaved similarly to sex reversed guppies: they were viable, but only when heterozygous for the two Y-chromosome lineages. To preserve these lineages, they must have been so divergent that they were incapable of homologous recombination with each other.

5) The climate became even colder resulting in all XY individuals developing as female. However, the YY individuals had two copies of the masculinizing chromosome, so a proportion of them remained male.


6) The temperature induced sex reversal resulted in a large excess of females over males. In this situation the X-chromosome (which was always in females) was at a disadvantage and was eventually lost from the gene pool. This resulted in a population that was purely YY, with temperature dependent sex determination.

7) The balanced lethal system was now fully established. All individuals carry two copies of the chromosome, but only those which had each of the two lineages were viable. This resulted in the 50% embryonic mortality rate now characteristic of *Triturus*.


8) Finally, a masculinizing mutation evolved on chromosome 4. As the sex ratio was still biased in favour of females, this mutation spread rapidly and eventually evolved into the XY sex determination system observed today on chromosome 4.

As each step in this sequence is plausible under the conditions proposed it presents a viable theoretical route to the fixation balanced lethal system – which is supported by the simulations performed by the authors. However, the pre-requisites are exacting. The Y-chromosome lineages must have diverged early enough that they share no common lethal factors and then been maintained in polymorphism, likely requiring some degree of balancing selection. They must also have evolved separate chromosomal rearrangements to prevent recombination between them in the YY individuals. The mechanism relies on specific patterns of climate alteration and depends on the final masculinizing mutation being delayed until after the balanced lethal system has been fixed, despite a strong selection pressure in its favour from the beginning of the scenario.

A major virtue of the “Ghost of Sex Chromosomes Past” model is that it is not simply an evolutionary “Just So Story” (Smith 2016), but a falsifiable hypothesis that implies testable predictions. If *Triturus* chromosome 1 is a former Y-chromosome, the most parsimonious scenario is that it descended from (and hence is homologous with) the same common ancestor as the current XY systems found in related European newt genera such as *Lissotriton*. Furthermore, because the model involves sex-chromosome turnover specific to the *Triturus* lineage, it implies that the current *Triturus* Y-chromosome is different to that of any common ancestor, and so is extremely unlikely to be homologous to those found its relatives.



**Figure 2: The hypothesized evolution of the *Triturus* balanced lethal system from a Y-chromosome (Grossen et al. 2012). A)** The ancestral Y-chromosome diverges into two lineages, each other which possesses its own lethal alleles. Normally the Y-chromosomes cannot meet, but if they did only individuals with one copy of each of the two different lineages would be viable. **B)** As the climate cooled, temperature dependent sex reversal would result in XY females, producing the possibility of YY individuals. With drastic climate change even a YY genotype may not always be sufficient for masculinization, resulting in a sufficiently female biased sex-ratio that the X-chromosome is driven extinct, even though this results in a balanced lethal system.



**Figure 3: Sex chromosomes turnover events in newts required by the Y-chromosome origin hypothesis.** A transition between an ancestral male-determining region on chromosome 1 to a new Y-chromosome is an essential feature of this mechanism. This implies that the Y-chromosome of *Lissotriton* cannot be homologous with that of *Triturus* and will instead likely be homologous with the *Triturus* balanced lethal system. Also indicated is transition from the ancestral ZW system of ‘primitive newts’ to the XY system in ‘modern’ newts.

## A Degenerate Supergene?

While Grossen et al. (2012) presented the first detailed simulation of the evolution of a balanced lethal system the alternative hypothesis of a runaway heterozygote advantage has recently been modelled by Berdan et al. (2022). These simulations concern different arrangements of a supergene that are initially mildly overdominant with respect to each other (i.e. the supergene locus drives heterozygote advantage) which can be either inherent to the arrangement, or a consequence of genetic linkage to other overdominant alleles (associative overdominance). As individuals carrying two different arrangements of the supergene have a fitness advantage in this scenario, they will experience disproportionate reproductive success and may constitute the majority of the breeding population.

The supergene arrangements can only undergo recombination in homozygous individuals, and so if these homozygotes reproduce less often, there will be less frequent recombination within the supergenes. This will reduce the efficiency of purifying selection within these regions and therefore cause an accumulation of deleterious mutations within each supergene arrangement. As most deleterious mutations are recessive, and the mutations will be different in each supergene arrangement, they will have little impact on individuals that are heterozygote for the supergene. However, homozygotes will suffer reduced fitness due to the additional mutation burden and so will make up even less of the breeding population. This forms the basis of a vicious cycle where there is ever less recombination and purifying selection within the supergene

arrangements, resulting in an ever-increasing mutation burden which eventually drives the fitness of the homozygotes to zero, producing a balanced lethal system.

Berdan et al. (2022) show that this is a plausible mechanism for the formation of a balanced lethal system, but in common with the degenerate sex-chromosome scenario, its viability depends on specific conditions, especially a small effective population size and low rates of gene conversion. An interesting aspect of this mechanism is that the degeneration must be symmetric. If the mutation burden in one of the supergene arrangements results in significantly lower fitness of its homozygotes than the other arrangement, then its frequency within the population will decrease. Any imbalance in frequency will reduce purifying selection within the less common supergene arrangement (and enhance its efficiency in the more common arrangement) resulting in this arrangement experiencing an even greater mutation burden and exacerbating the original imbalance. This cycle would continue until the less common arrangement was either driven extinct, or, if the heterozygote advantage was very high, evolved into a ‘half-lethal’ supergene – like those observed in ruffs or fire ants (Kenvon 1972; Wang et al. 2013; Küpper et al. 2016).

The genomic signature that this mechanism would leave in modern *Triturus* requirement is less obvious than evolution from a ‘ghost’ sex chromosome. However, the requirement for steady, symmetric degeneration of both supergene arrangements suggests that we would not expect to find evidence of macro-mutations (such as multi-gene deletions) that would have abruptly incurred major fitness penalties. This is a stark contrast to the first mechanism proposed for the evolution of the *Triturus* balanced lethal system, the unequal exchange described by Sessions et al. (1988) which likely would leave clear evidence of large-scale deletions.

## Exploring the Balanced Lethal System and the Giant *Triturus* Genome

There has been considerable investigation of the *Triturus* balanced lethal system at the embryonic and cytological level. Multiple mechanisms have been proposed, and in some cases simulated, to explain the evolution of naturally occurring balanced lethal systems in general (Berdan et al. 2021) and the *Triturus* system in particular (Wallace 1987; Sessions 2008; Grossen et al. 2012). Despite this interest, essentially nothing is known about the system at the genetic or genomic level. The specific mechanism of lethality remains a mystery. Not a single gene has been shown to be associated with the non-recombining regions of either chromosome 1A or 1B. No structural rearrangements have been definitively identified with the *Triturus* genome. This is unfortunate, as understanding the evolution of the balanced lethal system may be almost impossible without knowledge of the structure and content of this part of the genome and so far, any predictions implied by the proposed hypotheses have remained untested.

The relative lack of progress has been typical of salamander genetics/genomics in the first two decades of the 21st century (for example no male-linked markers have been identified on the Y-chromosome of any salamander) and may be due to the intimidating size of the genomes involved. Other than lungfish (Metcalf et al. 2012) (Meyer et al. 2021), salamanders have the largest genome of any vertebrate. In *Triturus*, estimates of the haploid length varies between 27 GB and 32 GB depending on species (Litvinchuk et al. 2007) and this does not account for the heteromorphy observed in the largest chromosome. This size complicates sequencing and genome assembly. Chromosome scale assemblies have been published for the axolotl and Iberian ribbed newt (Brown et al. 2025; Nowoshilow et al. 2018; Smith et al. 2019), but while more are sure to follow, these remain very resource intensive projects.

An alternative to whole genome sequencing is reduced representation sequencing (Hirsch et al. 2014). This is diverse category of techniques that aim to interrogate subset of loci. Importantly, if the techniques are applied consistently, the same loci are sequenced across multiple samples. Compared to whole genome sequencing, these approaches have several advantages. Sequencing a single sample is much cheaper, large sample sizes become viable, very high coverage is possible (making it easier to identify rare polymorphisms) and useful data can be quickly generated even from the largest of genomes.

Two techniques of particular interest are RADseq and target capture sequencing. RADseq sequences sections of DNA flanked by the binding sites of selected restricted enzymes (Davey & Blaxter 2010). Though restriction sites will be scattered randomly throughout the genome, their position will be conserved between samples of the same species. RADseq may yield hundreds of thousands of loci with little preliminary work, but these are rarely coding sequences, and often poorly conserved between species. Target capture relies on the hybridization of synthetic complementary RNA probes to preselected target sequences (Mertes et al. 2011). The targets chosen are generally coding genes, and often highly conserved between species. However, one limitation is that the general sequences of the targeted loci must be known in advance. Often transcriptome data is used to design the probes, but this is not helpful for non-transcribed sequences, making investigation of gene-poor regions, such as sex chromosomes, difficult. For *Triturus* a probe set targeting ca. 7000 genes has already been designed and used to construct a phylogeny of the genus (Wielstra et al. 2019).

Unlike a whole genome assembly, reduced representation sequencing does not directly give information about the position of any marker within a genome. However, if a family of related individuals is sequenced, the relative position of any two markers can be inferred by calculating the frequency of recombination between them. With enough markers a linkage map covering all chromosomes within the genome can be constructed (Kai et al. 2014).

## Aims and Structure of the Thesis

The primary objectives of this PhD project are to map *Triturus* genome, to compare the structure of the *Triturus* genome to that of related genera and identify regions of shared synteny, to identify any chromosomal rearrangements which may have been involved in the evolution of the non-recombining region of *Triturus* chromosome 1, and to test the “A Ghost of Sex Chromosomes Past” hypothesis by determining whether or not chromosome 1 ever has acted as a sex chromosome.

### Chapter 2

To verify whether *Triturus* chromosome 1 has ever acted as a sex chromosome it will be necessary to identify the sex-linked regions of the genome of its close relatives (on the assumption that these still retain the sex determination system of their common ancestor). To this end, a RADseq based linkage map will be constructed for the common newt *Lissotriton vulgaris*, which, like *Triturus*, also possess a small heteromorphic Y-linked region close to the telomere of one of its middle-ranked chromosomes.

Other researchers working in amphibians have shown that a sex-linked region may be identified directly from such a map without any previously known sex-associated sequence and without knowledge of the sex any samples other than the parents of a family (Brelsford et al. 2016). If this is also possible in newts it would negate the need for a separate study searching for sex-associated markers. However, the large genomes and apparently small sex-linked regions observed in the karyotypes of both *Triturus* and *L. vulgaris* make it questionable whether this approach is reliable. Consequently, for *L. vulgaris*, an association based RADseq approach is also employed, and the markers identified used to benchmark the suitability of a purely linkage mapping based study. The sex-specific performance of any Y-linked markers identified in *L. vulgaris* will also be verified across the genus *Lissotriton*.

### Chapter 3

With the Y-linked region of the *Lissotriton* genome identified, the same must be achieved for *Triturus*. The same RADseq methodology used in chapter 2 will be employed for the Balkan crested newt *T. ivanbureschi*. Sex association will be used to identify male-linked RAD markers in sequences from samples of adults of known sex. These markers will then be located on a RADseq-based linkage map. Bioinformatic approaches will be used to determine whether the *Lissotriton* and *Triturus* Y-chromosomes are homologous. Additionally, the Y-linked regions of both genera will be placed on target capture-based linkage which also include genes involved in the *Triturus* balanced lethal system. Finally, the performance of Y-linked markers developed in *T.*

*ivanbureschi* will be assessed throughout the genus *Triturus*, as multispecies sex-specific markers may be valuable for many future research projects.

## Chapter 4

This chapter will focus directly on the genes involved in the *Triturus* balanced lethal system and the identification of structural rearrangements. Target capture sequencing of F<sub>2</sub> hybrid families will be used to construct linkage maps for both *Triturus* and *Lissotriton*. As the *Triturus* dataset will include both viable and arrested embryos it will become possible to distinguish alleles associated with each of the chromosome 1 heteromorphs. Via the linkage maps any structural rearrangements between *Triturus* and *Lissotriton* involving these loci will become apparent. Additionally, allele ratio analysis will be used to investigate any change in the copy number of the genes. Finally, the viability of the various hypotheses for the evolution of the *Triturus* balanced lethal system will be discussed in light of the results, and an evolutionary scenario will be modelled.

## Chapter 5

In the final chapter of this thesis will summarize and synthesize the findings of the previous three chapters and place them in the context of the surrounding literature. Topics of discussion will include: Which, if any, the different proposed models for the evolution of balanced lethal system best fit the observations made in *Triturus*? Whether the evolutionary processes experienced by *Triturus* are relevant to other naturally occurring balanced lethal systems? What are the broader relationships between the origin of the balanced lethal system and other evolutionary processes, such as genetic surfing and speciation? And, what is the outlook for future research?

## References

- Albornoz J, Domínguez A (1994)** Inversion polymorphism and accumulation of lethals in selected lines of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Heredity*. 73: 92–97. DOI: 10.1038/hdy.1994.103.
- Arntzen JW (2024)** Morphological and genetic diversification of pygmy and marbled newts, with the description of a new species from the wider Lisbon Peninsula (*Triturus*, Salamandridae). *Contributions to Zoology*. 93: 178–200. DOI: 10.1163/18759866-bja10057.
- Arntzen JW, Jehle R, Bardakci F, Burke T, Wallis GP (2009)** Asymmetric viability of reciprocal-cross hybrids between crested and marbled newts (*Triturus cristatus* and *T. marmoratus*). *Evolution*. 63: 1191–1202. DOI: 10.1111/j.1558-5646.2009.00611.x.
- Arntzen JW, Üzümlü N, Ajduković MD, Ivanović A, Wielstra B (2018)** Absence of heterosis in hybrid crested newts. *PeerJ*. 6: e5317. DOI: 10.7717/peerj.5317.
- Ayala FJ, Campbell CA (1974)** Frequency-dependent selection. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*. 5: 115–138. DOI: 10.1146/annurev.es.05.110174.000555.
- Berdan EL, Blanckaert A, Butlin RK, Bank C (2021)** Deleterious mutation accumulation and the long-term fate of chromosomal inversions. *PLOS Genetics*. 17: e1009411. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1009411.
- Berdan EL, Blanckaert A, Butlin RK, Flatt T, Slotte T, Wielstra B (2022)** Mutation accumulation opposes polymorphism: supergenes and the curious case of balanced lethals. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*. 377: Article 20210199. DOI: 10.1098/rstb.2021.0199.
- Birchler JA, Auger DL, Riddle NC (2003)** In search of the molecular basis of heterosis. *The Plant Cell*. 15: 2236–2240. DOI: 10.1105/tpc.151030.
- Brelsford A, Dufresnes C, Perrin N (2016)** High-density sex-specific linkage maps of a European tree frog (*Hyla arborea*) identify the sex chromosome without information on offspring sex. *Heredity*. 116: 177–181. DOI: 10.1038/hdy.2015.83.
- Brown T, Mishra K, Elewa A, Iarovenko S, Subramanian E, Araus AJ, Petzold A, ... Simon A (2025)** Chromosome-scale genome assembly reveals how repeat elements shape non-coding RNA landscapes active during newt limb regeneration. *Cell Genomics*. 100761. DOI: 10.1016/j.xgen.2025.100761.
- Brust DG (1993)** Maternal brood care by *Dendrobates pumilio*: a frog that feeds its young. *Journal of Herpetology*. 27: 96–98. DOI: 10.2307/1564914.
- Buckley D, Alcobendas M, García-París M, Wake MH (2007)** Heterochrony, cannibalism, and the evolution of viviparity in *Salamandra salamandra*. *Evolution & Development*. 9: 105–115. DOI: 10.1111/j.1525-142X.2006.00141.x.
- Burkhardt F, Browne J, Porter DM, Richmond M, eds. (1993)** *The Correspondence of Charles Darwin*. Vol. 8 Cambridge University Press ISBN: 978-0-521-44241-1.
- Callan HG, Lloyd L, Waddington CH (1960)** Lampbrush chromosomes of crested newts *Triturus cristatus* (Laurenti). *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological Sciences*. 243: 135–219. DOI: 10.1098/rstb.1960.0007.
- Cayrol C, Jaylet A, Ferrier V, Gasser F (1983)** A genetic study of various enzyme polymorphisms in *Pleurodeles waltl* (Urodele, Amphibian). III. The relationship between sex-linked peptidase-1 expression and gene-dose effects. *Biochemical Genetics*. 21: 551–559. DOI: 10.1007/BF00484446.
- Charlesworth B, Sniegowski P, Stephan W (1994)** The evolutionary dynamics of repetitive DNA in eukaryotes. *Nature*. 371: 215–220. DOI: 10.1038/371215a0.

**Charlesworth D (2006)** Balancing selection and its effects on sequences in nearby genome regions. *PLOS Genetics*. 2: e64. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pgen.0020064.

**Charlesworth D (2017)** Evolution of recombination rates between sex chromosomes. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*. 372: 20160456. DOI: 10.1098/rstb.2016.0456.

**Charlesworth D (2016)** The status of supergenes in the 21st century: recombination suppression in Batesian mimicry and sex chromosomes and other complex adaptations. *Evolutionary Applications*. 9: 74–90. DOI: 10.1111/eva.12291.

**Charlesworth D, Charlesworth B, Marais G (2005)** Steps in the evolution of heteromorphic sex chromosomes. *Heredity*. 95: 118–128. DOI: 10.1038/sj.hdy.6800697.

**Cicort-Lucaciu A Ș., Ardeleanu A, Cupsa D, Naghi N, Dalea A (2005)** The trophic spectrum of a *Triturus cristatus* (Laurentus 1768) population from Plopiș Mountains area (Bihor County, Romania). *North-Western Journal of Zoology*.

**Clarke B (1969)** The evidence for apostatic selection. *Heredity*. 24: 347–352. DOI: 10.1038/hdy.1969.52.

**Corcoran P, Anderson JL, Jacobson DJ, Sun Y, Ni P, Lascoux M, Johannesson H (2016)** Introgression maintains the genetic integrity of the mating-type determining chromosome of the fungus *Neurospora tetrasperma*. *Genome Research*. 26: 486–498. DOI: 10.1101/gr.197244.115.

**Cortez D, Marin R, Toledo-Flores D, Froidevaux L, Liechti A, Waters PD, Grützner F, Kaessmann H (2014)** Origins and functional evolution of Y chromosomes across mammals. *Nature*. 508: 488–493. DOI: 10.1038/nature13151.

**Coyne JA, Meyers W, Crittenden AP, Sniegowski P (1993)** The fertility effects of pericentric inversions in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Genetics*. 134: 487–496. DOI: 10.1093/genetics/134.2.487.

**Darwin C (1859)** *On the origin of species by means of natural selection, or, The preservation of favoured races in the struggle for life*. John Murray London, England.

**Darwin C (1871)** *The descent of man, and, Selection in relation to sex*. John Murray London, England.

**Davey JW, Blaxter ML (2010)** RADSeq: next-generation population genetics. *Briefings in Functional Genomics*. 9: 416–423. DOI: 10.1093/bfpg/elq031.

**Dawson PS (1967)** A balanced lethal system in the flour beetle, *Tribolium castaneum*. *Heredity*. 22: 435–438. DOI: 10.1038/hdy.1967.52.

**Dobzhansky T (1970)** *Genetics of the evolutionary process*. Columbia University Press ISBN: 978-0-231-08306-5.

**Dobzhansky T, Pavlovsky O (1955)** An extreme case of heterosis in a Central American population of *Drosophila tropicalis*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 41: 289–295.

**Felsenstein J (1974)** The evolutionary advantage of recombination. *Genetics*. 78: 737–756. DOI: 10.1093/genetics/78.2.737.

**Gonzalez DR, Aramendia AC, Davison A (2019)** Recombination within the *Cepaea nemoralis* supergene is confounded by incomplete penetrance and epistasis. *Heredity*. 123: 153–161. DOI: 10.1038/s41437-019-0190-6.

**Grossen C, Neuenschwander S, Perrin N (2012)** The balanced lethal system of crested newts: a ghost of sex chromosomes past? *The American Naturalist*. 180: E174–183. DOI: 10.1086/668076.

**Haldane JBS (1922)** Sex ratio and unisexual sterility in hybrid animals. *Journal of Genetics*. 12: 101–109. DOI: 10.1007/BF02983075.

**Hamilton WD (1963)** The evolution of altruistic behaviour. *The American Naturalist*. 97: 354–356. DOI: 10.1086/497114.

**Haskins CP, Young P, Hewitt RE, Haskins EF (1970)** Stabilised heterozygosis of supergenes mediating certain Y-linked colour patterns in populations of *Lebistes Reticulatus*. *Heredity*. 25: 575–589. DOI: 10.1038/hdy.1970.64.

**Herman RK, Albertson DG, Brenner S (1976)** Chromosome rearrangements in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Genetics*. 83: 91–105.

**Hillis DM, Green DM (1990)** Evolutionary changes of heterogametic sex in the phylogenetic history of amphibians. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*. 3: 49–64. DOI: 10.1046/j.1420-9101.1990.3010049.x.

**Hirsch CD, Evans J, Buell CR, Hirsch CN (2014)** Reduced representation approaches to interrogate genome diversity in large repetitive plant genomes. *Briefings in Functional Genomics*. 13: 257–267. DOI: 10.1093/bfpg/elt051.

**Horner HA, Macgregor HC (1985)** Normal development in newts (*Triturus*) and its arrest as a consequence of an unusual chromosomal situation. *Journal of Herpetology*. 19: 261–270. DOI: 10.2307/1564180.

**Hughes KA, Houde AE, Price AC, Rodd FH (2013)** Mating advantage for rare males in wild guppy populations. *Nature*. 503: 108–110. DOI: 10.1038/nature12717.

**Huxley TH (1888)** *On the reception of the 'Origin of Species'*. Vol. 2 pp. 179–204 John Murray. DOI: 10.1037/13919-005.

**James SH, Sampson JF, Playford J (1990)** Complex hybridity in *Isotoma petraea*. VII. Assembly of the genetic system in the O6 Pigeon Rock population. *Heredity*. 64: 289–295. DOI: 10.1038/hdy.1990.36.

**Jay P, Whibley A, Frézal L, Rodríguez de Cara MÁ, Nowell RW, Mallet J, Dasmahapatra KK, Joron M (2018)** Supergene evolution triggered by the introgression of a chromosomal inversion. *Current Biology*. 28: 1839–1845.e3. DOI: 10.1016/j.cub.2018.04.072.

**Joron M, Papa R, Beltrán M, Chamberlain N, Mavárez J, Baxter S, Abanto M, Bermingham E, Humphray SJ, Rogers J, Beasley H, Barlow K, ffrench-Constant RH, Mallet J, McMillan WO, Jiggins CD (2006)** A conserved supergene locus controls colour pattern diversity in *Heliconius* butterflies. *PLOS Biology*. 4: e303. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pbio.0040303.

**Kai W, Nomura K, Fujiwara A, Nakamura Y, Yasuike M, Ojima N, Masaoka T, Ozaki A, Kazeto Y, Gen K, Nagao J, Tanaka H, Kobayashi T, Ototake M (2014)** A ddRAD-based genetic map and its integration with the genome assembly of Japanese eel (*Anguilla japonica*) provides insights into genome evolution after the teleost-specific genome duplication. *BMC Genomics*. 15: 233. DOI: 10.1186/1471-2164-15-233.

**Keinath MC, Timoshevskaya N, Timoshevskiy VA, Voss SR, Smith JJ (2018)** Miniscule differences between sex chromosomes in the giant genome of a salamander. *Scientific Reports*. 8: 17882. DOI: 10.1038/s41598-018-36209-2.

**Kent TV, Uzunović J, Wright SI (2017)** Coevolution between transposable elements and recombination. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*. 372: Article 20160458. DOI: 10.1098/rstb.2016.0458.

**Kenyon A (1972)** Heterozygous effects on viability of *Drosophila* supergenes that are lethal when homozygous. *Genetica*. 43: 536–551. DOI: 10.1007/BF00115597.

**Kirkpatrick M (2010)** How and why chromosome inversions evolve. *PLOS Biology*. 8: e1000501. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pbio.1000501.

**Küpper C, Stocks M, Risse JE, dos Remedios N, Farrell LL, McRae SB, Morgan TC, Karlionova N, Pinchuk P, Verkuil YI, Kitaysky AS, Wingfield JC, Piersma T, Zeng K, Slate J, Blaxter M, Lank DB, Burke T (2016)** A supergene determines highly divergent male reproductive morphs in the ruff. *Nature Genetics*. 48: 79–83. DOI: 10.1038/ng.3443.

**Lacroix JC (1970)** Demonstration on lampbrush chromosomes of *Pleurodeles poireti* Gervais, urodele amphibia, of a structure linked with sex, identifying the sexual bivalent and labelling the chromosome

W. *Comptes Rendus Hebdomadaires Des Seances De l'Academie Des Sciences. Serie D: Sciences Naturelles*. 271: 102–104.

**Lahn BT, Page DC (1999)** Four evolutionary strata on the human X chromosome. *Science*. 286: 964–967. DOI: 10.1126/science.286.5441.964.

**Lande R (1985)** The fixation of chromosomal rearrangements in a subdivided population with local extinction and colonization. *Heredity*. 54: 323–332. DOI: 10.1038/hdy.1985.43.

**Larracuente AM, Presgraves DC (2012)** The selfish segregation distorter gene complex of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Genetics*. 192: 33–53. DOI: 10.1534/genetics.112.141390.

**Litvinchuk SN, Rosanov JM, Borkin LJ (2007)** Correlations of geographic distribution and temperature of embryonic development with the nuclear DNA content in the Salamandridae (Urodela, Amphibia). *Genome*. 50: 333–342. DOI: 10.1139/Go7-010.

**Macgregor HC (1979)** In situ hybridization of highly repetitive DNA to chromosomes of *Triturus cristatus*. *Chromosoma*. 71: 57–64. DOI: 10.1007/BF00426366.

**Macgregor HC, Horner H (1980)** Heteromorphism for chromosome 1, a requirement for normal development in crested newts. *Chromosoma*. 76: 111–122. DOI: 10.1007/BF00293412.

**Mancino G, Nardi I (1971)** Chromosomal heteromorphism and female heterogamety in the marbled newt *Triturus marmoratus* (Latreille, 1800). *Experientia*. 27: 821–822. DOI: 10.1007/BF02136887.

**Marjanović D, Laurin M (2014)** An updated paleontological timetree of lissamphibians, with comments on the anatomy of Jurassic crown-group salamanders (Urodela). *Historical Biology*. 26: 535–550. DOI: 10.1080/08912963.2013.797972.

**McDermott SR, Noor MAF (2010)** The role of meiotic drive in hybrid male sterility. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*. 365: 1265–1272. DOI: 10.1098/rstb.2009.0264.

**Mertes F, El Sharawy A, Sauer S, van Helvoort JMLM, van der Zaag PJ, Franke A, Nilsson M, Lehrach H, Brookes AJ (2011)** Targeted enrichment of genomic DNA regions for next-generation sequencing. *Briefings in Functional Genomics*. 10: 374–386. DOI: 10.1093/bfpg/elr033.

**Metcalfe CJ, Filée J, Germon I, Joss J, Casane D (2012)** Evolution of the Australian lungfish (*Neoceratodus forsteri*) genome: a major role for CR1 and L2 LINE Elements. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*. 29: 3529–3539. DOI: 10.1093/molbev/mss159.

**Meyer A, Schloissnig S, Franchini P, Du K, Woltering JM, Irisarri I, Wong WY, ... Schartl M (2021)** Giant lungfish genome elucidates the conquest of land by vertebrates. *Nature*. 590: 284–289. DOI: 10.1038/s41586-021-03198-8.

**Miller DE, Cook KR, Hawley RS (2019)** The joy of balancers. *PLoS Genetics*. 15: Article e1008421. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1008421.

**Miura I (2017)** Sex determination and sex chromosomes in Amphibia. *Sexual Development*. 11: 298–306. DOI: 10.1159/000485270.

**Morgan GT (1978)** Absence of chiasmata from the heteromorphic region of chromosome I during spermatogenesis in *Triturus cristatus carnifex*. *Chromosoma*. 66: 269–280. DOI: 10.1007/BF00330555.

**Muller HJ (1917)** An *Oenothera*-like case in *Drosophila*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 3: 619–626.

**Muller HJ (1918)** Genetic variability, twin hybrids and constant hybrids, in a case of balanced lethal factors. *Genetics*. 3: 422–499.

**Muller HJ (1964)** The relation of recombination to mutational advance. *Mutation Research/Fundamental and Molecular Mechanisms of Mutagenesis*. 1: 2–9. DOI: 10.1016/0027-5107(64)90047-8.

- Nakamura M (2009)** Sex determination in amphibians. *Seminars in Cell & Developmental Biology*. 20: 271–282. DOI: 10.1016/j.semcdb.2008.10.003.
- Navarro A, Ruiz A (1997)** On the fertility effects of pericentric inversions. *Genetics*. 147: 931–933. DOI: 10.1093/genetics/147.2.931.
- Nowoshilow S, Schloissnig S, Fei J-F, Dahl A, Pang AWC, Pippel M, Winkler S, ... Myers EW (2018)** The axolotl genome and the evolution of key tissue formation regulators. *Nature*. 554: 50–55. DOI: 10.1038/nature25458.
- Orgel LE, Crick FHC (1980)** Selfish DNA: the ultimate parasite. *Nature*. 284: 604–607. DOI: 10.1038/284604a0.
- Paigen K, Petkov PM (2018)** PRDM9 and its role in genetic recombination. *Trends in Genetics*. 34: 291–300. DOI: 10.1016/j.tig.2017.12.017.
- Patten MM (2018)** Selfish X chromosomes and speciation. *Molecular Ecology*. 27: 3772–3782. DOI: 10.1111/mec.14471.
- del Priore L, Pigozzi MI (2015)** Heterologous synapsis and crossover suppression in heterozygotes for a pericentric inversion in the zebra finch. *Cytogenetic and Genome Research*. 147: 154–160. DOI: 10.1159/000442656.
- Rancilhac L, Irisarri I, Angelini C, Arntzen JW, Babik W, Bossuyt F, Künzel S, Lüddecke T, Pasmans F, Sanchez E, Weisrock D, Veith M, Wielstra B, Steinfartz S, Hofreiter M, Philippe H, Vences M (2021)** Phylotranscriptomic evidence for pervasive ancient hybridization among Old World salamanders. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*. 155: Article 106967. DOI: 10.1016/j.ympev.2020.106967.
- Rusconi M (1821)** *Amours des salamandres aquatiques: et developpement du tetard de ces salamandres depuis l'oeuf jusqu'a l'animal parfait*. Chez Paolo Emilio Giusti DOI: 10.5962/bhl.title.59626.
- Schwander T, Libbrecht R, Keller L (2014)** Supergenes and complex phenotypes. *Current Biology*. 24: R288–R294. DOI: 10.1016/j.cub.2014.01.056.
- Sessions SK (2008)** Evolutionary cytogenetics in salamanders. *Chromosome Research*. 16: 183–201. DOI: 10.1007/s10577-007-1205-3.
- Sessions SK, Macgregor HC, Schmid M, Haaf T (1988)** Cytology, embryology, and evolution of the developmental arrest syndrome in newts of the genus *Triturus* (Caudata: Salamandridae). *Journal of Experimental Zoology*. 248: 321–334. DOI: 10.1002/jez.1402480311.
- Sims SH, Macgregor HC, Pellatt PS, Horner HA (1984)** Chromosome 1 in crested and marbled newts (*Triturus*). *Chromosoma*. 89: 169–185. DOI: 10.1007/BF00294996.
- Smith JJ, Timoshevskaya N, Timoshevskiy VA, Keinath MC, Hardy D, Voss SR (2019)** A chromosome-scale assembly of the axolotl genome. *Genome Research*. 29: 317–324. DOI: 10.1101/gr.241901.118.
- Smith RJ (2016)** Explanations for adaptations, just-so stories, and limitations on evidence in evolutionary biology. *Evolutionary Anthropology: Issues, News, and Reviews*. 25: 276–287. DOI: 10.1002/evan.21495.
- Sparreboom M (2014)** *Salamanders of the old world: The salamanders of Europe, Asia and northern Africa*. KNNV Publishing DOI: 10.1163/9789004285620.
- Steiner E (1956)** New aspects of the balanced lethal mechanism in *Oenothera*. *Genetics*. 41: 486–500.
- Stöck M, Horn A, Grossen C, Lindtke D, Sermier R, Betto-Colliard C, Dufresnes C, Bonjour E, Dumas Z, Luquet E, Maddalena T, Sousa HC, Martinez-Solano I, Perrin N (2011)** Ever-young sex chromosomes in European tree frogs. *PLOS Biology*. 9: e1001062. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pbio.1001062.

**Thomas CA (1971)** The genetic organization of chromosomes. *Annual Review of Genetics*. 5: 237–256. DOI: 10.1146/annurev.ge.05.120171.001321.

**Thompson MJ, Jiggins CD (2014)** Supergenes and their role in evolution. *Heredity*. 113: 1–8. DOI: 10.1038/hdy.2014.20.

**Trivers RL (1971)** The evolution of reciprocal altruism. *The Quarterly Review of Biology*. 46: 35–57.

**Tuttle EM, Bergland AO, Korody ML, Brewer MS, Newhouse DJ, Minx P, Stager M, Betuel A, Cheviron ZA, Warren WC, Gonser RA, Balakrishnan CN (2016)** Divergence and functional degradation of a sex chromosome-like supergene. *Current Biology*. 26: 344–350. DOI: 10.1016/j.cub.2015.11.069.

**Veith M, Bogaerts S, Pasmans F, Kieren S (2018)** The changing views on the evolutionary relationships of extant Salamandridae (Amphibia: Urodela). *PLOS ONE*. 13: e0198237. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0198237.

**Waddington CH (1959)** Evolutionary adaptation. *Perspectives in Biology and Medicine*. 2: 379–401. DOI: 10.1353/pbm.1959.0027.

**Wallace H (1987)** Abortive development in the crested newt *Triturus cristatus*. *Development*. 100: 65–72. DOI: 10.1242/dev.100.1.65.

**Wallace H (1994)** The balanced lethal system of crested newts. *Heredity*. 73: 41–46. DOI: 10.1038/hdy.1994.96.

**Wallace H, Wallace BM (2000)** Sex reversal of the newt *Triturus cristatus* reared at extreme temperatures. *The International Journal of Developmental Biology*. 44: 807–810.

**Wang J, Wurm Y, Nipitwattanaphon M, Riba-Grognuz O, Huang Y-C, Shoemaker D, Keller L (2013)** A Y-like social chromosome causes alternative colony organization in fire ants. *Nature*. 493: 664–668. DOI: 10.1038/nature11832.

**Wielstra B, McCartney-Melstad E, Arntzen JW, Butlin RK, Shaffer HB (2019)** Phylogenomics of the adaptive radiation of *Triturus* newts supports gradual ecological niche expansion towards an incrementally aquatic lifestyle. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*. 133: 120–127. DOI: 10.1016/j.ympev.2018.12.032.

**Zanders SE, Unckless RL (2019)** Fertility costs of meiotic drivers. *Current Biology*. 29: R512–R520. DOI: 10.1016/j.cub.2019.03.046.

**Zheng B, Sage M, Cai W-W, Thompson DM, Tavsanli BC, Cheah Y-C, Bradley A (1999)** Engineering a mouse balancer chromosome. *Nature Genetics*. 22: 375–378. DOI: 10.1038/11949.

**Zhou Q, Zhang J, Bachtrog D, An N, Huang Q, Jarvis ED, Gilbert MTP, Zhang G (2014)** Complex evolutionary trajectories of sex chromosomes across bird taxa. *Science*. 346: 1246338. DOI: 10.1126/science.1246338.





# Linkage Mapping vs Association

A Comparison of Two RADseq-based Approaches to  
Identify Markers for Homomorphic Sex  
Chromosomes in Large Genomes

**JAMES FRANCE<sup>1,2</sup>, WIESŁAW BABIK<sup>3</sup>, KATARZYNA DUDEK<sup>3</sup>,  
MARZENA MARSZAŁEK<sup>3</sup>, BEN WIELSTRA<sup>1,2</sup>**

<sup>1</sup> Institute of Biology Leiden, Leiden University, Leiden, The Netherlands

<sup>2</sup> Naturalis Biodiversity Center, Leiden, The Netherlands

<sup>3</sup> Institute of Environmental Sciences, Faculty of Biology, Jagiellonian University, Kraków, Poland

*(in revision)*

*This chapter is available as a preprint at **Authorea**: (10.22541/au.172137196.69932431)*

## Abstract

Reliable tools for the identification of genetic sex are invaluable in many fields of biology, but their design requires knowledge of sex-linked sequences, which is lacking in many taxa. Restriction-site associated DNA sequencing (RADseq) is widely used to identify sex-linked markers, but multiple distinct strategies are employed, and it is often not obvious which is most suitable. In this study we compare two approaches for using RADseq to identify sex-linked markers. We use the common newt, *Lissotriton vulgaris*, as our study system, providing a challenging combination of homomorphic sex chromosomes and an exceptionally large genome. We attempt an associative approach, sequencing 60 adult newts of known-sex individuals, and compare this to a linkage mapping approach utilizing a family of 146 offspring with unknown sex. After optimization for a highly paralogous genome, the associative approach identifies five Y-chromosome linked markers in *L. vulgaris* and we design a robust PCR protocol for molecular sexing of four more related species. Via the linkage approach we construct a high-density map featuring 10,763 markers, matching the observed karyotype of *L. vulgaris* and showing broad synteny with the Iberian ribbed newt (*Pleurodeles waltl*). However, without incorporating the markers identified via the association-based approach, we cannot confidently distinguish a sex-determining region in the linkage map, either by analysing marker density or by identifying clusters of paternal markers. We conclude that linkage mapping alone is unlikely to yield sex-linked markers in organisms with very small sex-determining regions, however association-based RADseq can still be effective under these conditions.

## Introduction

Sex-linked genetic markers are vital to both applied and fundamental biology. In agriculture and aquiculture molecular markers boost productivity by, for instance, enabling the early selection of fruit bearing female date palms (Intha & Chaiprasart 2020) or aiding the maintenance of all-male stocks of tilapia (Curzon et al. 2021). Molecular sex identification is viable even on small and degraded samples, making it invaluable for ecology, conservation and forensic biology. Examples include determining the sex of tiger prey from hairs recovered from scat (De et al. 2019), monitoring elephant sex ratios by genotyping dung (Vidya et al. 2003) and identifying the illegal poaching of female pheasants (An et al. 2007). As sex determining regions of the genome have been identified as drivers of speciation (Dufresnes & Crochet 2022; Johnson & Lachance 2012; Payseur et al. 2018), their identification and study is of particular importance to evolutionary biology.

Many taxa have highly conserved sex determination systems (Cortez et al. 2014; Ellegren 2010) enabling a single method of molecular sex identification to be used across an enormous range of species with little modification. For example, all birds possess a ZW chromosome system, and a single primer pair based on the CHD1 gene allows for sex identification across the neognathae (which includes over 99% of bird species) (Fridolfsson & Ellegren 1999). Similarly, amplification of the SRY gene identifies the presence of the Y-chromosome in a wide range of eutherian mammals (Hrovatin & Kunej 2018).

However, such conservation is far from universal, and other branches of the tree of life have experienced frequent turnover of sex chromosomes (Ma & Rovatsos 2022), often made obvious by transitions between male and female heterogamety (Bachtrog et al. 2014; van Doorn & Kirkpatrick 2010). Groups notable for rapid evolution in sex determination systems include fish (Kitano & Peichel 2012), squamate reptiles (Ezaz et al. 2010) and amphibians (Miura 2017). In addition, while the majority of plants are hermaphroditic (or monoecious), dioecy (two fully separate sexes) has independently evolved on numerous occasions (Renner 2014). Rapid turnover complicates molecular sex identification, as new markers may have to be identified on a lineage-by-lineage basis. Exacerbating this, evolutionally young sex chromosomes are typically not highly differentiated, resulting in homomorphic chromosomes with only a small region in which sex-linked markers may be found (Charlesworth et al. 2005; Wright et al. 2016).

Several sequencing approaches are employed for the identification of sex-linked markers. Recent studies have tended to employ either whole genome sequencing (WGS) (Darolti et al. 2019; Keinath et al. 2018; Rafati et al. 2020) or restriction-site associated DNA sequencing (RADseq) (Gamble et al. 2015; Hime et al. 2019; Hu et al. 2019). WGS is a powerful technique, but the cost of sequencing the entire genome of

multiple individuals of both sexes may be prohibitive. This is particularly the case for organisms with exceptionally large genomes, such as salamanders, lungfish and many genera of dioecious plants such as ginkgo, mistletoe and yew, that all have genome sizes in excess of 10 Gbp (Gregory 2024; Pellicer & Leitch 2020). For such gigantic genomes, RADseq may be a superior technique. RADseq targets restriction site-bounded sequences scattered randomly throughout the genome (Miller et al. 2007), giving genome-wide data, while requiring orders of magnitude less sequencing than WGS.

RADseq is employed to identify sex-linked makers via multiple methodologies (Gamble 2016). The most conceptually simple approach is association-based (Gamble & Zarkower 2014). A number of individuals from both sexes are sequenced, and the recovered RAD markers are screened for those present in one sex and absent in another. The RAD marker set can also be screened for SNPs present only in one sex, and markers present at twice the copy number in one sex than the other (Brelsford et al. 2017; Trenkel et al. 2020). This approach typically requires 10–30 individuals per sex, with decreasing sample sizes increasing the risk of generating false positives.

As RADseq involves many thousands of markers scattered randomly across the genome it is ideal for building high density linkage maps. Sex-linked regions can then be identified by quantitative trait locus analysis (QTL) (Peng et al. 2016), detecting clusters of SNPs unique to the heterogametic parent (Hu et al. 2021) or by locating regions of reduced recombination (Brelsford et al. 2016). The map may also be a valuable resource for questions beyond that of sex determination, for example, anchoring scaffolds of a whole genome assembly (Lee et al. 2019). A linkage map requires more investment than an association-based approach, as a linkage family (or families) must be bred and typically at least 100 individuals must be sequenced. However, as the sex of the offspring does not have to be known (Brelsford et al. 2016), a linkage mapping approach may be more feasible in cases where large numbers of adults are not available to be morphologically sexed and juveniles are readily bred but difficult to sex.

Little literature is available on the relative performance of different approaches for using RADseq to identify sex-linked markers. Most studies employ a single methodology, and while this does provide a list of strategies that have proved successful in at least one situation, the publication bias against negative results means that the limitations of these approaches remain obscure. The publications that do compare different tools for sex-linked maker discovery tend to either be reviews, which aggregate results generated in wildly different contexts (Palmer et al. 2019), or comparisons of bioinformatic approaches (Trenkel et al. 2020). This presents an issue for researchers designing such studies, as it is not clear which sequencing strategy is more likely to yield useful sex-linked markers. In this study we aim to contrast two approaches to sex-linked marker discovery using RADseq (linkage mapping, and presence/absence association)

by applying both to a single, challenging species, the common newt (*Lissotriton vulgaris*).

*Lissotriton vulgaris* one of the most widely distributed amphibian species in Europe, ranging from Ireland to Siberia (Sparreboom 2014). It is part of the smooth newt species complex, which includes six closely related newt species found in Europe and western Asia (Pabijan et al. 2017; Wielstra et al. 2018). The wider genus *Lissotriton* includes four additional species more distantly related to *L. vulgaris* (Babik et al. 2005). Like all salamanders, *Lissotriton* have gigantic genomes, estimated at 27.7-32.0 Gbp (Gregory 2024; Litvinchuk et al. 2007). *Lissotriton* possess XY sex-determination systems with little to no heteromorphism (Schmid et al. 1979; Zbożęń & Rafiński 1993). No Y-linked marker has previously been reported in any Salamander, however RADseq studies have identified the ancestral amphibian ZW system in the family Cryptobranchidae (Hime et al. 2019; Hu et al. 2019, 2021), and the first salamander whole genome assembly revealed a tiny 300 Kbp W-linked region in the axolotl (Keinath et al. 2018).

We first attempt to identify Y-linked markers via the associate approach by performing RADseq on a group of known sex *L. vulgaris*. We then test the linkage mapping approach to identify a Y-linked region, gathering RADseq data from a full-sibling *L. vulgaris* family with offspring of unknown sex. Finally, we validate candidate markers by PCR amplification in multiple taxa within the genus *Lissotriton*.

## Methods & Materials

### Sample acquisition

For identification of Y-linked markers by association, samples from 60 sexed adult *L. vulgaris* (30 male, 30 female) were collected from the Kraków metropolitan area in Poland, these samples are also reported in Babik et al. (in press). For construction of the linkage map an *L. vulgaris* family was bred consisting of 2 parents (1 adult male and 1 adult female, collected in Kraków, Poland) and 146 offspring of unknown sex. For validation of candidate markers via PCR, 12 samples (6 male, 6 female) of both *L. vulgaris* and *L. montandoni* and an additional 2 samples (1 male, 1 female) of multiple taxa belonging to the smooth newt complex (*L. v. ampelensis*, *L. v. meridionalis*, *L. graecus*, *L. kosswigi* and *L. schmidtleri*) as well as more distantly related *Lissotriton* species (*L. boscai*, *L. helveticus* and *L. italicus*,) were obtained from localities across Europe and Anatolia (see online supporting information for a full details of samples). Samples from adults consisted of tail tips and for offspring the freshly hatched larvae were collected whole. Samples were stored in 96% ethanol.

## DNA extraction, library preparation and RAD-sequencing

Whole genomic DNA was extracted from the selected tissue samples with the Promega Wizard™ Genomic DNA Purification Kit (Promega, Madison, WI, USA), according to the salt-based extraction protocol of Sambrook and Russel (2001). Double digest RADseq libraries were prepared according to the Adapterama III High-Throughput 3RAD protocol (Bayona-Vásquez et al. 2019) from 100 ng of genomic DNA, using restriction enzymes *EcoRI*, *XbaI*, and *NheI*. Fragments in the range of 490-600 bp were excised using Pippin Prep, the libraries were pooled equimolarly and 150 bp paired-end sequencing was performed by Novogene (Cambridge, UK) on the Illumina NovaSeq 6000 (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) platform, targeting a yield of 1 Gbp per sample for the linkage map family and 2 Gbp for the known-sex adults.

## RADseq data processing

The Stacks package v2.54 (Catchen et al. 2013; Rochette et al. 2019) was employed to process raw reads from all RADseq samples. Reads were demultiplexed and trimmed via the process\_radtags program. The denovo\_map.pl pipeline was then used to group reads into putative loci. Default settings were used except for the parameter M, which controls how many mismatched bases two read-pairs may have and still be assigned to the same locus. If M is too low, Stacks may not correctly group reads from the same locus together (especially if samples are genetically divergent), however if M is too high it may result in reads from paralogous loci being inappropriately aggregated together (Paris et al. 2017). As our RADseq analysis was based on two sample-sets which would not be expected to exhibit great genetic diversity (a captive bred linkage map family and a group of wild-caught newts from a relatively small area) a low value of M would seem appropriate. Accordingly, we selected the default value of  $M=2$  for the linkage family, as this low value will minimise the distortions caused by mapping paralogs together as falsely heterozygous loci.

However, we hypothesised that an overly high value of M may actually be helpful when selecting markers for molecular sex identification. This increases the chance sex-linked loci with autosomal paralogs will be assigned reads even in the opposite sex, and so filtered out in subsequent analysis. This is desirable as these loci would likely give false positives in PCR based genotyping (due to amplification of the paralog). Consequently, we ran our analysis of the known-sex RADseq data three times, with values of  $M=2$ ,  $M=6$  and  $M=10$ .

## Sex-associated presence/absence marker discovery

The bam files produced from each of the three runs of `denovo_map.pl` were processed with the depth function of SAMtools (Danecek et al. 2021) to produce a table of the number of reads of each marker in each of the sexed-adult samples. A custom R script was then used to identify candidate Y-linked markers which had reads in at least 90% of male samples, and less than 10% of female samples.

We aimed to minimise the likelihood of candidate Y-linked markers failing in PCR validation by avoiding candidates with a large number of paralogous sequences present in the genome. Primers designed for such markers would have a high chance of amplifying products from autosomal paralogs, resulting in false positives in female samples. Therefore, a BLAST (Camacho et al. 2009) search was then conducted for each candidate against the catalogue of all RAD markers found in the run of the same M value, and the number of potential paralogous hits (> 80% sequence similarity with a query coverage of > 25%) recorded. Candidate markers were ranked based on absence of residual reads in females, number of potential paralogs and average read depth in males. The ten highest ranked candidate markers from each run were selected for PCR screening.

After removing any duplicate markers (where the same sequence was selected from multiple runs of the pipeline), primers were designed with Primer 3 (Untergasser et al. 2012) targeting an optimal primer length of 20 bp and melting temperature of 60°C. To facilitate the design of a multiplex PCR, for each marker we attempted to design two primer pairs, amplifying both a shorter (ca. 100 bp) and a longer (ca. 200 bp) product. For candidate markers that did not consist of a continuous sequence, as the RAD fragments were longer than 2 x 150 read, the primer pairs amplifying the shorter products were derived entirely from the forward read, whereas the longer product would incorporate an additional sequence of unknown length between the forward and reverse reads. Sequences of all primers are found in Supplementary Table S2.

## Sex associated marker validation

The primers designed for candidate sex-associated markers were tested via PCR amplification with 2x QIAGEN multiplex master mix (QIAGEN B.V, Venlo, Netherlands). After optimisation a final PCR protocol was designed consisting of a 95°C hot start for 10 minutes, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation for 30 seconds at 95°C, 60 seconds annealing at 61°C and 45 seconds extension at 72°C, with a final extension of 10 minutes at 72°C. All primers were used at a final concentration of 0.1 µM. Initial screening was against a single male/female pair of *L. vulgaris*. Markers showing male specificity were validated against a panel of six male and six female *L. vulgaris*. Validated markers were then tested against a male/female pairs of both *L. montandoni* and *L. helveticus* (as representatives of the *L. vulgaris* complex, and the wider *Lissotriton*

genus, respectively). Any markers with multispecies sex specificity were tested in male/female pairs of all available species of *Lissotriton*. Finally, a multiplex PCR was designed, combining the most broadly male-specific markers with an autosomal control marker, CDK-17, which amplifies a product of 537 bp.

## Linkage map construction

The joint VCF file produced by Stacks was filtered with VCFtools (Danecek et al. 2011) to exclude indels and SNPs with greater than 5% missing data, a mean depth of less than 10, or a minor allele frequency of less than 0.2. The thin function of VCFtools was used to select a single SNP per marker. Lep-MAP 3 (Rastas 2017) was then used to construct paternal, maternal and sex averaged linkage maps. To incorporate the candidate Y-linked markers identified in the known-sex adults into the linkage map, a custom R script was used to translate the presence/absence of reads for these markers into pseudo-SNP genotype calls for all samples (markers with no reads were assigned an artificial AA genotype whereas markers with reads were assigned as AT). These calls were appended to the call file produced by the first stage of the Lep-MAP 3 pipeline (the ParentCall2 module) and incorporated into all subsequent steps. Initial linkage groups were created with the SeparateChromosomes2 module, with a LOD limit of 20 and distortion LOD set to 1. Unplaced markers were then added with the JoinSingles2All module with a LOD limit of 15. The markers were then ordered with the OrderMarkers2 module, using 20 merge iterations, 8 polish iterations, a minError value of 0.02 and the scale setting M/N 2. The informative mask options 23 and 13 were used for the paternal and maternal maps respectively.

## Linkage map comparison with *Pleurodeles waltl* genome

Initial validation of the linkage map was performed by BLASTing the sequences of the mapped makers against the genome assembly of the Iberian ribbed newt (*Pleurodeles waltl*) (Brown et al. 2025), using a word size of 11 and requiring a minimum E value of  $1e-20$ . To account for the high degree of paralogy typical of newt genomes, the blast results were filtered to include only hits that exceeded the significance of the next best hit by five orders of magnitude, following the methodology of Purcell et al. (2014). The filtered blast hits were then used to create an Oxford plot via a custom R script.

## Marker density analysis

In an XY system, the sexed-linked region is not expected to undergo recombination in males, and so markers within this region should exhibit extreme genetic linkage when transmitted from father to offspring. When a paternal linkage map is constructed, these markers will form a region of high marker density. However, as the sex-linked region does undergo recombination in females, these markers should be spread over a wider region when the corresponding maternal map is constructed. We attempted to locate the sex-linked region by plotting marker density in both paternal and maternal linkage maps via a custom R script, and identifying a peak which is exclusive to the paternal map.

## Analysis of paternal specific markers and SNPs

To be placed on the linkage map a marker must have a SNP that is heterozygous in at least one the parents. SNPs which are heterozygous in the father but homozygous in the mother are termed paternal specific SNPs. RAD loci which include only paternal specific SNPs are termed paternal specific markers. While a large number of paternal (and maternal) specific SNPs and markers will be randomly scattered across the genome, the Y-linked region is expected to be particularly enriched in paternal specific SNPs and markers, as in an XY system this region is, by definition, heterozygous in males. To identify this enriched region, we first plotted the number of paternal SNPs and markers against the total number of markers per linkage group. We then used a custom R script to divide each linkage group into bins of 2 cM and plot the probability (assuming the markers were randomly and independently distributed) of obtaining the measured number of paternal specific markers and SNPs, using a binomial distribution for the markers and a Poisson distribution for the SNPs.

## Results

### Sex association in known-sex adults

After demultiplexing and filtering, the 60 known-sex individuals yielded a total of 901 million reads (per sample median: 13.7 M, interquartile range: 11.5-16.5 M). The three runs of the `denovo_map.pl` pipeline yielded differing results as parameter M was varied. Increasing M decreased the total number of loci identified in the dataset (M=2: 1,541,940, M=6: 1,026,619, M=10: 911,354) and increased the mean adjusted sequencing depth per sample (M=2: 28.2, M=6: 30.6, M=10: 31.7) and the proportion of loci found in at least 50% of samples (M=2: 8.61%, M=6: 12.56%, M=10: 13.31%).


As expected, in the initial sets of candidate markers (M=2: 32, M=6: 26, M=10: 19, after duplicates removed, 35 unique sequences in total) selected by screening for presence in males and absence in females a high degree of paralogy was observed, with the number of BLAST hits per marker varying from 1 to 500. The proportion of candidate markers without paralogous hits increased with parameter M (M=2: 19%, M=6: 38%, M=10: 53%). After the 10 highest ranked candidate markers from each run were selected, the majority of candidates appeared in multiple runs. Removing duplicates left 14 unique candidate markers for PCR validation. In total 25 primers pairs were designed (Supplementary Table S2), as for three markers Primer3 failed to generate a valid primer pair for the 'short' product under the given conditions.

### Sex-associated marker validation

Of the 14 candidate markers, six show validated male-specific amplification in *L. vulgaris*, with an additional marker showing initial male-specificity screening but failing in the 12 individual validation panel. Three markers retain sex-specificity in *L. montandoni* (Table 1). Two markers, LvY-79267 and LvY-51393 show broad male-specificity within the smooth newt species complex. However, both markers fail to amplify in males in at least one taxon within this group, with LvY-79267 failing in *L. v. meridionalis* and LvY-51393 failing in *L. v. ampelensis*. In the more distantly related species (*L. helveticus*, *L. italicus* and *L. boscai*) no sex-specific amplification is observed with any primer pair, with markers failing to amplify in either sex or amplifying in both sexes (all gels resulting from screening and validation found in Supplementary Figures 1-4). If the candidate markers from each run are considered separately, higher values of parameter M give more useful results. Five out of ten candidates selected from M=10 are sex-specific in *L. vulgaris* compared to four out of ten from M=6 and two out of ten selected from M=2. A final multiplex mix (Table 2), amplifying fragments from both LvY-79267 and LvY-51393 as well as the autosomal control marker CDK-17, shows robust sex-identification across all taxa within the *L. vulgaris* species complex included in this study (Fig. 1).

| Marker     | Ranked in<br>Stacks run M= |     |     | Primer<br>pair | Male specific amplification in <i>Lissotriton</i> taxa |                      |                         |                           |                       |                   |                    |                      |                    |                  |    |  |
|------------|----------------------------|-----|-----|----------------|--------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------|------------------|----|--|
|            |                            |     |     |                | <i>L. vulgaris</i> species complex                     |                      |                         |                           |                       |                   |                    | Other                |                    |                  |    |  |
|            |                            |     |     |                | <i>L. vulgaris</i>                                     | <i>L. montandoni</i> | <i>L. v. ampelensis</i> | <i>L. v. meridionalis</i> | <i>L. schmidtleri</i> | <i>L. graecus</i> | <i>L. kosswigi</i> | <i>L. helveticus</i> | <i>L. italicus</i> | <i>L. boscai</i> |    |  |
|            | 2                          | 6   | 10  |                |                                                        |                      |                         |                           |                       |                   |                    |                      |                    |                  |    |  |
| IvY-36220  | yes                        | yes | yes | short          | o                                                      |                      |                         |                           |                       |                   |                    |                      |                    |                  |    |  |
|            |                            |     |     | long           | x                                                      |                      |                         |                           |                       |                   |                    |                      |                    |                  |    |  |
| IvY-65590  | yes                        | yes | yes | short          | o                                                      |                      |                         |                           |                       |                   |                    |                      |                    |                  |    |  |
|            |                            |     |     | long           | o                                                      |                      |                         |                           |                       |                   |                    |                      |                    |                  |    |  |
| IvY-81842  | yes                        | yes | yes | short          | o†                                                     | o                    |                         |                           |                       |                   |                    |                      | x                  |                  |    |  |
|            |                            |     |     | long           | o†                                                     | x                    |                         |                           |                       |                   |                    |                      | x                  |                  |    |  |
| IvY-123701 | yes                        | yes | yes | short          | +                                                      | x                    |                         |                           |                       |                   |                    |                      | x                  |                  |    |  |
|            |                            |     |     | long           | +                                                      | x                    |                         |                           |                       |                   |                    |                      | x                  |                  |    |  |
| IvY-138925 | yes                        | yes | yes | short          | o                                                      |                      |                         |                           |                       |                   |                    |                      |                    |                  |    |  |
|            |                            |     |     | long           | o                                                      |                      |                         |                           |                       |                   |                    |                      |                    |                  |    |  |
| IvY-143365 | yes                        | yes | yes | short          | o                                                      |                      |                         |                           |                       |                   |                    |                      |                    |                  |    |  |
|            |                            |     |     | long           | o                                                      |                      |                         |                           |                       |                   |                    |                      |                    |                  |    |  |
| IvY-79267  |                            | yes | yes | short          | +                                                      | +                    | +                       | x                         | +                     | +                 | +                  |                      | x                  | x                | x‡ |  |
|            |                            |     |     | long           | +                                                      | +                    | +                       | x                         | +                     | +                 | +                  |                      | x                  | x                | x‡ |  |
| IvY-115632 |                            | yes | yes | short          | +                                                      | +                    | x                       | x                         | o                     | +                 | +                  |                      | x                  | o                | x  |  |
|            |                            |     |     | long           | +                                                      | +                    | o                       | o                         | o                     | o                 | o                  |                      | x                  | x                | x‡ |  |
| IvY-128014 |                            | yes | yes | short          | +                                                      | x‡                   |                         |                           |                       |                   |                    |                      | x                  |                  |    |  |
|            |                            |     |     | long           | o                                                      |                      |                         |                           |                       |                   |                    |                      |                    |                  |    |  |
| IvY-51393  | yes                        |     | yes | short          | +                                                      | +                    | x                       | +                         | +                     | +                 | +                  |                      | o                  | o                | x‡ |  |
|            |                            |     |     | long           | +                                                      | x                    | x                       | +                         | x                     | +                 | +                  |                      | o                  | o                | x‡ |  |
| IvY-99941  |                            | yes |     | long           | o                                                      |                      |                         |                           |                       |                   |                    |                      |                    |                  |    |  |
| IvY-11521  | yes                        |     |     | long           | o                                                      |                      |                         |                           |                       |                   |                    |                      |                    |                  |    |  |
| IvY-28978  | yes                        |     |     | long           | o                                                      |                      |                         |                           |                       |                   |                    |                      |                    |                  |    |  |
| IvY-102891 | yes                        |     |     | short          | o                                                      |                      |                         |                           |                       |                   |                    |                      |                    |                  |    |  |
|            |                            |     |     | long           | o                                                      |                      |                         |                           |                       |                   |                    |                      |                    |                  |    |  |

**Table 1: Summary of results of PCR screening of primer pairs designed for candidate Y-linked markers in *Lissotriton* newts.** Results are indicated as: + amplification only in male samples, o amplification in both male and female samples, x no amplification in either sex. Twenty-five primer pairs were tested in a male-female pair of *L. vulgaris*, followed by validation of successful markers in a 12 individual panel. Nine primer pairs, covering five candidate markers, show confirmed male-specific amplification in *L. vulgaris*, with a further two primer pairs, marked with †, showing initial male-specificity but failing in the wider panel. The successful primer sets were then tested in male-female pairs of *L. montandoni* and *L. helveticus*, and the three markers which show sex-specificity in *L. montandoni* were then tested in male-female pairs of all available *Lissotriton* taxa. While markers lvY-79267 and lvY-51393 demonstrate broad male-specificity within the *L. vulgaris* species complex no marker shows any sex-specificity in more distantly related *Lissotriton* taxa. In several cases, marked with ‡, the PCR results are difficult to interpret due to faint amplification of multiple off-target bands.



**Figure 1: The results of the multiplex PCR designed for molecular sex identification in the *L. vulgaris* species complex.** The three primer sets amplify a control marker CDK-17 (537 bp) and two Y-linked markers LvY-79267-Long (ca. 240 bp) and LvY-51393-Short (124 bp). Within the *L. vulgaris* complex (*L. vulgaris*, *L. montandoni*, *L. schmidtleri*, *L. graecus* and *L. kosswigi*) amplification of the Y-linked markers is observed only in male samples. In more distantly related *Lissotriton* species (*L. helveticus*, *L. italicus* and *L. boscai*) sex-specific amplification is not observed. As male amplification of one of the Y-linked markers is not observed in each of the non-nominate subspecies of *L. vulgaris* (LvY-51393-Short in *L. v. ampelensis* and LvY-79267-Long in *L. v. meridionalis*) we recommend using both diagnostic primer pairs for reliable sex-identification.

| Primer Pair            | Forward Sequence     | Reverse Sequence      | Product (bp) |
|------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|--------------|
| <b>CDK-17</b>          | GGCATGGGAAGAACAGAAGA | CCATCTGCTTGGACTGTTGA  | 537          |
| <b>lvY-51393-short</b> | GACCACTGTAGAGGAGTTGG | GCTGCCTGTTTCTGGATGTC  | 124          |
| <b>lvY-79267-long</b>  | CAAGGCCAAAATGATCCCGC | TGTGCATTGACCATAAAGCCC | ca. 240      |

**Table 2: Primer sequences used for the sex diagnostic multiplex PCR for use within the *L. vulgaris* species complex, as demonstrated in Fig. 1.** CDK-17 is a control marker which amplifies in all species, lvY-51393-short and lvY-79267-long amplify only in males, however inclusion of both is recommended as some taxa may fail to amplify one the markers.

## Linkage map construction


The 148 individuals of the linkage map family yielded a total of 1.34 billion reads (per sample median: 7.91 M, interquartile range: 7.04-8.92 M). The `denovo_map.pl` pipeline produced a total of 414,146 RAD loci of which 137,538 (33.2%) were present in at least 50% of individuals. After filtering with VCFtools a total of 16,738 markers (each with 1 representative SNP) were available for linkage map construction. The final linkage maps consist of 12 linkage groups. The sex-averaged map contains 10,763 markers and has total length of 1,366 cM (Fig. 2). Respectively, the paternal and maternal maps contain 7,484 and 7,452 markers and have total lengths of 1,300 and 1,688 cM (Sup. Figs. 5-6, Sup. Table S1). The sex-averaged and paternal maps include 32 Y-linked presence/absence markers. In both maps these form a tight cluster, spanning less than 2 cM, located at one end of linkage group 5.



**Figure 2: Sex-averaged linkage map for *L. vulgaris* based on a full-sib family of 146 offspring.** The linkage map is composed of 10,763 RAD markers in 12 linkage groups, ordered by length in centimorgans. Thirty-two Y-linked presence/absence markers (highlighted in red), first identified in known-sex adult *L. vulgaris* are located within a 2 cM region of Group 5, identifying this as the Y-chromosome.

## Comparison with *Pleurodeles waltl* genome

Five hundred and seventy-nine (5.4%) of the markers placed on the linkage map, including two Y-linked markers, can be aligned with sequences within the *P. waltl* genome assembly (Fig. 3). Synteny between the taxa appears strongly conserved, with each linkage group reciprocally matching a single *P. waltl* chromosome, 472 (82%) *L. vulgaris* markers mapping to their orthologous chromosome, and large blocks of conserved synteny are observed within each chromosome/linkage group pair. Evidence of a large inversion is also seen on linkage group 10. We observe no clear pattern in the 18% of markers mapping to non-orthologous chromosomes, indicating that these are a likely a result of misalignment of paralogous sequences, rather than evidence of any large-scale genomic rearrangements. Linkage group 5 is clearly orthologous to *P. waltl* chromosome 5. The two Y-linked presence/absence markers that have identifiable orthologs both align with sequences close to one end of chromosome 5, with start coordinates of 35.2 and 62.5 Mbp (the overall length of chromosome 5 is 1.91 Gbp).




**Figure 3:** Oxford plot comparing the locations of 579 RAD markers in the *L. vulgaris* linkage map with their orthologs within the *P. waltl* genome, as assembled by (Brown et al. 2025). Two Y-linked presence/absence markers are highlighted in red. Four hundred and seventy-two markers (82%) map to orthologous chromosomes, demonstrating broad synteny between the two newt genera.

## Marker density analysis

Marker density differs significantly between paternal and maternal linkage maps (Fig. 4). In the male map each linkage group is dominated by a single, tight cluster of markers, indicating large regions of reduced recombination. The clusters are usually in the centre of the group, suggesting that most recombination events occur near the ends of the chromosomes. In the maternal map marker density is more uniform, although areas of increased marker density are observed towards the end of some linkage groups. As expected, the Y-linked presence/absence markers are found in a region that shows high marker density in the paternal map but not in the maternal map. However such regions can be observed across the linkage map, which is an expected consequence of the differing rates of recombination in male and female meiosis. While


linkage group 5 does show the greatest difference in length and average marker density between the paternal and maternal map of any of the groups, the peak of marker density six other paternal linkage groups exceeds that of the Y-linked region. Peak marker density in the paternal map is found in linkage group 10, where 337 markers map to a single point.




**Figure 4: Marker density in the paternal and maternal *L. vulgaris* linkage maps.** Total marker density is shown in black, and density of Y-linked presence/absence markers is shown in red, highlighting the Y-linked region on linkage group 5. Markers were aggregated into 2 cM bins and a Gaussian smoothing function with a 10 cM range was applied.

## Paternal specific markers and SNPs

3,389 paternal specific markers and 9,414 paternal specific SNPs were located within the sex-averaged linkage map. Distribution across linkage groups was extremely uniform, with a linear trend observed between the number of total markers and paternal specific markers/SNPs within each linkage group (Fig. 5). Neither linkage group 5 nor any other group deviated significantly from this trend. The region containing the majority of the Y-linked presence absence markers has a significantly elevated concentration of both paternal specific markers ( $P = 1.38 \times 10^{-4}$ ) and SNPs ( $P = 7.77 \times 10^{-10}$ ), however more significant concentrations are present at multiple locations throughout the linkage map (Fig. 6). Eighteen 2 cM bins have a more significant concentration of paternal specific markers and eight have a more significant concentration of paternal SNPs.



**Figure 5:** Plots showing the number of the paternal specific markers (A) and SNPs (B) against the total number of markers in each group of the sex-averaged *L. vulgaris* linkage map. Linkage group 5, barring the Y-linked presence/absence markers is highlighted in red.



**Figure 6:** Manhattan style plot showing enrichment in paternal specific markers (A) and SNPs (B) in the sex-averaged *L. vulgaris* linkage map, divided into 689 bins of length 2 cM. Enrichment is shown as  $-\log_{10}$  of the probability of a bin containing the observed number of paternal specific markers and SNPs. The 32 Y-linked presence absence markers are located in two adjacent bins highlighted in red. As expected, these bins show significant enrichment in paternal-specific markers ( $p = 1.38 \times 10^{-4}$ ) and SNPs ( $p = 7.77 \times 10^{-10}$ ). However other regions of the genome show even greater enrichment, with 18 and 8 bins exceeding the significance of the Y-linked region (shown as the dashed horizontal line) in paternal specific markers and SNPs respectively.

## Discussion

We successfully identify sex-linked presence/absence markers in the smooth newt, *L. vulgaris* via an associative RADseq approach, confirming that this method remains effective even in exceptionally large genomes, such as those of Salamanders. As such genomic gigantism is often the result of accumulation of repetitive elements (Lee & Kim 2014; Sun et al. 2012) there is high chance that any given sequence will have multiple paralogs throughout the genome. Our results indicate that the efficiency of the discovery process can be significantly enhanced by aggressively filtering out candidate markers showing such paralogy. Out of 14 markers we test via PCR, five show validated sex association in *L. vulgaris*. Compared to the two previous studies using a similar methodology in salamanders, this is a notably high success rate. Hime et al. (2019) screened 43 loci for sex association in the hellbender, *Cryptobranchus alleganiensis*, with four successful in PCR. Hu et al. (2019) designed 100 candidate primer pairs to yield four reliable W-linked markers in the Chinese giant salamander, *Andrias davidianus*. In addition, our experience indicates that thoughtful optimisation of the upstream bioinformatics increases the chance of identifying a useful marker. Increasing the value parameter M in the `denovo_map.pl/ustacks` programs of the Stacks package from 2 to 10 vastly reduced the chance of a marker with paralogs being selected as a sex-linked candidate and doubled the number of candidates that gave sex-specific PCR amplification.

To our knowledge these presence/absence markers are the first tool for genetic sex identification described in any species of newt (subfamily Pleurodelinae) or salamander within the family Salamandridae. Two markers, LvY-79267 and LvY-51393, are particularly notable, as we show that in combination they allow for molecular sex identification across the smooth newt species complex with a simple a multiplex PCR protocol. As *Lissotriton* take 2-3 years to reach sexual maturity, and are difficult to morphologically sex as juveniles (Sparreboom 2014), a robust genetic assay of sex will be of significant benefit for researchers interested in the conservation, ecology and behaviour of these species.

The sex-specificity of the markers decreases with phylogenetic distance. Five markers are male-specific in *L. vulgaris*. Three of these retain specificity in *L. montandoni* – the most basal species within the smooth newt complex, which is notable as previous cytological studies were unable to identify any sex-chromosome in this species (Zbozeń et al. 1993). No marker is found to amplify sex-specifically in more distantly related *Lissotriton* species. Variation in the Y-chromosome may contribute to the differing degrees of reproductive isolation within the genus (Johnson et al. 2012; Yoshida et al. 2014) – while species within the smooth newt complex hybridise readily,

*L. vulgaris* and *L. helveticus* (the palmate newt) show almost complete reproductive isolation, despite co-occurring over a large area of western Europe (Miralles et al. 2024).

We were unable to obtain known-sex samples of two *Lissotriton* taxa. The Caucasian smooth newt *L. lantzi* is a member of the smooth newt complex (Wielstra et al. 2018) and so we predict that the identified markers will also be sex-specific in this species. *L. maltzani* has recently been recognised as a separate species from its close relative the Iberian newt, *L. boscai* (Sequeira et al. 2020; Speybroeck et al. 2020), but can be expected to show a similar, non-specific result with the markers described above.

We construct a high-density linkage map for *L. vulgaris* and identify a Y-determining region at the end of linkage group 5 via the incorporation of the markers identified above. The resulting map matches the observed karyotype of *L. vulgaris* (Wickbom 1945), and the number and density of markers is significantly increased compared to *L. vulgaris* x *L. montandoni* linkage maps published by (Niedzicka et al. 2017). A disadvantage of RADseq-based linkage maps is that the information they provide typically lacks context; it is difficult to directly relate a given linkage group to a particular chromosome, and the mapped sequences are unlikely to have any known function. To provide useful context, we attempt to align the *L. vulgaris* linkage map with the *P. waltl* genome assembly (Brown et al. 2025). Surprisingly, given the challenges of aligning short, non-coding sequences with a highly paralogous genome that diverged over 60 million years ago (Marjanović & Laurin 2014; Zhang & Wake 2009) a useful comparison is possible and shows that genome-level synteny between the two genera is highly conserved.

However, we are unable to confidently identify a sex-determining region of this linkage map without using additional information. We do observe considerable variation in intra-group marker density between the paternal and maternal maps, but this is not restricted to any one linkage group. In general, we show that *L. vulgaris* conforms to an extremely widespread pattern where male and female meiosis differ significantly, with males experiencing relatively more recombination near the telomeres and less recombination closer to the centromere of chromosomes (Sardell & Kirkpatrick 2020). Linkage group 5 varies slightly, as the telomeric region in which the Y-linked markers cluster shows reduced paternal recombination. This could be interpreted as restricted recombination between the X and Y chromosomes, however a similar phenomenon can also be observed in the autosomal linkage group 4.

Brelsford et al. (2016) reported a significant excess of paternal specific SNPs on the linkage group corresponding to the Y-chromosome of the European tree frog, *Hyla arborea*. In our study however, we do not observe RAD markers bearing paternal specific SNPs to be more prevalent on a particular linkage group or form an obvious cluster within any linkage group. The likely explanation is that the sex-determining region of *L. vulgaris* is simply too small to be observable with this methodology - especially given

the enormous size of the overall genome and the consequently low per base pair recombination rate.

As recombination frequency, and the density of RAD markers will vary over the length of each chromosome, it is not possible to estimate the size of the Y-linked region in *L. vulgaris* with any accuracy. However, we can identify orthologous loci for two Y-linked presence/absence sequences within *P. waltl* chromosome 5, which corresponds to our linkage group 5. The orthologs are separated by just 27.3 Mbp, approximately 1.4% of the overall chromosome length. If this is reflective of the situation in the *L. vulgaris* Y-linked region, it would explain the absence of an obvious cluster of paternal SNPs. A region this small would contain too few RAD markers to stand out against the genetic background, which will contain many other clusters of paternal (and maternal) specific SNPs.

It is somewhat unexpected that the non-recombining region is not more prominent. A cytological study by Schmid et al. (1979) reported that, in male *L. vulgaris*, no chiasmata were observed in any region of the long arm of chromosome 5, which was hence identified as the Y-chromosome, despite being largely homomorphic. While we do observe reduced paternal recombination at the end of linkage group 5 where the Y-linked markers are placed, there is still a significant degree of recombination occurring within this region, the markers have not been collapsed to a single point on the paternal map. In addition, if the non-recombining Y-linked region covered the entirety of the long arm, we would expect a far greater density of paternal specific SNPs and markers than we observe. It is possible that *L. vulgaris* shows regional diversity in the structure and recombination frequency of the Y-chromosome, as is noted in other amphibians, including *Hyla arborea* (Dufresnes et al. 2014) and the alpine newt *Ichthyosaura alpestris* (Herrero & López-Fernández 1986). Such diversity may explain the discrepancy between the karyology, performed on a population gathered near Ulm, Germany, and our linkage map, generated from a Polish population collected in the vicinity of Kraków.

We conclude that, while linkage maps are of great benefit for locating previously discovered sex-linked markers within a genome, their utility for identifying sex-linked regions without *a priori* knowledge is strongly dependent on the size of the region of suppressed recombination. In species with very large genomes and small sex-linked regions, the technique is unlikely to be successful. However, we show that an associative RADseq approach can still be highly effective even in these situations, especially when measures are taken to suppress the selection of markers with autosomal paralogs.

## Data Availability

All raw reads can be found as a part of the NCBI accession associated with Bioproject: PRJNA1118769. (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/PRJNA1118769>) (France et al. 2024a). Information on samples and the positions and sequences of all markers in the *Lissotriton* RADseq linkage map can be found in a .xlsx file hosted together with scripts and bioinformatic pipelines used for analysis in the associated Zenodo repository (<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.13870462>) (France et al. 2024b). Scripts are also available at an associated GitHub repository ([https://github.com/Wielstra-Lab/Lissotriton\\_RADseq\\_Y](https://github.com/Wielstra-Lab/Lissotriton_RADseq_Y)).

## Acknowledgements

This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (Grant Agreement No. 802759). Samples were collected in accordance with the Polish General and Regional Inspectorates of Environmental Protection permits OP-I.6401.32.2020.GZ, GDOŚ DZP-WG.6401.24.2021.TŁ and all experiments were accepted by II Local Ethical Committee for Animal Experiments in Kraków, permit 64/2020.

## References

- An J, Lee M, Min M-S, Lee M-H, Lee H (2007) A molecular genetic approach for species identification of mammals and sex determination of birds in a forensic case of poaching from South Korea. *Forensic Science International*. 167: 59–61. DOI: 10.1016/j.forsciint.2005.12.031.
- Babik W, Branicki W, Crnobrnja-Isailović J, Cogălniceanu D, Sas I, Olgun K, Poyarkov NA, Garcia-París M, Arntzen JW (2005) Phylogeography of two European newt species — discordance between mtDNA and morphology. *Molecular Ecology*. 14: 2475–2491. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-294X.2005.02605.x.
- Bachtrog D, Mank JE, Peichel CL, Kirkpatrick M, Otto SP, Ashman T-L, Hahn MW, Kitano J, Mayrose I, Ming R, Perrin N, Ross L, Valenzuela N, Vamori JC, Consortium TT of S (2014) Sex determination: why so many ways of doing it? *PLOS Biology*. 12: e1001899. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pbio.1001899.
- Bayona-Vásquez NJ, Glenn TC, Kieran TJ, Pierson TW, Hoffberg SL, Scott PA, Bentley KE, Finger JW, Louha S, Troendle N, Diaz-Jaimes P, Mauricio R, Faircloth BC (2019) Adapterama III: Quadruple-indexed, double/triple-enzyme RADseq libraries (2RAD/3RAD). *PeerJ*. 7: e7724. DOI: 10.7717/peerj.7724.
- Brelsford A, Dufresnes C, Perrin N (2016) High-density sex-specific linkage maps of a European tree frog (*Hyla arborea*) identify the sex chromosome without information on offspring sex. *Heredity*. 116: 177–181. DOI: 10.1038/hdy.2015.83.

**Brelsford A, Lavanchy G, Sermier R, Rausch A, Perrin N (2017)** Identifying homomorphic sex chromosomes from wild-caught adults with limited genomic resources. *Molecular Ecology Resources*. 17: 752–759. DOI: 10.1111/1755-0998.12624.

**Brown T, Mishra K, Elewa A, Iarovenko S, Subramanian E, Araus AJ, Petzold A, ... Simon A (2025)** Chromosome-scale genome assembly reveals how repeat elements shape non-coding RNA landscapes active during newt limb regeneration. *Cell Genomics*. 100761. DOI: 10.1016/j.xgen.2025.100761.

**Camacho C, Coulouris G, Avagyan V, Ma N, Papadopoulos J, Bealer K, Madden TL (2009)** BLAST+: architecture and applications. *BMC Bioinformatics*. 10: 421. DOI: 10.1186/1471-2105-10-421.

**Catchen J, Hohenlohe PA, Bassham S, Amores A, Cresko WA (2013)** Stacks: an analysis tool set for population genomics. *Molecular Ecology*. 22: 3124–3140. DOI: 10.1111/mec.12354.

**Charlesworth D, Charlesworth B, Marais G (2005)** Steps in the evolution of heteromorphic sex chromosomes. *Heredity*. 95: 118–128. DOI: 10.1038/sj.hdy.6800697.

**Cortez D, Marin R, Toledo-Flores D, Froidevaux L, Liechti A, Waters PD, Grützner F, Kaessmann H (2014)** Origins and functional evolution of Y chromosomes across mammals. *Nature*. 508: 488–493. DOI: 10.1038/nature13151.

**Curzon AY, Shirak A, Zak T, Dor L, Benet-Perlberg A, Naor A, Low-Tanne SI, Sharkawi H, Ron M, Seroussi E (2021)** All-male production by marker-assisted selection for sex determining loci of admixed *Oreochromis niloticus* and *Oreochromis aureus* stocks. *Animal Genetics*. 52: 361–364. DOI: 10.1111/age.13057.

**Danecek P, Auton A, Abecasis G, Albers CA, Banks E, DePristo MA, Handsaker RE, Lunter G, Marth GT, Sherry ST, McVean G, Durbin R, 1000 Genomes Project Analysis Group (2011)** The variant call format and VCFtools. *Bioinformatics*. 27: 2156–2158. DOI: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btr330.

**Danecek P, Bonfield JK, Liddle J, Marshall J, Ohan V, Pollard MO, Whitwham A, Keane T, McCarthy SA, Davies RM, Li H (2021)** Twelve years of SAMtools and BCFtools. *GigaScience*. 10: giab008. DOI: 10.1093/gigascience/giab008.

**Darolti I, Wright AE, Sandkam BA, Morris J, Bloch NI, Farré M, Fuller RC, Bourne GR, Larkin DM, Breden F, Mank JE (2019)** Extreme heterogeneity in sex chromosome differentiation and dosage compensation in livebearers. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 116: 19031–19036. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1905298116.

**De R, Joshi BD, Shukla M, Pandey P, Singh R, Goyal SP (2019)** Understanding predation behaviour of the tiger (*Panthera tigris tigris*) in Ranthambore tiger Reserve, Rajasthan, India: use of low-cost gel based molecular sexing of prey hairs from scats. *Conservation Genetics Resources*. 11: 97–104. DOI: 10.1007/s12686-017-0963-2.

**van Doorn GS, Kirkpatrick M (2010)** Transitions between male and female heterogamety caused by sex-antagonistic selection. *Genetics*. 186: 629–645. DOI: 10.1534/genetics.110.118596.

**Dufresnes C, Brelsford A, Perrin N (2014)** First-generation linkage map for the European tree frog (*Hyla arborea*) with utility in congeneric species. *BMC Research Notes*. 7: 850. DOI: 10.1186/1756-0500-7-850.

**Dufresnes C, Crochet P-A (2022)** Sex chromosomes as supergenes of speciation: why amphibians defy the rules? *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*. 377: 20210202. DOI: 10.1098/rstb.2021.0202.

**Ellegren H (2010)** Evolutionary stasis: the stable chromosomes of birds. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*. 25: 283–291. DOI: 10.1016/j.tree.2009.12.004.

**Ezaz T, Sarre SD, O'Meally D, Marshall Graves JA, Georges A (2010)** Sex chromosome evolution in lizards: independent origins and rapid transitions. *Cytogenetic and Genome Research*. 127: 249–260. DOI: 10.1159/000300507.

**France J, Babik W, Dudek K, Marszałek M, Wielstra B (2024a) [dataset]** *Lissotriton vulgaris* linkage mapping and Y-chromosome identification. *NCBI*. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/1118769>.

**France J, Babik W, Dudek K, Marszałek M, Wielstra B (2024b) [dataset]** *Lissotriton* RADseq Samples and Linkage Map Details and Sequences. *Zenodo*. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.13870462.

**Fridolfsson A-K, Ellegren H (1999)** A simple and universal method for molecular sexing of non-ratite birds. *Journal of Avian Biology*. 30: 116–121. DOI: 10.2307/3677252.

**Gamble T (2016)** Using RAD-seq to recognize sex-specific markers and sex chromosome systems. *Molecular Ecology*. 25: 2114–2116. DOI: 10.1111/mec.13648.

**Gamble T, Coryell J, Ezaz T, Lynch J, Scantlebury DP, Zarkower D (2015)** Restriction site-associated DNA sequencing (RAD-seq) reveals an extraordinary number of transitions among gecko sex-determining systems. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*. 32: 1296–1309. DOI: 10.1093/molbev/msv023.

**Gamble T, Zarkower D (2014)** Identification of sex-specific molecular markers using restriction site-associated DNA sequencing. *Molecular Ecology Resources*. 14: 902–913. DOI: 10.1111/1755-0998.12237.

**Gregory RT (2024)** Animal genome size database. <https://www.genomesize.com/>.

**Herrero P, López-Fernández C (1986)** The meiotic system of Iberian species of the genus *Triturus* (Amphibia: Caudata). *Caryologia*. 39: 385–395. DOI: 10.1080/00087114.1986.10797801.

**Hime PM, Briggler JT, Reece JS, Weisrock DW (2019)** Genomic data reveal conserved female heterogamety in giant salamanders with gigantic nuclear genomes. *G3: Genes|Genomes|Genetics*. 9: 3467–3476. DOI: 10.1534/g3.119.400556.

**Hrovatin K, Kunej T (2018)** Genetic sex determination assays in 53 mammalian species: Literature analysis and guidelines for reporting standardization. *Ecology and Evolution*. 8: 1009–1018. DOI: 10.1002/ece3.3707.

**Hu Q, Chang C, Wang Q, Tian H, Qiao Z, Wang L, Meng Y, Xu C, Xiao H (2019)** Genome-wide RAD sequencing to identify a sex-specific marker in Chinese giant salamander *Andrias davidianus*. *BMC Genomics*. 20: 415. DOI: 10.1186/s12864-019-5771-5.

**Hu Q, Liu Y, Liao X, Tian H, Ji X, Zhu J, Xiao H (2021)** A high-density genetic map construction and sex-related loci identification in Chinese Giant salamander. *BMC Genomics*. 22: 230. DOI: 10.1186/s12864-021-07550-0.

**Intha N, Chaiprasart P (2020)** Development of molecular markers for sex determination in *Phoenix dactylifera* L. *Acta Horticulturae*. 425–432. DOI: 10.17660/ActaHortic.2020.1299.64.

**Johnson NA, Lachance J (2012)** The genetics of sex chromosomes: evolution and implications for hybrid incompatibility. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*. 1256: E1–E22. DOI: 10.1111/j.1749-6632.2012.06748.x.

**Keinath MC, Timoshevskaya N, Timoshevskiy VA, Voss SR, Smith JJ (2018)** Miniscule differences between sex chromosomes in the giant genome of a salamander. *Scientific Reports*. 8: 17882. DOI: 10.1038/s41598-018-36209-2.

**Kitano J, Peichel CL (2012)** Turnover of sex chromosomes and speciation in fishes. *Environmental Biology of Fishes*. 94: 549–558. DOI: 10.1007/s10641-011-9853-8.

**Lee B-Y, Kim M-S, Choi B-S, Nagano AJ, Au DWT, Wu RSS, Takehana Y, Lee J-S (2019)** Construction of high-resolution RAD-seq based linkage map, anchoring reference genome, and QTL mapping of the sex chromosome in the marine medaka *Oryzias melastigma*. *G3 Genes|Genomes|Genetics*. 9: 3537–3545. DOI: 10.1534/g3.119.400708.

**Lee S-I, Kim N-S (2014)** Transposable elements and genome size variations in plants. *Genomics & Informatics*. 12: 87–97. DOI: 10.5808/GI.2014.12.3.87.

- Litvinchuk SN, Rosanov JM, Borkin LJ (2007)** Correlations of geographic distribution and temperature of embryonic development with the nuclear DNA content in the Salamandridae (Urodela, Amphibia). *Genome*. 50: 333–342. DOI: 10.1139/Go7-010.
- Ma W-J, Rovatsos M (2022)** Sex chromosome evolution: The remarkable diversity in the evolutionary rates and mechanisms. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*. 35: 1581–1588. DOI: 10.1111/jeb.14119.
- Marjanović D, Laurin M (2014)** An updated paleontological timetree of lissamphibians, with comments on the anatomy of Jurassic crown-group salamanders (Urodela). *Historical Biology*. 26: 535–550. DOI: 10.1080/08912963.2013.797972.
- Miller MR, Dunham JP, Amores A, Cresko WA, Johnson EA (2007)** Rapid and cost-effective polymorphism identification and genotyping using restriction site associated DNA (RAD) markers. *Genome Research*. 17: 240–248. DOI: 10.1101/gr.5681207.
- Miralles A, Secondi J, Pabijan M, Babik W, Lemaire C, Crochet P-A (2024)** Inconsistent estimates of hybridization frequency in newts revealed by SNPs and microsatellites. *Conservation Genetics*. 25: 215–225. DOI: 10.1007/s10592-023-01556-9.
- Miura I (2017)** Sex determination and sex chromosomes in Amphibia. *Sexual Development*. 11: 298–306. DOI: 10.1159/000485270.
- Niedzicka M, Dudek K, Fijarczyk A, Zieliński P, Babik W (2017)** Linkage map of *Lissotriton* newts provides insight into the genetic basis of reproductive isolation. *G3 Genes|Genomes|Genetics*. 7: 2115–2124. DOI: 10.1534/g3.117.04178.
- Pabijan M, Zieliński P, Dudek K, Stuglik M, Babik W (2017)** Isolation and gene flow in a speciation continuum in newts. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*. 116: 1–12. DOI: 10.1016/j.ympev.2017.08.003.
- Palmer DH, Rogers TF, Dean R, Wright AE (2019)** How to identify sex chromosomes and their turnover. *Molecular Ecology*. 28: 4709–4724. DOI: 10.1111/mec.15245.
- Paris JR, Stevens JR, Catchen JM (2017)** Lost in parameter space: a road map for stacks. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*. 8: 1360–1373. DOI: 10.1111/2041-210X.12775.
- Payseur BA, Presgraves DC, Filatov DA (2018)** Introduction: Sex chromosomes and speciation. *Molecular Ecology*. 27: 3745–3748. DOI: 10.1111/mec.14828.
- Pellicer J, Leitch IJ (2020)** The Plant DNA C-values database (release 7.1): an updated online repository of plant genome size data for comparative studies. *New Phytologist*. 226: 301–305. DOI: 10.1111/nph.16261.
- Peng W, Xu J, Zhang Y, Feng Jianxin, Dong C, Jiang L, Feng Jingyan, Chen B, Gong Y, Chen L, Xu P (2016)** An ultra-high density linkage map and QTL mapping for sex and growth-related traits of common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*). *Scientific Reports*. 6: 26693. DOI: 10.1038/srep26693.
- Purcell J, Brelsford A, Wurm Y, Perrin N, Chapuisat M (2014)** Convergent genetic architecture underlies social organization in ants. *Current Biology*. 24: 2728–2732. DOI: 10.1016/j.cub.2014.09.071.
- Rafati N, Chen J, Herpin A, Pettersson ME, Han F, Feng C, Wallerman O, ... Andersson L (2020)** Reconstruction of the birth of a male sex chromosome present in Atlantic herring. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 117: 24359–24368. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.2009925117.
- Rastas P (2017)** Lep-MAP3: robust linkage mapping even for low-coverage whole genome sequencing data. *Bioinformatics*. 33: 3726–3732. DOI: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btx494.
- Renner SS (2014)** The relative and absolute frequencies of angiosperm sexual systems: dioecy, monoecy, gynodioecy, and an updated online database. *American Journal of Botany*. 101: 1588–1596. DOI: 10.3732/ajb.1400196.
- Rochette NC, Rivera-Colón AG, Catchen JM (2019)** Stacks 2: Analytical methods for paired-end sequencing improve RADseq-based population genomics. *Molecular Ecology*. 28: 4737–4754. DOI: 10.1111/mec.15253.

**Sambrook J, Russell DW (2001)** *Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual*. CSHL Press ISBN: 978-0-87969-576-7.

**Sardell JM, Kirkpatrick M (2020)** Sex differences in the recombination landscape. *The American Naturalist*. 195: 361–379. DOI: 10.1086/704943.

**Schmid M, Olert J, Klett C (1979)** Chromosome banding in amphibia. *Chromosoma*. 71: 29–55. DOI: 10.1007/BF00426365.

**Sequeira F, Bessa-Silva A, Tarroso P, Sousa-Neves T, Vallinoto M, Gonçalves H, Martínez-Solano I (2020)** Discordant patterns of introgression across a narrow hybrid zone between two cryptic lineages of an Iberian endemic newt. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*. 33: 202–216. DOI: 10.1111/jeb.13562.

**Sparreboom M (2014)** *Salamanders of the old world: The salamanders of Europe, Asia and northern Africa*. KNNV Publishing DOI: 10.1163/9789004285620.

**Speybroeck J, Beukema W, Dufresnes C, Fritz U, Jablonski D, Lymberakis P, Martínez-Solano I, Razzetti E, Vamberger M, Vences M, Vörös J, Crochet P-A (2020)** Species list of the European herpetofauna – 2020 update by the Taxonomic Committee of the Societas Europaea Herpetologica. *Amphibia-Reptilia*. 41: 139–189. DOI: 10.1163/15685381-bja10010.

**Sun C, Shepard DB, Chong RA, López Arriaza J, Hall K, Castoe TA, Feschotte C, Pollock DD, Mueller RL (2012)** LTR retrotransposons contribute to genomic gigantism in Plethodontid salamanders. *Genome Biology and Evolution*. 4: 168–183. DOI: 10.1093/gbe/evr139.

**Trenkel VM, Boudry P, Verrez-Bagnis V, Lorange P (2020)** Methods for identifying and interpreting sex-linked SNP markers and carrying out sex assignment: application to thornback ray (*Raja clavata*). *Molecular Ecology Resources*. 20: 1610–1619. DOI: 10.1111/1755-0998.13225.

**Untergasser A, Cutcutache I, Koressaar T, Ye J, Faircloth BC, Remm M, Rozen SG (2012)** Primer3—new capabilities and interfaces. *Nucleic Acids Research*. 40: e115. DOI: 10.1093/nar/gks596.

**Vidya TNC, Kumar VR, Arivazhagan C, Sukumar R (2003)** Application of molecular sexing to free-ranging Asian elephant (*Elephas maximus*) populations in southern India. *Current Science*. 85: 1074–1077.

**Wickbom T (1945)** Cytological studies on Dipnoi, Urodela, Anura, and Emys. *Hereditas*. 31: 241–346. DOI: 10.1111/j.1601-5223.1945.tb02756.x.

**Wielstra B, Canestrelli D, Cvijanović M, Denoël M, Fijarczyk A, Jablonski D, Liana M, Naumov B, Olgun K, Pabijan M, Pezzarossa A, Popgeorgiev G, Salvi D, Si Y, Sillero N, Sotiropoulos K, Zieliński P, Babik W (2018)** The distributions of the six species constituting the smooth newt species complex (*Lissotriton vulgaris sensu lato* and *L. montandoni*) – an addition to the New Atlas of Amphibians and Reptiles of Europe. *Amphibia-Reptilia*. 39: 252–259. DOI: 10.1163/15685381-17000128.


**Wright AE, Dean R, Zimmer F, Mank JE (2016)** How to make a sex chromosome. *Nature Communications*. 7: 12087. DOI: 10.1038/ncomms12087.

**Yoshida K, Makino T, Yamaguchi K, Shigenobu S, Hasebe M, Kawata M, Kume M, Mori S, Peichel CL, Toyoda A, Fujiyama A, Kitano J (2014)** Sex chromosome turnover contributes to genomic divergence between incipient stickleback species. *PLOS Genetics*. 10: e1004223. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1004223.

**Zbozeń J, Rafiński J (1993)** A comparative study of mitotic and meiotic chromosomes of the Montandon's newt, *Triturus montandoni* (Urodela: Salamandridae) from Poland and Rumania. *Genetica*. 88: 69. DOI: 10.1007/BF02424453.


**Zhang P, Wake DB (2009)** Higher-level salamander relationships and divergence dates inferred from complete mitochondrial genomes. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*. 53: 492–508. DOI: 10.1016/j.ympev.2009.07.010.

# Supporting Information




**Figure S1: PCR screening of 25 primer pairs designed for candidate Y-linked markers for male specific amplification in *L. vulgaris*.** Label M indicates the male sample and label F indicates female. Markers are indicated by number followed by either S (for primer pairs designed for the short product – c.a. 100 bp) or L (for primer pairs designed for the long product – c.a. 200 bp). 10 primer pairs, and 5 markers showed amplification only in the male sample. One further pair, LvY-128014-short showed strong amplification in the male and only weak amplification in the female. A single primer pair, LvY-36220-long, failed to amplify in either sample. The other 25 primer pairs amplified in both the male and female samples.


Two




**Figure S2: PCR screening of the 11 primer pairs showing successful male-specific amplification in *L. vulgaris*, in *L. montandoni* and *L. helveticus*.** Label M indicates the male sample and label F indicates female. Markers are indicated by number followed by either S (for primer pairs designed for the short product – c.a. 100 bp) or L (for primer pairs designed for the long product – c.a. 200 bp). Five primer pairs, designed for three marker sequences, show male specific amplification in *L. montandoni*. Only two primer pairs show strong amplification in *L. helveticus*, and no male specificity is observed.




**Figure S3: PCR screening in seven further *Lissotriton* taxa, using the primer pairs for the three markers that show male specificity in *L. montandoni*.** For the five taxa in the *L. vulgaris* species complex, at least one marker shows male-specific amplification. In the two more distantly related species (*L. italicus* and *L. boscai*) no male-specific amplification is observed, and multiple non-target bands appear.



**Figure S4: PCR validation of primer pairs showing male specificity in *L. vulgaris*,** in a 12 individual panel (six male, six female, not including the two individuals previously used for screening). Primers amplifying CDK-17 were included as a control, and the resultant product appears above the test bands in all cases due to greater length (517 bp compared to 100-250 bp). Both primer pairs designed for LvY-81842 appear to amplify in their product in females and thus fail validation. All other primer pairs are validated as male specific.



**Figure S5:** The paternal *L. vulgaris* linkage map, displaying 7,484 RAD markers across 12 linkage groups, including 32 Y-linked markers highlighted in red on linkage group 5. Groups are ordered according to the length of the corresponding group in the sex averaged map.



**Figure S6:** The maternal *L. vulgaris* linkage map, displaying 7,452 RAD markers across 12 linkage groups. Groups are ordered according to the length of the corresponding group in the sex averaged map.

| Group | Sex-averaged |             | Paternal  |             | Maternal  |             |
|-------|--------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-------------|
|       | N markers    | Length (cM) | N markers | Length (cM) | N markers | Length (cM) |
| 1     | 1205         | 127.0       | 820       | 121.7       | 863       | 153.7       |
| 2     | 1317         | 125.4       | 924       | 126.9       | 916       | 157.0       |
| 3     | 1030         | 123.4       | 718       | 144.4       | 749       | 153.9       |
| 4     | 560          | 118.9       | 418       | 95.8        | 343       | 132.1       |
| 5     | 877          | 118.4       | 666       | 78.5        | 531       | 138.2       |
| 6     | 769          | 118.0       | 522       | 100.8       | 535       | 137.5       |
| 7     | 387          | 112.7       | 250       | 109.1       | 287       | 143.3       |
| 8     | 1164         | 111.9       | 831       | 114.1       | 789       | 151.7       |
| 9     | 918          | 108.5       | 626       | 85.0        | 648       | 116.7       |
| 10    | 1354         | 101.1       | 897       | 123.1       | 972       | 135.4       |
| 11    | 584          | 101.1       | 392       | 102.3       | 411       | 117.2       |
| 12    | 565          | 99.6        | 387       | 98.2        | 408       | 150.9       |

**Table S1:** Characteristics of the sex-averaged, paternal and maternal *Lissotriton vulgaris* linkage maps, including the number of markers and the length (in centimorgans) of each group.

**Table S2: Sequences of all primers used in this study**, CDK-17 is an autosomal marker used as a control, all others are candidate Y-linked markers developed for *Lissotriton vulgaris*.

| Primer Pair             | Forward Primer Sequence  | Reverse Primer Sequence | Product (bp) |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|
| <b>CDK-17</b>           | GGCATGGGAAGAACAGAAGA     | CCATCTGCTTGGACTGTTGA    | 537          |
| <b>lvY-11521-long</b>   | GCATTTGGGCAGCTTCATTC     | CAATTCAGGCACACACCAGC    | >200         |
| <b>lvY-28978-long</b>   | TCATGCATAGCCAAAGAGTTTGTC | CCCTGATGACACTTGATCGC    | >200         |
| <b>lvY-102891-short</b> | CTAGATGCGCATCCACTGGG     | CTGACATTAAAGCAAGCCGCC   | 87           |
| <b>lvY-102891-long</b>  | GCGGCTTGCTTAATGTCAGG     | CCCATAGTCTCCATGCCCTC    | >200         |
| <b>lvY-99941-long</b>   | TTGCTGTGTGTACGTGCCAG     | CGTTTGGATGGGATACAAGCAG  | >200         |
| <b>lvY-138925-short</b> | TGCCAATGACCAGCTCCTAC     | TGGTAGCTACTCCTGGTGAAG   | 115          |
| <b>lvY-138925-long</b>  | TGCCAATGACCAGCTCCTAC     | TCCACGAAGAACTGATAGAAGTC | >200         |
| <b>lvY-81842-short</b>  | CTAGAATCTGCGGCGTCATG     | TGAAGGTCACACTTTCCGCG    | 92           |
| <b>lvY-81842-long</b>   | TCAGTATGCCGTCTAGCTGC     | ACCAGAGCCCCGTTTATTG     | >200         |
| <b>lvY-143365-short</b> | TAGGGATCAGTTGGGGGAAC     | CCGCAAAGCAAAAGAGACCC    | 106          |
| <b>lvY-143365-long</b>  | CCAGCATAAGGTGAGGAGGG     | TACTGAAAAACCTGGCCCCC    | >200         |
| <b>lvY-51393-short</b>  | GACCACTGTAGAGGAGGTTGG    | GCTGCCTGTTTCTGGATGTC    | 124          |
| <b>lvY-51393-long</b>   | GACCACTGTAGAGGAGGTTGG    | GATCCGTGGAGGTGCGTAAC    | >200         |
| <b>lvY-128014-short</b> | TTTTTGGGGGCTCTGCAGG      | TGCTCAGTGTCTGTATCCTCTC  | 91           |
| <b>lvY-128014-long</b>  | GCGAGTAGATGGAAGGGTGG     | TTGTTTGTCTTGCCCTTTGG    | >200         |
| <b>lvY-65590-short</b>  | GCAGTGCAGTTCAGAGCATG     | AGCCAGCACAAACAGATAGAG   | 104          |
| <b>lvY-65590-long</b>   | GCAGTGCAGTTCAGAGCATG     | CAAAGCCTGTGTGCCAACTC    | >200         |
| <b>lvY-36220-short</b>  | CTAGACTCACGCACACACC      | CCTCCTCCTCTCTCCCTAGC    | 97           |
| <b>lvY-36220-long</b>   | ACTGGTGCTAGGGAGAGAGG     | GGCTTTCTTCTCAGCACAGC    | >200         |
| <b>lvY-123701-short</b> | AGGCCTCAGTTCTTCTGGG      | GGTCCACTGTCCACATTGTG    | 126          |
| <b>lvY-123701-long</b>  | TGTTGCATTAGTCTCTCCCC     | GCAATTACGGACTCAGCGTTC   | >200         |
| <b>lvY-115632-short</b> | ACTCTACTGATACTTGCCATGCC  | TGTCATCGAGCTTAGGCCAC    | 95           |
| <b>lvY-115632-long</b>  | TGTGGCCTAAGCTCGATGAC     | ATTCCTCAGGGCTGTTGCAG    | >200         |
| <b>lvY-79267-short</b>  | CAAGGCCAAAATGATCCCGC     | ACTCTGGGAGCAGTAGTCAC    | 107          |
| <b>lvY-79267-long</b>   | CAAGGCCAAAATGATCCCGC     | TGTGCATTGACCATAAAGCCC   | >200         |





Identification of Y-chromosome  
Turnover in Newts Fails to Support a  
Sex Chromosome Origin for the  
*Triturus* Balanced Lethal System

**JAMES FRANCE<sup>1,2</sup>, WIESŁAW BABIK<sup>3</sup>, MILENA CVIJANOVIĆ<sup>4</sup>,  
KATARZYNA DUDEK<sup>3</sup>, ANA IVANOVIĆ<sup>5</sup>, TIJANA VUČIĆ<sup>1,2,5</sup>, BEN  
WIELSTRA<sup>1,2</sup>**

<sup>1</sup> Institute of Biology Leiden, Leiden University, Leiden, The Netherlands

<sup>2</sup> Naturalis Biodiversity Center, Leiden, The Netherlands

<sup>3</sup> Institute of Environmental Sciences, Faculty of Biology, Jagiellonian University, Kraków, Poland

<sup>4</sup> Department of Evolutionary Biology, Institute for Biological Research “Siniša Stanković”, National Institute of Republic of Serbia, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia

<sup>5</sup> Faculty of Biology, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia

*(in revision)*

*This chapter is available as a preprint at **bioRxiv**: (10.1101/2024.11.04.621952)*

## Abstract

Non-recombining regions of the genome often have profound effects on the course of evolution, resulting in phenomena such as sex chromosomes and supergenes. Amongst the strangest examples are naturally occurring balanced lethal systems, which halve reproductive output. The evolution of such a deleterious trait is difficult to explain. European newts of the genus *Triturus* possess a balanced lethal system derived from the presence of unique non-functional alleles of essential genes within the non-recombining region of heteromorphic chromosome 1. In *Triturus* newts the genetic basis of sex determination currently unknown but an intriguing model proposes that the *Triturus* balanced lethal system evolved from an ancestral Y-chromosome. To test this hypothesis, we identify the Y-chromosome of *Triturus* and verify whether it, or the balanced lethal system, is homologous to the Y-chromosome of its sister genus *Lissotriton*, which does not possess the balanced lethal system. We identify a set of candidate Y-linked markers in *T. ivanbureschi* via a sex-associative approach and place them on a high-density linkage map that we construct with 7,233 RADseq markers. We validate male specificity of the markers across the genus and then place both the *Triturus* and *Lissotriton* Y-linked regions within previously constructed target capture linkage maps that include genes linked to the balanced lethal system. We observe that neither the *Triturus* balanced lethal system, nor the *Triturus* Y-chromosome is homologous to the *Lissotriton* Y-chromosome. We thus show the first molecular evidence of a transition between Y-chromosome systems within salamanders. However, unless additional sex chromosome turnover events are involved, our data does not support a sex chromosome origin of the balanced lethal system.

## Introduction

In crested and marbled newts (the genus *Triturus*) the first and largest chromosome occurs in two distinct versions (termed 1A and 1B), which do not undergo recombination along most of their length (Callan et al. 1960). All adult *Triturus* newts possess one copy of each of these versions (genotype 1A/1B). However, as each offspring receives one of each chromosome pair randomly from both of its parents, half of the offspring will inherit two copies of the same version of chromosome 1 (1A/1A or 1B/1B). These offspring fail to develop normally and die before hatching (Macgregor & Horner 1980). The lethality of a balanced lethal system derives from the presence of unique non-functional alleles of essential genes within the non-recombining region of each version of the chromosome (Muller 1918). In *Triturus* our previous research has identified two private sets of genes – one present on each of chromosomes 1A and 1B (France et al. 2025). Any embryo that inherits a 1A/1A or 1B/1B genotype will completely lack functional alleles for one set of these genes, whereas embryos with the 1A/1B genotype will possess at least one functioning allele for all genes. As each version of the chromosome is required to compensate for the deficiencies of the other, neither can be selected against and both are maintained at equal frequencies.

Several other cases of balanced lethal systems have been described in widely divergent lineages, including central American *Drosophila* and plants of the genera *Isotoma* and *Oenothera* (Dobzhansky & Pavlovsky 1955; Steiner 1956; James et al. 1990). The repeated evolution of such a maladaptive trait, which results in the loss of 50% of reproductive output, is difficult to explain. However, there are several proposals which link the origin of balanced lethal system in *Triturus* newts to other phenomena characterized by suppressed recombination, such as supergenes (Wielstra 2020; Berdan et al. 2022) and sex chromosomes (Wallace 1984). Testing of these hypotheses may offer unique insight into how non-recombining regions of the genome can evolve into new roles systems which may exhibit with pronounced negative phenotypic effects.

A detailed model concerning the evolution of the *Triturus* balanced lethal system was developed by Grossen et al. (2012) (see also [chapter 1](#): Fig. 2). Titled “A Ghost of Sex Chromosomes Past” it proposes that *Triturus*’ chromosome 1 evolved from an ancestral Y-chromosome. As sex chromosomes typically do not undergo recombination, outside of any pseudoautosomal regions, the ancestral chromosome would be free to split into two distinct lineages, Y<sub>A</sub> and Y<sub>B</sub>, which would eventually become chromosomes 1A and 1B (Charlesworth et al. 2005). After the Y<sub>A</sub> and Y<sub>B</sub> lineages diverged, the model proposes that both began to accumulate lethal alleles. As long as these alleles were recessive they would not have been selected against, because their effect would have been masked by the presence of the X-chromosome. The model then enforces a climatic shift sufficient to override the masculinization factor present on the Y-chromosome. This results in some XY individuals having a female phenotype, and so

creates the potential of offspring with a YY genotype. Any offspring with the genotypes  $Y_A Y_A$  or  $Y_B Y_B$  would be non-viable due to possessing two copies of one of the lethal alleles. However, if there were no shared lethal alleles present on both the  $Y_A$  and  $Y_B$  chromosomes, then individuals with the genotype  $Y_A Y_B$  could survive. If the effect of temperature-induced sex reversal grew strong enough, all XY and even some YY individuals would develop as female. The resulting female-biased sex ratio would result in selection against the X-chromosome, eventually driving it extinct, leaving  $Y_A Y_B$  as the only viable genotype, and so creating a balanced lethal system. Finally, the model proposes that the biased sex ratio leads to the evolution of a new masculinizing factor on an autosome, creating a new Y-chromosome.

While the evolution of a balanced lethal system via the mechanism proposed by Grossen et al. (2012) is prerequisite on a very particular coincidence of multiple specific factors, none of these are completely implausible in isolation. Y-chromosomes will tend to degenerate and accumulate lethal factors as a direct consequence of their lack of recombination (Charlesworth & Charlesworth 2000). Temperature-induced sex reversal is common in many amphibians, including *Triturus* newts (Wallace & Wallace 2000). The lethally homozygote but inter-compatible Y-chromosome lineages proposed are an almost exact analogue of the situation observed in guppies (Haskins et al. 1970). Furthermore, the evolution of new sex chromosomes is frequent in salamanders, as evidenced by the multiple transitions between XY and ZW systems within the order (Sessions 2008).

A major virtue of the “Ghosts of Sex chromosomes past” hypothesis is that it implies simple and readily testable predictions (see also [chapter 1](#): Fig. 3). Firstly, because the proposed mechanism requires a sex chromosome turnover event, modern *Triturus* newts could not have retained the sex determination system that existed before the evolution of the balanced lethal system. Therefore, the Y-chromosome of *Triturus* should not be homologous to that of any relatives that diverged before the evolution of the balanced lethal system. Secondly, if *Triturus* chromosome 1 did previously function as a sex chromosome, then it should be homologous to the Y-chromosomes of other closely related newt genera - unless they had independently also lost the ancestral Y-chromosome.

In this study we test whether the Y-chromosome of *Triturus* is homologous to that of newts of its sister genus *Lissotriton* (Rancilhac et al. 2021), which does not possess the balanced lethal system. Cytological studies have identified largely homomorphic X and Y-chromosomes in both genera, but have not been able to determine if these, or any of the other 11 chromosome pairs, are homologous (Schmid et al. 1979; Sims et al. 1984). Molecular genomics is challenging due to the extremely large genome size of salamanders, estimated at approximately 30 Gbp in both *Triturus* and *Lissotriton* (Litvinchuk et al. 2007). We previously used RAD sequencing to identify Y-linked molecular markers for the smooth newt, *Lissotriton vulgaris* and place them within its

genome (France et al. 2024a) (see also [chapter 2](#)). Here, we apply the same strategy to the Balkan crested newt *Triturus ivanbureschi* to identify Y-linked molecular markers and position them within a RADseq based linkage map which we construct.

We then use two strategies to compare the Y-chromosomes of *Triturus* and *Lissotriton*. Firstly, we align the RADseq linkage maps for both genera with the genome assembly of the Iberian ribbed-newt *Pleurodeles waltl* (Brown et al. 2025) – we previously showed that the *Lissotriton vulgaris* Y-chromosome was homologous to chromosome 5 in *Pleurodeles waltl* (France et al. 2024a), if this is also the case for the *Triturus ivanbureschi* Y-chromosome it would indicate that the two genera both retain the sex chromosome of their common ancestor and so no sex chromosome turnover has occurred. Secondly, we utilize target capture based linkage maps that we previously constructed for both *Triturus* and *Lissotriton*, which show the position of genes linked to the balanced lethal system in *Triturus* and their homologs in *Lissotriton* (France et al. 2025) (see also [chapter 4](#)). We use PCR to screen the families used to construct these target capture linkage maps for the Y-linked RAD markers we identify in *Triturus* in this study (and previously in *Lissotriton*), allowing us to position the Y-linked RAD markers within the target capture maps. This allows us to identify any potential synteny between the Y-chromosomes of the two newt genera and the balanced lethal system.

## Materials & Methods

### Samples

For identification of candidate Y-linked markers, we performed RADseq on tissue samples of 60 adult, morphologically sexed *T. ivanbureschi* from Zli Dol (Pčinja district, Serbia). The RADseq linkage map was based on a family consisting of two adult *T. ivanbureschi* (one male, one female, also collected from Zli Dol) and 158 offspring (healthy and arrested embryos) of undetermined sex. The embryos were obtained in an experimental crossing under controlled laboratory conditions. For genus-wide validation of candidate markers via PCR we tested all other species within the genus recognized at time of sampling, including *T. anatolicus*, *T. carnifex*, *T. cristatus*, *T. dobrogicus*, *T. karelinii*, *T. macedonicus*, *T. marmoratus* and *T. pygmaeus* (Wielstra & Arntzen 2016) – the recently recognised *T. rudolfi* (Arntzen 2024) was not yet described at the time. For each of these species we used a single male-female pair, except for *T. carnifex*, where we used one pair each from both the Balkan and Italian lineages, which show high genetic divergence (Wielstra et al. 2021). A full list of samples used in this study is found in auxiliary supplemental table Sa1 in the associated Zenodo repository (France et al. 2024b).

## DNA extraction, library preparation and RAD-sequencing

Genomic DNA was extracted from the samples with the Promega Wizard™ Genomic DNA Purification Kit (Promega, Madison, WI, USA), according to the salt-based extraction protocol of Sambrook and Russel (2001). The Adapterama III High-Throughput 3RAD (Bayona-Vásquez et al. 2019) protocol was used to prepare RADseq libraries from 100 ng of sample DNA, using restriction enzymes *EcoRI*, *XbaI*, and *NheI*. Fragments in the range of 490-600 bp were excised using a Pippin Prep system (Sage Science, Beverly, MA, USA), the libraries were pooled equimolarly and 150 bp paired-end sequencing was performed by Novogene (Cambridge, UK) on the Illumina NovaSeq 6000 (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) platform.

## RADseq data processing

The stacks package v2.54 (Catchen et al. 2013) was used for the processing of RADseq data obtained from the *T. ivanbureschi* samples. After demultiplexing and trimming via the process\_radtags program the denovo\_map.pl pipeline was used to assign reads to loci. For the linkage map family, the default settings were used without alteration. In particular, the parameter M, which determines the amount of divergence two reads may have while being assigned to the same putative locus, was kept at 2, maximising the number of loci recovered from the closely related sample set. For the adults of known-sex, the parameter M was set to 10, even though this reduces the number of loci recovered our experience with *Lissotriton* has shown this results in candidate Y-linked markers which are less likely to produce false positive results in female newts (France et al. 2024a).

## Developing candidate Y-linked from known-sex *T. ivanbureschi*

In order to select loci which were present only in male individuals, the BAM files produced by denovo\_map.pl were used to create a matrix listing the coverage of all markers in each sample by employing the depth function of SAMtools (Li et al. 2009). This matrix was then filtered with a custom R script to produce a list of candidate Y-linked markers, present in at least 90% of male samples and absent in at least 90% of females. To reduce the chance of selecting markers with autosomal paralogs, which may result in false positive results in PCR assays, the candidate Y-linked markers were BLASTed (Camacho et al. 2009) against the catalogue of all markers produced by denovo\_map.pl and paralogous hits with > 80% sequence similarity with a query coverage of > 25% recorded. Candidate markers were ranked based on absence of residual reads in females, number of potential paralogs and average read depth in males.

## Validation of candidate Y-linked markers via PCR

Primer 3 (Untergasser et al. 2012) was used to design primer pairs for the 12 highest ranked candidate markers, targeting an optimal primer length of 20 bp and

melting temperature of 60°C. For each marker we attempted to design two sets of primers to amplify both a long (ca. 200 bp) and short (ca. 100 bp) fragment. The short fragment sequences were derived entirely from the forward reads of the RADseq data, whereas the long sequences bridged both forward and reverse reads. As the majority of read pairs were non-overlapping, the long fragments incorporate an additional sequence of unknown length.

The primers were initially tested by PCR in a male-female pair of *T. ivanbureschi*. The 2x QIAGEN multiplex master mix (QIAGEN B.V, Venlo, Netherlands) was used with a PCR protocol consisting of a 95°C hot start for 10 minutes, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation for 30 seconds at 95°C, 60 seconds annealing at 63°C and 45 seconds extension at 72°C, with a final extension of 10 minutes at 72°C. All primers were used at a final concentration of 0.1 µM.

Any primer pairs which showed amplification only in the male *T. ivanbureschi* were then tested in male-female pairs of *T. macedonicus* and *T. cristatus*. Primer pairs also showing male-specific amplification in these taxa were then tested in the remaining *Triturus* species. Finally, the best performing markers were selected, and a multiplex PCR designed, incorporating CDK-17 (Meilink et al. 2024) which amplifies a product of 537 bp, as an autosomal control marker.

## RADseq linkage map construction and analysis

A joint VCF file produced by Stacks was filtered with VCFtools (Danecek et al. 2011) to exclude indels and SNPs with greater than 5% missing data, a mean depth of less than 10, or a minor allele frequency of less than 0.2. The thin function was then used to select a single SNP per locus. Separately, a custom R script was used to determine the coverage of the candidate Y-linked markers, identified in the known-sex adults, within each sample used for the linkage map. This data was then converted into presence/absence genotype calls with a custom R script, treating presence of the marker as an artificial SNP locus of genotype AT and absence as AA.

Lep-MAP 3 (Rastas 2017) was then used to construct a linkage map. After the first stage of the pipeline (ParentCall2) the Y-linked presence/absence calls were appended to the output. Initial linkage groups were created with the SeparateChromosomes2 module, with a LOD limit of 20 (chosen as the number of linkage groups recovered rises rapidly with increasing LOD until 20 whereafter it plateaus) and distortion LOD set to 1. Unplaced markers were then added with the JoinSingles2All module with a LOD limit of 15. The markers were then ordered with the OrderMarkers2 module, using 12 merge iterations, 6 polish iterations, a minError value of 0.02, the scale setting M/N 2 and employing the sexAveraged option.

The sequences of the makers placed on the resulting linkage map were then blasted against the genome assembly of the Iberian ribbed newt (*Pleurodeles waltl*)

(Brown et al. 2025), using a word size of 11 and requiring a minimum E value of  $1e-20$ . Following the methodology of Purcell et al. (2014) results were then filtered to include only hits that exceeded the significance of the next highest ranked hit by at least five orders of magnitude. The hits that remained after filtering were visualised with an Oxford plot to show syntenic relationships between *Triturus* linkage groups and *P. waltl* chromosomes.

## Incorporation of Y-linked markers into target-capture linkage maps

In a previous study we used target capture to construct linkage maps based on ca. 7k coding genes for both *Triturus* (using an  $F_2$  *T. ivanbureschi* x *T. macedonicus* family) and *Lissotriton* ( $F_2$  *L. vulgaris* x *L. montandoni*), which allowed for the identification a set of genes associated with the balanced lethal system on *Triturus* chromosome 1, and their homologs in *Lissotriton* (France et al. 2025). However, as none of the target capture markers were sex-linked, the location of the Y-linked regions could not be ascertained from these maps. To determine whether the Y-linked region of the *Lissotriton* genome was homologous to the balanced lethal system of *Triturus* (as would be expected if the balanced lethal system evolved from the shared ancestral sex chromosome), we needed to incorporate the Y-linked RADseq markers discovered in *L. vulgaris* (France et al. 2024a) into the target capture linkage map. To this end we used PCR to screen all samples from the family used to construct the *Lissotriton* target capture linkage map for the RAD marker lvY-51393-short, which is Y-linked and amplifies a product only in males. We also used this method to incorporate the *Triturus* Y-linked RAD marker TiY-384959-short, identified in this study, into the *Triturus* target capture linkage map. In both PCR screenings the primers for the Y-linked markers were multiplexed with those for CDK-17, to provide an autosomal control.


Following genotyping of offspring, presence/absence of the Y-linked marker was converted into pseudo-SNP genotyping calls in a manner similar to that described above, with samples that amplified the Y-linked band given an artificial SNP locus of genotype AT and those which failed to amplify the band given the genotype AA for this locus. The target capture linkage maps constructed for *Triturus* and *Lissotriton* in (France et al. 2025) were then rebuilt to include these calls, thus allowing the location of the Y-linked region on the sequence capture map, otherwise using the same data, settings and pipeline as described in that study. The rebuilt maps were then compared to each other, and the *P. waltl* genome assembly, to highlight any homology between the *Lissotriton* Y-chromosome, and the region associated with the *Triturus* balanced lethal system (or if no sex chromosome turnover has occurred, the *Triturus* Y-chromosome).

## Results

### Sex association

After demultiplexing and initial filtering the known-sex adults yielded a total of 501 million read-pairs (median per sample: 7.62 M, interquartile range: 5.28-9.59 M). A total of 1,394,143 million loci were identified, of which 179,516 (12.88%) were present in at least 50% of all samples. The initial selection for candidate Y-linked markers generated yielded a total of 39 loci.

We designed 23 primer pairs (Supplementary Table S1) for the 12 highest ranked candidate markers (for one marker TiY-444315, Primer 3 was unable to find a valid primer pair for the shorter fragment). 11 primer pairs, covering 6 marker sequences, amplified products only in male in *T. ivanbureschi*. 6 pairs (for 5 markers) also were male specific in both *T. macedonicus* and *T. cristatus* (Table 1, Sup Figs. S1-3). No primer pairs were successful in all taxa, however TiY-384959-short showed male specificity in all species except *T. dobrogicus*, where no product was amplified in either sex. TiY-137941-long was the only primer pair to amplify in male *T. dobrogicus* and was also male specific in all other taxa that occur within the Balkans (but did not amplify sex-specifically in *T. karelinii*, *T. marmoratus*, *T. pygmaeus* or the Italian lineage of *T. carnifex*) (Fig. 1, primer sequences in Table 2).



**Figure 1: Gels showing amplification of Y-linked primer pairs TiY-384959-short (A) and TiY-137941-long (B), with CDK-17 (537 bp) as control maker, in male-female pairs of various *Triturus* taxa. Male samples are labelled M and females F. TiY-384959-short (92 bp) shows male-specific amplification in all species except *T. dobrogicus*, where no product is seen. TiY-137941-long (ca. 170 bp) is male specific in *T. dobrogicus* and all other taxa which occur in the Balkans (shown on the top row of the gel) but shows no amplification in the marbled newts (*T. marmoratus* and *T. pygmaeus*) and non-sex specific amplification in *T. karelinii* and the Italian lineage of *T. carnifex*.**

| Marker            | Primer pair | Male specific amplification in <i>Triturus</i> taxa |                       |                     |                      |                        |                        |                    |                     |                    |                      |
|-------------------|-------------|-----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
|                   |             | <i>T. ivanbureschi</i>                              | <i>T. macedonicus</i> | <i>T. cristatus</i> | <i>T. dobrogicus</i> | <i>T. carnifex (I)</i> | <i>T. carnifex (B)</i> | <i>T. anaticus</i> | <i>T. karelinii</i> | <i>T. pygmaeus</i> | <i>T. marmoratus</i> |
| <b>TiY-95401</b>  | short       | ○                                                   |                       |                     |                      |                        |                        |                    |                     |                    |                      |
|                   | long        | +                                                   | +                     | +                   | ×                    | ○                      | ○                      | +                  | ○                   | +                  | +                    |
| <b>TiY-105918</b> | short       | ○                                                   |                       |                     |                      |                        |                        |                    |                     |                    |                      |
|                   | long        | ○                                                   |                       |                     |                      |                        |                        |                    |                     |                    |                      |
| <b>TiY-106308</b> | short       | +                                                   | +                     | ○                   |                      |                        |                        |                    |                     |                    |                      |
|                   | long        | +                                                   | ○                     | ○                   |                      |                        |                        |                    |                     |                    |                      |
| <b>TiY-137941</b> | short       | +                                                   | +                     | ○                   |                      |                        |                        |                    |                     |                    |                      |
|                   | long        | +                                                   | +                     | +                   | +                    | ○                      | +                      | +                  | ○                   | ×                  | ×                    |
| <b>TiY-201098</b> | short       | +                                                   | +                     | ○                   |                      |                        |                        |                    |                     |                    |                      |
|                   | long        | ○                                                   |                       |                     |                      |                        |                        |                    |                     |                    |                      |
| <b>TiY-254147</b> | short       | ○                                                   |                       |                     |                      |                        |                        |                    |                     |                    |                      |
|                   | long        | ○                                                   |                       |                     |                      |                        |                        |                    |                     |                    |                      |
| <b>TiY-301991</b> | short       | ○                                                   |                       |                     |                      |                        |                        |                    |                     |                    |                      |
|                   | long        | ○                                                   |                       |                     |                      |                        |                        |                    |                     |                    |                      |
| <b>TiY-384959</b> | short       | +                                                   | +                     | +                   | ×                    | +                      | +                      | +                  | +                   | +                  | +                    |
|                   | long        | +                                                   | +                     | +                   | ×                    | ×                      | ×                      | +                  | ×                   | ×                  | ×                    |
| <b>TiY-416318</b> | short       | ○                                                   |                       |                     |                      |                        |                        |                    |                     |                    |                      |
|                   | long        | ○                                                   |                       |                     |                      |                        |                        |                    |                     |                    |                      |
| <b>TiY-442534</b> | short       | +                                                   | +                     | ○                   |                      |                        |                        |                    |                     |                    |                      |
| <b>TiY-444315</b> | short       | +                                                   | +                     | +                   | ×                    | +                      | ×                      | +                  | ○                   | ×                  | ×                    |
|                   | long        | ○                                                   |                       |                     |                      |                        |                        |                    |                     |                    |                      |
| <b>TiY-817010</b> | short       | +                                                   | +                     | ○                   |                      |                        |                        |                    |                     |                    |                      |
|                   | long        | +                                                   | +                     | +                   | ×                    | ○                      | +                      | +                  | ○                   | +                  | +                    |


**Table 1: Summary of results of PCR screening of primer pairs designed for candidate Y-linked markers in *Triturus* newts.** Results are indicated as: + amplification only in male samples, ○ amplification in both male and female samples, × no amplification in either sex. Twenty-three primer pairs were tested in a male-female pair of *T. ivanbureschi*. Twelve primer pairs, covering eight candidate markers, show confirmed male-specific amplification in *T. ivanbureschi*. The successful primer sets were then tested in male-female pairs of *T. macedonicus* and *T. cristatus*, and the six successful in both were then tested in all available *Triturus* taxa. While candidate TiY-384959-short demonstrated broad male-specificity across the genus, it failed to amplify in either male or female *T. dobrogicus* - TiY-137941-long proved the only successful primer pair in this species.

| Primer Pair      | Forward Sequence     | Reverse Sequence     | Product (bp) |
|------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------|
| CDK-17           | GGCATGGGAAGAACAGAAGA | CCATCTGCTTGGACTGTTGA | 537          |
| TiY-384959-short | TGCAGCACAGCAGTAGACTC | CCTTCTCGCATGGACCCTAC | 92           |
| TiY-137941-long  | GTCACAGCAGCAATGGTCC  | CCTCTGCTCTGCCTTCACAG | ca. 170      |

**Table 2: Primer sequences used for the sex diagnostic PCR for use within the genus *Triturus*.** CDK-17 is an autosomal control marker. TiY-384959-short is male-specific in all species except *T. dobrogicus*. TiY-137941-long is male-specific in *T. dobrogicus*, as well as *T. ivanbureschi*, *T. anatolicus*, *T. macedonicus*, *T. cristatus* and the Balkan lineage of *T. carnifex*.


**RADseq Linkage map**

The linkage map constructed from the *T. ivanbureschi* family consists of 7,233 markers arranged into 12 linkage groups (corresponding to the 12 chromosomes of the *Triturus* genome), with a total length of 1,120 cM (Fig. 2), Supplementary Table S2). Twenty-seven Y-linked presence/absence markers were placed on the map, all located in a 3.2 cM region at the end of linkage group 8, with 24 of these markers being placed at a single point.



**Figure 2: Linkage map for *Triturus ivanbureschi* based on 7,233 RADseq markers, arranged in 12 linkage groups.** 27 male-linked presence absence markers – highlighted in red – are located at one end of linkage group 8, identifying it as the Y-chromosome.

Five hundred and twenty-four markers (7.2%) placed on the linkage map, including a single Y-linked marker, could be aligned with sequences from the *P. waltl* genome assembly. Each *T. ivanbureschi* linkage group shows a clear and reciprocal correspondence with one of the *P. waltl* chromosomes, with 374 (71%) markers mapping to their corresponding chromosome, and large-scale synteny within chromosomes. We fail to observe any clear pattern in the remaining markers, indicating that these are likely a consequence of BLAST hits against paralogous sequences, rather than a major rearrangement in the genome of either species.




**Figure 3: Oxford plots showing the *Triturus ivanbureschi* (left) and *Lissotriton vulgaris* (right)** (France et al. 2024a) RADseq linkage maps against the *Pleurodeles waltl* genome assembly (Brown et al. 2025). The *T. ivanbureschi* Y-linked presence/absence markers identified in known sex-adults are highlighted in red, the *L. vulgaris* Y-linked markers in blue. The *T. ivanbureschi* Y-chromosome is shown to be homologous to *P. waltl* chromosome 2 and thus is not homologous with the *L. vulgaris* Y-chromosome (which is homologous to *P. waltl* chromosome 5). There is no evidence of a translocation of the sex-linked regions of either chromosome. *P. waltl* chromosome 6, highlighted in green is homologous to the *Triturus* balanced lethal system on chromosome 1

Forty-seven markers within the *T. ivanbureschi* Y-chromosome (linkage group 8) BLAST against sequences from *P. waltl* chromosome 2 (Fig. 3), including the solitary Y-linked marker. However, in the analogous RADseq linkage map previously made for *L. vulgaris*, the Y-chromosome is clearly seen as homologous to *P. waltl* chromosome 5 (France et al. 2024a). We see no evidence that this is a result of translocation of the sex-determining region, no markers from *T. ivanbureschi* linkage group 8 BLAST against sequences from *P. waltl* chromosome 5. A single marker from the *L. vulgaris* Y-chromosome (linkage group 5) is found in *P. waltl* chromosome 2, however this is located over 100 cM away from the sex-linked region.

## Identification of Y-linked regions in target capture linkage maps

We incorporate Y-linked RAD markers for *Lissotriton* (France et al. 2024a) and *Triturus* (identified in this study) into the target capture-based linkage maps previously constructed for these two genera (France et al. 2025), which include genes linked to the balanced lethal system. For *Lissotriton*, 110 offspring amplified the Y-linked RAD marker lvY-51393-short, whereas 92 did not, with a single individual giving an ambiguous result (either a missing control band, or only very faint amplification of either band). For *Triturus*, 107 offspring amplified the marker TiY-384959-short, whereas 93 did not – with six giving ambiguous results. Both offspring sets are biased towards males, though this is not statistically significant – assuming an even sex ratio, two-tailed binomial p-values are 0.231 for *Lissotriton* and 0.358 for *Triturus*.

For both *Triturus* and *Lissotriton* the number of genotype calls is sufficient to confidently locate the Y-linked RAD markers within the target capture linkage maps (Fig. 4). In concordance with the RADseq linkage maps the *Triturus* and *Lissotriton* Y-chromosomes are shown not to be homologous, with the *Triturus* and *Lissotriton* Y-linked regions again located on the homologs of *P. waltl* chromosomes 2 and 5. However, the *Lissotriton* Y-chromosome also lacks homology with *Triturus* chromosome 1, where the genes associated with the balanced lethal system are located. Instead *Triturus* chromosome 1 is homologous with *P. waltl* chromosome 6.



**Figure 4: Target capture linkage maps** (France et al. 2025) augmented with Y-linked markers (the abbreviations Trit and Liss refer to the linkage groups from *Triturus* and *Lissotriton* respectively – *Pleuro* refers to the homologous chromosome in the *Pleurodeles waltl* genome assembly). *Triturus* balanced lethal system associated markers are highlighted in green, the *Triturus* Y-linked marker in red and the *Lissotriton* Y-linked marker in blue. In accordance with the RADseq linkage maps, the *Triturus* and *Lissotriton* Y-chromosomes are shown not to be homologous. Additionally, the *Lissotriton* Y-chromosome is also not homologous with *Triturus* chromosome 1. Note that the numbering of the linkage groups differs between the RADseq and target capture linkage maps.

## Discussion

### *No homology between the balanced lethal system and sex chromosomes*

The hypothesis that the *Triturus* balanced lethal system evolved from a sex chromosome system (Grossen et al. 2012) implies a pair of predictions that we test in this study. Firstly, that sex chromosome turnover must have occurred in *Triturus* after it diverged from its sister lineage *Lissotriton* and so these taxa cannot now share a sex-determination system. Secondly, that *Triturus* chromosome 1 must be homologous to the sex chromosome of this common ancestor, and so would be homologous to the modern *Lissotriton* Y-chromosome – unless this genus has also undergone sex chromosome turnover.

We confidently identify the *Triturus* Y-chromosome by identifying a set of male-linked markers and placing them within both a newly constructed high density RADseq linkage map, and a previously constructed map based on target capture. We discover that the *Triturus* Y-chromosome is clearly not homologous to the *Lissotriton* Y-chromosome. Therefore, at least one of these lineages must have undergone a sex chromosome turnover, which is compatible with the first prediction. However, this could also be explained by sex chromosome turnover within the *Lissotriton* lineage, and as no sex-linked markers are known for any other newt taxa, we lack an outgroup to distinguish between these scenarios.

We show that, counter to the second prediction made by the sex chromosome origin hypothesis, the *L. vulgaris* Y-linked region is clearly not homologous to the balanced lethal system present on *Triturus* chromosome 1. Although we cannot rule out the possibility that sex chromosome turnover has occurred in both *Lissotriton* and *Triturus*, such that neither taxon now possesses the ancestral Y-chromosome, the most parsimonious explanation of our results is a single sex chromosome turnover after the divergence of the *Triturus* and *Lissotriton* lineages. If the *Triturus* balanced lethal system did arise from the ancestral sex chromosome, this would require at least one additional turnover. The plausibility of the sex chromosome origin hypothesis thus depends on how common sex chromosome turnover events are within newts.

### *Y-chromosome switching in salamanders: common or rare?*

Unlike mammals and birds (Ellegren 2010; Cortez et al. 2014), sex chromosome turnover appears relatively common in amphibians (Miura 2017). However, within salamanders, the large genome size and consequent difficulty in discovering sex-linked sequences has meant that its observation has only been possible in cases where female heterogamety (ZW) has transitioned to male heterogamety (XY) or vice versa – at least three such events are known (Hime et al. 2019). Conversely, little is known about the frequency of transitions between different Y-linked (or W-linked) sex determination systems in the salamanders. There is some evidence from other amphibians that these events may be common. In the family Ranidae (true frogs), Jeffries et al. (2018) found 13 sex chromosome turnover events in 28 lineages, 11 of which were transitions between different Y-chromosomes. Additionally, the X and Y-chromosomes in *Triturus*, *Lissotriton*, and other related newt genera such as *Ichthyosaura* are poorly differentiated (Schmid et al. 1979; Sims et al. 1984), which may be taken as evidence that they have all evolved rather recently (Charlesworth et al. 2000).

Nonetheless, we also have evidence of sex chromosome stasis in salamanders. Despite having diverged in late Cretaceous, the giant salamanders (the family Cryptobranchidae), possess a conserved W-linked region, with the same female specific

marker shown to amplify in both the North American hellbender and the Chinese giant salamander (Hime et al. 2019). These Z and W-chromosomes also appear extremely homomorphic (Sessions et al. 1982), showing that this is not necessarily proof of a recent origin. A similar phenomenon of deceptively youthful sex chromosomes is also seen in tree frogs of the genus *Hyla* (Stöck et al. 2011). At present there is insufficient data to determine whether the Y-to-Y-chromosome turnover we observe between *Triturus* and *Lissotriton* is a common or exceptional event. The identification of sex determining regions in other salamander genera would help to answer this question.

#### *Towards sex chromosome identification across salamanders*

The sex associative RADseq methodology we employ in this study is a relatively quick and effective approach for the discovery of sex-linked sequences. These markers are not just useful for evolutionary genomics, but also invaluable for researchers interested in the ecology or population dynamics, especially in species which are difficult to morphologically sex before maturity, such as newts (Sparreboom 2014). In the case of *Triturus* we can recommend the marker TiY-384959-short in any context except when the Danube crested newt, *T. dobrogicus* may be encountered, where TiY-137941-long should be used instead.

However, while the identification of sex-linked markers is simple, determining whether they are homologous often requires locating them within a genome. Whether by linkage mapping, whole genome assembly or methods such as fluorescent in-situ hybridisation, this is often a resource intensive process. The need for such investment may be circumnavigated by aligning Y-linked RAD sequences with a genome from a related organism. In our study we show some success by employing the genome of the relatively distantly related *Pleurodeles waltl* which diverged over 60 mya (Marjanović & Laurin 2014), although only one of 27 Y-linked markers could be confidently aligned. For the investigation of newt Y-chromosomes specifically, whole genome data from a more closely related species would be extremely valuable. Given the high degree of chromosome level synteny we observe between *P. waltl*, *Triturus*, and *Lissotriton* we suggest that simply scaffolding long read data against the *P. waltl* assembly would result in a reference genome sufficient for locating sex-linked regions, similar to the strategy employed by Jeffries et al. (2018) in *Rana*. Additionally, such long read data could be used to identify sequences more conserved than those derived directly from RADseq, allowing for the development of markers that show sex-specific amplification in multiple genera.

Further investigation of Y-linked markers in newts thus promises insights into the rate of sex chromosome turnover in salamanders, as well as determining which, if either, of the *Triturus* and *Lissotriton* Y-chromosomes are ancestral – and if a sex chromosome turnover is an at all plausible explanation of the *Triturus* balanced lethal system.

## Data Availability

All raw reads can be found as a part of the NCBI accession associated with Bioproject: PRJNA1173742. (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/PRJNA1173742>) (France et al. 2024c). Information on samples and the positions and sequences of all markers in the *Triturus* RADseq linkage map can be found in a .xlsx file hosted together with scripts and bioinformatic pipelines used for analysis in the associated Zenodo repository (<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14288865>) (France et al. 2024b). Scripts are also available at an associated GitHub repository (Wielstra-Lab/Triturus\_RADseq\_Y).

## Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme [grant agreement number 802759]. Tissue samples for adult *T. ivanbureschi* of known sex were taken from Zli Dol, Serbia (permit no. 353-01-75/2014-08 of the Ministry of Energy, Development and Environmental Protection). The experimental procedures to breed the linkage map family were approved by the Ethics Committee of the Institute for Biological Research „Siniša Stanković”, University of Belgrade (decisions no. 03-03/16 and 01-1949). Experiments were performed in accordance with the Directive 2010/63/EU and supported by the Serbian Ministry of Science, Technological Development and Innovation [grants numbers 451-03-65/2024-03/ 200178, 451-03-66/2024-03/ 200178]. We give thanks to Dr. Christine Grossen for her feedback on a draft of this manuscript.

## References

- Arntzen JW (2024)** Morphological and genetic diversification of pygmy and marbled newts, with the description of a new species from the wider Lisbon Peninsula (*Triturus*, Salamandridae). *Contributions to Zoology*. 93: 178–200. DOI: 10.1163/18759866-bja10057.
- Bayona-Vásquez NJ, Glenn TC, Kieran TJ, Pierson TW, Hoffberg SL, Scott PA, Bentley KE, Finger JW, Louha S, Troendle N, Diaz-Jaimes P, Mauricio R, Faircloth BC (2019)** Adapterama III: Quadruple-indexed, double/triple-enzyme RADseq libraries (2RAD/3RAD). *PeerJ*. 7: e7724. DOI: 10.7717/peerj.7724.
- Berdan EL, Blanckaert A, Butlin RK, Flatt T, Slotte T, Wielstra B (2022)** Mutation accumulation opposes polymorphism: supergenes and the curious case of balanced lethals. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*. 377: Article 20210199. DOI: 10.1098/rstb.2021.0199.
- Brown T, Mishra K, Elewa A, Iarovenko S, Subramanian E, Araus AJ, Petzold A, ... Simon A (2025)** Chromosome-scale genome assembly reveals how repeat elements shape non-coding RNA landscapes active during newt limb regeneration. *Cell Genomics*. 100761. DOI: 10.1016/j.xgen.2025.100761.
- Callan HG, Lloyd L, Waddington CH (1960)** Lampbrush chromosomes of crested newts *Triturus cristatus* (Laurenti). *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological Sciences*. 243: 135–219. DOI: 10.1098/rstb.1960.0007.
- Camacho C, Coulouris G, Avagyan V, Ma N, Papadopoulos J, Bealer K, Madden TL (2009)** BLAST+: architecture and applications. *BMC Bioinformatics*. 10: 421. DOI: 10.1186/1471-2105-10-421.
- Catchen J, Hohenlohe PA, Bassham S, Amores A, Cresko WA (2013)** Stacks: an analysis tool set for population genomics. *Molecular Ecology*. 22: 3124–3140. DOI: 10.1111/mec.12354.
- Charlesworth B, Charlesworth D (2000)** The degeneration of Y chromosomes. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*. 355: 1563–1572.
- Charlesworth D, Charlesworth B, Marais G (2005)** Steps in the evolution of heteromorphic sex chromosomes. *Heredity*. 95: 118–128. DOI: 10.1038/sj.hdy.6800697.
- Cortez D, Marin R, Toledo-Flores D, Froidevaux L, Liechti A, Waters PD, Grützner F, Kaessmann H (2014)** Origins and functional evolution of Y chromosomes across mammals. *Nature*. 508: 488–493. DOI: 10.1038/nature13151.
- Danecek P, Auton A, Abecasis G, Albers CA, Banks E, DePristo MA, Handsaker RE, Lunter G, Marth GT, Sherry ST, McVean G, Durbin R, 1000 Genomes Project Analysis Group (2011)** The variant call format and VCFtools. *Bioinformatics*. 27: 2156–2158. DOI: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btr330.
- Dobzhansky T, Pavlovsky O (1955)** An extreme case of heterosis in a Central American population of *Drosophila tropicalis*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 41: 289–295.
- Ellegren H (2010)** Evolutionary stasis: the stable chromosomes of birds. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*. 25: 283–291. DOI: 10.1016/j.tree.2009.12.004.
- France J, Babik W, Dudek K, Marszałek M, Wielstra B (2024a)** Linkage mapping vs Association: A comparison of two RADseq-based approaches to identify markers for homomorphic sex chromosomes in large genomes. *Authorea*. DOI: 10.22541/au.172137196.69932431/v1.
- France J, Babik W, Cvijanović M, Dudek K, Ivanovic A, Vučić T, Wielstra B (2024b)** [dataset] Sample data, map data and Scripts: Identification of Y-chromosome turnover in newts fails to support a sex chromosome origin for the *Triturus* balanced lethal system. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.14288865.

**France J, Babik W, Cvijanović M, Dudek K, Ivanović A, Vučić T, Wielstra B (2024c) [dataset]** *Triturus ivanbureschi* linkage mapping and Y-chromosome identification. *NCBI*. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/PRJNA1173742/>.

**France J, de Visser MC, Arntzen JW, Babik W, Cvijanović M, Ivanović A, Smith J, Vučić T, Wielstra B (2025)** The balanced lethal system in *Triturus* newts originated in an instantaneous speciation event. *bioRxiv* 2024.10.29.620207. DOI: 10.1101/2024.10.29.620207.

**Grossen C, Neuenschwander S, Perrin N (2012)** The balanced lethal system of crested newts: a ghost of sex chromosomes past? *The American Naturalist*. 180: E174–183. DOI: 10.1086/668076.

**Haskins CP, Young P, Hewitt RE, Haskins EF (1970)** Stabilised heterozygosis of supergenes mediating certain Y-linked colour patterns in populations of *Lebistes Reticulatus*. *Heredity*. 25: 575–589. DOI: 10.1038/hdy.1970.64.

**Hime PM, Briggler JT, Reece JS, Weisrock DW (2019)** Genomic data reveal conserved female heterogamety in giant salamanders with gigantic nuclear genomes. *G3: Genes|Genomes|Genetics*. 9: 3467–3476. DOI: 10.1534/g3.119.400556.

**James SH, Sampson JF, Playford J (1990)** Complex hybridity in *Isotoma petraea*. VII. Assembly of the genetic system in the O6 Pigeon Rock population. *Heredity*. 64: 289–295. DOI: 10.1038/hdy.1990.36.

**Jeffries DL, Lavanchy G, Sermier R, Sredl MJ, Miura I, Borzée A, Barrow LN, ... Perrin N (2018)** A rapid rate of sex-chromosome turnover and non-random transitions in true frogs. *Nature Communications*. 9: 4088. DOI: 10.1038/s41467-018-06517-2.

**Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, Marth G, Abecasis G, Durbin R, 1000 Genome Project Data Processing Subgroup (2009)** The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics*. 25: 2078–2079. DOI: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352.

**Litvinchuk SN, Rosanov JM, Borkin LJ (2007)** Correlations of geographic distribution and temperature of embryonic development with the nuclear DNA content in the Salamandridae (Urodela, Amphibia). *Genome*. 50: 333–342. DOI: 10.1139/G07-010.

**Macgregor HC, Horner H (1980)** Heteromorphism for chromosome 1, a requirement for normal development in crested newts. *Chromosoma*. 76: 111–122. DOI: 10.1007/BF00293412.

**Marjanović D, Laurin M (2014)** An updated paleontological timetree of lissamphibians, with comments on the anatomy of Jurassic crown-group salamanders (Urodela). *Historical Biology*. 26: 535–550. DOI: 10.1080/08912963.2013.797972.

**Meilink WRM, de Visser M, Wielstra B (2024)** Genotyping of Chromosome 1 in crested newts. *In preparation*.

**Miura I (2017)** Sex determination and sex chromosomes in Amphibia. *Sexual Development*. 11: 298–306. DOI: 10.1159/000485270.

**Muller HJ (1918)** Genetic variability, twin hybrids and constant hybrids, in a case of balanced lethal factors. *Genetics*. 3: 422–499.

**Purcell J, Brelsford A, Wurm Y, Perrin N, Chapuisat M (2014)** Convergent genetic architecture underlies social organization in ants. *Current Biology*. 24: 2728–2732. DOI: 10.1016/j.cub.2014.09.071.

**Rancilhac L, Irisarri I, Angelini C, Arntzen JW, Babik W, Bossuyt F, Künzel S, Lüddecke T, Pasmans F, Sanchez E, Weisrock D, Veith M, Wielstra B, Steinfartz S, Hofreiter M, Philippe H, Vences M (2021)** Phylotranscriptomic evidence for pervasive ancient hybridization among Old World salamanders. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*. 155: Article 106967. DOI: 10.1016/j.ympev.2020.106967.

**Rastas P (2017)** Lep-MAP3: robust linkage mapping even for low-coverage whole genome sequencing data. *Bioinformatics*. 33: 3726–3732. DOI: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btx494.

**Sambrook J, Russell DW (2001)** *Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual*. CSHL Press ISBN: 978-0-87969-576-7.

**Schmid M, Olert J, Klett C (1979)** Chromosome banding in amphibia. *Chromosoma*. 71: 29–55. DOI: 10.1007/BF00426365.

**Sessions SK (2008)** Evolutionary cytogenetics in salamanders. *Chromosome Research*. 16: 183–201. DOI: 10.1007/s10577-007-1205-3.

**Sessions SK, León PE, Kezer J (1982)** Cytogenetics of the Chinese giant salamander, *Andrias davidianus* (Blanchard): the evolutionary significance of Cryptobranchoid karyotypes. *Chromosoma*. 86: 341–357. DOI: 10.1007/BF00292262.

**Sims SH, Macgregor HC, Pellatt PS, Horner HA (1984)** Chromosome 1 in crested and marbled newts (*Triturus*). *Chromosoma*. 89: 169–185. DOI: 10.1007/BF00294996.

**Sparreboom M (2014)** *Salamanders of the old world: The salamanders of Europe, Asia and northern Africa*. KNNV Publishing DOI: 10.1163/9789004285620.

**Steiner E (1956)** New aspects of the balanced lethal mechanism in *Oenothera*. *Genetics*. 41: 486–500.

**Stöck M, Horn A, Grossen C, Lindtke D, Sermier R, Betto-Colliard C, Dufresnes C, Bonjour E, Dumas Z, Luquet E, Maddalena T, Sousa HC, Martinez-Solano I, Perrin N (2011)** Ever-young sex chromosomes in European tree frogs. *PLOS Biology*. 9: e1001062. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pbio.1001062.

**Untergasser A, Cutcutache I, Koressaar T, Ye J, Faircloth BC, Remm M, Rozen SG (2012)** Primer3—new capabilities and interfaces. *Nucleic Acids Research*. 40: e115. DOI: 10.1093/nar/gks596.

**Wallace H (1984)** Chromosome 1 and sex determination of crested newts. *Caryologia*. 37: 3–8. DOI: 10.1080/00087114.1984.10797682.


**Wallace H, Wallace BM (2000)** Sex reversal of the newt *Triturus cristatus* reared at extreme temperatures. *The International Journal of Developmental Biology*. 44: 807–810.

**Wielstra B (2020)** Balanced lethal systems. *Current Biology*. 30: R742–R743. DOI: 10.1016/j.cub.2020.05.011.


**Wielstra B, Arntzen JW (2016)** Description of a new species of crested newt, previously subsumed in *Triturus ivanbureschi* (Amphibia: Caudata: Salamandridae). *Zootaxa*. 4109: 73–80. DOI: 10.11646/zootaxa.4109.1.6.

**Wielstra B, Salvi D, Canestrelli D (2021)** Genetic divergence across glacial refugia despite interglacial gene flow in a crested newt. *Evolutionary Biology*. 48: 17–26. DOI: 10.1007/s11692-020-09519-5.


Supporting Information



**Figure S1:** PCR screening of 23 primer pairs designed for candidate Y-linked markers for sex specific amplification in *Triturus ivanbureschi*. Label M indicates the male sample and label F indicates female. Markers are indicated by number followed by either S (for primer pairs designed for the short product – c.a. 100 bp) or L (for primer pairs designed for the long product – c.a. 200 bp). 12 pairs show male specific amplification.



**Figure S2: Further PCR screening of 12 primer pairs for Y-linked markers** (that show male specific amplification in *T. ivanbureschi*) in *T. cristatus* and *T. macedonicus*. Label M indicates the male sample and label F indicates female. Markers are indicated by number followed by either S (for primer pairs designed for the short product – c.a. 100 bp) or L (for primer pairs designed for the long product – c.a. 200 bp). 6 primer pairs show strong amplification in males of both species with no product at all visible in females (several other show varying degrees of weak amplification in females).



**Figure S3: PCR screening of 6 primer pairs for Y-linked markers** (that show confirmed male specific amplification in three *Triturus* species) in all other *Triturus* species (except for *T. rudolfi*, which was not yet described at the time of study). Label M indicates the male sample and label F indicates female. Markers are indicated by number followed by either S (for primer pairs designed for the short product – c.a. 100 bp) or L (for primer pairs designed for the long product – c.a. 200 bp). No marker shows male specific amplification in all species, however TiY-384959-short is successful in all other than *T. dobrogicus*. The only marker to show any amplification in *T. dobrogicus* is TiY-137941-long.

| Primer Pair             | Forward Primer Sequence | Reverse Primer Sequence  | Product (bp) |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| <b>CDK-17</b>           | GGCATGGGAAGAACAGAAGA    | CCATCTGCTTGGACTGTTGA     | 537          |
| <b>TiY-444315-short</b> | AGTTCGAGCCAGTACTTTTAGC  | CAAACACACGAAAGCACAGTG    | 111          |
| <b>TiY-444315-long</b>  | CACTGTGCTTTCGTGTGTTG    | TGTACTAGAAAGGGTGGGGG     | >105         |
| <b>TiY-137941-short</b> | GTCACAGCAGCAAATGGTCC    | CAGAAGAAGGGCATCTGGG      | 104          |
| <b>TiY-137941-long</b>  | GTCACAGCAGCAAATGGTCC    | CCTCTGCTCTGCCTTCACAG     | >166         |
| <b>TiY-95401-short</b>  | CTAGATTCCGGTGAGGCAGG    | GGCCCATAGCACCAACATTC     | 137          |
| <b>TiY-95401-long</b>   | GCGTACGGAGTGATTATCCCC   | ACACTGCTGCGGAAGTGAAG     | >199         |
| <b>TiY-105918-short</b> | TGAGGATCTGGCTCAATCGC    | TCTCCAAAGGTAACGCGCTG     | 82           |
| <b>TiY-105918-long</b>  | AATCTTGTCACCAAGTGTGC    | AATTCAGCAGCCACATGCC      | >185         |
| <b>TiY-442534-short</b> | AGGGGCATAAGTGAGGGAC     | AGGGTCTGAAAAGGGCCATC     | 114          |
| <b>TiY-416318-short</b> | TGGGTTTCCAAGTCTCCTCAG   | ACTTTCAAGAGTAAGGAGCAGAAG | 89           |
| <b>TiY-416318-long</b>  | TGGGTTTCCAAGTCTCCTCAG   | TGGAGGCCTGAAGTAATAAGCC   | >166         |
| <b>TiY-254147-short</b> | CCGGTCACATCTCCTTCGAG    | GACTGGGCTTGAGAGTCTCG     | 150          |
| <b>TiY-254147-long</b>  | CCGGTCACATCTCCTTCGAG    | TCGAAGCAGATGTGACTGGG     | >163         |
| <b>TiY-384959-short</b> | TGCAGCACAGCAGTAGACTC    | CCTTCTCGCATGGACCCTAC     | 92           |
| <b>TiY-384959-long</b>  | GTAGGGTCCATGCGAGAAGG    | AGGTGTCGTGTGCCTACTTC     | >168         |
| <b>TiY-201098-short</b> | TAAACCAGCAAAGCCACCAC    | TGTACAATTCCTGCGTAACCG    | 83           |
| <b>TiY-201098-long</b>  | CCACCCCCAAGCACTTAAAG    | TGTGTGGGTCCCAAAGTGG      | >200         |
| <b>TiY-817010-short</b> | TCTGCTTTGTGTCTGAAGCTTG  | TGTGTGTTCTGTTGGGCTG      | 127          |
| <b>TiY-817010-long</b>  | TCACCTACCACCACAGTTGC    | CACTCCTGACTATGGGCCTG     | >186         |
| <b>TiY-301991-short</b> | GGGGAGTCAGGGTTGTCATG    | TCTACTAGCTCACAGGGCAC     | 87           |
| <b>TiY-301991-long</b>  | GGGGAGTCAGGGTTGTCATG    | TGGGGTTTCTACTCAGCTG      | >194         |
| <b>TiY-106308-short</b> | AGCAAGTTCCAGGAGCTTCC    | AGAGCACATGAAGGACCAGC     | 125          |
| <b>TiY-106308-long</b>  | TCACCAGCAGAGTTTCTCCG    | TGAAGGACCAGTGGATGCTG     | >186         |

**Table S1: Sequences of all primers used in this study,** CDK-17 is an autosomal marker used as a control, all others are candidate Y-linked markers developed for *T. ivanbureschi*.

| Group | Number of Markers | Length (cM) |
|-------|-------------------|-------------|
| 1     | 391               | 105.6       |
| 2     | 931               | 102.1       |
| 3     | 1005              | 98.8        |
| 4     | 764               | 98.4        |
| 5     | 331               | 93.8        |
| 6     | 427               | 93.3        |
| 7     | 381               | 91.7        |
| 8     | 600               | 91.4        |
| 9     | 710               | 91.4        |
| 10    | 903               | 86.4        |
| 11    | 314               | 84.3        |
| 12    | 476               | 82.3        |
| Total | 7233              | 1119.6      |

**Table S2: Characteristics of linkage groups within the linkage map** constructed based on RADseq data from 160 *T. ivanbureschi* samples from a full-sibling family.



Genomic Evidence Suggests the  
Balanced Lethal System in *Triturus*  
Newts Originated in an  
Instantaneous Speciation Event

**JAMES FRANCE<sup>1,2</sup>, MANON CHANTAL DE VISSER<sup>1,2</sup>,  
JAN W. ARNTZEN<sup>1,2</sup>, WIESŁAW BABIK<sup>3</sup>, MILENA CVIJANOVIĆ<sup>4</sup>,  
ANA IVANOVIĆ<sup>5</sup>, JERAMIAH SMITH<sup>6</sup>, TIJANA VUČIĆ<sup>1,2,5</sup>,  
BEN WIELSTRA<sup>1,2</sup>**

<sup>1</sup> Institute of Biology Leiden, Leiden University, Leiden, The Netherlands

<sup>2</sup> Naturalis Biodiversity Center, Leiden, The Netherlands

<sup>3</sup> Institute of Environmental Sciences, Faculty of Biology, Jagiellonian University, Kraków, Poland

<sup>4</sup> Department of Evolutionary Biology, Institute for Biological Research “Siniša Stanković”, National Institute of Republic of Serbia, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia

<sup>5</sup> Faculty of Biology, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia

<sup>6</sup> Department of Biology, University of Kentucky, Lexington, Kentucky, USA

*(in review)*

*This chapter is available as a preprint at **bioRxiv**: (10.1101/2024.10.29.620207)*

## Abstract

The balanced lethal system found in crested and marbled newts of the genus *Triturus* presents an intriguing mystery. All adults possess two distinct forms of their largest chromosome, resulting in 50% of offspring inheriting two copies of one of these forms. These homomorphic individuals undergo fatal developmental arrest during embryogenesis. How could such an obviously maladaptive trait, destroying half of an organism's reproductive output, evolve to fixation in an entire genus? We construct high-density linkage maps for *Triturus* and its sister genus *Lissotriton*, identifying genes involved in the balanced lethal system. We find that each of the two forms of *Triturus* chromosome 1 is characterized by a single massive deletion. Ploidy analysis shows that each deletion is compensated for duplication of the same region on the opposite chromosome, suggesting that the balanced lethal system was created suddenly, as the result of an unequal exchange between chromosomes in a single individual. We simulate the consequences of such a mutation, finding that, if the rearranged chromosomes exert a fitness penalty when combined with the ancestral version, a stable balanced lethal system can become fixed within a sub-population. Counterintuitively, the deleterious nature of the rearranged chromosomes causes reproductive isolation that protects them from invasion by their fitter ancestor. We conclude that the origin of the *Triturus* balanced lethal system is effectively an instantaneous speciation event, which resulted in the equally instantaneous fixation of the balanced lethal system.

## Introduction

Evolution is a seemingly simple process that continually produces complex and counterintuitive outcomes. Organisms frequently evolve in ways that confound our expectations and occasionally even appear to defy the principles of natural selection. Investigation of these paradoxical phenomena often leads to a deeper understanding of evolutionary processes. An extreme example of life apparently defying natural selection is found in crested and marbled newts (the genus *Triturus*). In these species, 50% of all embryos spontaneously die before hatching, resulting in a massive and uncompensated loss of reproductive output, due to a phenomenon known as a balanced lethal system (Rusconi 1821; Sims et al. 1984; Wielstra 2020).

The premature death of these embryos is due to the fact that *Triturus* chromosome 1 is heteromorphic (occurring in two distinct versions, named 1A and 1B), but only heterokaryotypic individuals (possessing both versions of the chromosome) are viable (Macgregor & Horner 1980; Sims et al. 1984). Because the chromosomes are inherited in a Mendelian fashion, and all adults must possess one copy of each version, it follows that half of all offspring will inherit two copies of the same version, accounting for the 50% of non-viable embryos (see also [chapter 1](#); Fig. 1). Each version of the chromosome must contain unique mutations that are lethal when homozygous. Normally these mutations would be selected against and eventually go extinct. However, because the alternative version of the chromosome also has its own lethal mutations, the selective forces are balanced and both chromosomes are maintained in the population, at the cost of half of the offspring (Muller 1918; Wielstra 2020). Artificial balanced lethal systems are commonly used in genetics to maintain stable stocks of deleterious mutations. However, given the massive fitness cost incurred, a naturally occurring balanced lethal system seems almost impossible. Yet, they have independently evolved in nature in widely divergent taxa, aside from *Triturus*, also in central American *Drosophila* and plants of the genera *Isotoma* and *Oenothera* (Dobzhansky & Pavlovsky 1955; Steiner 1956; James et al. 1990).


To maintain the heteromorphism required for the balanced lethal system, the two versions of the chromosome must be shielded from the blending effect of recombination, because otherwise a single healthy chromosome could be (re)created. Indeed, in *Triturus*, the long arm of chromosome 1 consists almost entirely of a non-recombining section in which chiasmata are not observed (Callan et al. 1960). This lack of recombination may have additional consequences: large stretches of the genome, containing multiple coding genes, would be consistently inherited together, and selected for or against as a single giant gene (Dobzhansky 1970). Such ‘supergenes’ have important evolutionary effects as they can lock together diverse morphological and behavioural traits but can also lead to the accumulation of deleterious mutations. In

some cases, such as in ruffs or fire ants supergenes that are lethal when homozygous are maintained in the population due to the advantageous traits they confer when heterozygous (Hallar et al. 2007; Küpper et al. 2016). A balanced lethal system could simply be considered a supergene system where both versions are deadly in the homozygous state. How did the supergene underlying the *Triturus* balanced lethal system originate? We aim to unravel this mystery by mapping the architecture of the *Triturus* genome that suppresses recombination and reconstructing the evolution of the two distinct versions of chromosome 1.

## Genomic Architecture

Recombination is generally inhibited by chromosomal rearrangements, most commonly inversions. To identify any such rearrangement within the *Triturus* genome, we construct a high-density linkage map consisting of 4226 nuclear DNA markers sequenced in 206 full-sibling offspring of a *Triturus ivanbureschi* × *macedonicus* F<sub>2</sub> cross. These offspring include approximately equal numbers of developed (heterokaryotypic, designated AB) and arrested (homokaryotypic) embryos. After sequencing the homozygote embryos are further classified depending on which forms of chromosome 1 they possess, designated AA and BB.


As a proxy for the ancestral state of the *Triturus* genome, we construct an analogous map (including 3693 markers, from the same bait set of c. 7k) for its sister genus *Lissotriton* (Rancilhac et al. 2021), which is unaffected by the balanced lethal system. (Sup. Figs. S1, S2 and Sup. Table S1 contain full details of the linkage maps.) We compare these linkage maps to each other, and to the chromosome-scale genome assemblies of the Iberian ribbed newt (*Pleurodeles waltl*) (Brown et al. 2025) and axolotl (*Ambystoma mexicanum*) (Nowoshilow et al. 2018; Smith et al. 2019). Synteny between *Triturus* and *Lissotriton* is highly conserved, with 98% of genes placed in orthologous chromosomes (Fig. 1, Sup. Table S2), and few disruptions of gene order. This confirms that the rearrangement that led to the balanced lethal system is restricted to chromosome 1. Despite over 60 million years of divergence since their last common ancestor (Marjanović & Laurin 2014; Stewart & Wiens 2025) synteny is also highly conserved between *Triturus* and *P. waltl*. Beyond the family Salamandridae, we show that *Triturus* chromosome 1 is homologous to a fusion between *A. mexicanum* chromosomes 8 and 13. (For full details of synteny see Sup. Figs. S3-5.)



**Figure 1:** Comparison of the genomes of four salamander genera reveals striking conservation of synteny within newts. For *Triturus* and *Lissotriton* we construct linkage maps based on target capture data for ca. 4k coding sequences. For the more distantly related *Pleurodeles* and *Ambystoma* we incorporate data from published whole genome assemblies (Brown et al. 2025; Nowoshilow et al. 2018; Smith et al. 2019). We observe a striking conservation of synteny within the three newt genera (*Triturus*, *Lissotriton* and *Pleurodeles*), with all chromosomes showing one-to-one homology and little variation in gene order. When compared with *Ambystoma* we observe some fusions and translocations (Sup. Figs. S4-5)

Rather than SNPs specific to chromosome 1A or 1B, the variation between genotypes is primarily characterized by genes that are completely absent in one of the two categories of arrested embryos. We identify 30 markers which consistently fail to yield reads in embryos of genotype BB, and 35 are similarly missing in genotype AA – we designate these A-linked and B-linked genes respectively. These two sets of genes are almost identical to those independently discovered by de Visser et al. (2024a) who also report that both sets of genes show highly consistent presence/absence variation across *Triturus* species, indicating that the balanced lethal system attained its modern form before the radiation of the genus. Remarkably, when the orthologs of the A- and B-linked genes are highlighted in the genomes of *Lissotriton*, *Pleurodeles* and *Ambystoma*, they are observed to form two distinct, adjacent, but non-overlapping blocks, each corresponding to genes present only in one form of chromosome 1 (Fig. 2). This shows that the balanced lethal system results from a pair of large deletions - the sizes of the orthologous blocks in the *P. waltl* genome are 227 and 189 Mbp for the A- and B-linked genes, respectively. As each of the deleted blocks is only present on one form of chromosome 1, recombination between the two forms is impossible in this region. de Visser et al. (2024a) also identify a third set of markers, which show presence/absence variation only in certain lineages of *Triturus*. We find that this set forms a third, smaller

(130 Mbp) non-recombining block, which we interpret as indicative of lineage specific post-establishment expansion of the original non-recombining region.




**Figure 2:** Groups 1A and 1B of the *Triturus* linkage map compared to the homologous group 2 of the *Lissotriton* linkage map, chromosome 6 of the *Pleurodeles waltl* genome assembly (Brown et al. 2025) and chromosomes 8 and 13 of the *Ambystoma mexicanum* genome assembly (Nowoshilow et al. 2018; Smith et al. 2019). Genes present only on chromosome 1A are highlighted in blue, those present only on 1B in orange. In all three comparisons the homologs of these genes present as two distinct adjacent blocks – which have each been entirely deleted from one of the two versions of chromosome 1 in *Triturus*. Genes shown in green form a third block, showing species specific chromosome 1 related variation (de Visser et al. 2024a).

Despite karyotypes showing that the non-recombining region occupying at least half of chromosome 1, with an estimated size of 1.3 Gbp (Sims et al. 1984), a large majority of genes on *Triturus* linkage group 1 are fully recombining. This does not appear to be an artifact of linkage map construction as all regions of the homologous chromosomes of other genera are accounted for within the *Triturus* map. An explanation may be an accumulation of repetitive DNA swelling the size of the non-recombining region, which is unable to purge these sequences (Sessions et al. 1988; Kent et al. 2017).


### Twin Deletions or Unequal Exchange?

While deletions of the magnitude we observe in *Triturus* would almost certainly be lethal when homozygous, they should also be expected to be deleterious in heterozygous individuals. Given the number of genes involved, it is likely that at least some are haploinsufficient, meaning that a single copy would be insufficient to produce a normal phenotype – for reference, approximately 10% of human genes exhibit haploinsufficiency (Bartha et al. 2018). These dosage effects could be compensated for if each region deleted from one version of the chromosome was duplicated on the opposite version, and *vice versa*. As Sessions et al. (1988) suggested, an unequal exchange between sister chromosomes (or possibly mitotic recombination between homologous chromosomes) would result in exactly this configuration, with the A-linked genes on one chromosome swapped for the B-linked genes on the other (Fig. 3).



**Figure 3:** Contrasting scenarios of chromosome evolution in *Triturus* newts. If the absence of each set of balanced lethal system-related genes from one version of chromosome 1 is explained by simple uncompensated deletions (left) these will be left as single copy, which will reduce fitness if any of these exhibit haploinsufficiency. If the deletions are part of an unequal exchange (right), they will be compensated for by duplication of the same stretch of DNA on the opposite chromosome.

If the deleted regions in the *Triturus* balanced lethal system are compensated for by reciprocal duplications, then there will be two copies of A- and B-linked genes in healthy (AB) *Triturus* embryos, even though they only possess a single copy of chromosome 1A and 1B. We test this prediction by analyzing the allele ratio of SNPs in A- and B-linked genes (Fig. 4) using data from a set of 30 F<sub>1</sub> *T. ivanbureschi* × *T. macedonicus* (10 for each genotype) (de Visser et al. 2024b). If there is only a single copy, then an allele can be present in either 0 or 100% of the reads covering each SNP locus within a sample. Instead, we observe that A- and B-linked genes in healthy (AB) embryos possess SNPs where the percentage of reads carrying each allele tends towards 50%, strongly supporting diploidy for these genes and indicating that the A- and B-linked regions have indeed been duplicated.




**Figure 4: Profiles of allele ratios of three categories of genes** (all 7,139 target sequences, 28 genes linked to chromosome 1A and 35 genes linked to chromosome 1B) based on target capture data of 30 F<sub>1</sub> *Triturus ivanbureschi* × *T. macedonicus* F<sub>1</sub> embryos, split evenly between three genotypes (AB, AA and BB). Coefficient of determination (R<sup>2</sup>) values comparing the observed results to idealised distributions for different copy numbers are displayed - bold where a significant ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) majority (8/10) individual samples agree (Sup Table. S3). Normalised coverage and the average number of SNPs available for analysis per sample are also shown. In all three genotypes the overall genome showed a peak in allele ratio at 50%, as expected for a diploid genome. However, in AB embryos, with only one copy each of chromosome 1A and 1B, SNPs that are A- and B-linked genes show allele ratio distributions consistent with diploidy, indicating that these genes are duplicated.

In arrested embryos of genotype AA, there are two copies of chromosome 1A, so unless the duplication has occurred, we would expect a diploid distribution of SNP alleles in A-linked genes. However, we observe a chaotic distribution, with no significant support for diploidy. Considering the results of the AB embryos, we suspect this is a tetraploid distribution of allele ratios (with peaks at 25, 50 and 75%) that has been obscured by noise. As there are no copies of B-linked genes in the AA samples, we are unable to calculate allele ratios (Tables S3-5). The equivalent allele ratio distributions are found in reverse for the arrested embryos of genotype BB. These results match with the predictions of the reciprocal duplication. Accordingly, we conclude that the *Triturus* balanced lethal system arose in a single step, as the result of an unequal exchange.

## Evolutionary Scenario

Our investigation of the architecture of the *Triturus* genome gives a clear description of the rearrangement that underlies the balanced lethal system but does not explain how such a deleterious trait spread and became fixed in the entire population. Most previous work seeking to model the evolution of the balanced lethal system posited a gradualistic scenario. Grossen et al. (2012) proposed that chromosomes 1A and 1B were originally two different lineages of a Y-chromosome, which evolved into the current system due to extinction of the X-chromosome. Berdan et al. (2022) model the origin of a balanced lethal system as an effect of a self-reinforcing heterozygote advantage, resulting in the gradual accumulation of lethal alleles. However, these models are incompatible with the new genomic evidence, which, for *Triturus*, supports instantaneous evolution.

This result seems paradoxical. As Grossen et al. (2012) noted, even if the newly evolved chromosomes 1A and 1B exert no deleterious effects when present as a single copy, there will still be a strong negative frequency dependent selection pressure against them, similar to any other lethal recessive allele. In fact, we may assume that the situation would appear even less favourable, as in the case where either chromosome 1A or 1B is combined with the ancestral, un-rearranged form of chromosome 1, then many genes will be present in single copy, resulting in a significant fitness penalty due to haploinsufficiency (Fig. 5). There may be further deleterious effects due to the presence of a third copy of many other genes resulting in unbalanced dosage. This means that even if chance allowed the balanced lethal system to become fixed in a small population, the ancestral version of chromosome 1 would invade and rapidly drive the rearrangements to extinction upon secondary contact.




**Fig. 4: Consequences of the possible combinations of rearranged and ancestral genotypes.** (A) In the case of a cross between two individuals of the ancestral (pre-rearrangement) genotype, all offspring will inherit two copies of all genes. (B) If both parents are affected by the balanced lethal system, then half of the offspring will inherit a full complement of genes, whereas the other half will have zero copies of either sets of genes involved and will thus not be viable. (C) In a cross between a parent affected by the balanced lethal system and a parent with the ancestral genotype, no offspring will inherit two copies of any of the genes involved in the balanced lethal system. Instead, these genes will be present in a mixture of single and triple copies, likely significantly reducing fitness.

Counterintuitively, a resolution to this paradox can be found precisely *because* of the haploinsufficiency predicted for the mixed ancestral/balanced system. If an individual carrying the ancestral chromosome disperses into a population where the balanced lethal system is fixed, then all its offspring will be of a mixed genotype, carrying one copy of the ancestral chromosome 1 and one copy of chromosome 1A or 1B (Fig. 6C). As these offspring will possess a single copy of one of the large chromosome segments otherwise deleted (and three copies of the other), they will likely suffer a significant fitness penalty. If this penalty exceeds 50%, then the offspring of the

individual with the ancestral genotype will be less fit on average than the offspring of the parents carrying the balanced lethal system, and so, within this population, the ancestral un-rearranged form of chromosome 1 will be the one selected against most strongly. In this scenario, both the ancestral genotype and the balanced lethal system would experience positive frequency dependent selection, with a tipping point above which the balanced lethal system will be driven towards fixation (Fig. 6A).

We explore whether this mechanism can shield a balanced lethal from invasion, by constructing a model in which the ancestral (NN) and heterokaryotic balanced lethal genotypes (AB) have equal fitness, the homokaryotic genotypes (AA and BB) are instantly lethal, and the mixed genotypes (AN and BN) have all fitness parameters (annual survival rate, female fecundity and male attractiveness) reduced by 25% compared to the ancestral state. We first simulate a scenario where two populations, one ancestral, one with the balanced lethal system fixed, colonize a region from opposite directions. In 40 of 100 replicates, we observe that after 1000 generations of secondary contact a persistent hybrid zone has formed at a region of low population density (Fig. 6B, Sup. Fig. S6, Sup. Table S6).

Finally, we model the initiation of a balanced lethal system in a single individual. As Sessions et al. (1988) noted, if the chromosomal exchange occurred early enough in the germ line, all gametes produced by an individual would carry either chromosome 1A or 1B. We simulate an expanding population with the ancestral genotype. After the adult population size has reached >500, a single newt on the periphery of this population has its genotype changed to AB. Over 10,000 replicates we observe two (0.02%) instances of the formation of a persistent balanced lethal system after 100 generations (Fig. 6C, Sup. Fig. S7, Sup. Table S7).



**Figure 6: Simple and detailed models of outbreeding depression between the rearranged and ancestral genomes.** (A) Plot showing the relative fitness of offspring from parents with the ancestral pre-rearrangement (black) and balanced lethal (green) genotypes against the proportion of the ancestral chromosome in the population. If 'mixed' offspring (with one rearranged and one ancestral chromosome) have an average fitness lower than 0.5, there is a threshold below which the offspring of the parents of the balanced lethal system will have higher fitness than those of the ancestral chromosome. The average fitness of offspring is shown by the dashed purple line with a minimum point, showing the effect of outbreeding depression. (B) Simulation of a persistent hybrid zone formed after secondary contact between populations carrying the balanced lethal system (green) and ancestral chromosome (black), with ponds where neither genotype represents at least 80% of the population shown in purple. Due to the lower fitness of the 'mixed' genotype, the ancestral chromosome is unable to displace the balanced lethal system (Sup. Fig. S6). (C) Simulation of the initiation of a balanced lethal system (i) An initial population carrying the ancestral chromosome is founded at generation 0 in the large pond in the top-left of the region. After 19 generations, when this population has grown to 759, a single migrant mutates to the balanced lethal genotype (resulting in the small purple pond). (ii) 100 generations later the region is split between the ancestral and balanced lethal genotypes, with an apparently persistent hybrid zone formed (Sup. Fig. S7).

While the current structure of the *Triturus* genome closely matches the predicted outcome of an unequal exchange between homologous or sister chromosomes, we must consider whether any alternative sequence of rearrangements could produce the same outcome. The balanced lethal system may be viewed as composed of four major mutations, two deletions and two duplications. A scenario in which these each evolved independently is both less parsimonious than a single rearrangement and subject to mechanistic difficulties. Any deletion of the size we observe in *Triturus* is almost certain to be lethal when homozygous, as such it could never be fixed (at both available loci) within any population and could only be maintained at high frequency under powerful balancing selection. This effectively requires that each deletion occurs within a pre-existing supergene system – for which we observe no evidence. Even in this case each deletion would be significantly maladaptive compared to the ancestral version of the supergene with which it would be in competition. Evolution of the *Triturus* balanced lethal system in this scenario would require the sequential fixation of multiple highly deleterious mutations within the entire population – as there would be no analogue of the heterozygote disadvantage which protects the products of the unequal exchange.

## Conclusion

The balanced lethal system in *Triturus* newts is an anomaly of evolution in which an overwhelmingly deleterious trait persists despite apparently offering no advantage. Our mapping of the structure of the *Triturus* genome reveals that, instead of a genetic inversion, as typically used in artificial balancer chromosomes (Miller et al. 2019), the lack of recombination is caused by the total deletion of two large, adjacent sections of chromosome 1. We also show that both deletions are compensated for by a duplication of the same section on the opposite chromosome. This configuration is a predicted result of an unequal exchange between chromosomes, as presciently hypothesized by Sessions et al. (1988). Given that unequal recombination is often associated with repetitive elements (Nag et al. 2004; Klein & O'Neill 2018) it is perhaps no coincidence that this system has evolved in *Triturus* which, like other salamanders, have extremely large and repetitive genomes (Sun et al. 2012).

At first glance, this genomic architecture provides no obvious mechanism to explain the seemingly impossible fixation of the balanced lethal system within *Triturus*. Indeed, because it implies an instantaneous origin, it is incompatible with models that proposed a gradual circumvention of natural selection, either via sex-chromosomes (Grossen, Neuenschwander and Perrin, 2012) or supergenes (Berdan *et al.*, 2022).

However, when we consider a hybrid between the result of the unequal exchange and the ancestral genotype, we are left with a mixture of partial monosomy and trisomy over a significant stretch of the genome. These hybrids would be expected to suffer a substantial fitness penalty, potentially even larger than that incurred by the balanced lethal system. In this case the balanced lethal system does provide a relative fitness advantage in populations in which it is already dominant. Under simulations, this results in two genetically distinct populations isolated by severe outbreeding depression that can be considered two species. Therefore, the balanced lethal system achieves fixation not just by spreading through an existing species, but by creating its own species.

Simulations suggest that the chance of an unequal exchange event progressing to a stable balanced lethal system, is low but not zero. It should be noted that this mechanism does not depend on the ancestral population having a low effective population size, in fact an expanding population with a broad colonizing front maximizes the opportunities for initiation of the balanced lethal system. Because the unequal exchange event occurs in a single individual, the system must pass through at least one generation with the unfavourable hybrid genotype, which requires that this genotype be at least marginally viable. Evidence that this is the case can be found in the result of artificial crosses between *Triturus* and *Lissotriton*, which have been shown to be viable and would mimic this semi-balanced lethal genotype (Mancino et al. 1978). These hybrids would also allow for gene flow between the ancestral and novel species, which may mitigate the effects of inbreeding caused by the balanced lethal system originating in an extreme population bottleneck. An interesting prediction is that, as genetic linkage to the balanced lethal system would inhibit gene flow, the degree of introgression would vary across the genome. In support of this view, de Visser et al. (2024a) show that the phylogeny of genes on *Triturus* chromosome 1 has a different topology to those on the other 11 chromosomes.

The architecture of the *Triturus* balanced lethal system provides an insight into how maladaptive traits can become fixed by reproductive isolation, through a newly described mechanism of instantaneous speciation by unequal sister chromosome exchange. It would be interesting to know whether this is a mechanism specific to *Triturus*, or if other naturally occurring balanced lethal systems have evolved in a similar way. Our work exemplifies that paradoxical evolutionary phenomena are worthy of special attention.

## Materials and Methods

### Samples

For the *Triturus* linkage map a full-sibling family was bred at the University of Belgrade (Belgrade, Serbia). The experimental procedures were approved by the Ethics Committee of the Institute for Biological Research „Siniša Stanković”, University of Belgrade (decisions no. 03-03/16 and 01-1949). The founder population consisted of two *T. macedonicus* males collected from Ceklin, Cetinje Municipality, Montenegro (42°21 N; 18°59 E) and two *T. ivanbureschi* females collected from Zli Dol, Pčinja District, Serbia (42°25 N; 22°27 E). Sampling from natural populations was approved by the Environmental Protection Agency of Montenegro (permit no. UPI-328/4) and Ministry of Energy, Development and Environmental Protection of the Republic of Serbia (permit no. 353-01-75/2014-08). From the F<sub>0</sub> founders a male-female (non-sibling) pair of F<sub>1</sub> *T. macedonicus* x *ivanbureschi* was raised to adulthood and mated producing 206 F<sub>2</sub> *T. macedonicus* x *ivanbureschi* offspring (73 hatchlings and 133 arrested embryos). For the *Lissotriton* linkage map an analogous family was bred at Jagiellonian University (Kraków, Poland). *Lissotriton* samples were collected in accordance with the Polish General and Regional Inspectorates of Environmental Protection permits DOP-OZGIZ.6401.02.25.2011.JRO, OP-I.6401.32.2020.GZ, GDOŚ DZP-WG.6401.24.2021.TŁ and all experiments were accepted by the I Local Ethical Committee for Animal Experiments in Kraków, permit 28/2011 and the II Local Ethical Committee for Animal Experiments in Kraków, permit 64/2020. A non-sibling male-female pair of F<sub>1</sub> *L. vulgaris* x *montandoni* was mated to produce 203 F<sub>2</sub> offspring. Samples consisted of tail-tips taken from adult newts (the F<sub>1</sub> parents of the *Triturus* and *Lissotriton* families, as well as the four F<sub>0</sub> grandparents for *Triturus*), and whole embryos or hatchlings from offspring. Full details of samples used in this study are available in Auxiliary Supplemental Table SA1 in the associated Zenodo repository (France et al. 2024a).

### DNA extraction, library preparation and target capture sequencing

Laboratory protocols followed the NewtCap protocol (de Visser et al. 2024c). Genomic DNA was extracted with the Promega Wizard™ Genomic DNA Purification Kit (Promega, Madison, WI, USA), according to the salt-based extraction protocol of Sambrook and Russel (2001). 1,000 µg of DNA from each sample was used for library preparation, performed using the NEBNext Ultra™ II FS DNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (New England Biolabs, MA, USA) following the protocol provided by the manufacturer, with all volumes divided by 4 and an enzymatic fragmentation time of 6:30 minutes. Size selection was performed using NucleoMag™ magnetic separation

beads (Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany) targeting an insert size of 300 bp. Libraries were indexed via eight cycles of PCR, using unique combinations of i5 and i7 indices from IDT (Integrated DNA Technologies, Leuven, Belgium). Library concentration and fragment size distribution were measured via the Fragment Analyzer system (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA) before the libraries were pooled equimolarly in batches of 16, with 250 ng of DNA per sample (4,000 ng total), and vacuum concentrated to 800 ng/μL.

Target enrichment was performed on the pooled libraries with the MyBaits-V4 kit (Arbor Biosciences, MI, USA). The bait set used (Ref# 170210-32) targets 7,139 genomic regions, based transcriptomes from multiple *Triturus* species (Wielstra et al. 2019). Enrichment followed the manufacturers protocol, with the following deviations: Blocks C and O were replaced with 30,000 ng of *Triturus* derived C<sub>0</sub>t-1 DNA to block the hybridization of repetitive sequences. Tissue to produce C<sub>0</sub>t-1 DNA was available from a removal action of an invasive population of *T. carnifex* (Meilink et al. 2015). A hybridization time of 30 hours and temperature of 63 °C were employed, and libraries were incubated with the blocking solution for 30 minutes before addition of the RNA baits. After hybridization, the pools were amplified with 14 cycles of PCR before 150 bp paired-end sequencing, targeting a yield of 1 Gbp per sample, was performed on the NovaSeq 6000 platform (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) by BaseClear B.V. (Leiden, the Netherlands).

## Processing of sequence capture data

Bioinformatics and analyses were performed in the Academic Leiden Interdisciplinary Cluster Environment (ALICE) at Leiden University. The upstream data processing was performed via a custom Perl (version v3.38.0) script (Pipeline\_1.pl). FASTQ files containing demultiplexed raw sequence data were trimmed with Trimmomatic version 0.39 (Bolger et al. 2014) and BBDuk version 38.96 (Bushnell et al. 2017) to remove adapter contamination. BWA-MEM version 0.7.17 (Li 2013) was used to map the trimmed reads against reference sequences previously assembled from *T. dobrogicus* (Wielstra et al. 2019). The resulting BAM files were processed, deduplicated and genotyped via the *AddOrReplaceReadGroups*, *MarkDuplicates* and *HaplotypeCaller* functions of GATK version 4.5.0.0 (McKenna et al. 2010) producing a VCF file for each sample. Sequencing depth was assessed with a custom R (version 4.4) script (Peakloop2.R) which processed the output of the SAMtools version 1.18 (Li et al. 2009) *depth* function of the deduplicated BAM file for each sample and evaluated the minimum depth of the best covered continuous 100 bp sequence within each target sequence of the reference assembly. All samples used for construction of the linkage maps were required to have a median best 100 bp sequencing depth of at least 10. After samples had been screened for coverage, each sample set was jointly genotyped with the *GenomicsDBImport* and *GenotypeGVCFs* function of GATK, producing a multi-sample GVCF file for each linkage family.

## ***Lissotriton* linkage map construction**

To construct the *Lissotriton* linkage map, VCFtools version 0.1.16 (Danecek et al. 2011) was used to filter the multi-sample GVCF file to exclude indels and SNPs with a mean sequencing depth less than 10, genotype quality lower than 20, minor allele frequency lower than 0.4, or missing data greater than 5%. Finally, a single SNP per reference target was selected. A linkage map was then constructed with LepMAP3 version 0.5 (Rastas 2017). First the filtered GVCF was used as the input for the *ParentCall2* module, then initial linkage groups were created with the *SeparateChromosomes2* module, with a LOD limit of 22 and distortion LOD set to 1, unplaced markers were then incorporated with the *JoinSingles2All* module with a LOD limit of 15 (these settings were selected to maximize the numbers of included markers while yielding 12 linkage groups – the number of linkage groups increases rapidly as the LOD limit is raised until plateauing at 12 at LOD = 22, whereafter further increases only decrease the number of mapped markers). The markers were then ordered with the *OrderMarkers2* module, using 12 merge iterations and eight polish iterations, with the *sexAveraged* option enabled and the *minError* parameter set to 0.01. After construction the linkage groups were redesignated in order of decreasing length.

## **Identification of chromosome 1 linked presence/absence markers in *Triturus***

The per-marker 100 bp peak region sequencing depth was used to identify presence/absence of markers in arrested embryos using a custom R script (*Select\_presence\_absence\_1.R*). This first calculates expected sequencing depth scores for all markers across the sample set (based on the product of the mean depth per sample and the mean depth per marker), before identifying markers in which at least 25% of samples show a depth of zero, while an equivalent number of samples show more than double the expected depth (the predicated behaviour of presence/absence markers associated with either chromosome 1A or 1B). The scripts then clusters candidate presence/absence markers into sets of where the same samples show zero coverage. As at this stage the genotype of the arrested embryos was unknown, used the findings of de Visser, et al. (2024a) – based on phenotyped embryos – as a guide to designate each cluster as A- or B-linked, following the terminology of Macgregor & Horner (Macgregor et al. 1980) where 1A1A embryos are dubbed "slim-tailed" and 1B1B embryos "fat-tailed".

## ***Triturus* linkage map construction**

The *Triturus* linkage map was constructed via the methodology described above, modified to incorporate the presence/absence markers. To this end the coverage scores of these markers were converted into probabilistic pseudo-SNP calls using a custom R script (`Add_presence_absence_to_call_table_4.R`). For example, where the measured coverage was close to expected, the genotype could be expressed as GT, where there was zero coverage GG, and where there was twice the expected coverage TT. These calls were then appended to the output of the ParentCall2 module of LepMAP3 (Rastas 2017). From this point the LepMAP3 pipeline was used with the same settings as with *Lissotriton*, with the expected that the LOD limits for the SeparateChromosomes2 and JoinSingles2All modules were changed to 27 and 20 respectively (as with *Lissotriton* these settings were chosen as the point where the number of linkage groups plateaus at 12). After construction of an initial map, the linkage group where the presence/absence markers clustered was assigned linkage group 1 and additional, separate maps for chromosome 1A and 1B (each including only one of the two sets of presence/absence markers) were constructed. The remaining linkage groups were ordered by length.

## **Comparison with *Pleurodeles* and *Ambystoma* genome assemblies**

The *Triturus* reference sequences placed on the linkage map were aligned against the genome assemblies published for the Iberian ribbed newt, *Pleurodeles waltl* (Brown et al. 2025) and the axolotl, *Ambystoma mexicanum* (Nowoshilow et al. 2018; Smith et al. 2019) using BLAST+ version v2.14.1 (Camacho et al. 2009). For *P. waltl* the default settings were used except for specifying a minimum E-value of 1e-20 for the more distantly related *A. mexicanum* a minimum E-value of 1e-10 and word size of 15 was used. Sequences that aligned outside of the main chromosomes of the assemblies or aligned in multiple locations were removed.

## **Ploidy analysis**

Data from thirty F<sub>1</sub> *T. macedonicus* x *T. ivanbureschi* samples from a previous study (de Visser et al. 2024b) (10 each of genotype AA, AB and BB) were used to examine SNP allele ratios of the A and B-linked genes. F<sub>1</sub> hybrids are desirable for this application as their use maximizes the number of heterozygous SNPs. These samples were processed with the same target capture methodology as the linkage map samples. The BAM files produced for each sample were subsetting to produce one file with alignments for all 7,139 reference sequences, and two each with alignment for 28 A-linked genes and 35 B-linked genes - selected as targets in which presence/absence variation has been validated across the genus (de Visser et al. 2024a). These alignments were processed

with nQuire (cloned from Git commit 8975c94) (Weiß et al. 2018), using the *create* function with *-c* set to 20 and *-p* to 10. The *denoise* function was then used on the resulting .bin files followed by ploidy model fitting with the *histotest* and *view* functions. The resulting allele ratio distributions were normalized and combined. The  $R^2$  values comparing the fit of the observations to calculated allele distributions were averaged for each sample genotype and gene-category. Significant of agreement of best fit model was calculated with  $p \leq 0.05$  requiring at least eight out the ten individuals in each genotype category to agree. For additional context mean coverage data from the same gene and genotypes categories of the  $F_2$  *T. macedonicus* x *ivanbureschi* sample set calculated.

## Simulation of balanced lethal system evolution

A custom non-Fisher-Wright model was developed in R, featuring overlapping generations and local colonisation and extinction. For each run, a landscape containing 50 to 150 breeding localities (i.e., ponds) was randomly generated in a 5×5 km area, with each pond given a size score (determining carrying capacity) between 500 and 5000, following a power law distribution. The simulation cycled through three phases. In the breeding phase, each adult female (of minimally two year of age) chose a male in the same pond as her mate randomly, weighed according to male attractiveness and produced a number of embryos equal to her fecundity. The embryos' genotype was a random combination of their parents and determined the chance of embryo hatching. The base survival rate of hatchlings was 5%, which was reduced if the number in a pond exceeds the pond's size so that the total number of juveniles never averaged more than 0.05 of the pond size. In the dispersal phase all newts of age 1 had a 50% chance of moving to another pond within 1 km, the destinations were selected randomly and weighted linearly with increasing size and decreasing distance. In the aging phase each individual had a survival chance determined by age and genotype, and survivor age was incremented by 1. All individuals had a genotype with three available alleles, N represented the ancestral chromosome where A and B are the heteromorphs of the balanced lethal system. Genotypes NN and AB had values for embryonic survival, juvenile survival, adult survival, female fecundity and male attractiveness of 1.0, 0.2, 0.8, 200 and 1.0 respectively. The latter values are based on studies on *Triturus cristatus* by Arntzen and Teunis (1993) and Griffiths and Williams (2000). Genotypes BB and AA had values of zero for all parameters, whereas hybrid ancestral/balanced lethal system genotypes (AN and BN) were (arbitrarily) given values of  $\frac{3}{4}$  that of genotype NN (0.75, 0.15, 0.6, 150 and 0.75) – note that as these parameters interact in a multiplicative manner this results in substantially greater deficit, with hybrids having a relative fitness of  $\frac{27}{128} \approx 0.211$  compared to genotype NN.

## Acknowledgements

This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program (Grant Agreement No. 802759) and the Dutch Research Council – NWO (ENW-M1 Grant OCENW.M20.090). *Triturus* sample collection was supported by the Serbian Ministry of Science, Technological Development and Innovation (grants nos. 451-03-66/2024-01/200007, 451-03-65/2024-03/200178, 451-03-66/2024-03/200178). We give special thanks to Prof. Roger Butlin for his insightful comments on this manuscript. We thank Erik-Jan Bosch for the salamander illustrations used in Fig. 1 (licensed by Naturalis - CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0).

## Data Availability

All raw sequence data used in this study is available via at the Sequence Read Archive, associated with BioProject PRJNA1175462 (France et al. 2024b). All code used in this study as well as markdown documents detailing all commands used in the workflow are archived at this study's Zenodo repository, 10.5281/zenodo.14008529 (France et al. 2024a), together with detailed sample information and an .xlsx document detailing the sequences and positions of all markers located on the *Triturus* and *Lissotriton* linkage maps.

## References

- Arntzen JW, Teunis SFM (1993)** A six year study on the population dynamics of the crested newt (*Triturus cristatus*) following the colonization of a newly created pond. *Herpetological Journal*. 3: 99–110.
- Bartha I, di Iulio J, Venter JC, Telenti A (2018)** Human gene essentiality. *Nature Reviews Genetics*. 19: 51–62. DOI: 10.1038/nrg.2017.75.
- Berdan EL, Blanckaert A, Butlin RK, Flatt T, Slotte T, Wielstra B (2022)** Mutation accumulation opposes polymorphism: supergenes and the curious case of balanced lethals. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*. 377: Article 20210199. DOI: 10.1098/rstb.2021.0199.
- Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B (2014)** Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics*. 30: 2114–2120. DOI: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170.
- Brown T, Mishra K, Elewa A, Iarovenko S, Subramanian E, Araus AJ, Petzold A, ... Simon A (2025)** Chromosome-scale genome assembly reveals how repeat elements shape non-coding RNA landscapes active during newt limb regeneration. *Cell Genomics*. 100761. DOI: 10.1016/j.xgen.2025.100761.
- Bushnell B, Rood J, Singer E (2017)** BBMerge – Accurate paired shotgun read merging via overlap. *PLOS ONE*. 12: e0185056. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0185056.

- Callan HG, Lloyd L, Waddington CH (1960)** Lampbrush chromosomes of crested newts *Triturus cristatus* (Laurenti). *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological Sciences*. 243: 135–219. DOI: 10.1098/rstb.1960.0007.
- Camacho C, Coulouris G, Avagyan V, Ma N, Papadopoulos J, Bealer K, Madden TL (2009)** BLAST+: architecture and applications. *BMC Bioinformatics*. 10: 421. DOI: 10.1186/1471-2105-10-421.
- Danecek P, Auton A, Abecasis G, Albers CA, Banks E, DePristo MA, Handsaker RE, Lunter G, Marth GT, Sherry ST, McVean G, Durbin R, 1000 Genomes Project Analysis Group (2011)** The variant call format and VCFtools. *Bioinformatics*. 27: 2156–2158. DOI: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btr330.
- Dobzhansky T (1970)** *Genetics of the evolutionary process*. Columbia University Press ISBN: 978-0-231-08306-5.
- Dobzhansky T, Pavlovsky O (1955)** An extreme case of heterosis in a Central American population of *Drosophila tropicalis*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 41: 289–295.
- France J, de Visser M, Arntzen J, Babik W, Cvijanović M, Ivanovic A, Vučić T, Smith J, Wielstra B (2024a)** [dataset] Comparative Genomics of *Triturus* Balanced Lethal System. *Zenodo*. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.14008530.
- France J, de Visser M, Arntzen J, Babik W, Cvijanović M, Ivanovic A, Vučić T, Smith J, Wielstra B (2024b)** [dataset] *Triturus* balanced lethal system comparative genomics. *NCBI*. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/PRJNA1175462>.
- Griffiths RA, Williams C (2000)** Modelling population dynamics of great crested newts (*Triturus cristatus*) a population viability analysis. *Herpetological Journal*. 10: 157–163.
- Grossen C, Neuenschwander S, Perrin N (2012)** The balanced lethal system of crested newts: a ghost of sex chromosomes past? *The American Naturalist*. 180: E174–183. DOI: 10.1086/668076.
- Hallar BL, Krieger MJB, Ross KG (2007)** Potential cause of lethality of an allele implicated in social evolution in fire ants. *Genetica*. 131: 69–79. DOI: 10.1007/s10709-006-9114-5.
- James SH, Sampson JF, Playford J (1990)** Complex hybridity in *Isotoma petraea*. VII. Assembly of the genetic system in the O6 Pigeon Rock population. *Heredity*. 64: 289–295. DOI: 10.1038/hdy.1990.36.
- Kent TV, Uzunović J, Wright SI (2017)** Coevolution between transposable elements and recombination. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*. 372: Article 20160458. DOI: 10.1098/rstb.2016.0458.
- Klein SJ, O'Neill RJ (2018)** Transposable elements: genome innovation, chromosome diversity, and centromere conflict. *Chromosome Research*. 26: 5–23. DOI: 10.1007/s10577-017-9569-5.
- Küpper C, Stocks M, Risse JE, dos Remedios N, Farrell LL, McRae SB, Morgan TC, Karlionova N, Pinchuk P, Verkuil YI, Kitaysky AS, Wingfield JC, Piersma T, Zeng K, Slate J, Blaxter M, Lank DB, Burke T (2016)** A supergene determines highly divergent male reproductive morphs in the ruff. *Nature Genetics*. 48: 79–83. DOI: 10.1038/ng.3443.
- Li H (2013)** Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with BWA-MEM. *arXiv*. DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.1303.3997.
- Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, Marth G, Abecasis G, Durbin R, 1000 Genome Project Data Processing Subgroup (2009)** The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics*. 25: 2078–2079. DOI: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352.
- Macgregor HC, Horner H (1980)** Heteromorphism for chromosome 1, a requirement for normal development in crested newts. *Chromosoma*. 76: 111–122. DOI: 10.1007/BF00293412.
- Mancino G, Ragghianti M, Bucci-Innocenti S (1978)** Experimental hybridization within the genus *Triturus* (Urodela: Salamandridae). *Chromosoma*. 69: 27–46. DOI: 10.1007/BF00327379.

**Marjanović D, Laurin M (2014)** An updated paleontological timetree of lissamphibians, with comments on the anatomy of Jurassic crown-group salamanders (Urodela). *Historical Biology*. 26: 535–550. DOI: 10.1080/08912963.2013.797972.

**McKenna A, Hanna M, Banks E, Sivachenko A, Cibulskis K, Kernysky A, Garimella K, Altshuler D, Gabriel S, Daly M, DePristo MA (2010)** The Genome Analysis Toolkit: A MapReduce framework for analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data. *Genome Research*. 20: 1297–1303. DOI: 10.1101/gr.107524.110.

**Meilink WRM, Arntzen JW, van Delft JJCW, Wielstra B (2015)** Genetic pollution of a threatened native crested newt species through hybridization with an invasive congener in the Netherlands. *Biological Conservation*. 184: 145–153. DOI: 10.1016/j.biocon.2015.01.022.

**Miller DE, Cook KR, Hawley RS (2019)** The joy of balancers. *PLoS Genetics*. 15: Article e1008421. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1008421.

**Muller HJ (1918)** Genetic variability, twin hybrids and constant hybrids, in a case of balanced lethal factors. *Genetics*. 3: 422–499.

**Nag DK, Suri M, Stenson EK (2004)** Both CAG repeats and inverted DNA repeats stimulate spontaneous unequal sister-chromatid exchange in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Nucleic Acids Research*. 32: 5677–5684. DOI: 10.1093/nar/gkh901.

**Nowoshilow S, Schloissnig S, Fei J-F, Dahl A, Pang AWC, Pippel M, Winkler S, ... Myers EW (2018)** The axolotl genome and the evolution of key tissue formation regulators. *Nature*. 554: 50–55. DOI: 10.1038/nature25458.

**Rancilhac L, Irisarri I, Angelini C, Arntzen JW, Babik W, Bossuyt F, Künzel S, Lüddecke T, Pasmans F, Sanchez E, Weisrock D, Veith M, Wielstra B, Steinfartz S, Hofreiter M, Philippe H, Vences M (2021)** Phylotranscriptomic evidence for pervasive ancient hybridization among Old World salamanders. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*. 155: Article 106967. DOI: 10.1016/j.ympev.2020.106967.

**Rastas P (2017)** Lep-MAP3: robust linkage mapping even for low-coverage whole genome sequencing data. *Bioinformatics*. 33: 3726–3732. DOI: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btx494.

**Rusconi M (1821)** *Amours des salamandres aquatiques: et developpement du tetard de ces salamandres depuis l'oeuf jusqu'à l'animal parfait*. Chez Paolo Emilio Giusti DOI: 10.5962/bhl.title.59626.

**Sambrook J, Russell DW (2001)** *Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual*. CSHL Press ISBN: 978-0-87969-576-7.

**Sessions SK, Macgregor HC, Schmid M, Haaf T (1988)** Cytology, embryology, and evolution of the developmental arrest syndrome in newts of the genus *Triturus* (Caudata: Salamandridae). *Journal of Experimental Zoology*. 248: 321–334. DOI: 10.1002/jez.1402480311.

**Sims SH, Macgregor HC, Pellatt PS, Horner HA (1984)** Chromosome 1 in crested and marbled newts (*Triturus*). *Chromosoma*. 89: 169–185. DOI: 10.1007/BF00294996.

**Smith JJ, Timoshevskaya N, Timoshevskiy VA, Keinath MC, Hardy D, Voss SR (2019)** A chromosome-scale assembly of the axolotl genome. *Genome Research*. 29: 317–324. DOI: 10.1101/gr.241901.118.

**Steiner E (1956)** New aspects of the balanced lethal mechanism in *Oenothera*. *Genetics*. 41: 486–500.

**Stewart AA, Wiens JJ (2025)** A time-calibrated salamander phylogeny including 765 species and 503 genes. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*. 204: 108272. DOI: 10.1016/j.ympev.2024.108272.

**Sun C, Shepard DB, Chong RA, López Arriaza J, Hall K, Castoe TA, Feschotte C, Pollock DD, Mueller RL (2012)** LTR retrotransposons contribute to genomic gigantism in Plethodontid salamanders. *Genome Biology and Evolution*. 4: 168–183. DOI: 10.1093/gbe/evr139.

**de Visser M, France J, Paulouskaya O, Brown T, Fahrbach M, van der Ploeg C, Wielstra B (2024a)** Conserved gene content and unique phylogenetic history characterize the ‘bloopergene’ underlying *Triturus* balanced lethal system. *bioRxiv* 2024.10.25.620277. DOI: 10.1101/2024.10.25.620277.

**de Visser M, van der Ploeg C, Cvijanović M, Vučić T, Theodoropoulos A, Wielstra B (2024b)** PAV-spotter: using signal cross-correlations to identify Presence/Absence Variation in target capture data. *bioRxiv* 2024.10.25.620064. DOI: 10.1101/2024.10.25.620064.


**de Visser MC, France J, McCartney-Melstad E, Bucciarelli GM, Theodoropoulos A, Shaffer HB, Wielstra B (2024c)** NewtCap: an efficient target capture approach to boost genomic studies in Salamandridae (True Salamanders and Newts). *bioRxiv* 2024.10.25.620290. DOI: 10.1101/2024.10.25.620290.

**Weiß CL, Pais M, Cano LM, Kamoun S, Burbano HA (2018)** nQuire: a statistical framework for ploidy estimation using next generation sequencing. *BMC Bioinformatics*. 19: 122. DOI: 10.1186/s12859-018-2128-z.


**Wielstra B (2020)** Balanced lethal systems. *Current Biology*. 30: R742–R743. DOI: 10.1016/j.cub.2020.05.011.

**Wielstra B, McCartney-Melstad E, Arntzen JW, Butlin RK, Shaffer HB (2019)** Phylogenomics of the adaptive radiation of *Triturus* newts supports gradual ecological niche expansion towards an incrementally aquatic lifestyle. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*. 133: 120–127. DOI: 10.1016/j.ympev.2018.12.032.


## Supporting Information




**Figure S1:** Linkage map based on target capture data from a full-sibling  $F_2$  *Triturus ivanbureschi*  $\times$  *macedonicus* family, consisting of four  $F_0$  grandparents, two  $F_1$  parents and 206  $F_2$  offspring. The map includes 4226 markers in 12 linkage groups spanning a total length of 1188 cM. Linkage groups are arranged by length, except for group 1, which is designated in accordance with the chromosome 1 linked presence/absence markers we located within it. Group 1 includes 29 A-linked markers, highlighted in blue and 33 B-linked makers highlighted in orange, 43 of these markers map to a single position at 13.659 cM from the group's origin, with the reminder deviating by up to 2 cM. This deviation is likely an artifact caused by translating the presence/absence data of low coverage markers into pseudo-SNP calls, with perfect data we would expect all markers to collapse to a single point. An additional 12 markers, highlighted in green, were independently discovered to be associated with the balanced lethal systems in other *Triturus* species in a separate study (de Visser et al. 2024a) – ten of these cluster within 1 cM of the presence/absence markers, while the other two are displaced by approximately 10 cM, possibly because they show presence/absence variation in *T. macedonicus* but not *T. ivanbureschi*.




**Figure S2:** Linkage map based on target capture data from a full-sibling  $F_2$  *Lissotriton vulgaris*  $\times$  *montandoni* family, consisting of two  $F_1$  parents and 203  $F_2$  offspring. The map includes 3693 markers in 12 linkage groups spanning a total length of 113 cM. In *Lissotriton* the homologs of genes associated with the *Triturus* balanced lethal system are found in linkage group 2, where they form distinct blocks, corresponding to genes present only in *Triturus* chromosome 1A or 1B (highlighted in blue and orange respectively). A third block of genes (highlighted in green) show either species specific presence/absence variation within *Triturus* (de Visser et al. 2024a) or extreme heterozygosity specifically in viable embryos (indicating there are two distinct alleles, each associated with only one form of *Triturus* chromosome 1).




**Figure S3:** Homology between the *Triturus* and *Lissotriton* linkage maps and the *Pleurodeles waltl* genome assembly (Brown et al. 2025). *Triturus* chromosome 1 linked markers are highlighted: A-linked in blue, B-linked in orange and species specific in green.




**Figure S4:** Oxford plots showing locations of markers within the *Triturus* linkage map and genome assemblies for *Pleurodeles waltl* (Brown et al. 2025) and *Ambystoma mexicanum* (Nowoshilow et al. 2018; Smith et al. 2019). Synteny is extremely tightly conserved between the two newt taxa, but less so in the more distantly related axolotl.



**Figure S5:** Oxford plots showing marker locations within the *Lissotriton* linkage maps compared to the *Pleurodeles waltl* (Brown et al. 2025) and *Ambystoma mexicanum* (Nowoshilow et al. 2018; Smith et al. 2019) genome assemblies.



**Figure S6:** Simulation of secondary contact between the ancestral and balanced lethal population -shown in black and green respectively, with populations where less than 80% of individuals are of one genotype shown in purple. Despite the apparent fitness disadvantage of the balanced lethal population the effects of underdominance in chromosome 1 allows a persistent hybrid zone to form in an area that has low potential population density. Overall population quickly reaches a plateau after all ponds are colonised at approximately generation 100 (Total population shown in dashed grey, ancestral in black, balanced lethal in green and hybrid in purple).



**Figure S7:** Simulation of evolution of a balanced lethal population from a single mutation at generation 19. By generation 100 all ponds have been colonised, and a hybrid zone has been formed between the ancestral and balanced lethal system population.

| <i>Lissotriton</i> |                   |             | <i>Triturus</i>   |             |
|--------------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------|
| Group              | Number of markers | Length (cM) | Number of markers | Length (cM) |
| 1                  | 410               | 108.1       | 399               | 111.8       |
| 2                  | 343               | 101.8       | 335               | 115.5       |
| 3                  | 257               | 101.6       | 339               | 114.4       |
| 4                  | 259               | 99.0        | 471               | 112.8       |
| 5                  | 239               | 97.5        | 290               | 101.8       |
| 6                  | 465               | 97.4        | 299               | 101.2       |
| 7                  | 167               | 93.5        | 388               | 98.3        |
| 8                  | 302               | 90.9        | 284               | 95.4        |
| 9                  | 263               | 89.8        | 322               | 86.2        |
| 10                 | 305               | 80.4        | 309               | 85.3        |
| 11                 | 409               | 79.3        | 518               | 85.2        |
| 12                 | 274               | 74.2        | 272               | 80.1        |
| Total              | 3693              | 1113.5      | 4226              | 1188.1      |

**Table S1:** Characteristics of the target capture linkage maps produced for *Triturus* and *Lissotriton*

|                     | <i>Triturus</i> Linkage map |                                |
|---------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|
|                     | Common Loci                 | Loci on Homologous Chromosomes |
| <i>Lissotriton</i>  | 2551                        | 2497 (97.8%)                   |
| <i>P. Waltl</i>     | 3400                        | 3359 (98.7%)                   |
| <i>A. mexicanum</i> | 2816                        | 2408 (85.5%)                   |

**Table S2:** The number of loci identified on the *Triturus* linkage map compared to the number of homologs found within the *Lissotriton* linkage map and the *P. waltl* and *A. mexicanum* genome assemblies (out of a total of 4226 loci placed on the *Triturus* map). The proportion of loci mapping to homologous chromosomes is very high in *Lissotriton* and *P. Waltl*, but lower in *A. mexicanum* due to several rearrangements.

| Sample<br>and<br>Genotype | R <sup>2</sup> scores  |          |            |                           |             |             |                           |             |             |
|---------------------------|------------------------|----------|------------|---------------------------|-------------|-------------|---------------------------|-------------|-------------|
|                           | All Markers (n = 7139) |          |            | A-linked Markers (n = 28) |             |             | B-linked Markers (n = 35) |             |             |
|                           | Diploid                | Triploid | Tetraploid | Diploid                   | Triploid    | Tetraploid  | Diploid                   | Triploid    | Tetraploid  |
| BW_0024 AB                | <b>0.94</b>            | 0.36     | 0.01       | <b>0.26</b>               | 0.01        | 0.20        | <b>0.48</b>               | 0.03        | 0.05        |
| BW_0025 AB                | <b>0.91</b>            | 0.32     | 0.00       | <b>0.61</b>               | 0.10        | 0.03        | <b>0.16</b>               | 0.00        | 0.20        |
| BW_0026 AB                | <b>0.93</b>            | 0.36     | 0.01       | <b>0.40</b>               | 0.19        | 0.06        | <b>0.27</b>               | 0.00        | 0.08        |
| BW_0027 AB                | <b>0.94</b>            | 0.30     | 0.00       | <b>0.50</b>               | 0.16        | 0.07        | <b>0.28</b>               | 0.00        | 0.15        |
| BW_0028 AB                | <b>0.88</b>            | 0.29     | 0.00       | <b>0.26</b>               | 0.03        | 0.13        | <b>0.23</b>               | 0.02        | 0.08        |
| BW_0029 AB                | <b>0.85</b>            | 0.31     | 0.00       | 0.00                      | 0.00        | <b>0.09</b> | <b>0.43</b>               | 0.19        | 0.01        |
| BW_0030 AB                | <b>0.78</b>            | 0.20     | 0.01       | <b>0.35</b>               | 0.17        | 0.02        | <b>0.46</b>               | 0.09        | 0.02        |
| BW_0031 AB                | <b>0.83</b>            | 0.28     | 0.00       | <b>0.27</b>               | 0.23        | 0.00        | <b>0.43</b>               | 0.05        | 0.09        |
| BW_0051 AB                | <b>0.92</b>            | 0.34     | 0.00       | <b>0.61</b>               | 0.07        | 0.01        | <b>0.38</b>               | 0.02        | 0.01        |
| BW_0052 AB                | <b>0.91</b>            | 0.32     | 0.00       | 0.09                      | 0.00        | <b>0.10</b> | <b>0.52</b>               | 0.02        | 0.11        |
| BW_0040 AA                | <b>0.45</b>            | 0.13     | 0.01       | 0.04                      | 0.02        | <b>0.07</b> |                           | NA          |             |
| BW_0041 AA                | <b>0.38</b>            | 0.06     | 0.02       | 0.11                      | <b>0.38</b> | 0.08        |                           | NA          |             |
| BW_0042 AA                | <b>0.46</b>            | 0.16     | 0.01       | <b>0.03</b>               | 0.01        | 0.01        |                           | NA          |             |
| BW_0043 AA                | <b>0.72</b>            | 0.20     | 0.01       | <b>0.07</b>               | 0.05        | 0.04        |                           | NA          |             |
| BW_0044 AA                | <b>0.94</b>            | 0.37     | 0.01       | 0.02                      | 0.13        | <b>0.22</b> | 0.03*                     | 0.00*       | 0.01*       |
| BW_0045 AA                | <b>0.94</b>            | 0.31     | 0.01       | <b>0.21</b>               | 0.17        | 0.00        |                           | NA          |             |
| BW_0046 AA                | <b>0.91</b>            | 0.32     | 0.00       | 0.01                      | <b>0.02</b> | 0.01        |                           | NA          |             |
| BW_0047 AA                | <b>0.90</b>            | 0.34     | 0.00       | <b>0.02</b>               | 0.01        | 0.00        |                           | NA          |             |
| BW_0064 AA                | <b>0.90</b>            | 0.35     | 0.00       | 0.04                      | 0.15        | <b>0.22</b> | 0.02*                     | 0.03*       | 0.09*       |
| BW_0065 AA                | <b>0.93</b>            | 0.34     | 0.01       | 0.08                      | 0.03        | <b>0.24</b> |                           | NA          |             |
| BW_0032 BB                | <b>0.53</b>            | 0.22     | 0.01       |                           | NA          |             | <b>0.16</b>               | 0.00        | 0.01        |
| BW_0033 BB                | <b>0.47</b>            | 0.10     | 0.05       |                           | NA          |             | 0.02                      | 0.01        | <b>0.19</b> |
| BW_0034 BB                | <b>0.57</b>            | 0.18     | 0.00       |                           | NA          |             | 0.02                      | 0.02        | <b>0.03</b> |
| BW_0035 BB                | <b>0.42</b>            | 0.11     | 0.03       |                           | NA          |             | <b>0.10</b>               | 0.05        | 0.01        |
| BW_0036 BB                | <b>0.83</b>            | 0.31     | 0.00       |                           | NA          |             | <b>0.38</b>               | 0.01        | 0.00        |
| BW_0037 BB                | <b>0.93</b>            | 0.42     | 0.03       |                           | NA          |             | 0.20                      | 0.19        | <b>0.21</b> |
| BW_0038 BB                | <b>0.91</b>            | 0.33     | 0.00       |                           | NA          |             | 0.02                      | <b>0.25</b> | 0.08        |
| BW_0039 BB                | <b>0.93</b>            | 0.36     | 0.01       |                           | NA          |             | 0.01                      | <b>0.20</b> | 0.11        |
| BW_0056 BB                | <b>0.92</b>            | 0.35     | 0.00       |                           | NA          |             | 0.00                      | 0.02        | <b>0.04</b> |
| BW_0057 BB                | <b>0.95</b>            | 0.37     | 0.02       |                           | NA          |             | 0.01                      | <b>0.07</b> | 0.03        |

**Table S3:** Per sample R<sup>2</sup> values showing the best fit ploidy model (highlighted in green with bold text) for all genes, A-linked genes and B-linked genes in 30 F<sub>1</sub> *T. ivanbureschi* × *macedonicus* samples (de Visser et al. 2024b), split evenly between the three chromosome 1 genotypes. For BB samples no A-linked loci whatsoever were available for analysis. In two AA samples R<sup>2</sup> values (marked with \*) could be calculated for reads mapped to a single B-linked marker, but this is not sufficient data to produce a meaningful result, and these reads likely represent artifacts.

| Sample Genotype | All Markers |            | A-linked Markers |            | B-linked Markers |            |
|-----------------|-------------|------------|------------------|------------|------------------|------------|
|                 | Total Genes | Total SNPs | Total Genes      | Total SNPs | Total Genes      | Total SNPs |
| AB              | 4539.2      | 12484.5    | 15.9             | 166.6      | 19.1             | 168.4      |
| AA              | 4130.4      | 10756.1    | 22.8             | 123.2      | 0.2              | 0.5        |
| BB              | 4055.8      | 10574.1    | 0.0              | 0.0        | 22.4             | 104.0      |

**Table S4:** Average number of markers and SNPs (averaged across the 10 F<sub>1</sub> *T. ivanbureschi* × *macedonicus* samples of each genotype) used to calculate R<sup>2</sup> values for ploidy models for each of three categories of markers.

| Sample Genotype | All Markers |            | Coverage             |                             | B-linked Markers |            |
|-----------------|-------------|------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|------------|
|                 | Raw         | Normalised | A-linked Markers Raw | A-linked Markers Normalised | Raw              | Normalised |
| AB              | 58.6        | 58.6       | 42.6                 | 42.6                        | 32.1             | 32.1       |
| AA              | 74.4        | 58.6       | 107.3                | 84.5                        | 0.1              | 0.1        |
| BB              | 45.2        | 58.6       | 0.1                  | 0.1                         | 53.8             | 69.7       |

**Table S5:** Mean coverage (measured at the best covered 100 bp sequence within each marker) of the 206 F<sub>2</sub> *T. ivanbureschi* × *macedonicus* offspring of the linkage map family, aggregated by marker category and sample genotype. The ratio of mean raw coverage across all markers was used to normalise the mean coverage of the three genotypes. Coverage A-linked markers in samples of genotype AA is approximately double that of these markers in samples of genotype AB, and the same applies to B-linked markers in samples of genotype BB.

| Outcome                     |  | Number of replicates (n = 100) |
|-----------------------------|--|--------------------------------|
| No secondary contact        |  | 5                              |
| Extinct before generation:  |  | 1                              |
| Extinct between generations |  | 33                             |
| Extinct between generations |  | 11                             |
| Extinct between generations |  | 6                              |
| Extinct between generations |  | 4                              |
| Persisted till end          |  | 40                             |

**Table S6:** Outcomes of 100 simulations (for 1000 generations) of secondary contact between the ancestral genotype and balanced lethal system. The balanced lethal system tends to either be driven to extinction within 400 generations (with contact typically occurring before generation 50) or form a persistent hybrid zone.

| Outcome                                             |         | Number of replicates (n = 10000) |
|-----------------------------------------------------|---------|----------------------------------|
| Mutation does not occur                             |         | 723                              |
| Extinct immediately                                 |         | 2106                             |
| Extinct between generations                         | 1 - 10  | 5086                             |
| Extinct between generations                         | 11 - 30 | 2072                             |
| Extinct between generations                         | 31 - 50 | 11                               |
| <b>Persisted till end (at least 90 generations)</b> |         | <b>2</b>                         |

**Table S7:** Outcomes for 10,000 simulations (for 100 generations) of the evolution of a balanced lethal system for a single mutation in an expanding population. The new genotype is almost always eliminated before it can become established. However, in both cases where the balanced lethal system survived for 50 generation a persistent hybrid zone remained until the end of the simulation.





Synthesis

**JAMES FRANCE<sup>1,2</sup>**

<sup>1</sup> Institute of Biology Leiden, Leiden University, Leiden, The Netherlands

<sup>2</sup> Naturalis Biodiversity Center, Leiden, The Netherlands

## Summary of Findings

This thesis focuses on the remarkable balanced lethal system found in crested and marbled newts of the genus *Triturus*. Our goal was to understand the genomic changes that resulted in evolution of this system. We accomplished this by mapping the genome of *Triturus*, identifying important features and structural rearrangements, and determining which, if any, of the previously proposed hypotheses regarding the evolution of the balanced lethal system are compatible with our findings.

At the time this project began the degenerate sex chromosome hypothesis proposed by Grossen et al. (2012) was the most recent and detailed model of the evolution of the *Triturus* balanced lethal system. This hypothesis makes implicit predictions concerning the Y-chromosome of *Triturus* and related genera but testing these predictions would require the development of male-linked genetic markers and the identification of the Y-linked region of the genome. As this had not yet been accomplished in any salamander, we explored methodology in *Lissotriton*, the sister genus of *Triturus*.

In **chapter 2** we identified male specific genetic markers for the common newt *Lissotriton vulgaris* by screening RADseq data generated from adults of known sex for loci associated with a male phenotype. The resulting markers facilitate genetic sex identification in all species within the *L. vulgaris* species complex, although the primers designed do not amplify sex-specifically in *Lissotriton* species outside of this lineage. Additionally, we constructed a high-density linkage map based on *L. vulgaris* hatchlings of unknown sex. The resulting map appears reliable, matching the chromosome number pairs observed in the *L. vulgaris* karyotype and showing an extremely high degree of synteny with the genome of *Pleurodeles Waltl*. Following the methodology of Brelsford et al. (2016) we attempted to locate the Y-linked chromosome purely from the information contained within this map, searching for a zone of reduced recombination, enriched in paternal-specific alleles. However, we could not definitively identify any such region, indicating that this approach cannot be relied upon on its own in species with very large genomes and small homomorphic sex-chromosomes. This was disappointing, because if the linkage map could be used in this manner, there would be no need to sequence many morphologically sexed adults. Nevertheless, by incorporating the association derived Y-linked markers into the linkage map, the Y-linked region was located and found to be homologous to *P. Waltl* chromosome 5.

With the Y-chromosome of *L. vulgaris* identified, we would apply the same methodology to identify the male-linked region in *Triturus*. If the sister genera shared a sex determination system, it would mean that no Y-chromosome turnover had occurred, and this would allow us to rule out the degenerate sex chromosome hypothesis. Therefore, in **chapter 3** we investigated the Balkan crested newt *T.*

*ivanbureschi*. We developed a set of Y-linked markers that amplify male-specifically across the genus and constructed a high density RADseq linkage map that also showed tight synteny with *P. Walzl*. When we localised the *Triturus* Y-linked region within this map we found it was homologous to *P. Walzl* chromosome 2, and so not homologous to the Y-chromosome of *L. vulgaris*. This indicated that at least one sex chromosome turnover event has occurred since the two genera diverged and so the degenerate Y-chromosome hypothesis could not be dismissed. We therefore examine a second implicit prediction of this model, that the ancestral Y-chromosome, presumably retained in *Lissotriton*, should be homologous to the non-recombining region in the *Triturus* balanced lethal system. By incorporating male-linked markers from both genera into target capture maps we showed that this was not the case, unless (at least) two sex chromosome turnover events had occurred. While not conclusive evidence against a Y-chromosome origin, these findings render it less plausible, especially as this scenario already relied on extremely specific conditions.

To test alternative hypotheses, it would be necessary to identify and directly locate genes involved in the balance lethal system. Therefore, in [chapter 4](#) we used target capture sequencing to construct linkage maps for interspecific crosses in both *Triturus* and *Lissotriton*. Surprisingly, genes associated with the balanced lethal system in *Triturus* were shown to be characterized primarily by presence/absence variation, with each gene entirely missing from either chromosome 1A or 1B. We located the homologs of these genes on the linkage map built for *Lissotriton*, as well as the published genome assemblies of both *P. Walzl* and *Ambystoma mexicanum*. This revealed that the balanced lethal system is characterised by a pair of large deletions, resulting in both chromosome 1A and 1B missing a distinct section of the chromosome that remains present on the other. This explained both the lethality of the system and the absence of recombination. A pair of deletions is one predicted consequence of an unequal exchange, a mechanism which Sessions et al. (1988) briefly speculated might explain the balanced lethal system - although at the time there was no direct evidence for this. As an unequal exchange would also result in each of the deletions being coupled with a reciprocal duplication, we also examined allele ratios for SNPs in the chromosome 1 linked genes. In heterozygous individuals, with only one copy each of chromosomes 1A and 1B, SNPs on the genes absent from either form of the chromosome 1 remained biallelic - which is strong evidence that these genes are indeed duplicated on the opposite version of the chromosome 1. As we found clear genomic evidence of both the twin deletions and reciprocal duplications that are the predicted outcome of an unequal exchange, and given the difficulty of explaining these observations via other mechanisms, we concluded that this single macromutation is the origin of the balance lethal system. We considered how such a disadvantageous mutation could spread to fixation and suggested that an answer may be found in the haploinsufficiency that would be expected in the hybrids between the balanced lethal system and the ancestral genotype. We simulated a single unequal exchange occurring within an expanding

population and found that this had the potential to produce a subpopulation with a fixed balanced lethal system that remained reproductively isolated despite being geographically connected, acting as two distinct species.

## Evolution of Balanced Lethal Systems

With the exception of the unequal exchange the other hypotheses proposed for the evolution of balanced lethal systems in *Triturus* and general have posited a gradualistic model where another non-recombining region (either a sex-chromosome or inversion supergene) slowly degenerated (Grossen et al. 2012; Berdan et al. 2022). The large, contiguous deletions we observe in *Triturus* are difficult to explain in these scenarios, leading us to reject them in favour of an instantaneous origin.

Does *Triturus* represents a general case for the evolution of balanced lethal systems? The most detailed information on other naturally occurring balanced lethal systems is for plant of the genus *Oenothera*. This genus, containing around 145 species, is notorious for its complex cytogenetics, which include many other peculiarities aside from balanced lethal factors (Harte 1994). *Oenothera* exhibits complex patterns of hybridisation, connected to its genomic structure, where multiple reciprocal translocations have occurred between the seven chromosome pairs. This results in the chromosomes arranging into a complex ring during meiosis (Cleland 1962). Several *Oenothera* species act as permanent hybrids – where entire haploid genomes are transmitted as complete units (termed Renner complexes) (Rauwolf et al. 2008), and heterozygosity is required for survival. In the case of *Oenothera* the evolution of balanced lethal factors may be best explained as a consequence of maintaining advantageous heterozygosity. This is also likely to be the case with *Isotoma*, which also exhibits translocation heterozygosity, and reduced recombination except at the end of the chromosomes (James et al. 1990). In *Drosophila tropicalis* the cytology performed by Dobzhansky and Pavlovsky (1955) suggests that the balanced lethal system is a consequence of obligatory heterozygosity for an inversion. The authors also speculate that that this species remains competitive with other similar and sympatric *Drosophila* species suggests that the inversion confers a unique advantage which resembles the degenerative supergene scenarios proposed by Berdan et al. (2022). A further potential example of a balanced lethal system are polymorphic inversions in the first chromosome of the flatworm *Schmidtea mediterranea* (Charlesworth 2022), although the original authors characterise this as a proto-sex chromosome (Guo et al. 2022), illustrating the similarities of the two phenomena – and possibly being an example of a ‘ghost’ of a formed sex-chromosome (Grossen et al. 2012).

None of these systems closely resemble our observations in *Triturus*, suggesting that its balanced lethal system remains an anomaly among anomalies. Instead, the

examples in *Oenothera*, *Isotoma* and *D. tropicalis* all point towards a more general mechanism for the evolution of balanced lethal systems, involving the permanent fixation of a pre-existing heterozygote advantage, whether generated by hybridisation, or by a supergene complex, as modelled by Berdan et al. (2022), or by any other means. There is the possibility that this mechanism also played a role in the evolution of *Triturus* chromosome 1. If any genes within the A- or B-linked regions exhibited overdominance, then the unequal exchange could have fixed beneficial combinations of these alleles, resulting in an advantage for adults heterozygous for the two new forms of chromosome 1. Currently, this remains entirely speculative; we have no evidence of any such genes, and our simulations show that such overdominance is not necessary for establishment of the balanced lethal system.

## Underdominance and Genetic Surfing

While *Triturus* chromosome 1 is likely an atypical example of a balanced lethal system, it may represent an extreme, but illustrative, case of a different phenomenon – the protection of otherwise deleterious alleles by heterozygote disadvantage, i.e. underdominance. The mechanism proposed in [chapter 4](#) suggests that the balanced lethal system is protected against invasion by the apparently fitter ancestral genotype because the hybrids between the two would be, on average, less fit than either of parents. In this model, while the A and B versions of chromosome 1 exhibit extreme overdominance with respect to each other, they are underdominant with respect to the ancestral chromosome.

Underdominance has been proposed as a mechanism by which chromosomal rearrangements may become fixed in a population, eventually leading to speciation (White 1969, 1978; King 1993). Rearrangements such as inversions and translocations have a theoretical tendency towards underdominance, as recombination between the derived and ancestral chromosomes within the affected region will result in deletions and/or segregation defects during meiosis, which should result in non-viable gametes (Rieseberg 2001). A well-studied example in which this mechanism has been claimed to act is Australian Morabine grasshoppers, where multiple “chromosomal races” exist in parapatry (White 1974). However, this mechanism has been criticised on theoretical grounds, as it is unlikely for any strongly underdominant mutation to drift to fixation, except in a very small and inbred population. If the mutation did become established in a subpopulation, then underdominance means further spread at the expense of the ancestral genotype is difficult, even if the mutation is adaptive (when homozygous), and especially if the mutation is maladaptive, as in the balanced lethal system (Futuyma & Mayer 1980; Walsh 1982).

The dynamics change significantly if we consider a population with a rapidly expanding range. As modelled in [chapter 4](#), mutations present at the colonising front can rapidly increase in frequency and become common over a large range as the population expands, in a process known as genetic surfing (Edmonds et al. 2004; Klopstein et al. 2006). Importantly, because genetic surfing acts randomly upon alleles with little regard to how adaptive they might be, deleterious alleles can also achieve very high frequency via this mechanism. Indeed, because maladaptive mutations are more common than adaptive, a rapidly expanding population can be expected to suffer from reduced fitness due to ‘expansion load’ (Peischl & Excoffier 2015). The capacity of genetic surfing to promote deleterious mutations is well understood theoretically and several examples have been described (Peischl et al. 2013, 2018; Henn et al. 2016; Rougemont et al. 2023). Interaction between genetic surfing and underdominant mutations has received less attention, but a recent publication models the establishment of clines for loci exhibiting heterozygote disadvantage within an expanding population (Gilbert et al. 2022). The authors demonstrate that not only are clines for underdominant alleles easily established in these populations, but that these clines will tend to attract each other (cline coupling), potentially resulting in parapatric speciation. *Triturus* may be a good example of this mechanism.

## The Balanced Lethal System and Speciation

In [chapter 4](#) we describe the population in which we simulate the balanced lethal system becoming fixed as a new species, distinct from the ancestral species with the unrearranged form of chromosome 1. However, while the mechanism we propose requires significant underdominance between the ancestral and derived versions of this chromosome, which will result in a barrier to gene flow, reproductive isolation between these populations cannot be complete. This is because chromosomes 1A and 1B must pass through at least one ‘hybrid’ generation after the initial mutation. Consequently, the applicability of the term ‘species’ may be considered debatable.

‘Species’ is famously not a term with a single unambiguous definition (Zachos 2016). While a full description of the ‘Species Problem’ is far beyond the scope of this discussion, there exist multiple competing species concepts, many of which are themselves somewhat subjective (Mayr 1996; Hey 2001; Stankowski & Ravinet 2021). The categorisation of the new population in our model as a species can be defended on several grounds. Firstly, while reproductive isolation is incomplete, it is real and significant. Secondly, the new population is distinguished by a distinct phenotype, the premature death of half of its offspring, which is directly linked to the locus driving reproductive isolation. Thirdly, the new population will experience different selective pressures as a direct result of its phenotypic divergence. For example, Sessions et al.

(1988) speculate that the decrease in embryonic survival would favour an increase in clutch volume, explaining the larger body size of *Triturus* compared to its close relatives - as in salamanders clutch volume is correlated with body size (Kaplan & Salthe 1979).

## Stable Genomes Going Rogue?

The target capture ([chapter 4](#)) and RADseq ([chapters 2 and 3](#)) linkage maps constructed for *Triturus* and *Lissotriton* show highly conserved synteny at the genome level. When compared with the genome assembly of *P. waltl*, no chromosomal fusions, fissions or translocations are observed. If these lineages are representative, the genomic structure of newts has changed very little since their last common ancestor lived an estimated 60 mya (Marjanović & Laurin 2014). Across the family Salamandridae the only known deviation from the ancestral chromosome number of  $2n=24$  is in north American newts (the genera *Taricha* and *Notophthalmus*), which form a monophyletic lineage with 11 chromosome pairs, likely due to a single fusion event (Sessions 2008). This genomic stability is also seen in some other salamander families, for instance all the Ambystomatidae possess 14 chromosome pairs, but it is much less dynamic than many vertebrate taxa of comparable age and species richness (Wienberg & Stanyon 1998; Sessions 2008; Degrandi et al. 2020).

Notwithstanding this apparent stability, newts seem to have a talent for surprising and consequential genomic alterations. Only two Y-chromosomes have been molecularly identified in salamanders, those described in [chapters 2 and 3](#). Although these are from sister genera, they are revealed to be completely non-homologous. As *P. waltl* possesses Z- and W-chromosomes, we now know of three distinct sex determination systems within newts, implying at least two turnover events, despite only having investigated two species at the genomic level. Of course, while frequent sex-chromosome turnovers may be considered unusual, they are prosaic compared to the extraordinary rearrangements involved in the evolution of the *Triturus* balanced lethal system. Although it may be entirely coincidental that the same taxa exhibit gigantic genomes, almost perfect conservation of inter-chromosome synteny, frequent sex-chromosome turnover and a naturally occurring balanced lethal system, it would be interesting to know if there is any causal relationship between these phenomena.

## Outlook for Future Research

The research described in this thesis relies heavily upon linkage maps, based on both target capture and RADseq data. Constructing these maps involves placing markers according to the frequency of recombination between them. It is therefore somewhat ironic that the primary areas of interest, sex-chromosomes and the balanced lethal system, are characterised by the absence of recombination. Although the linkage maps can reveal which loci are associated with these regions, they cannot resolve the order of these genes. At present we would be unable to detect, for example, an inversion within the Y-linked region of *Triturus*.

The obvious alternative is whole genome sequencing and assembly, which would be extremely useful for answering many of the questions addressed in this thesis. Unfortunately, the size of the *Triturus* genome made whole genome assembly appear wildly ambitious, at least at the time when this project was initiated. In the intervening period, however, several similarly large genomes have been assembled, including that of *P. Waltil* (Brown et al. 2025). A *Triturus* genome assembly would enable the identification of many more genes within both the balanced lethal system and the Y-linked region, potentially revealing the primary sex-determining gene. A whole genome assembly would also facilitate testing of whether the A- and B-linked regions have been duplicated as complete consecutive blocks, as would be predicted in an unequal exchange. Additionally, with an assembly it would become much easier to disentangle the two paralogs of each gene that should have arisen from the duplication and group these together as the two blocks we expect to observe.

Looking beyond *Triturus* and the balanced lethal system, there are many curious genomic phenomena that were the subject of much study in the twentieth century, but received less attention as researchers ran up against the limitations of the techniques of the time. With technology such as target capture and whole genome sequencing now well established and capable of being easily and effectively applied to a very large variety of non-model organisms, we have the opportunity to revisit many old evolutionary puzzles.

## References

- Berdan EL, Blanckaert A, Butlin RK, Flatt T, Slotte T, Wielstra B (2022)** Mutation accumulation opposes polymorphism: supergenes and the curious case of balanced lethals. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*. 377: Article 20210199. DOI: 10.1098/rstb.2021.0199.
- Brelsford A, Dufresnes C, Perrin N (2016)** High-density sex-specific linkage maps of a European tree frog (*Hyla arborea*) identify the sex chromosome without information on offspring sex. *Heredity*. 116: 177–181. DOI: 10.1038/hdy.2015.83.
- Brown T, Mishra K, Elewa A, Iarovenko S, Subramanian E, Araus AJ, Petzold A, ... Simon A (2025)** Chromosome-scale genome assembly reveals how repeat elements shape non-coding RNA landscapes active during newt limb regeneration. *Cell Genomics*. 100761. DOI: 10.1016/j.xgen.2025.100761.
- Charlesworth D (2022)** Evolution: A can of (flat)worms. *Current Biology*. 32: R918–R921. DOI: 10.1016/j.cub.2022.07.043.
- Cleland RE (1962)** *The Cytogenetics of Oenothera*. Vol. 11 pp. 147–237 Academic Press. DOI: 10.1016/S0065-2660(08)60287-4.
- Degrandi TM, Barcellos SA, Costa AL, Garnerio ADV, Hass I, Gunski RJ (2020)** Introducing the bird chromosome database: an overview of cytogenetic studies in birds. *Cytogenetic and Genome Research*. 160: 199–205. DOI: 10.1159/000507768.
- Dobzhansky T, Pavlovsky O (1955)** An extreme case of heterosis in a Central American population of *Drosophila tropicalis*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 41: 289–295.
- Edmonds CA, Lillie AS, Cavalli-Sforza LL (2004)** Mutations arising in the wave front of an expanding population. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 101: 975–979. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.0308064100.
- Futuyma DJ, Mayer GC (1980)** Non-allopatric speciation in animals. *Systematic Biology*. 29: 254–271. DOI: 10.1093/sysbio/29.3.254.
- Gilbert KJ, Moinet A, Peischl S (2022)** Gene surfing of underdominant alleles promotes formation of hybrid zones. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*. 377: 20210006. DOI: 10.1098/rstb.2021.0006.
- Grossen C, Neuenschwander S, Perrin N (2012)** The balanced lethal system of crested newts: a ghost of sex chromosomes past? *The American Naturalist*. 180: E174–183. DOI: 10.1086/668076.
- Guo L, Bloom JS, Dols-Serrate D, Boocock J, Ben-David E, Schubert OT, Kozuma K, Ho K, Warda E, Chui C, Wei Y, Leighton D, Lemus Vergara T, Riutort M, Sánchez Alvarado A, Kruglyak L (2022)** Island-specific evolution of a sex-primed autosome in a sexual planarian. *Nature*. 606: 329–334. DOI: 10.1038/s41586-022-04757-3.
- Harte C (1994)** *Oenothera*. Vol. 20 Springer DOI: 10.1007/978-3-642-84286-3.
- Henn BM, Botigué LR, Peischl S, Dupanloup I, Lipatov M, Maples BK, Martin AR, Musharoff S, Cann H, Snyder MP, Excoffier L, Kidd JM, Bustamante CD (2016)** Distance from sub-Saharan Africa predicts mutational load in diverse human genomes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 113: E440–E449. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1510805112.
- Hey J (2001)** The mind of the species problem. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*. 16: 326–329. DOI: 10.1016/S0169-5347(01)02145-0.
- James SH, Sampson JF, Playford J (1990)** Complex hybridity in *Isotoma petraea*. VII. Assembly of the genetic system in the O6 Pigeon Rock population. *Heredity*. 64: 289–295. DOI: 10.1038/hdy.1990.36.

**Kaplan RH, Salthe SN (1979)** The Allometry of Reproduction: An Empirical View in Salamanders. *The American Naturalist*. 113: 671–689. DOI: 10.1086/283425.

**King M (1993)** *Species evolution: the role of chromosome change*. Cambridge University Press ISBN: 978-0-521-35308-3.

**Klopfstein S, Currat M, Excoffier L (2006)** The fate of mutations surfing on the wave of a range expansion. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*. 23: 482–490. DOI: 10.1093/molbev/msj057.

**Marjanović D, Laurin M (2014)** An updated paleontological timetree of lissamphibians, with comments on the anatomy of Jurassic crown-group salamanders (Urodela). *Historical Biology*. 26: 535–550. DOI: 10.1080/08912963.2013.797972.

**Mayr E (1996)** What is a species, and what is not? *Philosophy of Science*. 63: 262–277. DOI: 10.1086/289912.

**Peischl S, Excoffier L (2015)** Expansion load: recessive mutations and the role of standing genetic variation. *Molecular Ecology*. 24: 2084–2094. DOI: 10.1111/mec.13154.

**Peischl S, Dupanloup I, Kirkpatrick M, Excoffier L (2013)** On the accumulation of deleterious mutations during range expansions. *Molecular Ecology*. 22: 5972–5982. DOI: 10.1111/mec.12524.

**Peischl S, Dupanloup I, Foucal A, Jomphe M, Bruat V, Grenier J-C, Gouy A, Gilbert KJ, Gbeha E, Bosshard L, Hip-Ki E, Agbessi M, Hodgkinson A, Vézina H, Awadalla P, Excoffier L (2018)** Relaxed selection during a recent human expansion. *Genetics*. 208: 763–777. DOI: 10.1534/genetics.117.300551.

**Rauwolf U, Golczyk H, Meurer J, Herrmann RG, Greiner S (2008)** Molecular marker systems for *oenothera* genetics. *Genetics*. 180: 1289. DOI: 10.1534/genetics.108.091249.

**Rieseberg LH (2001)** Chromosomal rearrangements and speciation. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*. 16: 351–358. DOI: 10.1016/S0169-5347(01)02187-5.

**Rougemont Q, Leroy T, Rondeau EB, Koop B, Bernatchez L (2023)** Allele surfing causes maladaptation in a Pacific salmon of conservation concern. *PLOS Genetics*. 19: e1010918. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1010918.

**Sessions SK (2008)** Evolutionary cytogenetics in salamanders. *Chromosome Research*. 16: 183–201. DOI: 10.1007/s10577-007-1205-3.

**Sessions SK, Macgregor HC, Schmid M, Haaf T (1988)** Cytology, embryology, and evolution of the developmental arrest syndrome in newts of the genus *Triturus* (Caudata: Salamandridae). *Journal of Experimental Zoology*. 248: 321–334. DOI: 10.1002/jez.1402480311.

**Stankowski S, Ravinet M (2021)** Quantifying the use of species concepts. *Current Biology*. 31: R428–R429. DOI: 10.1016/j.cub.2021.03.060.

**Walsh JB (1982)** Rate of accumulation of reproductive isolation by chromosome rearrangements. *The American Naturalist*. 120: 510–532. DOI: 10.1086/284008.

**White MJD (1969)** Chromosomal rearrangements and speciation in animals. *Annual Review of Genetics*. 3: 75–98. DOI: 10.1146/annurev.ge.03.120169.000451.

**White MJD (1978)** *Modes of speciation*. W.H. Freeman ISBN: 978-0-7167-0284-9.

**White MJD (1974)** *Speciation in the Australian Morabine grasshoppers — the cytogenetic evidence*. pp. 57–68 Springer Netherlands. DOI: 10.1007/978-94-010-2248-4\_4.

**Wienberg J, Stanyon R (1998)** Comparative chromosome painting of primate genomes. *ILAR Journal*. 39: 77–91. DOI: 10.1093/ilar.39.2-3.77.

**Zachos FE (2016)** *Introduction to the species problem*. pp. 1–16 Springer International Publishing. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-44966-1\_1.



# Summary

The balanced lethal system found in the crested and marbled newts that make up the genus *Triturus* is an especially puzzling phenomenon. In these newts half of all fertilised eggs undergo developmental arrest and die before hatching. This phenomenon results in a catastrophic loss of reproductive output and is not seen in any other species of newt or salamander. While we understand evolution to be a stochastic process with no ability to recognise or progress towards any ‘objective’, it is still surprising that a lethal mutation has become fixed in an entire genus. This thesis aims to reveal the nature of this mutation and the evolutionary mechanisms that produced it.

In [Chapter 1](#) I give a broad overview of the research background. I introduce the organisms of interest (*Triturus* newts) and place them in a phylogenetic context, including their sister genus *Lissotriton* and more distant relatives inside and outside of the family Salamandridae. I explain the general mechanics of balanced lethal systems and discuss the literature regarding the system found in *Triturus*, which comprises the two distinct, non-recombining forms of chromosome 1. I describe several possible hypotheses which seek to explain the evolution of the balanced lethal system, including evolution from sex chromosomes, and degeneration of a supergene system. I then set out the objectives of the PhD project: to examine these hypotheses by mapping the genomes of *Triturus* and its relatives, and identifying structural changes associated with the evolution of the balanced lethal system.

In [Chapter 2](#) we begin the process of testing the sex chromosome origin hypothesis by identifying the Y-chromosome of *Lissotriton*, which in this hypothesis would be expected to be homologous to the non-recombining region of *Triturus* chromosome 1. This is challenging because newts have extremely large genomes (20-30 Gbp) and small, homomorphic, sex-linked regions. Two RADseq based approaches are attempted, identifying male specific markers in adults of known sex, and identifying a paternal-linked, non-recombining region via linkage mapping. The sex association approach proves to be effective, identifying several Y-linked markers which are validated in multiple *Lissotriton* species. However, despite the construction of a high-density linkage map, a Y-linked non-recombining region is not immediately apparent until the markers discovered in the adult males are highlighted.

In [Chapter 3](#) we apply the techniques used in [Chapter 2](#) to *Triturus* itself. A high-density RADseq linkage map is constructed, and Y-linked markers identified via sex association in adult males. The Y-linked markers are then incorporated into the linkage map to highlight the Y-chromosome. By comparing the *Triturus* and *Lissotriton* linkage

maps, we observe that the two genera have two different Y-chromosomes. This indicates that at least one of the lineages evolved a new Y-chromosome after they split from their last common ancestor. This is important because the sex chromosome origin model requires this kind of Y-chromosome turnover event to occur in this time frame. However, we also observe that the *Lissotriton* Y-chromosome is not homologous to *Triturus* chromosome 1. Consequently, we conclude that, while there is clear evidence of recent Y-chromosome turnover within newts, this is likely incidental to the evolution of the *Triturus* balanced lethal system.

In [Chapter 4](#) we seek to explore other models of the evolution of the balanced lethal system by directly identifying any genomic rearrangements that have occurred since *Triturus* and *Lissotriton* diverged from their last common ancestor. We use target capture sequencing to construct linkage maps for both genera, each including the position of over 3,500 coding genes. We also compare these maps to the genome assemblies of the Iberian Ribbed Newt (*Pleurodeles waltl*) and Axolotl (*Ambystoma mexicanum*). Surprisingly, at the whole genome level, there is very little structural variation between the three newt genera, even though their last common ancestor lived over 60 million years ago. However, in *Triturus* chromosome 1 specifically, there are rearrangements associated with the balanced lethal system. We observe that each of the two forms of chromosome 1 is missing a single large section of DNA, approximately 200 Mbp in length. This explains the lethality of the system: the 50% of embryos unlucky enough to inherit two copies of the same version of chromosome 1 are completely missing a significant portion of their genome. Curiously, it also appears that each deletion is compensated for by duplication of the homologous region of the opposite version of the chromosome, so the 50% of embryos that inherit one copy of each version of chromosome 1 still have two copies of all genes. This pattern of deletion and duplication is the predicted result of an unequal exchange between sister chromosomes, which is a mechanism that has been hypothesised to create a balanced lethal system in a single step, in a single individual. We model this scenario and find that, counterintuitively, the balanced lethal system can become fixed in a sub-population that then becomes resistant to invasion by the ancestral, pre-rearrangement form of chromosome 1. This resistance is due to the fact the duplications in the balanced lethal system fully compensate for the deletions, which is not the case for ‘hybrids’ between the balanced lethal system and ancestral chromosome.

In [Chapter 5](#) I synthesise the findings of the preceding three chapters and provide directions for future research. The identification of two completely different Y-chromosomes in two sister genera is somewhat surprising and suggests a need for further investigation of sex determination systems across newts, which I suggest could be accomplished using the same methodology as I describe in [Chapters 2 and 3](#). A consistent finding across the chapters is a tight conservation of genome scale synteny within newts, and it would be interesting to know whether this is restricted to *Triturus*,

*Lissotriton* and *Pleurodeles*, or is characteristic of the entire family Salamandridae. I also discuss the implications of the reproductive isolation modelled in Chapter 4 and the unexpected link this suggests between the balanced lethal system and speciation. I conclude that the *Triturus* balanced lethal system offers an excellent example of how applying modern genomic tools to previously intractable problems can offer fascinating and surprising new insights

# Samenvatting

Het zogenaamde ‘balanced lethal system’ (gebalanceerd dodelijk systeem), dat voorkomt bij de kam- en marmersalamanders die samen het geslacht *Triturus* vormen, is een bijzonder raadselachtig fenomeen. Bij deze salamanders komt de helft van alle bevruchte eitjes niet uit; in plaats daarvan stopt de ontwikkeling en sterven de embryo’s uiteindelijk. Dit fenomeen leidt tot een catastrofale afname van het reproductieve succes, wat bij geen enkele andere (water-)salamandersoort wordt waargenomen. Ondanks dat we evolutie begrijpen als een stochastisch proces zonder doelgerichtheid, blijft het uiterst verrassend dat zo een overweldigend nadelige mutatie zich heeft kunnen vestigen in een volledig geslacht. Mijn proefschrift richt zich op het blootleggen van de aard van deze mutatie en de evolutionaire krachten die dit systeem mogelijk voortgebracht hebben.

In hoofdstuk 1 beschrijf ik de achtergrond van het onderzoek. Ik introduceer de onderzochte organismen (*Triturus*-salamanders) en plaats ze in een fylogenetische context, inclusief hun zuster-geslacht *Lissotriton* en minder nauw verwante soorten binnen en buiten de familie Salamandridae. Vervolgens leg ik de algemene mechanismen van balanced lethal systems uit en bespreek ik de literatuur over het systeem in *Triturus*, dat bestaat uit twee verschillende, niet recombinerende vormen van chromosoom 1. Ik bespreek verschillende hypothesen die het ontstaan van het balanced lethal system proberen te verklaren, waaronder de evolutie vanuit geslachtschromosomen en de degeneratie van een supergen-systeem. Tot slot presenteer ik de doelstellingen van mijn promotieonderzoek: het testen van deze hypothesen door de genomen van *Triturus* en verwante soorten in kaart te brengen en structurele veranderingen te identificeren die gerelateerd zijn aan de evolutie van het balanced lethal system.

In hoofdstuk 2 beginnen we met het testen van de hypothese dat het systeem zijn oorsprong vindt in geslachtschromosomen. Dit doen we door het Y-chromosoom van *Lissotriton* te identificeren, dat in dit model homoloog zou moeten zijn aan het niet-recombinerende gebied van *Triturus* chromosoom 1. Dit onderzoek is ingewikkeld omdat salamanders zeer grote genomen hebben (20-30 Gbp) en kleine, homomorfe geslachtschromosomen. We proberen twee RADseq-gebaseerde methodes: het identificeren van genetische markers bij volwassen individuen met een bekende sekse die uniek zijn aan de mannelijke salamanders en het in kaart brengen van een paternaal overgeërfd, niet-recombinant gebied via een genkoppelingskaart (linkage map). De geslachtsgebonden benadering blijkt effectief; er worden meerdere Y-gebonden markers geïdentificeerd die in verschillende *Lissotriton* soorten gevalideerd worden.

Echter, ondanks het samenstellen van een genkoppelingskaart met hoge dichtheid, wordt een Y-gebonden, niet recombinerende regio niet onmiddellijk zichtbaar, zonder de merkers die eerder bij volwassen mannetjes gevonden zijn eruit te lichten.

In hoofdstuk 3 passen we de technieken uit hoofdstuk 2 toe op *Triturus* zelf. We stellen een gedetailleerde RADseq genkoppelingskaart samen en identificeren Y-gebonden merkers via geslachts associate in een populatie volwassen mannetjes. Vervolgens worden deze Y-merkers geïntegreerd in de genkoppelingskaart om het Y-chromosoom te lokaliseren. Door de genkoppelingskaarten van *Triturus* en *Lissotriton* met elkaar te vergelijken, ontdekken we dat beide geslachten verschillende Y-chromosomen hebben. Dit betekent dat ten minste één van de twee geslachten een nieuw Y-chromosoom heeft ontwikkeld sinds hun laatste gemeenschappelijke voorouder. Dit is belangrijk, omdat het model van geslachtschromosoom-oorsprong een dergelijke Y-chromosoom vervanging vereist binnen deze tijdsperiode. We constateren echter ook dat het *Lissotriton* Y-chromosoom niet homoloog is aan *Triturus* chromosoom 1. Daarom concluderen we dat, hoewel er duidelijk bewijs is voor recente Y-chromosoomvervanging binnen salamanders, dit waarschijnlijk geen rol heeft gespeeld in de evolutie van het balanced lethal system van *Triturus*.

In hoofdstuk 4 onderzoeken we alternatieve modellen voor de evolutie van het balanced lethal system door direct chromosomale mutaties te identificeren die hebben plaatsgevonden sinds *Triturus* en *Lissotriton* zich van hun laatste gemeenschappelijke voorouder hebben afgesplitst. We gebruiken target-capture-sequencing om genkoppelingskaarten te construeren voor beide geslachten, elk met de locatie van meer dan 3,500 coderende genen. Daarnaast vergelijken we deze kaarten met de genomassemblages van de ribbensalamander (*Pleurodeles waltl*) en de axolotl (*Ambystoma mexicanum*). Opvallend genoeg vinden we op het niveau van het gehele genoom zeer weinig structurele variatie tussen de drie watersalamandergeslachten, ondanks het feit dat hun laatste gemeenschappelijke voorouder meer dan 60 miljoen jaar geleden leefde. Specifiek in *Triturus* chromosoom 1 zijn er echter wel herschikkingen die verband houden met het balanced lethal system. We constateren dat beide varianten van chromosoom 1 een grote deletie van ongeveer 200 Mbp hebben. Dit verklaart de dodelijke aard van het systeem: de 50% van de embryo's die de pech hebben twee kopieën van dezelfde variant te erven, missen een aanzienlijk deel van hun genoom. Verrassend genoeg lijkt elke deletie gecompenseerd te worden door een duplicatie van hetzelfde DNA-segment op de andere variant van chromosoom 1, waardoor de 50% van de embryos die beide varianten erven alsnog over een enkel exemplaar van elk gen beschikken. Dit patroon van deletie en duplicatie is het voorspelde resultaat van een ongelijkmatige uitwisseling tussen zusterchromosomen, een mechanisme dat in theorie een balanced lethal system in één enkele stap zou kunnen creëren. We modelleren dit scenario en ontdekken dat het balanced lethal system zich contra-intuïtief kan vestigen in een subpopulatie en vervolgens bestand kan

worden tegen invasie door de ancestrale chromosoomvariant. Dit komt doordat de duplicaties binnen het systeem de deleties volledig compenseren, terwijl dit niet het geval is voor 'hybride' combinaties van het balanced lethal system en het voorouderlijke chromosoom.

In hoofdstuk 5 vat ik de bevindingen uit de voorgaande hoofdstukken samen en bepaal ik richtingen voor toekomstig onderzoek. De identificatie van twee totaal verschillende Y-chromosomen in twee nauw verwante geslachten is verrassend en suggereert dat het geslachtsbepalingssysteem bij salamanders verder onderzocht moet worden. Ik stel voor om hiervoor dezelfde methodologie te gebruiken als in hoofdstuk 2 en 3. Een terugkerende bevinding is het sterke behoud van genomische syntenie binnen salamanders. Het zou interessant zijn om te onderzoeken of dit beperkt is tot *Triturus*, *Lissotriton* en *Pleurodeles*, of een algemeen kenmerk is van de gehele familie Salamandridae. Daarnaast bespreek ik de implicaties van de in hoofdstuk 4 gemodelleerde reproductieve isolatie en de hierdoor gesuggereerde onverwachte verbinding tussen het balanced lethal system en soortvorming. Ik concludeer dat het balanced lethal system in *Triturus* een uitstekend voorbeeld is van hoe het toepassen van moderne genomische technieken op voorheen onoplosbare evolutionaire vraagstukken nieuwe en verrassende inzichten kan bieden.

# Curriculum Vitae

James France was born on 7<sup>th</sup> April 1990 in Bolton, Lancashire, United Kingdom. After completing his secondary education at Queen Elizabeth's Grammar School, Blackburn, he pursued an integrated master's degree at the University of Durham (2008–2013), graduating with joint honours in chemistry and biology. During his undergraduate studies he completed a research internship at the University of Washington, Seattle, USA, characterizing lateral line regeneration in zebrafish. For his master's thesis, *Characterisation of Nucleotide Binding Dynamics in the IRE1 Kinase Domain*, James investigated the activation mechanism of the enzyme IRE1, which regulates the response to misfolded proteins.

After earning his degree James became a research assistant at the University of Central Lancashire's School of Medicine and Dentistry. His research focussed on developing cell culture models of Alzheimer's disease to investigate the biochemical mechanisms that make oral bacteraemia a risk factor for dementia. Additionally, James taught as part of master's programmes, including research methods, literature appraisal and biochemistry.

James grew fascinated with the processes that might drive the evolution of apparently harmful traits. In 2019 he was given the opportunity to begin his PhD studies in the laboratory of Dr. Ben Wielstra at the Institute of Biology Leiden, Leiden University and Naturalis Biodiversity Center. These studies focus on the genomics and evolution of the mysterious balanced lethal system found in newts of the genus *Triturus*. His research has involved optimising a target capture sequencing protocol to obtain large scale genomic data from hundreds of samples of *Triturus* and other newt species. He analysed these data to construct high-density genetic maps which revealed the rearrangements that created the balanced lethal system, as well as allowing for the identification of a recent sex chromosome turnover within European newts. He then developed simulations to model the spread and paradoxical survival of the balanced lethal system. Alongside research, James was also involved in lecturing on sequencing technology and genomic architecture as part of bachelor's and master's programs, developing teaching lab protocols that explore genetic linkage, and supervising a total of twenty bachelor's and master's internship students.

After completing his PhD thesis, James hopes to continue his research into evolutionary mysteries with the genome of another European amphibian, the Edible Frog (*Pelophylax kl. Esculentus*), and its bizarre mode of reproduction, known as hybridogenesis.

# List of Publications

## Published

J. Mars, S. Koster, W. Babik, **J. France**, K. Kalaentzis, C. Kazilas, I. Martínez-Solano, M. C. de Visser, B. Wielstra, Phylogenomics yields new systematic and taxonomical insights for *Lissotriton* newts, a genus with a strong legacy of introgressive hybridization. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **204**, 108282 (2025).

K. Kalaentzis, S. Koster, J. W. Arntzen, S. Bogaerts, **J. France**, M. Franzen, C. Kazilas, S. N. Litvinchuk, K. Olgun, M. de Visser, B. Wielstra, Phylogenomics resolves the puzzling phylogeny of banded newts (genus *Ommatotriton*). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **203**, 108237 (2025).

C. Kazilas, C. Dufresnes, **J. France**, K. Kalaentzis, I. Martínez-Solano, M. C. de Visser, J. W. Arntzen, B. Wielstra, Spatial genetic structure in European marbled newts revealed with target enrichment by sequence capture. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **194** (2024).

W. Meilink, F. D. van Beek, I. van de Breevaart, S. de Geus, C. Hellendoorn, F. Jahangier, A. Lim, M. Sluimers, A. M. Wali, J. J. C. W. van Delft, J. E. Herder, **J. France**, B. Wielstra, Difficulties in species identification in water frogs (genus *Pelophylax*) using morphological and molecular markers in The Netherlands. *Alytes* **41**, 49–55 (2024).

K. Kalaentzis, J. W. Arntzen, A. Avcı, V. van den Berg, W. Beukema, **J. France**, K. Olgun, I. van Riemsdijk, N. Üzümlü, M. C. de Visser, B. Wielstra, Hybrid zone analysis confirms cryptic species of banded newt and does not support competitive displacement since secondary contact. *Ecology and Evolution* **13** (2023).

J. Robbemont, S. van Veldhuijzen, S. J. R. Allain, J. Ambu, R. Boyle, D. Canestrelli, E. O. Cathasaigh, C. Cathrine, A. Chiocchio, D. Cogalniceanu, M. Cvijanović, C. Dufresnes, C. Ennis, R. Gandola, D. Jablonski, A. Julian, D. Kranzelic, S. Lukanov, I. Martínez-Solano, R. Montgomery, B. Naumov, M. O'Neill, A. North, M. Pabijan, R. Pushendorf, D. Salvi, B. Schmidt, K. Sotiropoulos, F. Stanescu, D. Stankovic, S. Stapleton, E. Sunje, M. Szabolcs, E. Vacheva, D. Willis, A. Zimic, **J. France**, W. R. M. Meilink, T. Stark, R. P. J. H. Struijk, A. Theodoropoulos, M. C. de Visser, B. Wielstra, An extended mtDNA phylogeography for the alpine newt illuminates the provenance of introduced populations. *Amphibia Reptilia* **44**, 347–361 (2023).

J. D. Brouwer, B. Helder, **J. France**, M. C. de Visser, R. P. J. H. Struijk, B. Wielstra, An isolated crested newt population in Dutch coastal dunes: distribution relict or introduction? *Amphibia Reptilia* **44**, 19–26 (2022).

S. Koster, N. Prins, C. Dufresnes, **J. France**, M. C. de Visser, R. P. J. H. Struijk, B. Wielstra, The conservation paradox of an introduced population of a threatened species: spadefoot toads in the coastal dunes of the Netherlands. *Amphibia Reptilia* **44**, 11–18 (2022).

M. Kuijt, L. Oskam, I. D. Boer, C. Dufresnes, **J. France**, M. J. Gilbert, M. C. de Visser, R. P. J. H. Struijk, B. Wielstra, The introduction of three cryptic tree frog species in the Dutch coastal dunes challenges conservation paradigms. *Amphibia Reptilia* **44**, 1–10 (2022).

C. Vliegenthart, M. V. D. Vrede, I. D. Boer, M. J. Gilbert, P. Lemmers, **J. France**, M. C. de Visser, R. P. J. H. Struijk, B. Wielstra, The limits of mtDNA analysis for determining the provenance of invasive species: a midwife toad example. *Amphibia Reptilia* **44**, 27–33 (2022).

C. J. Carter, **J. France**, S. Crean, S. K. Singhrao, The *Porphyromonas gingivalis*/Host Interactome Shows Enrichment in GWASdb Genes Related to Alzheimer's Disease, Diabetes and Cardiovascular Diseases. *Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience* **9** (2017).

## Under Review or in Revision

**J. France**, W. Babik, M. Cvijanović, K. Dudek, A. Ivanović, T. Vučić, B. Wielstra, Identification of Y-chromosome turnover in newts fails to support a sex chromosome origin for the *Triturus* balanced lethal system.

**J. France**, W. Babik, K. Dudek, M. Marszałek, B. Wielstra, Linkage mapping vs Association: A comparison of two RADseq-based approaches to identify markers for homomorphic sex chromosomes in large genomes.

**J. France**, M. C. de Visser, J. W. Arntzen, W. Babik, M. Cvijanović, A. Ivanović, J. Smith, T. Vučić, B. Wielstra, The balanced lethal system in *Triturus* newts originated in an instantaneous speciation event.

M. C. de Visser, **J. France**, E. McCartney-Melstad, G. M. Bucciarelli, A. Theodoropoulos, H. B. Shaffer, B. Wielstra, NewtCap: an efficient target capture approach to boost genomic studies in Salamandridae (True Salamanders and Newts).

M. de Visser, **J. France**, O. Paulouskaya, T. Brown, M. Fahrbach, C. van der Ploeg, B. Wielstra, Conserved gene content and unique phylogenetic history characterize the 'bloopergene' underlying *Triturus*' balanced lethal system.

S. Koster, R. Polanen, A. Avcı, S. Bogaerts, E. Bozkurt, F. Goudarzi, M.-R. Hemami, K. Olgun, F. Pasmans, S. Steinfartz, N. Üzümlü, M. C. de Visser, **J. France**, A. Theodoropoulos, B. Wielstra, Discordance between Phylogenomic Methods Due to Introgression in Near Eastern Mountain Newts (*Neurergus*, Salamandridae).

# Acknowledgements

While pursuing a PhD does not come without its challenges, it has been an enjoyable and at times extremely satisfying experience. This is due in no small part to the brilliant people I have been lucky enough to work alongside during my time in Leiden. I must express my most sincere gratitude to all those without whose knowledge, experience and effort the completion of this thesis would not have been possible.


I am particularly indebted to my supervisor Dr. Ben Wielstra. Thank you for laying the foundation of a research topic I have found completely fascinating, and for offering immensely helpful guidance and support throughout all phases of this project. I am exceptionally fortunate to have been able to work in such an open and friendly environment. I am also very grateful to my promotor Prof. Micheal Richardson for his essential and insightful contributions to this project. I must also mention and thank the other members of the Wielstra lab, none more so than my fellow PhD student Manon de Visser, with who I have worked especially closely over the last five years. From fieldwork to DNA extractions to bioinformatics, I could have not wished for a more helpful and enthusiastic colleague. To my other lab mates, Notis, Tijana, Olga and Willem, I have hugely appreciated your help, friendship and support. During my time in this lab, I have been proud to supervise a group of bachelor's and master's students, Konstantinos, Christos, Julia, Nienke, Stephanie and Lisanne to name just a few, whose infectious enthusiasm has been a joy to behold.

The work presented in this thesis is almost entirely dependent on several large sample sets. I am thus extremely grateful to Prof. Ana Ivanović and all her colleagues at the University of Belgrade for their years of effort in establishing and maintaining the *Triturus* colony which forms the basis of so much of this research. I am equally thankful to Prof. Wiesław Babik and his group at Jagiellonian University in Krakow for providing the huge number of *Lissotriton* samples which were essential to this project, and also generating the vast amount of RADseq data necessary for the identification of sex-chromosomes.

The major portion of the lab work I performed during my PhD project was undertaken at the facilities of Naturalis. None of this could have been accomplished without the help of the fantastically talented technician team, including Marina, Elza, Bertie Joan, Marcel, Roland and many others. I would also like to thank the team at the Sylvius laboratories, in particular Kees and Emilie, for their invaluable assistance. Outside of the labs I have thoroughly enjoyed being a part of the Understanding Evolution group at Naturalis and would like to acknowledge my appreciation for all the useful and fascinating discussions I've had with Vincent, Jeremy, Luis, Jorinde, Brigida, Panji and everyone else.

Of course, I cannot go without mentioning so many of my friends and colleagues within the PhD and postdoc community at Naturalis. To Ryan, Andrés, Lizzie, Kevin, Mike, Deyi, Esther, Werner, Roderick, Niels, Cas, Jada, Sjoerd, Vicky, Giovanni, Elsa, Panos, Merijn, Dewi, Kasper, Hector, Isaak, Nemi, Anabelle and everyone else who has played their part in making my time working on this research as enjoyable as it has been interesting, I am truly grateful.

Finally, I must express my immense love and gratitude to my fiancée Franziska, whose unbounded kindness and support has been so vital for me over the last seven years. Without Fran, I doubt I would have even considered taking up this project, and I certainly wouldn't have completed it. To my love, your endless belief in me and patience during even the most frustrating moments have carried me along this journey in a way that I will never be fully able to put into words. Thank you.



Universiteit  
Leiden  
The Netherlands

