

Biased information-seeking and information-integration in social anxiety Thyagaraj, Y; Topel, S.; Charpentier, CJ.

Citation

Thyagaraj, Y., Topel, S., & Charpentier, C. J. (2025). Biased information-seeking and information-integration in social anxiety. *Current Opinion In Psychology*, 62. doi:10.1016/j.copsyc.2025.102002

Version: Publisher's Version

License: Creative Commons CC BY-NC-ND 4.0 license

Downloaded from: https://hdl.handle.net/1887/4209659

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).



ScienceDirect



Review

Biased information-seeking and informationintegration in social anxiety

Yukta Thyagaraj^{1,2,3}, Selin Topel^{4,5} and Caroline J. Charpentier^{2,3,6}

Social anxiety is associated with an intense fear of social evaluation and rejection, often leading to avoidance behaviors and distress. In this review, we summarize the current understanding of how various cognitive biases may lead to the development and maintenance of social anxiety. While early models of social anxiety have focused on negative biases in perception, attention, memory and emotion regulation, more recent literature has started to characterize biases in information-seeking and information-integration, especially in the context of self-referential information and social feedback. We also highlight directions for future work, including characterizing how different biases in social anxiety relate to each other, and how they may help dissociate social anxiety symptoms from co-occurring conditions such as generalized anxiety and depression.

Addresses

- ¹ Undergraduate Program, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, Karnataka, India
- 2 Department of Psychology, University of Maryland, College Park, MD, USA
- ³ Program in Neuroscience and Cognitive Science, University of Maryland, College Park, MD, USA
- ⁴ Institute of Psychology, Leiden University, Leiden, the Netherlands
- 5 Leiden Institute for Brain and Cognition, Leiden University, Leiden, the Netherlands
- ⁶ Brain and Behavior Institute, University of Maryland, College Park, MD, USA

Corresponding author: Charpentier, Caroline J. (ccharpen@umd.edu)

Keywords

Social anxiety, Cognitive biases, Information, Learning, Feedbackseeking, Fear of evaluation.

Background

Social anxiety (SA) is characterized by an intense fear of being judged in social situations [1]. While it may

Current Opinion in Psychology 2025, 62:102002

This review comes from a themed issue on Nudges (2025)

Edited by Brian Bauer and N.B. Schmidt

For complete overview about the section, refer Nudges (2025)

Available online 1 February 2025

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2025.102002

2352-250X/© 2025 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

involve fear of both positive and negative evaluation, SA has traditionally been associated with a fear of negative evaluation (FNE) and rejection. When this fear severely impairs daily functioning, it can lead to a clinical diagnosis of Social Anxiety Disorder (SAD) or Social Phobia. DSM-5 criteria for the disorder include FNE persisting for over six months, provoking anxiety and/or panic attacks, as well as avoidance of the feared social situations, and such avoidance being recognized as unreasonable [2]. Lifetime prevalence of SAD was around 12% when it was last established almost 20 years ago [3] but is estimated to have risen dramatically since then, especially in young adults [4], due to factors such as changes in socio-cultural norms, technology/social media, as well as the COVID-19 pandemic [5]. Thus, understanding the cognitive mechanisms underlying social anxiety has become even more crucial. This review provides an overview of the cognitive biases associated with symptoms of social anxiety, thus contributing to the emergence and persistence of the disorder. We focus on in information-seeking and informationintegration, recently emphasized in the domain of computational psychiatry in hopes of a better transdiagnostic characterization of symptoms.

Biases in perception, attention, emotion and memory

Early cognitive models of social anxiety [6–9] have focused on biases in perception (especially self-perception), attention and emotion regulation (for a detailed review, see Ref. [1]).

Perception biases usually stem from past negative social encounters and distorted (negative) self-perception [10]. This leads socially anxious individuals to believe that others view them unfavorably and would disapprove of their behavior, while simultaneously thinking that others hold very high, often unattainable standards for their social performance. This mismatch between self-perception and perceived social expectations is the source of anxiety. In terms of attentional biases, social phobics also divert their attention away from what is happening in a social situation and toward themselves, worrying about what impressions they might be conveying to others [11]. Here, the negative self-perception makes matters worse, distorting their self-

image to reflect the negative, feared social outcomes rather than the objective reality [12].

Biases in attention paid towards others are also observed in social anxiety, with heightened focus on negative (and away from positive) social information [13,14], thus reinforcing the belief of social disapproval. Interpretation bias - the tendency to interpret ambiguous or neutral social experiences as negative/threatening - is another characteristic feature of social anxiety [15,16]. Increased interpretation bias in SA was found to carry a large effect size in a recent meta-analysis [17], while the association with attentional bias towards threatening faces appeared less definitive [16]. Interestingly, socially anxious individuals tend to interpret even positive social events negatively and find it hard to believe and accept others' positive reactions [18,19]. Similarly, they also exhibit dissociable affective forecasting biases for themselves and for others, overestimating self and others' negative affect, and underestimating others' positive affect [20].

Besides FNE, individuals with SA paradoxically experience a fear of positive evaluation (FPE). It has been proposed that FPE might stem from delayed FNE [21], as positive evaluation would raise others' expectations of them in subsequent interactions, thus increasing the chances of negative evaluation in the future, and perpetuating a mismatch between expectations and (perceived) social outcome [22]. Despite this potential overlap, recent work suggests that FPE and FNE are distinct constructs and one does not necessarily arise from the other [23]; instead, both work in tandem to maintain one's position in the social hierarchy [24]. While FNE works to prevent downward mobility, FPE intends to avoid an upward shift and potential social competition or conflict arising from it [23,25,26]. Biases in emotion regulation also emerge in social anxiety, and consistent with FPE, individuals with SA exhibit a fear of positive emotions. While most people try to sustain positive emotional states, people with SA downregulate these states instead, exhibiting difficulty decoding and savoring positive emotions [27], leading to low selfpositive affect [28,29].

A more recent review integrates the role of memory with other cognitive biases in social anxiety [30]. Specifically, threat-related attentional biases interact with working memory, which becomes more likely to capture and retain threat-related information, further biasing attentional control in a loop-like manner. Additionally, autobiographical, long-term memory biases in social anxiety were only observed in recollections of being inadequate in social situations. Related to social feedback specifically, SA individuals exhibit stronger response bias and recall bias for negative versus positive social feedback, but no bias in their recognition memory [31].

In summary this literature points towards social anxiety being associated with a combination of cognitive biases related to self-perception in social situations together with threat-related and/or negative biases in attention. interpretation and memory related to social information.

Biases in information-seeking

The cognitive and computational processes by which people actively decide to seek or avoid information have only recently started to be characterized [32-36], specifically via three main motives that drive informationseeking: instrumental, hedonic, and cognitive. The instrumental value of information refers to its utility in helping people maximize extrinsic rewards and minimize losses [32,33,37]. The hedonic value of a piece of information is determined by the affective outcome associated with it: people prefer information that generates a positive emotional response and avoid information that generates negative affect, even if that information has no instrumental value (i.e. cannot be used to improve extrinsic outcomes) [37,38]. Finally, the cognitive value reflects how information contributes to one's own "mental model" of the world, including satisfying curiosity and reducing uncertainty about a topic or a future event.

People differ in how much weight they assign to the three above-mentioned motives while seeking information, and these weights can also be indicative of underlying psychopathology [32,39]. For example, individuals who report higher general psychopathology symptoms exhibit a negative effect of cognitive utility on information-seeking, suggesting that information that reduces uncertainty is less valuable to them [39]. This might constitute a bias given that cognitive utility typically drives information-seeking in the other direction. Given this finding, the question of whether socially anxious individuals exhibit biases in how they seek information is intriguing. This is particularly relevant to the symptoms of fear of social evaluation (information about oneself coming from another person) and avoidance of social situations (information about social situations may be avoided more). Recent research focusing on symptoms of generalized or trait anxiety, which are known to exhibit high comorbidity with social anxiety [40,41], suggest that anxious individuals seek more information specifically in response to large changes in the environment, rather than seeking more information in general, or more positively- or negatively-valenced information [42]. This may reflect heightened motivation to reduce uncertainty, consistent with increased intolerance to uncertainty in anxiety [43].

While these studies did not establish specific information-seeking biases in social anxiety, it may be that seeking 'social' information more specifically - that is, information from or about others - is more biased in

SA than general drivers of information-seeking. For instance, seeking information about others before making judgments of social rank was found to be reduced in SA [44]. Given the associated fear of evaluation, most empirical work so far has focused on feedback-seeking, the process of seeking information about oneself from others. Seeking social feedback is a natural part of social interactions and communication, helps people learn about themselves, and can involve seeking validation, clarification, or reassurance. Fear of evaluation as a core symptom may lead socially anxious individuals to avoid feedback to a greater extent, while on the other hand may result in increased feedbackseeking to achieve various social goals or actions (e.g. feeling understood, engaging in a social situation, etc). Evidence does indeed suggest that socially anxious individuals exhibit distorted patterns of social feedbackseeking. Seeking reassuring, safety-related information, can become excessive and maladaptive in SA, leading to excessive reassurance-seeking (ERS) [45,46]. In fact, ERS constitutes one of the safety behaviors in SAD, which can help alleviate anxiety in the short term, but can also aggravate the underlying and unresolved fears in the long term [8,47]. Paradoxically, while ERS is intended at seeking positive feedback, individuals with SAD also seek negative feedback [46]. This might serve as a self-verification process [48,49], whereby feedback is sought to reinforce negative self-perceptions. Despite this apparent heightened tendency to excessively seek social feedback, socially anxious individuals have also been found to seek less social feedback, for example by exerting less effort to seek social media likes from highstatus peers [50].

These findings suggest that social anxiety may be specifically associated with how individuals perceive and weigh the hedonic value of social feedback, such that socially anxious individuals may be uniquely characterized by increased preference for both positive and negative feedback from close others, but reduced preference for feedback from strangers, compared to nonsocially anxious individuals. Whether such opposite preferences are observed in different situations within the same individual or in different individuals with different symptoms, or both, and whether they directly map onto FNE and FPE symptoms, remains unknown.

Beyond feedback-seeking, other factors may drive information seeking or information avoidance in social anxiety, though we note that empirical evidence for those is currently lacking. For example, socially anxious individuals could exhibit differences not only in feedback-seeking, which is inherently self-referential and evaluative, but also in seeking other types of social information such as advice, gossip, or information about others' preferences and attitudes (e.g. see Ref. [44]). The source of social information may also matter, with socially anxious individuals potentially exhibiting heightened preferences to seek information from close/ safe sources, rather than from strangers. Avoidance of social situations may limit active information gathering and reduce opportunities to encounter more accurate representations of reality, further reinforcing avoidance behaviors [51]. Research into the cognitive mechanisms of information-seeking is still in its infancy, so future investigations are needed to establish how they might vary with social anxiety.

Biases in information integration

Some earlier theories of anxiety (see Ref. [1] for a review) report that post-event processing (PEP) - a thought process involving reviewing one's own actions and the reactions of others in anticipation or following a social situation - is distorted in SA. PEP is often negatively self-focused and ruminative, maintains negative self-impressions, and leads to biased retrieval of negative memories, thus perpetuating the anxiety [52]. This suggests that learning in general, or updating beliefs about the self or the social world in response to information, may also be biased in social anxiety.

Recent literature has delved into this question. Besides biases in social feedback-seeking mentioned above, individuals wih SA also exhibit biases in how they integrate social feedback to update their self-beliefs. For example, following a public speaking task, socially anxious individuals updated their self-evaluation and self-esteem to a greater extent after negative compared to positive social feedback [53] or failed to show a positivity bias during affective updating, contrary to controls [54]. In a more iterative social evaluation task combined with a computational model of reinforcement learning, such tendency to learn more easily from negative social feedback increased with self-reported FNE levels and with reduced positive beliefs about the self [55,56]. This negative information integration bias appears supported by biased neural responses to social feedback, including more negative EEG signals to the anticipation of feedback [57], and stronger brain responses to the delivery of negative versus positive feedback [53,58]. Interestingly, positive social feedback has been associated with stronger error responses [59], consistent with it being more unexpected than negative feedback. It's possible that socially anxious individuals give more weight to negative outcomes and learn more from them than positive ones, as negative feedback may feel more salient and threat-related attention and memory biases are at play. It could also be partially because the value of positive feedback might be reduced by their desire to avoid conflict or competition, or by fear of not being able to meet others' expectations. These ideas align with explanations for FPE [22,26].

Furthermore, increased learning in negative contexts may be specific to or exacerbated in social situations: in a modified trust game involving only negative and neutral outcomes, FNE was associated with elevated learning rates [60]. Additionally, individuals with higher FNE showed more behavioral reactivity in the social version of the task, where outcomes come from other people, relative to a non-social control task [60]. This negativity bias in reinforcement learning was not found when the outcome was about the valence of a facial expression, that is learning rates did not vary with social anxiety when learning the probability that a neutral face turns into a happy or angry face [61]. These findings suggest that increased learning of negative information in SA may only occur when the information is self-relevant, such as feedback about oneself from others, or changes in one's own outcomes because of another person's actions.

Besides the negative information integration bias described above, other learning differences are observed in social anxiety. First, socially anxious individuals exhibit difficulties adapting their learning rate to the volatility in the environment, specifically in threatening contexts [62]. This mirrors a similar deficit observed in trait anxiety [63], raising the question of whether the difficulty to adjust learning in volatile environments is related to trait anxiety, social anxiety, or to a more general anxiety dimension that would encompass both. A recent study aiming to disentangle these hypotheses found inconclusive results, such that volatility did not overall influence learning nor were volatility changes in learning rates related to anxiety or FNE specifically [60]. In a competitive social learning context, those with high SA exhibited increased learning from situations in which taking a different action would have led to a better outcome [64]. So, instead of updating their beliefs more strongly after losing (negative outcome), biased learning occurred specifically in response to the counterfactuals, focusing on what would have been better even if they won. This focus may contribute to cycles of negative self-perception and rumination even in the context of positive events. In the context of competing for social influence, individuals with SA learn to blend in by matching what their rivals do, rather than by trying to gain and assert influence [65], shedding light on how decisions to share information - here, advising others - are altered in social anxiety. Finally, biased information integration was also found to occur in the context of avoiding social situations. Avoidance of social situations results in a lack of information available to be integrated and to appropriately update beliefs, and thus can reinforce and perpetuate avoidance behaviors [51]. Interestingly, in a recent study [66] where participants were presented with counterfactual positive outcomes from avoided social situations (i.e. cases where approaching a person would have been beneficial), those with high SA exhibited difficulty updating their beliefs in response to the positive information, suggesting that even when the information is available,

it may not be enough to break or mitigate the circle of perpetuating social avoidance.

Conclusions and future directions

In summary, cognitive biases involving increased processing of negative versus positive information in social anxiety apply to a wide range of processes, including attention, working memory, self-perception, interpretation, information-seeking and reinforcement learning. While these biases appear to underlie the main symptom of social anxiety - the fear of negative evaluation - biases in how positive information is valued and processed may carry a lot of relevance in further characterizing individual differences in social anxiety symptoms, particularly when it comes to the fear of positive evaluation.

Despite these recent advances, our suggestions for future directions involve:

- 1) Further delineating the specificity of these biases. Recent studies employing a transdiagnostic approach suggest some specificity and dissociation from other psychopathology dimensions [67] or from depression specifically [56]; however it remains unclear whether most of the biases described in this review are specific to social anxiety symptoms, or could be driven by other correlated dimensions, such as general or trait anxiety.
- 2) Characterizing how these biases relate to one another. It is possible that some of these biases arise from the same versus distinct psychological constructs, but this remains hard to establish given that most studies tested a single construct or bias within their experiment. Future studies might leverage computational modeling to shed light on unique, interpretable mechanisms, together with big data and machine learning approaches to better parse unique versus shared variance between these biases, as well as their predictive power of social anxiety symptoms and/or subtype groups.
- 3) Establishing the causal and potentially bidirectional relationship between information-seeking and integration biases and social anxiety symptoms.
- 4) Understanding whether the association between cognitive biases and social anxiety differs between individual levels of social anxiety in the general population and clinical levels of social anxiety in social anxiety disorder.
- 5) Examining whether social anxiety, especially in the general population, can be adaptive, such as hypervigilance and more efficient perceptual learning [67]. Cognitive biases are generally interpreted as detrimental or maladaptive, especially in the context of psychiatric symptoms along which they may be exacerbated. However, biases (e.g. knowledge avoidance, resource rationality, availability bias,

optimism bias, confirmation bias, etc) can be adaptive, not only in one's daily life but also in surviving evolutionary pressures [68]. Further characterizing these more adaptive processes could shed light on coping mechanisms and interventions targets if or when they become maladaptive.

Credit author statement

Yukta Thyagarai: Conceptualization, Writing - Original Draft, Writing - Review & Editing.

Selin Topel: Writing - Review & Editing.

Caroline Charpentier: Conceptualization, Writing -Original Draft, Writing - Review & Editing, Supervision, Funding acquisition.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgements

Caroline Charpentier is funded by a R00 award from the National Institute of Mental Health (R00MH123669).

Data availability

No data was used for the research described in the article.

References

References of particular interest have been highlighted as:

- of special interest
- ** of outstanding interest
- Morrison AS, Heimberg RG: Social anxiety and social anxiety disorder. Annu Rev Clin Psychol 2013, 9:249-274, https:// doi.org/10.1146/annurev-clinpsy-050212-185631.
- Dsm IV: diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders. Wash DC Am Psychiatry Assoc 1994, 968:33-36.
- National comorbidity survey. https://www.hcp.med.harvard. edu/ncs/index.php. Accessed 8 May 2024.
- Jefferies P, Ungar M: Social anxiety in young people: a prevalence study in seven countries. PLoS One 2020, 15, e0239133.
- Kindred R. Bates GW: The influence of the COVID-19 pandemic on social anxiety: a systematic review. Int J Environ Res Publ Health 2023, **20**:2362.
- Beck A, Emery G: Anxiety disorders and phobias: a cognitive perspective. Basic Books; 1985.
- Stopa L, Clark DM: Cognitive processes in social phobia. Behav Res Ther 1993, 31:255-267.
- Wells A: A cognitive model of social phobia. In Social Phobia: diagnosis, assessment and treatment, 1995. https://research. manchester.ac.uk/en/publications/a-cognitive-model-of-socialphobia. Accessed 9 May 2024; 1995.
- Rapee RM, Heimberg RG: A cognitive-behavioral model of anxiety in social phobia. Behav Res Ther 1997, 35:741-756.
- Rapee RM, Lim L: Discrepancy between self-and observer ratings of performance in social phobics. J Abnorm Psychol 1992, **101**:728.

- 11. Mansell W, Clark DM: How do I appear to others? Social anxiety and processing of the observable self. Behav Res Ther 1999. 37:419-434
- 12. Hackmann A, Surawy C, Clark DM: Seeing yourself through others'eyes: a study of spontaneously occurring images in social phobia. Behav Cognit Psychother 1998, 26:3-12
- 13. Bar-Haim Y, Lamy D, Pergamin L, Bakermans-Kranenburg MJ, Van lizendoorn MH: Threat-related attentional bias in anxious and nonanxious individuals: a meta-analytic study. Psychol Bull 2007. 133:1.
- 14. Taylor CT, Bomyea J, Amir N: Attentional bias away from positive social information mediates the link between social anxiety and anxiety vulnerability to a social stressor. J Anxiety Disord 2010, 24:403-408.
- 15. Amir N, Beard C, Bower E: Interpretation bias and social anxiety. Cognit Ther Res 2005, 29:433-443.
- Claus N, Takano K, Wittekind CE: The interplay between cognitive biases, attention control, and social anxiety symptoms: a network and cluster approach. Edited by Steinborn MB PLoS One 2023, 18, e0282259, https://doi.org/10.1371/ iournal.pone.0282259.
- 17. Chen J, Short M, Kemps E: Interpretation bias in social anxiety: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Affect Disord 2020, 276:1119-1130, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2020.07.121.
- 18. Alden LE, Taylor CT, Mellings TM, Laposa JM: Social anxiety and the interpretation of positive social events. J Anxiety Disord 2008, 22:577-590.
- Vassilopoulos SP, Banerjee R: Social interaction anxiety and the discounting of positive interpersonal events. Behav Cognit Psychother 2010, 38:597-609.
- 20. Arditte Hall KA, Joormann J, Siemer M, Timpano KR; The impact bias in self and others: affective and empathic forecasting in individuals with social anxiety. Behav Res Ther 2018, 106: 37-46, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brat.2018.05.001.
- 21. Wallace ST, Alden LE: Social phobia and positive social events: the price of success. J Abnorm Psychol 1997, 106:416.
- 22. Wilson EJ, Abbott MJ, Donohue HE, Rapee RM, Carpenter L, Gaston JE: Rumination in response to a social interaction: psychometric properties of the socially anxious rumination questionnaire (SARQ) in social anxiety disorder. J Psychopathol Behav Assess 2023, 45:745-754, https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s10862-023-10063-7.
- 23. Fredrick JW, Luebbe AM: Fear of positive evaluation and social anxiety: a systematic review of trait-based findings. J Affect Disord 2020, 265:157-168, https://doi.org/10.1016/ i.iad 2020.01.042
- 24. Gilbert P: Evolutionary models: practical and conceptual utility for the treatment and study of social anxiety disorder. In The Wiley Blackwell handbook of social anxiety disorder. Edited by Weeks JW. 1st ed., Wiley; 2014:24-52, https://doi.org/ 10.1002/9781118653920.ch2
- 25. Reichenberger J, Blechert J: Malaise with praise: a narrative review of 10 years of research on the concept of Fear of Positive Evaluation in social anxiety. Depress Anxiety 2018, 35:1228-1238, https://doi.org/10.1002/da.22808.
- 26. Paz V, Nicolaisen-Sobesky E, Fernández-Theoduloz G, et al.: Event-related potentials of social comparisons in depression and social anxiety. Psychophysiology 2024, 61, e14643, https:// doi.org/10.1111/psyp.14643.
- 27. Lord KA, Suvak MK, Liverant GI: Social anxiety, rejection sensitivity, and theory of mind decoding ability. J Clin Psychol 2022, 78:656-670, https://doi.org/10.1002/jclp.232
- 28. Turk CL, Heimberg RG, Luterek JA, Mennin DS, Fresco DM: Emotion dysregulation in generalized anxiety disorder: a comparison with social anxiety disorder. Cognit Ther Res 2005, 29:89-106, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10608-005-
- 29. Eisner LR, Johnson SL, Carver CS: Positive affect regulation in anxiety disorders. J Anxiety Disord 2009, 23:645-649.

- Peschard V, Philippot P: Social anxiety and information processing biases: an integrated theoretical perspective. Cognit Emot 2016, 30:762–777, https://doi.org/10.1080/ 02699931.2015.1028335.
- O'Brien B, Kane L, Houle SA, Aquilina F, Ashbaugh AR: Recall, response bias and recognition are differentially impacted by social anxiety irrespective of feedback modality. *J Behav Ther Exp Psychiatr* 2022, 74, 101694, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbtep.2021.101694.
- Sharot T, Sunstein CR: How people decide what they want to know. Nat Human Behav 2020, 4:14–19.
- van Lieshout LL, de Lange FP, Cools R: Why so curious? Quantifying mechanisms of information seeking. Curr Opin Behav Sci 2020, 35:112–117, https://doi.org/10.1016/ i.cobeha.2020.08.005.
- Cogliati Dezza I, Schulz E, Wu CM: The drive for knowledge. In The drive for knowledge: the science of human information seeking. Cambridge University Press; 2022:i-ii.
- Bromberg-Martin ES, Feng YY, Ogasawara T, White JK, Zhang K, Monosov IE: A neural mechanism for conserved value computations integrating information and rewards. *Nat Neurosci* 2024, 27:159–175, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-023-01511-4.
- Kobayashi K, Kable JW: Neural mechanisms of information seeking. Neuron 2024, https://doi.org/10.1016/ i.neuron.2024.04.008. 0.
- Charpentier CJ, Bromberg-Martin ES, Sharot T: Valuation of knowledge and ignorance in mesolimbic reward circuitry. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2018, 115:E7255–E7264, https://doi.org/ 10.1073/pnas.1800547115.
- Bromberg-Martin ES, Sharot T: The value of beliefs. Neuron 2020, 106:561–565, https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.neuron.2020.05.001.
- Kelly CA, Sharot T: Individual differences in informationseeking. Nat Commun 2021, 12:7062.
- Mennin DS, Heimberg RG, Jack MS: Comorbid generalized anxiety disorder in primary social phobia: symptom severity, functional impairment, and treatment response. J Anxiety Disord 2000, 14:325–343.
- Kessler RC, Chiu WT, Demler O, Walters EE: Prevalence, severity, and comorbidity of 12-month DSM-IV disorders in the national comorbidity survey replication. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2005, 62:617–627.
- 42. Charpentier CJ, Cogliati Dezza I, Vellani V, Globig LK, Gädeke M, Sharot T: Anxiety increases information-seeking in response to large changes. *Sci Rep* 2022, **12**:7385, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-10813-9.
- Holaway RM, Heimberg RG, Coles ME: A comparison of intolerance of uncertainty in analogue obsessive-compulsive disorder and generalized anxiety disorder. J Anxiety Disord 2006, 20:158–174.
- Aderka IM, Haker A, Marom S, Hermesh H, Gilboa-Schechtman E: Information-seeking bias in social anxiety disorder. J Abnorm Psychol 2013, 122:7.
- Heerey EA, Kring AM: Interpersonal consequences of social anxiety. J Abnorm Psychol 2007, 116:125.
- Wilson GA, Koerner N, Antony MM: An examination of feedback seeking in individuals with social anxiety disorder, generalized anxiety disorder, or no history of mental disorder using a daily diary method. J Cognit Psychother 2018, 32:15–37.
- Kobori O, Salkovskis PM: Patterns of reassurance seeking and reassurance-related behaviours in OCD and anxiety disorders. Behav Cognit Psychother 2013, 41:1–23.
- Swann Jr WB, Read SJ: Self-verification processes: how we sustain our self-conceptions. J Exp Soc Psychol 1981, 17: 351–372.
- Howarth MP, Forbes M: Examining self-verification processes in social anxiety. Cogn Behav Ther 2015, 8, e21.

- Bos DJ, Barnes ED, Silver BM, et al.: An effort-based social feedback paradigm reveals aversion to popularity in socially anxious participants and increased motivation in adolescents. PLoS One 2021, 16, e0249326.
- Allidina S, Cunningham WA: Avoidance begets avoidance: a computational account of negative stereotype persistence. J Exp Psychol Gen 2021, 150:2078–2099, https://doi.org/ 10.1037/xge0001037.
- Brozovich F, Heimberg RG: An analysis of post-event processing in social anxiety disorder. Clin Psychol Rev 2008, 28:891 –903
- Koban L, Andrews-Hanna JR, Ives L, Wager TD, Arch JJ: Brain mediators of biased social learning of self-perception in social anxiety disorder. *Transl Psychiatry* 2023, 13:1–9, https:// doi.org/10.1038/s41398-023-02587-z.
- Koban L, Schneider R, Ashar YK, et al.: Social anxiety is characterized by biased learning about performance and the self. Emotion 2017, 17:1144.
- 55. Hopkins AK, Dolan R, Button KS, Moutoussis M: A reduced self-positive belief underpins greater sensitivity to negative evaluation in socially anxious individuals. Comput Psychiatr Psychol 2021, 5, https://doi.org/10.5334/cpsy.57.
- Hoffmann J, Hobbs C, Moutoussis M, Button K: Lack of optimistic biases in depression and social anxiety is reflected in reduced positive self-beliefs, but distinct processing of social feedback. 2023, https://doi.org/10.31234/osf.io/h6ety. Published online July 2.
- Topel S, van Noordt SJR, Willner CJ, et al.: As they wait: anticipatory neural response to evaluative peer feedback varies by pubertal status and social anxiety. Dev Cogn Neurosci 2021, 51, 101004, https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.dcn.2021.101004.
- Zhang Y, Li Y, Mai X: Fear of negative evaluation modulates the processing of social evaluative feedback with different valence and contexts. Cerebr Cortex 2023, 33:4927–4938, https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhac390.
- Gu R, Ao X, Mo L, Zhang D: Neural correlates of negative expectancy and impaired social feedback processing in social anxiety. Soc Cognit Affect Neurosci 2020, 15:285–291.
- Topel S, Ma I, van Duijvenvoorde ACK, Steenbergen H van, Bruijn E de: Adapting to uncertainty: the role of anxiety and fear of negative evaluation in learning in social and non-social contexts. 2024, https://doi.org/10.31234/osf.io/cjzmg. Published online January 9.
- Beltzer ML, Daniel KE, Daros AR, Teachman BA: Examining social reinforcement learning in social anxiety. J Behav Ther Exp Psychiatr 2023, 80, 101810, https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.jbtep.2022.101810.
- 62. Piray P, Ly V, Roelofs K, Cools R, Toni I: **Emotionally aversive** cues suppress neural systems underlying optimal learning in socially anxious individuals. *J Neurosci* 2019, **39**:1445–1456.
- Browning M, Behrens TE, Jocham G, O'reilly JX, Bishop SJ: Anxious individuals have difficulty learning the causal statistics of aversive environments. Nat Neurosci 2015, 18: 590–596.
- 64. Hunter LE, Meer EA, Gillan CM, Hsu M, Daw ND: Increased and biased deliberation in social anxiety. *Nat Human Behav* 2022, 6:146–154, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-021-01180-y.
- 65. Zaatri S, Aderka IM, Hertz U: Blend in or stand out: social ** anxiety levels shape information-sharing strategies. Proc R Soc B Biol Sci 2022, 289, 20220476, https://doi.org/10.1098/ rspb.2022.0476.
- 66. Zabag R, Rinck M, Becker E, Gilboa-Schechtman E, Levy-Gigi E: ** Although I know it: social anxiety is associated with a deficit in positive updating even when the cost of avoidance is Obvious. J Psychiatr Res 2024, 169:279–283, https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jpsychires.2023.11.041.
- Dillon DG, Lazarov A, Dolan S, Bar-Haim Y, Pizzagalli DA, Schneier FR: Fast evidence accumulation in social anxiety

- disorder enhances decision making in a probabilistic reward task. Emotion 2022. 22:1.
- 68. Marshall JAR, Trimmer PC, Houston AI, McNamara JM: On evolutionary explanations of cognitive biases. Trends Ecol Evol 2013, 28:469-473, https://doi.org/10.1016/ i.tree.2013.05.013.

Further information on references of particular interest

- 16. In this study, the authors used cluster analyses to shed light on
 ** well-known biases associated with social anxiety in the general population. They identified two groups: one with adaptive cognitive patterns (low biases, high executive functioning) and one with more maladaptive patterns (high interpretation bias, good alerting but poor executive functioning), with higher levels of social anxiety found in the second group. Their findings suggest that social anxiety symptoms are primarily associated with interpretation bias, rather than an attention bias towards threatening stimuli.
- 46. One of the first and only studies that quantified both positive and negative feedback-seeking behavior in clinical groups, including social anxiety disorder and generalized anxiety disorders. Through diary data, there were no differences in feedback-seeking behavior between the groups, but through self-report questionnaires, both clinical anxiety groups reported more feedback-seeking from close
- 50. In this study, active feedback-seeking behavior was measured through the amount of physical effort expended. In a social media simulation, both adolescents and young adults with and without social anxiety symptoms created social media posts and expended effort to show their post to others in order to receive feedback. Individuals with social anxiety symptoms were found to exert less effort to seek social feedback specifically from high status peers.
- 55. In a computational model of feedback learning, individuals with high fear of negative evaluation were found to learn more easily

- from negative feedback about themselves, as shown through greater self-negative learning rates. Additionally, this bias was associated with a reduced overall belief that others would choose a positive (vs negative) attribute to describe them. This study is also of interest from a methodological standpoint, using a novel approach that integrates clinical symptoms within the computational model-fitting.
- 64. In a socially framed reinforcement learning task, social anxiety was found to be associated with increased evaluative deliberation - in particular through increased learning from 'upward counterfactuals', that is from situations where taking a different action would have led to a better outcome. In a replicated computational psychiatry approach, the authors also show that this effect appears specific to social anxiety, rather than anxious-depression, obsessive-compulsive thoughts, or cognitive reasoning.
- 65. In this study, the authors assess two competing goals that can ** drive the decision to share information (here, advice) with other people, specifically competing to gain influence versus blending in with the group. They find that socially anxious individuals share information in an attempt not to gain influence, by giving advice that was similar to that of rival advisers, and that they exhibit more negative social comparisons with rivals.
- 66. This work presents an interesting account of altered counterfactual learning in social anxiety. In an online social approach-avoidance task, participants had to learn from trial-and-error which partner to approach or avoid, then after initial learning, the contingencies are reversed, such that the previously avoided partner should now be approached (positive updating) and vice versa for the other partner (negative updating). Socially anxious individuals exhibited a specific impairment in learning in the positive updating condition, even though they were being informed of the counterfactual (i.e. that a positive outcome would have occurred had they approached the partner). This suggests a difficulty in updating beliefs about previously avoided social situations that should no longer be avoided, possibly contributing to the maintenance of avoidance behavior in social anxiety.