



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Bibliometrics in the context of research evaluation and research policy

Purnell, P.J.

Citation

Purnell, P. J. (2025, January 28). *Bibliometrics in the context of research evaluation and research policy*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/4177930>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/4177930>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

References

- Aagaard, K., & Schneider, J. W. (2016). Research funding and national academic performance: Examination of a Danish success story. *Science and Public Policy*, 43(4), 518–531. <https://doi.org/10.1093/scipol/scv058>
- Aboukhalil, R. (2014). The rising trend in authorship. *The Winnower*. <https://doi.org/10.15200/winn.141832.26907>
- Abramo, G. (2024). The forced battle between peer-review and scientometric research assessment: Why the CoARA initiative is unsound. *Research Evaluation*, rvae021. <https://doi.org/10.1093/reseval/rvae021>
- Abramo, G., & D'Angelo, C. A. (2014). How do you define and measure research productivity? *Scientometrics*, 101(2), 1129–1144. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-014-1269-8>
- Abramo, G., D'Angelo, C. A., & Caprasecca, A. (2009). Allocative efficiency in public research funding: Can bibliometrics help? *Research Policy*, 38(1), 206–215. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2008.11.001>
- Adams. (1998). Benchmarking international research. *Nature*, 396(6712), 615–618. <https://doi.org/10.1038/25219>
- Adams, Black, G. C., Clemmons, J. R., & Stephan, P. E. (2005). Scientific teams and institutional collaborations: Evidence from U.S. universities, 1981–1999. *Research Policy*, 34(3), 259–285. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2005.01.014>
- Adams, J. (2009). The use of bibliometrics to measure research quality in UK higher education institutions. *Archivum Immunologiae et Therapiae Experimentalis*, 57(1), 19–32. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00005-009-0003-3>
- Agarwal, R., & Ohyama, A. (2012). Industry or Academia, Basic or Applied? Career Choices and Earnings Trajectories of Scientists. *Management Science*, 59(4), 950–970. <https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.1120.1582>
- American Psychological Association (APA). (2013). *APA guidelines for the undergraduate psychology major: Version 2.0*.
- Amugune, B. K., & Otieno-Omutoko, L. (2019). *An African Perspective of Benefits in Social Science Research BT - Social Science Research Ethics in Africa* (N. Nortjé, R. Visagie, & J. S. Wessels, Eds.; pp. 47–56). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-15402-8_4
- Aramesh, K. (2019). *The Main Challenges and Their Ethical Nature BT - An Ethical Framework for Global Governance for Health Research* (K. Aramesh, Ed.; pp. 43–73). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-31132-2_3
- Archambault, E., Campbell, D., Gingras, Y., & Larivière, V. (2009). Comparing of Science Bibliometric Statistics Obtained From the Web and Scopus. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 60(7), 1320–1326. <https://doi.org/10.1002/asi.21062>

- Arias, A., Watson, S. J., Asogun, D., Tobin, E. A., Lu, J., Phan, M. V. T., Jah, U., Wadoun, R. E. G., Meredith, L., Thorne, L., Caddy, S., Tarawalie, A., Langat, P., Dudas, G., Faria, N. R., Dellicour, S., Kamara, A., Kargbo, B., Kamara, B. O., ... Cotten, M. (2016). Rapid outbreak sequencing of Ebola virus in Sierra Leone identifies transmission chains linked to sporadic cases. *Virus Evolution*, 2(1), vew016. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ve/vew016>
- Armitage, C., & Kaindl, M. (2020). Getting with the program. *Nature Index*, 09 Decembe. <https://www.nature.com/articles/d41586-020-03416-9>
- Armitage, C., Lorenz, M., & Mikki, S. (2020a). Mapping scholarly publications related to the Sustainable Development Goals: Do independent bibliometric approaches get the same results? *Quantitative Science Studies*, 1(3), 1092–1108. https://doi.org/10.1162/qss_a_00071
- Armitage, C., Lorenz, M., & Mikki, S. (2020b). *Replication data for: Mapping scholarly publications related to the Sustainable Development Goals: Do independent bibliometric approaches get the same results?* (U. of Bergen, Ed.; V1 ed.). DataverseNO. <https://doi.org/10.18710/98CMDR>
- Arnkil, R., Järvensivu, A., Koski, P., & Piirainen, T. (2010). *Exploring Quadruple Helix Outlining user-oriented innovation models* (85; 2010). <https://trepo.tuni.fi/bitstream/handle/10024/65758/978-951-44-8209-0.pdf>
- ASEAN. (2019). *Association of Southeast Asian Nations Website*. <https://asean.org>
- Asiamah, G. B., Awal, M. S., & MacLean, L. M. (2021). Collaboration for Designing, Conceptualizing, and (Possibly) Decolonizing Research in African Politics. *PS: Political Science & Politics*, 54(3), 549–553. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1049096521000226>
- Association of Dutch Universities. (2019). *SDG-Dashboard: Impact of the Dutch Universities*. https://vsnu.nl/en_GB/sdg-dashboard-english.html
- Auranen, O., & Nieminen, M. (2010). University research funding and publication performance—An international comparison. *Research Policy*, 39(6), 822–834. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2010.03.003>
- Baas, J., Schotten, M., Plume, A., Côté, G., & Karimi, R. (2020). Scopus as a curated, high-quality bibliometric data source for academic research in quantitative science studies. *Quantitative Science Studies*, 1(1), 377–386. https://doi.org/10.1162/qss_a_00019
- Bador, P., & Lafouge, T. (2005). Rédaction des adresses sur les publications: Un manque de rigueur défavorable aux universités françaises dans les classements internationaux. *La Presse Médicale*, 34(9), 633–636. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0755-4982\(05\)84000-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0755-4982(05)84000-X)
- Bajoria, J. (2011). *Improving UN Responses to Humanitarian Crises*. UN Chronicle. <https://www.un.org/en/chronicle/article/improving-un-responses-humanitarian-crises>
- Balvanera, P., Calderón-Contreras, R., Castro, A. J., Felipe-Lucia, M. R., Geijzendorffer, I. R., Jacobs, S., Martín-López, B., Arbieu, U., Speranza, C. I., Locatelli, B., Harguindeguy, N. P., Mercado, I. R., Spierenburg, M. J., Vallet, A., Lynes, L., & Gillson, L. (2017). Interconnected place-based social–

- ecological research can inform global sustainability. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, 29, 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cosust.2017.09.005>
- Banal-Estañol, A., Jofre-Bonet, M., & Lawson, C. (2015). The double-edged sword of industry collaboration: Evidence from engineering academics in the UK. *Research Policy*, 44(6), 1160–1175. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2015.02.006>
- Bar-Ilan, J. (2010). Web of Science with the Conference Proceedings Citation Indexes: The case of computer science. *Scientometrics*, 83(3), 809–824. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-009-0145-4>
- Baudoin, L., Akiki, V., Magnan, A., & Devos, P. (2018). Production scientifique des CHU-CHR en 2006–2015 : évolutions et positionnement national. *La Presse Médicale*, 47(11, Part 1), e175–e186. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lpm.2018.06.016>
- Beaudry, C., & Allaoui, S. (2012). Impact of public and private research funding on scientific production: The case of nanotechnology. *Research Policy*, 41(9), 1589–1606. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2012.03.022>
- Beranová, L., Joachimiak, M. P., Kliegr, T., Rabby, G., & Sklenák, V. (2022). Why was this cited? Explainable machine learning applied to COVID-19 research literature. *Scientometrics*, 127(5), 2313–2349. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-022-04314-9>
- Berners-Lee, T., Cailliau, R., Luotonen, A., Nielsen, H. F., & Secret, A. (1994). The world-wide web. *Communications of the ACM*, 37(8), 76–82. <https://dl.acm.org/doi/pdf/10.1145/179606.179671>
- Bertocchi, G., Gambardella, A., Jappelli, T., Nappi, C. A., & Peracchi, F. (2015). Bibliometric evaluation vs. informed peer review: Evidence from Italy. *Research Policy*, 44(2), 451–466. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2014.08.004>
- Bickley, M. S., Kousha, K., & Thelwall, M. (2020). Can the impact of grey literature be assessed? An investigation of UK government publications cited by articles and books. *Scientometrics*, 125(2), 1425–1444. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-020-03628-w>
- Bikard, M., Vakili, K., & Teodoridis, F. (2018). When Collaboration Bridges Institutions: The Impact of University–Industry Collaboration on Academic Productivity. *Organization Science*, 30(2), 426–445. <https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.2018.1235>
- Billaut, J.-C., Bouyssou, D., & Vincke, P. (2010). Should you believe in the Shanghai ranking? *Scientometrics*, 84(1), 237–263. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-009-0115-x>
- Billick, I., & Price, M. V. (2010). *The ecology of place: contributions of place-based research to ecological understanding*. University of Chicago Press. <https://books.google.ae/books?hl=en&lr&id=F-UZVzTh9O4C>
- Birkle, C., Pendlebury, D. A., Schnell, J., & Adams, J. (2020). Web of Science as a data source for research on scientific and scholarly activity. *Quantitative Science Studies*, 1(1), 363–376. https://doi.org/10.1162/qss_a_00018

- Bjerregaard, T. (2010). Industry and academia in convergence: Micro-institutional dimensions of R&D collaboration. *Technovation*, 30(2), 100 – 108. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.technovation.2009.11.002>
- Blasco, N., Brusca, I., & Labrador, M. (2021). Drivers for Universities' Contribution to the Sustainable Development Goals: An Analysis of Spanish Public Universities. *Sustainability*, 13(1). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13010089>
- Bockarie, M., Machingaidze, S., Nyirenda, T., Olesen, O. F., & Makanga, M. (2018). Parasitic and parachute research in global health. *The Lancet Global Health*, 6(9), e964. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X\(18\)30342-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(18)30342-5)
- Boden, R., & Epstein, D. (2011). A Flat Earth Society? Imagining Academic Freedom. *The Sociological Review*, 59(3), 476–495. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-954X.2011.02014.x>
- Bornmann, L., & Leydesdorff, L. (2013). The validation of (advanced) bibliometric indicators through peer assessments: A comparative study using data from InCites and F1000. *Journal of Informetrics*, 7(2), 286–291. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joi.2012.12.003>
- Boudry, C., & Chartron, G. (2017). Availability of digital object identifiers in publications archived by PubMed. *Scientometrics*, 110(3), 1453–1469. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-016-2225-6>
- Brankovic, J., Ringel, L., & Werron, T. (2018). How rankings produce competition: The case of global university rankings. *Zeitschrift Fur Soziologie*, 47(4), 270–288. <https://doi.org/10.1515/zfsoz-2018-0118>
- Brewer, G. D. (1999). The Challenges of Interdisciplinarity. *Policy Sciences*, 32(4), 327–337. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/4532473>
- Broekel, T. (2015). Do Cooperative Research and Development (R&D) Subsidies Stimulate Regional Innovation Efficiency? Evidence from Germany. *Regional Studies*, 49(7), 1087–1110. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00343404.2013.812781>
- Brundtland, G. H. (1987). *Report of the World Commission on Environment and Development: Our Common Future*.
- Boden, R., & Epstein, D. (2011). A Flat Earth Society? Imagining Academic Freedom. *The Sociological Review*, 59(3), 476–495. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-954X.2011.02014.x>
- Butler, L. (2003a). Explaining Australia's increased share of ISI publications - the effects of a funding formula based on publication counts. *RESEARCH POLICY*, 32(1), 143–155. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-7333\(02\)00007-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-7333(02)00007-0)
- Butler, L. (2003b). Modifying publication practices in response to funding formulas. *RESEARCH EVALUATION*, 12(1), 39–46. <https://doi.org/10.3152/147154403781776780>
- Butler, L. (2017). Response to van den Besselaar et al.: What happens when the Australian context is misunderstood. *JOURNAL OF INFORMETRICS*, 11(3), 919–922. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joi.2017.05.017>

- Bylund, E., Khafif, Z., & Berghoff, R. (2023). Linguistic and geographic diversity in research on second language acquisition and multilingualism: An analysis of selected journals. *Applied Linguistics*, amad022. <https://doi.org/10.1093/applin/amad022>
- Calero-Medina, C., Noyons, E., Visser, M., & De Bruin, R. (2020). Delineating Organizations at CWTS--- A Story of Many Pathways. In C. Daraio & W. Glänzel (Eds.), *Evaluative Informetrics: The Art of Metrics-Based Research Assessment : Festschrift in Honour of Henk F. Moed* (pp. 163–177). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-47665-6_7
- Cambridge University. (2024). Research Policy | Research Strategy Office. Research Policy. <https://www.research-strategy.admin.cam.ac.uk/research-policy>
- Campbell, J. O., Gupta, A. K., Lu, A., Lim, Y. F., Mishra, N., Hewitt, J. N., Ovenden, C. D., Kovoov, J. G., Bacchi, S., Trochsler, M., & Wells, A. (2023). The geographic diversity of authorship in leading general surgery journals; A study of 24,332 authors. *The Surgeon*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surge.2023.08.004>
- Carayannis, Del Giudice, M., & Rosaria Della Peruta, M. (2014). Managing the intellectual capital within government-university-industry R&D partnerships. *Journal of Intellectual Capital*, 15(4), 611–630. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JIC-07-2014-0080>
- Carayannis, Acikdilli, G., & Ziemnowicz, C. (2020). Creative Destruction in International Trade: Insights from the Quadruple and Quintuple Innovation Helix Models. *Journal of the Knowledge Economy*, 11(4), 1489–1508. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13132-019-00599-z>
- Carayannis, & Rakhmatullin, R. (2014). The Quadruple/Quintuple Innovation Helixes and Smart Specialisation Strategies for Sustainable and Inclusive Growth in Europe and Beyond. *Journal of the Knowledge Economy*, 5(2), 212–239. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13132-014-0185-8>
- Castro Torres, A. F., & Alburez-Gutierrez, D. (2022). North and South: Naming practices and the hidden dimension of global disparities in knowledge production. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 119(10), e2119373119. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2119373119>
- Centre for Science & Technology Studies Leiden University. (2020). *Indicators*. <https://www.leidenranking.com/information/indicators>
- Centre for Science and Technology Studies. (2022). *Leiden Ranking Indicators*. Leiden Ranking Indicators. <https://www.leidenranking.com/information/indicators#collaboration-indicators>
- Chen, D., & Dahlman, C. (2005). *The Knowledge Economy, the KAM Methodology and World Bank Operations*. <http://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/695211468153873436/The-knowledge-economy-the-KAM-methodology-and-World-Bank-operations>
- Chodacki, J., & Carpenter, T. (2024). Desirable Characteristics of Persistent Identifiers. *Upstream*. <https://doi.org/10.54900/c3hdq-0ev76>
- Clarivate. (2020a). *Data change FAQs*. https://support.clarivate.com/ScientificandAcademicResearch/s/datachanges?language=en_US

- Clarivate. (2020b). *Organization name unification procedures: Unifying address variants*. <http://ips.clarivate.com/m/pdfs/Clarivate-NameAddressUnificationProcess.pdf>
- Clarivate. (2022). *Web of Science Journal Evaluation Process and Selection Criteria*. The Web of Science Core Collection Selection Process - 24 Quality Criteria. <https://clarivate.com/webofsciencegroup/journal-evaluation-process-and-selection-criteria/>
- CoARA. (2022a). *Agreement on Reforming Research Assessment*. The Agreement. https://coara.eu/app/uploads/2022/09/2022_07_19_rra_agreement_final.pdf
- CoARA. (2022b). *Coalition for Advancing Research Assessment*. The Agreement. <https://coara.eu>
- Cole, F. J., & Eales, N. B. (1917). The History of Comparative Anatomy: Part I.—A Statistical Analysis of the Literature. *Science Progress (1916-1919)*, 11(44), 578–596. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/43426882>
- Collins, S. (2022). *Introducing our new Global Equitable Membership (GEM) program*. Crossref Blog. <https://www.crossref.org/blog/introducing-our-new-global-equitable-membership-gem-program/>
- Confraria, H., Noyons, E., & Ciarli, T. (2021). Countries research priorities in relation to the Sustainable Development Goals. *Research Policy*, 53(3). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2023.104950>
- Cornforth, C., Hayes, J. P., & Vangen, S. (2014). Nonprofit–Public Collaborations: Understanding Governance Dynamics. *Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly*, 44(4), 775–795. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0899764014532836>
- Costas, R., & van Leeuwen, T. N. (2012). Approaching the “reward triangle”: General analysis of the presence of funding acknowledgments and “peer interactive communication” in scientific publications. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 63(8), 1647–1661. <https://doi.org/10.1002/asi.22692>
- Cronin, B. (2001). Hyperauthorship: A postmodern perversion or evidence of a structural shift in scholarly communication practices? *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 52(7), 558–569. <https://doi.org/10.1002/asi.1097>
- Cronin, B., & Weaver, S. (1995). The praxis of acknowledgement: from bibliometrics to influmetrics. *Revista Española de Documentación Científica*, 18(2 SE-Estudios), 172–177. <https://doi.org/10.3989/redc.1995.v18.i2.654>
- CWTS Leiden University. (2007). *Scoping study on the use of bibliometric analysis to measure the quality of research in UK higher education institutions*. https://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/ukgwa/20100303154704/http://www.hefce.ac.uk/pubs/rdrereports/2007/rd18_07/
- Czarnitzki, D., Grimpe, C., & Toole, A. A. (2015). Delay and secrecy: does industry sponsorship jeopardize disclosure of academic research? *Industrial and Corporate Change*, 24(1), 251–279. <https://doi.org/10.1093/icc/dtu011>
- Davis, P. (2012). *The Emergence of a Citation Cartel*. The Scholarly Kitchen.

- de Solla Price, D. (1978). Editorial statements. *Scientometrics*, 1(1), 3–8. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02016836>
- De Souza, L. R. (2017). Correlates of child undernutrition in Yemen. *Bandung: Journal of the Global South*, 4(1), 1–27. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40728-017-0040-y>
- Debackere, K., & Glänzel, W. (2004). Using a bibliometric approach to support research policy making: The case of the Flemish BOF-key. *Scientometrics*, 59(2), 253–276. <https://doi.org/10.1023/B:SCIE.0000018532.70146.02>
- DeBruin, R. E., Braam, R. R., & Moed, H. F. (1991). Bibliometric lines in the sand. *Nature*, 349(6310), 559–562. <https://doi.org/10.1038/349559a0>
- Desrochers, N., Paul-Hus, A., Haustein, S., Costas, R., Mongeon, P., Quan-Haase, A., Bowman, T. D., Pecoskie, J., Tsou, A., & Larivière, V. (2018). Authorship, citations, acknowledgments and visibility in social media: Symbolic capital in the multifaceted reward system of science. *Social Science Information*, 57(2), 223–248. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0539018417752089>
- Desrochers, N., Paul-Hus, A., & Pecoskie, J. (2017). Five decades of gratitude: A meta-synthesis of acknowledgments research. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology*, 68(12), 2821–2833. <https://doi.org/10.1002/asi.23903>
- DG Research and Innovation. (2020). Strategic Plan 2020-2024. European Commission. https://commission.europa.eu/document/download/5ac1ff20-d41e-4c10-9a05-048b7339292e_en
- Diem, A., & Wolter, S. C. (2013). The Use of Bibliometrics to Measure Research Performance in Education Sciences. *Research in Higher Education*, 54(1), 86–114. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11162-012-9264-5>
- Digital Science. (2021). Which publication dates does Dimensions use? <https://dimensions.freshdesk.com/support/solutions/articles/23000019982-which-publication-dates-does-dimensions-use>
- Dill, D. D., & Soo, M. (2005). Academic quality, league tables, and public policy: A cross-national analysis of university ranking systems. *Higher Education*, 49(4), 495–533. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-004-1746-8>
- Donner, P., Rimmert, C., & van Eck, N. J. (2020). Comparing institutional-level bibliometric research performance indicator values based on different affiliation disambiguation systems. *Quantitative Science Studies*, 1(1), 150–170. https://doi.org/10.1162/qss_a_00013
- DORA. (2023). Declaration on Research Assessment. <https://sfedora.org>
- Drahota, A. M. Y., Meza, R. D., Brikho, B., Naaf, M., Estabillo, J. A., Gomez, E. D., VEJNOSKA, S. F., DUFEK, S., STAHER, A. C., & AARONS, G. A. (2016). Community-Academic Partnerships: A Systematic Review of the State of the Literature and Recommendations for Future Research. *The Milbank Quarterly*, 94(1), 163–214. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-0009.12184>

- Drott, M. C. (1995). Reexamining the role of conference papers in scholarly communication. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science*, 46(4), 299–305. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1097-4571\(199505\)46:4<299::AID-ASI6>3.0.CO;2-0](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-4571(199505)46:4<299::AID-ASI6>3.0.CO;2-0)
- Ducut, E., Liu, F., & Fontelo, P. (2008). An update on Uniform Resource Locator (URL) decay in MEDLINE abstracts and measures for its mitigation. *BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making*, 8. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6947-8-23>
- Dudek, J., Mongeon, P., & Bergmans, J. (2019). DataCite as a Potential Source for Open Data Indicators. *ISSI*, 2037–2042.
- Duran-Silva, N., Fuster, E., Massucci, F. A., & Quinquillà, A. (2019). *A controlled vocabulary defining the semantic perimeter of Sustainable Development Goals*. <https://doi.org/10.5281/ZENODO.4118028>
- Else, H. (2018). How I scraped data from Google Scholar. *Nature Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-018-04190-5>
- Elsevier. (2015). *Scopus Conference Expansion Programme*. Scopus Conference Expansion Programme.
- Elsevier, & SciDev.Net. (2015). *Sustainability science in a global landscape*. https://www.elsevier.com/_data/assets/pdf_file/0018/119061/SustainabilityScienceReport-Web.pdf
- Espeland, W. N., & Sauder, M. (2016). Engines of anxiety: Academic rankings, reputation, and accountability. In *Engines of Anxiety: Academic Rankings, Reputation, and Accountability*. Russell Sage Foundation. <https://www.scopus.com/record/display.uri?eid=2-s2.0-85011865409&origin=inward&>
- ETH Zürich. (2024). *Collaborate with industry*. ETH Zürich. <https://ethz.ch/en/industry/researchers/collaborations.html>
- Etzkowitz, H., & Leydesdorff, L. (1995). The Triple Helix--University-industry-government relations: A laboratory for knowledge based economic development. *EASST Review*, 14(1), 14–19. https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2480085
- Etzkowitz, H., & Leydesdorff, L. (2000). The dynamics of innovation: from National Systems and “Mode 2” to a Triple Helix of university–industry–government relations. *Research Policy*, 29(2), 109–123. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-7333\(99\)00055-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-7333(99)00055-4)
- European Commission. (2024). #RIDaysEU: European Research and Innovation Days (R&I Days) 2024 - European Commission. European Research and Innovation Days. https://cinea.ec.europa.eu/news-events/events/ridayseu-european-research-and-innovation-days-ri-days-2024-2024-03-20_en
- European Commission. (2020). *CORDIS - EU Research Results*. About CORDIS. <https://cordis.europa.eu/about/en>
- European Union. (2020). *Leading innovation through EU research*. Research and Innovation - Priorities and Actions.

- Eurostat. (2024). *GERD by sector of performance*. https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/databrowser/view/RD_E_GERDREG/default/table?lang=en
- Falahati Qadimi Fumani, M. R., Goltaji, M., & Parto, P. (2013). Inconsistent transliteration of Iranian university names: a hazard to Iran's ranking in ISI Web of Science. *Scientometrics*, 95(1), 371–384. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-012-0818-2>
- Fang, L. H., Lerner, J., & Wu, C. (2017). Intellectual Property Rights Protection, Ownership, and Innovation: Evidence from China. *The Review of Financial Studies*, 30(7), 2446–2477. <https://doi.org/10.1093/rfs/hhx023>
- Farazmand, A., Danaeefard, H., Mostafazadeh, M., & Sadeghi, M. R. (2019). Trends in Public Administration Research: A Content Analysis of Iranian Journal Articles (2004–2017). *International Journal of Public Administration*, 42(10), 867–879. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01900692.2019.1598689>
- Firchow, P., & Gellman, M. (2021). Collaborative Methodologies: Why, How, and for Whom? *PS: Political Science & Politics*, 54(3), 525–529. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1049096521000330>
- Fister, I., Fister, I., & Perc, M. (2016). Toward the Discovery of Citation Cartels in Citation Networks. *Frontiers in Physics*, 4. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphy.2016.00049>
- Flanders Make. (2024). *Our Research*. Research. <https://www.flandersmake.be/en/offer/research>
- Ford, N., Mills, E. J., Zachariah, R., & Upshur, R. (2009). Ethics of conducting research in conflict settings. *Conflict and Health*, 3(1), 7. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1752-1505-3-7>
- Franceschini, F., Maisano, D., & Mastrogiacomo, L. (2015). Errors in DOI indexing by bibliometric databases. *Scientometrics*, 102(3), 2181–2186. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-014-1503-4>
- Frerichs, L., Kim, M., Dave, G., Cheney, A., Hassmiller Lich, K., Jones, J., Young, T. L., Cene, C. W., Varma, D. S., Schaal, J., Black, A., Striley, C. W., Vassar, S., Sullivan, G., Cottler, L. B., Brown, A., Burke, J. G., & Corbie-Smith, G. (2016). Stakeholder Perspectives on Creating and Maintaining Trust in Community–Academic Research Partnerships. *Health Education & Behavior*, 44(1), 182–191. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1090198116648291>
- Fuentes, M. A., Zelaya, D. G., & Madsen, J. W. (2020). Rethinking the Course Syllabus: Considerations for Promoting Equity, Diversity, and Inclusion. *Teaching of Psychology*, 48(1), 69–79. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0098628320959979>
- Gadd, E. (2020). University rankings need a rethink. *Nature*, 587(7835), 523–524. link.gale.com/apps/doc/A650901626/HRCA?u=anon~34896c82&sid=googleScholar&xid=246d60e1
- Garfield, E. (1955). Citation indexes for science. *Science*, 122(3159), 108–111. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.122.3159.108>
- Garfield, E. (1972). Citation analysis as a tool in journal evaluation. *Science*, 178(4060), 471–479. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.178.4060.471>

- Garfield, E. (1983). How to Use Citation Analysis for Faculty Evaluations, and When Is It Relevant? Part 1. *Essays of an Information Scientist*, 6, 354–362.
- Garvey, W. D., Lin, N., Nelson, C. E., & Tomita, K. (1972a). Research studies in patterns of scientific communication: I. General description of research program. *Information Storage and Retrieval*, 8(3), 111–122. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0020-0271\(72\)90040-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0020-0271(72)90040-X)
- Garvey, W. D., Lin, N., Nelson, C. E., & Tomita, K. (1972b). Research studies in patterns of scientific communication: II. The role of the national meeting in scientific and technical communication. *Information Storage and Retrieval*, 8(4), 159–169. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0020-0271\(72\)90001-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0020-0271(72)90001-0)
- Gasparyan, A. Y., Yessirkepov, M., Voronov, A. A., Makshev, A. A., & Kitas, G. D. (2021). Article-Level Metrics. *J Korean Med Sci*, 36(11). <https://doi.org/10.3346/jkms.2021.36.e74>
- Gellman, M. (2021). Collaborative Methodology with Indigenous Communities: A Framework for Addressing Power Inequalities. *PS: Political Science & Politics*, 54(3), 535–538. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1049096521000299>
- Gerlak, A. K., House-Peters, L., Varady, R. G., Albrecht, T., Zúñiga-Terán, A., de Grenade, R. R., Cook, C., & Scott, C. A. (2018). Water security: A review of place-based research. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 82, 79–89. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2018.01.009>
- Geuna, A., & Martin, B. R. (2003). University Research Evaluation and Funding: An International Comparison. *Minerva*, 41(4), 277–304. <https://doi.org/10.1023/B:MINE.0000005155.70870.bd>
- Gibbons, M., Limoges, C., Nowotny, H., Schwartzman, S., Scott, P., & Trow, M. (1994). *The New Production of Knowledge : The Dynamics of Science and Research in Contemporary Societies*. SAGE Publications Ltd. <http://digital.casalini.it/9781446265871>
- Giller, K. E. (2020). Grounding the helicopters. *Geoderma*, 373, 114302. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2020.114302>
- Giménez-Toledo, E., Mañana-Rodríguez, J., & Sivertsen, G. (2017). Scholarly book publishing: Its information sources for evaluation in the social sciences and humanities. *Research Evaluation*, 26(2), 91–101. <https://doi.org/10.1093/reseval/rvx007>
- Glänzel, W., Schlemmer, B., Schubert, A., & Thijs, B. (2006). Proceedings literature as additional data source for bibliometric analysis. *Scientometrics*, 68(3), 457–473. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-006-0124-y>
- Gläser, J., Glänzel, W., & Scharnhorst, A. (2017). Same data—different results? Towards a comparative approach to the identification of thematic structures in science. *Scientometrics*, 111(2), 981–998. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-017-2296-z>
- Glied, S., Wittenberg, R., & Israeli, A. (2018). Research in government and academia: the case of health policy. *Israel Journal of Health Policy Research*, 7(1), 35. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13584-018-0230-3>
- Goldfarb, B. (2008). The effect of government contracting on academic research: Does the source of funding affect scientific output? *Research Policy*, 37(1), 41–58. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2007.07.011>

- González-Albo, B., & Bordons, M. (2011). Articles vs. proceedings papers: Do they differ in research relevance and impact? A case study in the Library and Information Science field. *Journal of Informetrics*, 5(3), 369–381. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joi.2011.01.011>
- Goodhart, C. A. E. (1975). Monetary Relationships: A View from Threadneedle Street. *Reserve Bank of Australia*, 1.
- Goodrum, A. A., McCain, K. W., Lawrence, S., & Giles, C. L. (2001). Scholarly publishing in the Internet age: a citation analysis of computer science literature. *INFORMATION PROCESSING & MANAGEMENT*, 37(5), 661–675. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0306-4573\(00\)00047-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0306-4573(00)00047-9)
- Gorraiz, J., Melero-Fuentes, D., Gumpenberger, C., & Valderrama-Zurián, J. C. (2016). Availability of digital object identifiers (DOIs) in Web of Science and Scopus. *Journal of Informetrics*, 10(1), 98–109. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joi.2015.11.008>
- Greenhalgh, T., Jackson, C., Shaw, S., & Janamian, T. (2016). Achieving Research Impact Through Co-creation in Community-Based Health Services: Literature Review and Case Study. *The Milbank Quarterly*, 94(2), 392–429. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-0009.12197>
- Gross, P. L. K., & Gross, E. M. (1927). College Libraries and Chemical Education. *Science*, 66(1713), 385–389. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1651803>
- Guerrero-Bote, V. P., Chinchilla-Rodríguez, Z., Mendoza, A., & de Moya-Anegón, F. (2021). Comparative Analysis of the Bibliographic Data Sources Dimensions and Scopus: An Approach at the Country and Institutional Levels. *Frontiers in Research Metrics and Analytics*, 5, 19. <https://doi.org/10.3389/frma.2020.593494>
- Haddon, C., & Sasse, T. (2019). *How academia can work with government*. <https://apo.org.au/sites/default/files/resource-files/2019-04/apo-nid245496.pdf>
- Haile, M. (2020). Response to “Global soil science research collaboration in the 21st century: Time to end helicopter research.” *Geoderma*, 373, 114300. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2020.114300>
- Harzing, A.-W. (2019). Two new kids on the block: How do Crossref and Dimensions compare with Google Scholar, Microsoft Academic, Scopus and the Web of Science? *Scientometrics*, 120(1), 341–349. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-019-03114-y>
- Hassan, M. (2001). Transition to sustainability in the twenty-first century: the contribution of science and technology - Report of the World Conference of Scientific Academies held in Tokyo, Japan, 15-18 May 2000. *International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education*, 2(1), 70–78. <https://doi.org/10.1108/1467630110380523>
- Hazelkorn, E. (2011). Rankings and the reshaping of higher education: The battle for world-class excellence. In *Rankings and the Reshaping of Higher Education: The Battle for World-Class Excellence*. Palgrave Macmillan. <https://doi.org/10.1057/9780230306394>
- Hedt-Gauthier, B. L., Jeufack, H. M., Neufeld, N. H., Alem, A., Sauer, S., Odhiambo, J., Boum, Y., Shuchman, M., & Volmink, J. (2019). Stuck in the middle: a systematic review of authorship in

- collaborative health research in Africa, 2014-2016. *BMJ Global Health*, 4(5). <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjgh-2019-001853>
- Heinz, E., Holt, K. E., Meehan, C. J., & Sheppard, S. K. (2021). Addressing parachute research and removing barriers for LMIC researchers in Microbial Genomics. *Microbial Genomics*, 7(10). <https://doi.org/10.1099/mgen.0.000722>
- Hendricks, G., Tkaczyk, D., Lin, J., & Feeney, P. (2020). Crossref: The sustainable source of community-owned scholarly metadata. *Quantitative Science Studies*, 1(1), 414–427. https://doi.org/10.1162/qss_a_00022
- Hernandez-Aguilar, C., Dominguez-Pacheco, A., Martínez-Ortiz, E. J., Ivanov, R., López Bonilla, J. L., Cruz-Orea, A., & Ordonez-Miranda, J. (2020). Evolution and characteristics of the transdisciplinary perspective in research: a literature review. *Transdisciplinary Journal of Engineering & Science*, 11, 158–188. <https://doi.org/10.22545/2020/00140>
- Herzog, C., Hook, D., & Konkiel, S. (2020). Dimensions: Bringing down barriers between scientometricians and data. *Quantitative Science Studies*, 1(1), 387–395. https://doi.org/10.1162/qss_a_00020
- Heymann, D. L., Liu, J., & Lillywhite, L. (2016). Partnerships, Not Parachutists, for Zika Research. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 374(16), 1504–1505. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMp1602278>
- Hicks, D., Wouters, P., Waltman, L., de Rijcke, S., & Rafols, I. (2015). The Leiden Manifesto for research metrics. *NATURE*, 520(7548), 429–431. <https://doi.org/10.1038/520429a>
- Hirsch Hadorn, G., Bradley, D., Pohl, C., Rist, S., & Wiesmann, U. (2006). Implications of transdisciplinarity for sustainability research. *Ecological Economics*, 60(1), 119–128. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2005.12.002>
- Hirsch, J. E. (2005). An index to quantify an individual's scientific research output. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 102(46), 16569–16572. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0507655102>
- Hood, W. W., & Wilson, C. S. (2001). The Literature of Bibliometrics, Scientometrics, and Informetrics. *Scientometrics*, 52(2), 291–314. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1017919924342>
- Hook, D. W., Porter, S. J., & Herzog, C. (2018). Dimensions: Building Context for Search and Evaluation. *Frontiers in Research Metrics and Analytics*, 3, 23. <https://doi.org/10.3389/frma.2018.00023>
- Horn, L., Alba, S., Blom, F., Faure, M., Flack-Davison, E., Gauri, G., & Ijsselmuiden, C. (2022). Fostering Research Integrity through the promotion of fairness, equity and diversity in research collaborations and contexts: Towards a Cape Town Statement (pre-conference discussion paper). *OSF PrePrints*. <https://osf.io/bf286/>
- Huang, C., Cao, C., & Coreynen, W. (2023). Stronger and more just? Recent reforms of China's intellectual property rights system and their implications. *Asia Pacific Journal of Innovation and Entrepreneurship*, ahead-of-p(ahead-of-print). <https://doi.org/10.1108/APJIE-04-2023-0081>

- Huang, M., & Liu, W. (2019). Substantial numbers of easily identifiable illegal DOIs still exist in Scopus. *Journal of Informetrics*, 13(3), 901–903. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joi.2019.03.019>
- Huang, Neylon, C., Brookes-Kenworthy, C., Hosking, R., Montgomery, L., Wilson, K., & Ozaygen, A. (2020). Comparison of bibliographic data sources: Implications for the robustness of university rankings. *Quantitative Science Studies*, 1(2), 445–478. https://doi.org/10.1162/qss_a_00031
- International Food Policy Research Institute. (2021). *IFPRI Country Programs*. <https://www.ifpri.org/topic/ifpri-country-programs>
- International Science Council. (2015). *Review of Targets for the Sustainable Development Goals: The Science Perspective (2015)*. <https://council.science/publications/review-of-targets-for-the-sustainable-development-goals-the-science-perspective-2015>
- Ioannidis, J. P. A., Boyack, K. W., & Baas, J. (2020). Updated science-wide author databases of standardized citation indicators. *PLOS Biology*, 18(10), 1–3. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3000918>
- Ioannidis, J. P. A., & Maniatis, Z. (2023). In defense of quantitative metrics in researcher assessments. *PLOS Biology*, 21(12), e3002408. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3002408>
- IUCN–UNEP–WWF. (1980). *World Conservation Strategy: Living Resource Conservation for Sustainable Development*. <https://portals.iucn.org/library/efiles/documents/wcs-004.pdf>
- Ivanov, V. V., Markusova, V. A., & Mindeli, L. E. (2016). Government investments and the publishing activity of higher educational institutions: Bibliometric analysis. *Herald of the Russian Academy of Sciences*, 86(4), 314–321. <https://doi.org/10.1134/S1019331616040031>
- Jayabalasingham, B., Boverhof, R., Agnew, K., & Klein, L. (2019). Identifying research supporting the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals. *Mendeley Data*, 1. <https://doi.org/10.17632/87txkw7khs.1>
- Jetten, T. H., Veldhuizen, L. J. L., Siebert, M., Ommen Kloeke, A. E. E. van, & Darroch, P. I. (2019). *An explorative study on a university's outreach in the field of UN Sustainable Development Goal 2*. June, 0–22. <https://doi.org/10.18174/476199>
- Jones, R. S. (2024). Improving Korea's Innovation System. *The Peninsula*. <https://keia.org/the-peninsula/improving-koreas-innovation-system/>
- Juty, N., Wimalaratne, S. M., Soiland-Reyes, S., Kunze, J., Goble, C. A., & Clark, T. (2020). Unique, Persistent, Resolvable: Identifiers as the Foundation of FAIR. *Data Intelligence*, 2(1–2), 30–39. https://doi.org/10.1162/dint_a_00025
- Kahalon, R., Klein, V., Ksenofontov, I., Ullrich, J., & Wright, S. C. (2021). Mentioning the Sample's Country in the Article's Title Leads to Bias in Research Evaluation. *Social Psychological and Personality Science*, 13(2), 352–361. <https://doi.org/10.1177/19485506211024036>
- Kalleberg, A. L. (2009). Precarious work, insecure workers: Employment relations in transition. *American Sociological Review*, 74(1), 1–22. <https://doi.org/10.1177/000312240907400101>

- Kang, W., Zhao, S., Song, W., & Zhuang, T. (2019). Triple helix in the science and technology innovation centers of China from the perspective of mutual information: a comparative study between Beijing and Shanghai. *Scientometrics*, 118(3), 921–940. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-019-03017-y>
- Kates, R. W. (2011). *From the Unity of Nature to Sustainability Science: Ideas and Practice.* "CID Working Paper" (218). [https://dash.harvard.edu/bitstream/handle/1/37366242/218_Unityof Nature to Sustainability.pdf](https://dash.harvard.edu/bitstream/handle/1/37366242/218_Unityof%20Nature%20to%20Sustainability.pdf)
- Kates, R. W., Clark, W. C., Corell, R., Hall, J. M., Jaeger, C. C., Lowe, I., McCarthy, J. J., Schellnhuber, H. J., Bolin, B., Dickson, N. M., Faucheux, S., Gallopin, G. C., Grübler, A., Huntley, B., Jäger, J., Jodha, N. S., Kasperson, R. E., Mabogunje, A., Matson, P., ... Svedin, U. (2001). Sustainability Science. *Science*, 292(5517), 641–642. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1059386>
- Khedmatgozar, H., & Alipour Hafezi, M. (2015). A Basic Comparative Framework for Evaluation of Digital Identifier Systems. *Journal of Digital Information Management*, 13, 190–197.
- Kim, M. (2016). The Relationship of Nonprofits' Financial Health to Program Outcomes: Empirical Evidence From Nonprofit Arts Organizations. *Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly*, 46(3), 525–548. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0899764016662914>
- Knorr-Cetina, K. D. (2013). *The manufacture of knowledge: An essay on the constructivist and contextual nature of science.* Elsevier. [https://books.google.ae/books?hl=en&lr=&id=DPwgBQAAQBAJ&oi](https://books.google.ae/books?hl=en&lr=&id=DPwgBQAAQBAJ&oi=fnd)
- Konur, O. (2013). The scientometric evaluation of the institutional research: The Inner Anatolian Universities- Part 3. *Energy Education Science and Technology Part B: Social and Educational Studies*, 5(2), 251–266.
- Körffgen, A., Förster, K., Glatz, I., Maier, S., Becsi, B., Meyer, A., Kromp-Kolb, H., & Stötter, J. (2018). It's a Hit! Mapping Austrian Research Contributions to the Sustainable Development Goals. *Sustainability*, 10(9). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su10093295>
- Kousha, K., & Thelwall, M. (2024). Artificial intelligence to support publishing and peer review: A summary and review. *Learned Publishing*, 37(1), 4–12. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/leap.1570>
- Lai, B., & Thyne, C. (2007). The Effect of Civil War on Education, 1980—97. *Journal of Peace Research*, 44(3), 277–292. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022343307076631>
- Lammey, R. (2020). Solutions for identification problems: a look at the Research Organization Registry. *Sci Ed*, 7(1), 65–69. <https://doi.org/10.6087/kcse.192>
- Larivière, V., & Sugimoto, C. R. (2019). The Journal Impact Factor: A Brief History, Critique, and Discussion of Adverse Effects. In W. Glänzel, H. F. Moed, U. Schmoch, & M. Thelwall (Eds.), *Springer Handbook of Science and Technology Indicators* (pp. 3–24). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-02511-3_1
- Lawani, S. M. (1980). *Quality, collaboration and citations in cancer research: A bibliometric study.* The Florida State University.

- Leaning, J., & Guha-Sapir, D. (2013). Natural Disasters, Armed Conflict, and Public Health. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 369(19), 1836–1842. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMr1109877>
- Lee, C. J., Sugimoto, C. R., Zhang, G., & Cronin, B. (2013). Bias in peer review. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 64(1), 2–17. <https://doi.org/10.1002/asi.22784>
- Lee, & Nowell, B. (2014). A Framework for Assessing the Performance of Nonprofit Organizations. *American Journal of Evaluation*, 36(3), 299–319. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1098214014545828>
- Lee. (2014). University–Industry R&D Collaboration in Korea’s National Innovation System. *Science, Technology and Society*, 19(1), 1–25. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0971721813514262>
- Lee, Y. S. (2000). The Sustainability of University-Industry Research Collaboration: An Empirical Assessment. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, 25(2), 111–133. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1007895322042>
- LERU. (2024). *Next Generation Metrics for Scientific and Scholarly Research in Europe*. https://www.leru.org/files/Publications/Next-Generation-Metrics-for-Scientific-and-Scholarly-Research-in-Europe_Paper.pdf
- Leydesdorff, L. (2003). The mutual information of university-industry-government relations: An indicator of the Triple Helix dynamics. *Scientometrics*, 58(2), 445–467. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1026253130577>
- Leydesdorff, L., & Etzkowitz, H. (1996). Emergence of a Triple Helix of university-industry-government relations. *Science and Public Policy*, 23(5), 279 – 286. <https://doi.org/10.1093/spp/23.5.279>
- Lisée, C., Larivière, V., & Archambault, É. (2008). Conference proceedings as a source of scientific information: A bibliometric analysis. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 59(11), 1779–1784. <https://doi.org/10.1002/asi.20888>
- Liu, N. C., & Cheng, Y. (2005). The Academic Ranking of World Universities. *Higher Education in Europe*, 30(2), 127–136. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03797720500260116>
- Liu, W., Hu, G., & Tang, L. (2018). Missing author address information in Web of Science - An explorative study. *Journal of Informetrics*, 12(3), 985–997. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joi.2018.07.008>
- Lundstrom, K., & Baker, W. (2009). To give is better than to receive: The benefits of peer review to the reviewer’s own writing. *Journal of Second Language Writing*, 18(1), 30–43. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jslw.2008.06.002>
- Mammides, C., Goodale, U. M., Corlett, R. T., Chen, J., Bawa, K. S., Hariya, H., Jarrad, F., Primack, R. B., Ewing, H., Xia, X., & Goodale, E. (2016). Increasing geographic diversity in the international conservation literature: A stalled process? *Biological Conservation*, 198, 78–83. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2016.03.030>
- Manghi, P., Bardi, A., Atzori, C., Baglioni, M., Manola, N., Schirrwagen, J., Principe Contributors, P., Artini, M., Becker, A., De Bonis, M., Houssos, N., Iatropoulou, K., Lempesis, A., Loeden, A., Martziou, S., &

- Rodrigues, E. (2019). *The OpenAIRE Research Graph Data Model*. https://openportal.isti.cnr.it/data/2019/416382/2019_%20416382.pdf
- Manjarrés-Henríquez, L., Gutiérrez-Gracia, A., Carrión-García, A., & Vega-Jurado, J. (2009). The Effects of University–Industry Relationships and Academic Research On Scientific Performance: Synergy or Substitution? *Research in Higher Education*, 50(8), 795–811. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11162-009-9142-y>
- Marginson, S., & van der Wende, M. (2007). To Rank or To Be Ranked: The Impact of Global Rankings in Higher Education. *Journal of Studies in International Education*, 11(3–4), 306–329. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1028315307303544>
- Marijan, D., & Sen, S. (2022). Industry–Academia Research Collaboration and Knowledge Co-Creation: Patterns and Anti-Patterns. *ACM Trans. Softw. Eng. Methodol.*, 31(3). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3494519>
- Marques, M., Powell, J. J. W., Zapp, M., & Biesta, G. (2017). How does research evaluation impact educational research? Exploring intended and unintended consequences of research assessment in the United Kingdom, 1986–2014. *European Educational Research Journal*, 16(6), 820–842. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1474904117730159>
- Martin, B., & Whitley, R. (2010). A case of regulatory capture?'. *Reconfiguring Knowledge Production: Changing Authority Relationships in the Sciences and Their Consequences for Intellectual Innovation*, 51. <https://books.google.es/books?hl=en&lr=&id=PgBREAAQBAJ&oi>
- Mattsson, P., Sundberg, C. J., & Laget, P. (2011). Is correspondence reflected in the author position? A bibliometric study of the relation between corresponding author and byline position. *Scientometrics*, 87(1), 99–105. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-010-0310-9>
- Mausser, W., Klepper, G., Rice, M., Schmalzbauer, B. S., Hackmann, H., Leemans, R., & Moore, H. (2013). Transdisciplinary global change research: the co-creation of knowledge for sustainability. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, 5(3), 420–431. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cosust.2013.07.001>
- Mayai, A. T. (2020). Security sector spending and public safety in South Sudan, 2006–2018. *African Security Review*, 29(3), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10246029.2020.1813784>
- Mbaye, R., Gebeyehu, R., Hossmann, S., Mbarga, N., Bih-Neh, E., Eteki, L., Thelma, O. A., Oyerinde, A., Kiti, G., Mburu, Y., Haberer, J., Siedner, M., Okeke, I., & Boum, Y. (2019). Who is telling the story? A systematic review of authorship for infectious disease research conducted in Africa, 1980-2016. *BMJ Global Health*, 4(5). <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjgh-2019-001855>
- Meo, S. A., Al Masri, A. A., Usmani, A. M., Memon, A. N., & Zaidi, S. Z. (2013). Impact of GDP, Spending on R&D, Number of Universities and Scientific Journals on Research Publications among Asian Countries. *PLOS ONE*, 8(6), 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0066449>
- Merton, R. K. (1973). *The sociology of science: Theoretical and empirical investigations*. University of Chicago press. <https://books.google.ae/books?hl=en&lr=&id=zPvcHuUMEMwC>

- Merton, R. K. (1988). The Matthew effect in science, II: Cumulative advantage and the symbolism of intellectual property. *Isis*, 79(4), 606–623. <https://www.journals.uchicago.edu/doi/abs/10.1086/354848>
- Minasny, B., & Fiantis, D. (2018). ‘Helicopter research’: who benefits from international studies in Indonesia? *The Conversation*.
- Mirtl, M., T. Borer, E., Djukic, I., Forsius, M., Haubold, H., Hugo, W., Jourdan, J., Lindenmayer, D., McDowell, W. H., Muraoka, H., Orenstein, D. E., Pauw, J. C., Peterseil, J., Shibata, H., Wohner, C., Yu, X., & Haase, P. (2018). Genesis, goals and achievements of Long-Term Ecological Research at the global scale: A critical review of ILTER and future directions. *Science of The Total Environment*, 626, 1439–1462. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.12.001>
- Moed, H. F. (2010). Measuring contextual citation impact of scientific journals. *Journal of Informetrics*, 4(3), 265–277. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joi.2010.01.002>
- Moed, H. F. (2017). A critical comparative analysis of five world university rankings. *Scientometrics*, 110(2), 967–990. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-016-2212-y>
- Moed, & Halevi, G. (2014). Tracking scientific development and collaborations – The case of 25 Asian countries. In David W. Chapman and Chiao-Ling Chien (Ed.), *Higher education in Asia: Expanding Out, Expanding Up* (pp. 79–92). UNESCO Institute for Statistics. <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000227516>
- Moed, Markusova, V., & Akoev, M. (2018). Trends in Russian research output indexed in Scopus and Web of Science. *Scientometrics*, 116(2), 1153–1180. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-018-2769-8>
- Moed, H. F., & Van Leeuwen, Th. N. (1995). Improving the accuracy of institute for scientific information’s journal impact factors. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science*, 46(6), 461–467. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1097-4571\(199507\)46:6](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-4571(199507)46:6)
- Moed, & Visser, M. (2007). *Developing Bibliometric Indicators of Research Performance in Computer Science: An Exploratory Study*. https://www.cwts.nl/pdf/nwo_inf_final_report_v_210207.pdf
- Mongeon, P., & Paul-Hus, A. (2016). The journal coverage of Web of Science and Scopus: a comparative analysis. *Scientometrics*, 106(1), 213–228. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-015-1765-5>
- Montesi, M., & Owen, J. M. (2008). From conference to journal publication: How conference papers in software engineering are extended for publication in journals. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 59(5), 816–829. <https://doi.org/10.1002/asi.20805>
- Mooldijk, S. S., Licher, S., & Wolters, F. J. (2021). Characterizing Demographic, Racial, and Geographic Diversity in Dementia Research: A Systematic Review. *JAMA Neurology*, 78(10), 1255–1261. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaneurol.2021.2943>
- Morton, B., Vercueil, A., Masekela, R., Heinz, E., Reimer, L., Saleh, S., Kalinga, C., Seekles, M., Biccard, B., Chakaya, J., Abimbola, S., Obasi, A., & Oriyo, N. (2022). Consensus statement on measures to promote equitable authorship in the publication of research from international partnerships. *Anaesthesia*, 77(3), 264–276. <https://doi.org/10.1111/anae.15597>

- Mugnaini, R., Fraumann, G., Tuesta, E. F., & Packer, A. L. (2021). Openness trends in Brazilian citation data: factors related to the use of DOIs. *Scientometrics*, 126(3), 2523–2556. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-020-03663-7>
- Mryglod, O., Kenna, R., Holovatch, Yu., & Berche, B. (2013a). Absolute and specific measures of research group excellence. *Scientometrics*, 95(1), 115–127. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-012-0874-7>
- Mryglod, O., Kenna, R., Holovatch, Yu., & Berche, B. (2013b). Comparison of a citation-based indicator and peer review for absolute and specific measures of research-group excellence. *Scientometrics*, 97(3), 767–777. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-013-1058-9>
- Mryglod, O., Kenna, R., Holovatch, Yu., & Berche, B. (2015a). Predicting results of the Research Excellence Framework using departmental h-index. *Scientometrics*, 102(3), 2165–2180. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-014-1512-3>
- Mryglod, O., Kenna, R., Holovatch, Yu., & Berche, B. (2015b). Predicting results of the research excellence framework using departmental h-index: revisited. *Scientometrics*, 104(3), 1013–1017. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-015-1567-9>
- Nabout, J. C., Parreira, M. R., Teresa, F. B., Carneiro, F. M., da Cunha, H. F., de Souza Onde, L., Caramori, S. S., & Soares, T. N. (2015). Publish (in a group) or perish (alone): the trend from single- to multi-authorship in biological papers. *Scientometrics*, 102(1), 357–364. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-014-1385-5>
- Nakamura, M., Pendlebury, D., Schnell, J., & Szomszor, M. (2019). *Navigating the Structure of Research on Sustainable Development Goals*. <https://clarivate.com/webofsciencegroup/campaigns/sustainable-development-goals/>
- Nalimov, V. V., & Mulchenko, Z. M. (1969). *Naukometriya. Izucheniye Razvitiya Nauki kak Informatsionnogo Protsessa. [Scientometrics. Study of the Development of Science as an Information Process]*. Nauka. <https://books.google.es/books?hl=en&lr=&id=GPP9AgAAQBAJ>
- Narin, F., & others. (1976). *Evaluative bibliometrics: The use of publication and citation analysis in the evaluation of scientific activity*. Citeseer.
- National Academies. (2024). U.S. Science and Innovation Policy | National Academies. U.S. Science and Innovation Policy. <https://www.nationalacademies.org/policy/us-science-and-innovation-policy>
- National Institutes of Health. (2012). NIH launches collaborative program with industry and researchers to spur therapeutic development. *News Releases*. <https://www.nih.gov/news-events/news-releases/nih-launches-collaborative-program-industry-researchers-spur-therapeutic-development>
- National Institutes of Health. (2013). NIH to fund collaborations with industry to identify new uses for existing compounds. *News Releases*. <https://www.nih.gov/news-events/news-releases/nih-fund-collaborations-industry-identify-new-uses-existing-compounds>
- National Science Board. (1973). *Science Indicators 1972*. <https://apps.dtic.mil/sti/tr/pdf/ADA387123.pdf>

- Nature. (2021). Tracking 20 leading cities' Sustainable Development Goals research. *Nature*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-021-02406-9>
- Nature. (2022). Nature addresses helicopter research and ethics dumping. *Nature*, 606(7912), 7–7. <https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-022-01423-6>
- Nederhof, A. J., van Leeuwen, T. N., & van Raan, A. F. J. (2010). Highly cited non-journal publications in political science, economics and psychology: a first exploration. *Scientometrics*, 83(2), 363–374. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-009-0086-y>
- New York University. (2024). University Research Policies and Guidelines. University Research Policies and Guidelines. <https://www.nyu.edu/research/research-policies.html>
- Nguyen, T. V., & Pham, L. T. (2011). Scientific output and its relationship to knowledge economy: an analysis of ASEAN countries. *SCIENTOMETRICS*, 89(1), 107–117. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-011-0446-2>
- Nicolaisen, J. (2007). Citation analysis. *Annual Review of Information Science and Technology*, 41(1), 609–641. [https://tefkos.comminfo.rutgers.edu/Courses/e530/Readings/Nicolaisen citation analysis ARIST 2008.pdf](https://tefkos.comminfo.rutgers.edu/Courses/e530/Readings/Nicolaisen%20citation%20analysis%20ARIST%202008.pdf)
- North, M. A., Hastie, W. W., & Hoyer, L. (2020). Out of Africa: The underrepresentation of African authors in high-impact geoscience literature. *Earth-Science Reviews*, 208, 103262. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2020.103262>
- OpenAlex. (2023). *OpenAlex: A free index for the world's research*. <https://openalex.org>
- Orduna-Malea, E., Aytac, S., & Tran, C. Y. (2019). Universities through the eyes of bibliographic databases: a retroactive growth comparison of Google Scholar, Scopus and Web of Science. *Scientometrics*, 121(1), 433–450. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-019-03208-7>
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2024). Science policy | OECD. Science Policy. <https://www.oecd.org/en/topics/policy-issues/science-policy.html#>
- Otlet, P. (1934). *Traité de documentation: le livre sur le livre, théorie et pratique*. Editiones mundaneum. <https://books.google.es/books?id=NYeCiK3NP0IC>
- Paez, A. (2017). Gray literature: An important resource in systematic reviews. *Journal of Evidence-Based Medicine*, 10(3), 233–240. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jebm.12266>
- Park, H. W., Hong, H. D., & Leydesdorff, L. (2005). A comparison of the knowledge-based innovation systems in the economies of South Korea and the Netherlands using Triple Helix indicators. *Scientometrics*, 65(1), 3–27. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-005-0257-4>
- Perkmann, M., & Walsh, K. (2009). The two faces of collaboration: impacts of university-industry relations on public research. *Industrial and Corporate Change*, 18(6), 1033–1065. <https://doi.org/10.1093/icc/dtp015>

- Piwowar, H., Ferguson, L. M., Schrader, A. C., & Weisweiler, N. (2022). *Open Science Factsheet No. 9 based on the 64th online seminar: OpenAlex*.
- PLOS. (2021). *Announcing a new PLOS policy on inclusion in global research*. The Official PLOS Blog. <https://theplosblog.plos.org/2021/09/announcing-a-new-plos-policy-on-inclusion-in-global-research/>
- Polman, P., & Winston, A. S. (2022). *Net positive*. Profit Editorial.
- Ponds, R., van Oort, F., & Frenken, K. (2007). The geographical and institutional proximity of research collaboration. *Papers in Regional Science*, 86(3), 423 – 443. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1435-5957.2007.00126.x>
- Pride, D., & Knoth, P. (2018). *Peer Review and Citation Data in Predicting University Rankings, a Large-Scale Analysis BT - Digital Libraries for Open Knowledge* (E. Méndez, F. Crestani, C. Ribeiro, G. David, & J. C. Lopes, Eds.; pp. 195–207). Springer International Publishing.
- Priem, J., Piwowar, H., & Orr, R. (2022). OpenAlex: A fully-open index of scholarly works, authors, venues, institutions, and concepts. *ArXiv Preprint ArXiv:2205.01833*.
- Pritchard, A. (1969). Statistical bibliography or bibliometrics. *Journal of Documentation*, 25, 348.
- Provençal, S., Campbell, D., & Khayat, P. (2021). *Provision and analysis of key indicators in research and innovation. Policy brief J, Research trends on the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and alignment with SDG 17 through international co-publications: focusing on SDGs 12–15 plus 6 (Planet)*. <https://doi.org/10.2777/03227>
- Purnell, P. J. (2022a). *A comparison of different methods of identifying publications related to the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals: Case Study of SDG 13: Climate Action*. Zenodo.
- Purnell, P. J. (2022b). A comparison of different methods of identifying publications related to the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals: Case Study of SDG 13: Climate Action. *Quantitative Science Studies*, 1–56. https://doi.org/10.1162/qss_a_00215
- Purnell, P. J. (2023). *Geodiversity of hunger research: country focus and its regional and international actors*. Zenodo. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7593097>
- QS Intelligence Unit. (2019). *QS World University Rankings*. <http://www.iu.qs.com/university-rankings/world-university-rankings>
- QSIU. (2019). *Papers & Citations*. QS Intelligence Unit. <http://www.iu.qs.com/university-rankings/indicator-papers-citations/>
- Rafols, I., Noyons, E., Confraria, H., & Ciarli, T. (2021). Visualising plural mappings of science for Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). *SocArxiv*. <https://doi.org/10.31235/osf.io/yfqbd>
- Rahim, F., Khakimova, A., Ebrahimi, A., Zolotarev, O., & Rafiei Nasab, F. (2021). Global Scientific Research on SARS-CoV-2 Vaccines: A Bibliometric Analysis. *Cell Journal (Yakhteh)*, 23(5), 523–531. <https://doi.org/10.22074/cellj.2021.7794>

- Reyes-García, V., García-del-Amo, D., Benyei, P., Fernández-Llamazares, Á., Gravani, K., Junqueira, A. B., Labeyrie, V., Li, X., Matias, D. M. S., McAlvay, A., Mortyn, P. G., Porcuna-Ferrer, A., Schlingmann, A., & Soleymani-Fard, R. (2019). A collaborative approach to bring insights from local observations of climate change impacts into global climate change research. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, 39, 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cosust.2019.04.007>
- Rey-Garcia, M., Liket, K., Alvarez-Gonzalez, L. I., & Maas, K. (2017). Back to Basics. *Nonprofit Management and Leadership*, 27(4), 493–511. <https://doi.org/10.1002/nml.21259>
- Riley, S., Schouten, W., & Cahill, S. (2003). Exploring the Dynamics of Subjectivity and Power Between Researcher and Researched. *Forum Qualitative Sozialforschung / Forum: Qualitative Social Research*, 4(2). <https://doi.org/10.17169/fqs-4.2.713>
- Rinia, E. J., van Leeuwen, Th. N., van Vuren, H. G., & van Raan, A. F. J. (1998). Comparative analysis of a set of bibliometric indicators and central peer review criteria: Evaluation of condensed matter physics in the Netherlands. *Research Policy*, 27(1), 95–107. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-7333\(98\)00026-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-7333(98)00026-2)
- Rinia, E. J., van Leeuwen, Th. N., van Vuren, H. G., & van Raan, A. F. J. (2001). Influence of interdisciplinarity on peer-review and bibliometric evaluations in physics research. *Research Policy*, 30(3), 357–361. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-7333\(00\)00082-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-7333(00)00082-2)
- Rivest, M., Kashnitsky, Y., Bédard-Vallée, A., Campbell, D., Khayat, P., Labrosse, I., Pinheiro, H., Provençal, S., Roberge, G., & James, C. (2021). *Improving the Scopus and Aurora queries to identify research that supports the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) 2021 Version 4*. Elsevier BV. <https://doi.org/10.17632/9sxdykm8s4.4>
- Roach, M., & Sauermann, H. (2010). A taste for science? PhD scientists' academic orientation and self-selection into research careers in industry. *Research Policy*, 39(3), 422–434. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2010.01.004>
- Rubim, I. C., & Braganholo, V. (2017). Detecting referential inconsistencies in electronic CV datasets. *Journal of the Brazilian Computer Society*, 23(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13173-017-0052-0>
- Rushforth, A. (2023). Letter: Response to Torres-Salinas et al. on “bibliometric denialism.” *Scientometrics*, 128(12), 6781–6784. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-023-04842-y>
- Rushforth, A., & Hammarfelt, B. (2023). The rise of responsible metrics as a professional reform movement: A collective action frames account. *Quantitative Science Studies*, 4(4), 879–897. https://doi.org/10.1162/qss_a_00280
- Sabiham, S., Setiawan, B. I., Minasny, B., & Fiantis, D. (2018). More research needed for responsible peatland management in Indonesia. *The Conversation*.
- Salama, P., Spiegel, P., Talley, L., & Waldman, R. (2004). Lessons learned from complex emergencies over past decade. *The Lancet*, 364(9447), 1801–1813. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(04\)17405-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(04)17405-9)
- Savage, N. (2017). Industry links boost research output. *Nature Index*.

- Schotten, M., Aisati, M. El, Meester, W. J. N., Steinginga, S., & Ross, C. A. (2017). A brief history of Scopus: The world's largest abstract and citation database of scientific literature. In *Research Analytics: Boosting University Productivity and Competitiveness through Scientometrics*. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781315155890>
- Sciensano. (2024). *Projects*. <https://www.sciensano.be/en/projects>
- Seidl, R., Brand, F. S., Stauffacher, M., Krütli, P., Le, Q. B., Spörri, A., Meylan, G., Moser, C., González, M. B., & Scholz, R. W. (2013). Science with Society in the Anthropocene. *AMBIO*, 42(1), 5–12. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13280-012-0363-5>
- Shanghai Ranking Consultancy. (2019). *Academic Ranking of World Universities Methodology*. <http://www.shanghairanking.com/ARWU-Methodology-2019.html>
- Singh Chawla, D. (2018, December 31). Indonesia's scientists voice concerns about the country's researcher ranking system. *Chemical & Engineering News*. <https://cen.acs.org/policy/publishing/Indonesias-scientists-voice-concerns-countrys/96/web/2018/12>
- Singh, P., Piryani, R., Singh, V. K., & Pinto, D. (2020). Revisiting subject classification in academic databases: A comparison of the classification accuracy of Web of Science, Scopus & Dimensions. *Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems*, 39, 2471–2476. <https://doi.org/10.3233/JIFS-179906>
- Sinha, A., Shen, Z., Song, Y., Ma, H., Eide, D., Hsu, B.-J. (Paul), & Wang, K. (2015). An Overview of Microsoft Academic Service (MAS) and Applications. *Proceedings of the 24th International Conference on World Wide Web*, 243–246. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2740908.2742839>
- SIRIS Academic. (2020). *Is EU-funded research and innovation evolving towards topics related to the Sustainable Development Goals?* Visual Essay. <http://science4sdgs.sirisacademic.com>
- Small, H. (1993). Macro-level changes in the structure of co-citation clusters: 1983–1989. *Scientometrics*, 26(1), 5–20. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02016789>
- Small, H., & Sweeney, E. (1985). Clustering the science citation index® using co-citations. *Scientometrics*, 7(3), 391–409. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02017157>
- Small, H., Sweeney, E., & Greenlee, E. (1985). Clustering the science citation index using co-citations. II. Mapping science. *Scientometrics*, 8(5), 321–340. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02018057>
- Spier, R. (2002). The history of the peer-review process. *Trends in Biotechnology*, 20(8), 357–358. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-7799\(02\)01985-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-7799(02)01985-6)
- Stanford University. (2024). *Industry Collaborations*. Stanford University, Engineering. <https://engineering.stanford.edu/get-involved/industry-collaborations>
- Sutterlüty, A., Šimunović, N., Hesser, F., Stern, T., Schober, A., & Schuster, K. C. (2018). Influence of the geographical scope on the research foci of sustainable forest management: Insights from a content analysis. *Forest Policy and Economics*, 90, 142–150. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2018.02.003>

- The British Academy. (2021). *Place-Based Approaches to Research Funding*. <https://doi.org/10.5871/place/9780856726576.001>
- The Russell Group of Universities. (2023). *Russell Group welcomes Chancellor prioritising innovation to drive growth and productivity in Autumn Statement*. Russell Group.
- The World Bank. (2022). *World Bank Open Data*. World Bank Open Data.
- Thelwall, M. (2018). Dimensions: A competitor to Scopus and the Web of Science? *Journal of Informetrics*, 12(2), 430–435. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joi.2018.03.006>
- Thompson, M. A., Owen, S., Lindsay, J. M., Leonard, G. S., & Cronin, S. J. (2017). Scientist and stakeholder perspectives of transdisciplinary research: Early attitudes, expectations, and tensions. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 74, 30–39. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2017.04.006>
- Tijssen, R. J. W. (2012). Co-authored research publications and strategic analysis of public–private collaboration. *Research Evaluation*, 21(3), 204–215. <https://doi.org/10.1093/reseval/rvs013>
- Tijssen, R. J. W., van Leeuwen, T. N., & van Wijk, E. (2009). Benchmarking university–industry research cooperation worldwide: performance measurements and indicators based on co-authorship data for the world’s largest universities. *Research Evaluation*, 18(1), 13–24. <https://doi.org/10.3152/095820209X393145>
- Tijssen, R. J. W., Yegros-Yegros, A., & Winnink, J. J. (2016). University–industry R&D linkage metrics: validity and applicability in world university rankings. *Scientometrics*, 109(2), 677 – 696. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-016-2098-8>
- Times Higher Education. (2021). *Impact Rankings 2021: methodology*. <https://www.timeshighereducation.com/world-university-rankings/impact-rankings-2021-methodology>
- Torres-Salinas, D., Arroyo-Machado, W., & Robinson-Garcia, N. (2023). Bibliometric denialism. *Scientometrics*, 128(9), 5357–5359. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-023-04787-2>
- Traag, V. A., & Waltman, L. (2019). Systematic analysis of agreement between metrics and peer review in the UK REF. *Palgrave Communications*, 5(1), 29. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-019-0233-x>
- Tsinghua University. (2024). *Collaborating Institutions*. Research.
- United Nations. (2014). *The Road to Dignity by 2030: Ending Poverty, Transforming All Lives and Protecting the Planet, Synthesis Report of the Secretary-General on the Post-2015 Agenda*. http://www.un.org/ga/search/view_doc.asp?symbol=A/69/700&Lang=E
- United Nations. (2017). *Global indicator framework for the Sustainable Development Goals and targets of the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development*. [https://unstats.un.org/sdgs/indicators/Global Indicator Framework after 2021 refinement_Eng.pdf](https://unstats.un.org/sdgs/indicators/GlobalIndicatorFramework%20after%2021%20refinement_Eng.pdf)

- United Nations General Assembly. (2015). *Transforming our world: the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development*. Resolution Adopted by the General Assembly on 25 September 2015. <https://documents-dds-ny.un.org/doc/UNDOC/GEN/N15/291/89/PDF/N1529189.pdf?OpenElement>
- University of California Berkeley. (2024). Research Policies & Administration | Research UC Berkeley. Research Policies & Administration. <https://vcresearch.berkeley.edu/how/ensure-compliance/research-policies-administration>
- University of Pretoria. (2023). Research Policy. https://www.up.ac.za/media/shared/1/ZP_Files/s5102_23-research-policy.zp233198.pdf
- University of Technology Sydney. (2024). Research Policy | University of Technology Sydney. Research Policy. <https://www.uts.edu.au/about/uts-governance/policies/uts-policy/research-policy>
- US News & World Report LP. (2019). *How U.S. News Calculated the Best Global Universities Rankings*. <https://www.usnews.com/education/best-global-universities/articles/methodology>
- Valderrama-Zurián, J.-C., Aguilar-Moya, R., Melero-Fuentes, D., & Aleixandre-Benavent, R. (2015). A systematic analysis of duplicate records in Scopus. *Journal of Informetrics*, 9(3), 570–576. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joi.2015.05.002>
- van den Besselaar, P., Heyman, U., & Sandstrom, U. (2017). Perverse effects of output-based research funding? Butler's Australian case revisited. *JOURNAL OF INFORMETRICS*, 11(3), 905–918. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joi.2017.05.016>
- van den Besselaar, P., & Sandström, U. (2017). Counterintuitive effects of incentives? *Research Evaluation*, 26(4), 349–351. <https://doi.org/10.1093/reseval/rvx029>
- van Groenigen, J. W., & Stoof, C. R. (2020). Helicopter research in soil science: A discussion. *Geoderma*, 373, 114418. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2020.114418>
- van Leeuwen, T., Engels, T. C. E., & Kulczycki, E. (2022). Using research metrics in support of assessing social sciences research performance: a comparison of major bibliographic systems. In T. C. E. Engels & E. Kulczycki (Eds.), *Handbook on Research Assessment in the Social Sciences* (pp. 148–161). Edward Elgar Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781800372559.00017>
- van Nes, F., Abma, T., Jonsson, H., & Deeg, D. (2010). Language differences in qualitative research: is meaning lost in translation? *European Journal of Ageing*, 7(4), 313–316. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10433-010-0168-y>
- van Raan. (1996). Advanced bibliometric methods as quantitative core of peer review based evaluation and foresight exercises. *Scientometrics*, 36(3), 397–420. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02129602>
- van Raan. (2021). Coevolution of Field and Institute: The Institutionalization of Bibliometric Research Illustrated by the Emergence and Flourishing of the CWTS. In *Handbook Bibliometrics* (pp. 53–64). <https://doi.org/10.1515/9783110646610-007>
- van Raan. (1999). Advanced bibliometric methods for the evaluation of universities. *Scientometrics*, 45(3), 417–423. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02457601>

- Van Raan, A. (2006). Comparison of the Hirsch-index with standard bibliometric indicators and with peer judgment for 147 chemistry research groups. *Scientometrics*, 67(3), 491–502. <https://doi.org/10.1556/Scient.67.2006.3.10>
- van Raan. (2019). Measuring science: Basic principles and application of advanced bibliometrics. In *Springer Handbooks* (pp. 237–280). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-02511-3_10
- Van Raan, A. F. J. (2005). Fatal attraction: Conceptual and methodological problems in the ranking of universities by bibliometric methods. *Scientometrics*, 62(1), 133–143. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-005-0008-6>
- Vanderfeesten, M., & Otten, R. (2017). *Societal Relevant Impact : Potential analysis for Aurora-Network university leaders to strengthen collaboration on societal challenges*. <https://doi.org/10.5281/ZENODO.1045839>
- Vanderfeesten, M., Spielberg, E., & Gunes, Y. (2020). *Survey data of “Mapping Research Output to the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)”*; <https://doi.org/10.5281/ZENODO.3813230>
- Vanecek, J., & Pecha, O. (2020). Fast growth of the number of proceedings papers in atypical fields in the Czech Republic is a likely consequence of the national performance-based research funding system. *RESEARCH EVALUATION*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/reseval/rvaa005>
- Visser, M., van Eck, N., & Waltman, L. (2021). Large-scale comparison of bibliographic data sources: Scopus, Web of Science, Dimensions, Crossref, and Microsoft Academic. *Quantitative Science Studies*, 2(1), 20–41. https://doi.org/10.1162/qss_a_00112
- von Grebmer, K., Bernstein, J., Alders, R., Dar, O., Kock, R., Rampa, F., Wiemers, F., Acheampong, K., Hanano, A., Higgins, B., Ní Chéilleachair, R., Foley, C., Gitter, S., Ekstrom, K., & Fritschel, H. (2020). *2020 Global Hunger Index: One Decade to Zero Hunger: Linking Health and Sustainable Food Systems*. <https://www.globalhungerindex.org>
- von Grebmer, K., Bernstein, J., Delgado, C., Smith, D., Wiemers, M., Schiffer, T., Hanano, A., Towey, O., Ní Chéilleachair, R., Foley, C., Gitter, S., Ekstrom, K., & Fritschel, H. (2021). *Global Hunger Index: Hunger and Food Systems in Conflict Settings*. <https://www.concern.net/knowledge-hub/2021-global-hunger-index>
- Waltman, L., Calero-Medina, C., Kosten, J., Noyons, E. C. M., Tijssen, R. J. W., van Eck, N. J., van Leeuwen, T. N., van Raan, A. F. J., Visser, M. S., & Wouters, P. (2012). The Leiden ranking 2011/2012: Data collection, indicators, and interpretation. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 63(12), 2419–2432. <https://doi.org/10.1002/asi.22708>
- Waltman, L., & van Eck, N. J. (2012). A new methodology for constructing a publication-level classification system of science. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 63(12), 2378–2392. <https://doi.org/10.1002/asi.22748>
- Waltman, L., van Eck, N. J., van Leeuwen, T., Visser, M. S., & van Raan, A. F. J. (2011). Towards a new crown indicator: an empirical analysis. *Scientometrics*, 87(3), 467–481. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-011-0354-5>

- Wang, Shen, Huang, Wu, Dong, & Kanakia. (2020). Microsoft Academic Graph: When experts are not enough. *Quantitative Science Studies*, 1(1), 396–413. https://doi.org/10.1162/qss_a_00021
- Ware, M. (2008). *Peer review: benefits, perceptions and alternatives*. Citeseer.
- Wastl, J., Fane, B., Draux, H., & Diwersy, M. (2021). Keywords and search strings for generating SDG training sets. *Figshare*. <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.17294255.v1>
- Wastl, J., Hook, D. W., Fane, B., Draux, H., & Porter, S. J. (2020). *Contextualizing Sustainable Development Research*. <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.12200081>
- Westerheijden, D. F. (1997). A solid base for decisions. *Higher Education*, 33(4), 397–413. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1002995623272>
- Wiesmann, D., Biesalski, H., von Grebmer, K., & Bernstein, J. (2015). *Methodological Review and Revision of the Global Hunger Index*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2673491>
- Wilsdon, J., Allen, L., Belfiore, E., Campbell, P., Curry, S., Hill, S., Jones, R., Kain, R., Kerridge, S., Thelwall, M., Tinkler, J., Viney, I., Wouters, P., Hill, J., & Johnson, B. (2015). *The Metric Tide: Report of the Independent Review of the Role of Metrics in Research Assessment and Management*. <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.1.4929.1363>
- WIPO. (2020). *China Becomes Top Filer of International Patents in 2019 Amid Robust Growth for WIPO's IP Services, Treaties and Finances*. Press Release. https://www.wipo.int/pressroom/en/articles/2020/article_0005.html
- Wouters, P. (2017). Eugene Garfield (1925–2017). *Nature*, 543(7646), 492. <https://doi.org/10.1038/543492a>
- Wren, J. D., Kozak, K. Z., Johnson, K. R., Deakyne, S. J., Schilling, L. M., & Dellavalle, R. P. (2007). The write position. *EMBO Reports*, 8(11), 988–991. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.embor.7401095>
- Xu, S., Hao, L., An, X., Zhai, D., & Pang, H. (2019). Types of DOI errors of cited references in Web of Science with a cleaning method. *Scientometrics*, 120(3), 1427–1437. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-019-03162-4>
- Yakubu, A., Tindana, P., Matimba, A., Littler, K., Munung, N. S., Madden, E., Staunton, C., & De Vries, J. (2018). Model framework for governance of genomic research and biobanking in Africa ? a content description [version 2; peer review: 3 approved]. *Open Research Africa*, 1(13). <https://doi.org/10.12688/aasopenres.12844.2>
- Yarime, M., Trencher, G., Mino, T., Scholz, R. W., Olsson, L., Ness, B., Frantzeskaki, N., & Rotmans, J. (2012). Establishing sustainability science in higher education institutions: towards an integration of academic development, institutionalization, and stakeholder collaborations. *Sustainability Science*, 7(1), 101–113. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11625-012-0157-5>
- Yi, W., & Long, C. X. (2021). Does the Chinese version of Bayh-Dole Act promote university innovation? *China Economic Quarterly International*, 1(3), 244–257. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceqi.2021.09.003>

- Yom, S. (2014). From Methodology to Practice: Inductive Iteration in Comparative Research. *Comparative Political Studies*, 48(5), 616–644. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0010414014554685>
- Yozwiak, N. L., Happi, C. T., Grant, D. S., Schieffelin, J. S., Garry, R. F., Sabeti, P. C., & Andersen, K. G. (2016). Roots, Not Parachutes: Research Collaborations Combat Outbreaks. *Cell*, 166(1), 5–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2016.06.029>
- Zahedi, Z., Costas, R., & Wouters, P. (2017). Mendeley readership as a filtering tool to identify highly cited publications. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology*, 68(10), 2511–2521. <https://doi.org/10.1002/asi.23883>
- Zhang, Patton, D., & Kenney, M. (2013). Building global-class universities: Assessing the impact of the 985 Project. *Research Policy*, 42(3), 765–775. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2012.10.003>
- Zhang, & Glanzel, W. (2012). Proceeding papers in journals versus the regular journal publications. *JOURNAL OF INFORMETRICS*, 6(1), 88–96. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joi.2011.06.007>
- Zhang, Y., & Zou, Z. (Stephen). (2022). From academy to industry: China's new trend and policies on academic technology transfer. *IAM Life Sciences*. <https://www.iam-media.com/global-guide/global-life-sciences/2022/article/academy-industry-chinas-new-trend-and-policies-academic-technology-transfer>
- Zhou, & Wang, M. (2023). The role of government-industry-academia partnership in business incubation: Evidence from new R&D institutions in China. *Technology in Society*, 72, 102194. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2022.102194>
- Zhou, P., Tijssen, R., & Leydesdorff, L. (2016). University-Industry Collaboration in China and the USA: A Bibliometric Comparison. *PLOS ONE*, 11(11), e0165277. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0165277>
- Zhu, J., Hu, G., & Liu, W. (2019). DOI errors and possible solutions for Web of Science. *Scientometrics*, 118(2), 709–718. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-018-2980-7>
- Zhu, Z., Cui, S., Wang, Y., & Zhu, Z. (2022). Exploration on the collaborative relationship between government, industry, and university from the perspective of collaborative innovation. *Applied Mathematics and Nonlinear Sciences*, 7(2), 903–912. <https://doi.org/10.2478/amns.2021.2.00174>
- Zi, A., & Blind, K. (2015). Researchers' participation in standardisation: a case study from a public research institute in Germany. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, 40(2), 346–360. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10961-014-9370-y>