



Universiteit
Leiden

The Netherlands

Transdisciplinary perspectives on validity: bridging the gap between design and implementation for technology-enhanced learning systems

Haastrecht, M.A.N. van

Citation

Haastrecht, M. A. N. van. (2025, January 24). *Transdisciplinary perspectives on validity: bridging the gap between design and implementation for technology-enhanced learning systems*. *SIKS Dissertation Series*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/4177362>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/4177362>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

TRANSDISCIPLINARY PERSPECTIVES ON VALIDITY

BRIDGING THE GAP BETWEEN DESIGN AND IMPLEMENTATION
FOR TECHNOLOGY-ENHANCED LEARNING SYSTEMS

Max Anton Nicolaas van Haastrecht

Universiteit Leiden

2025

This document was typeset using L^AT_EX and has the typographical look-and-feel of the classicthesis package developed by André Miede and Ivo Pletikosić: <https://bitbucket.org/amiede/classicthesis/>.

Transdisciplinary Perspectives on Validity © 2025,
Max Anton Nicolaas van Haastrecht

ISBN: 978-94-6506-528-1

Printing: Ridderprint | <https://ridderprint.nl>

TRANSDISCIPLINARY PERSPECTIVES ON VALIDITY

BRIDGING THE GAP BETWEEN DESIGN AND IMPLEMENTATION
FOR TECHNOLOGY-ENHANCED LEARNING SYSTEMS

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van
de graad van doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van rector magnificus prof.dr.ir. H. Bijl,
volgens besluit van het college voor promoties
te verdedigen op vrijdag 24 januari 2025
klokke 13:00 uur

door

Max Anton Nicolaas van Haastrecht
geboren te Bloemendaal, Nederland
in 1995

PROMOTOR:

Prof.dr. M.R. Spruit

CO-PROMOTOR:

Dr. M.J.S. Brinkhuis (Universiteit Utrecht)

PROMOTIECOMMISSIE:

Prof.dr. M.M. Bonsangue

Prof.dr.ir. N. Mentens

Prof.dr.ir. J.M.W. Visser

Prof.dr. F. Dalpiaz (Universiteit Utrecht)

Prof.dr. S.A. Fricker (Fachhochschule Nordwestschweiz)

Prof.dr. A.G.J. van de Schoot (Universiteit Utrecht)



SIKS Dissertation Series No. 2025-01

The research reported in this thesis has been carried out under the auspices of SIKS, the Dutch Research School for Information and Knowledge Systems.

The research of this dissertation was made possible through a European Commission Horizon 2020 grant for the GEIGER project (ID: 883588).

The things you regret most in life
are the things you didn't do.

— Steve Jobs

CONTENTS

List of Figures	viii
List of Tables	ix
Acknowledgements	xi

1	Introduction	1
---	--------------	---

I Problem Investigation

2	SYMBALS: A Systematic Review Methodology	15
3	Respite for SMEs: A Systematic Review	39

II Treatment Design

4	Threat-Based Cybersecurity Risk Assessment for SMEs	69
5	A Shared Cyber Threat Intelligence Solution for SMEs	89

III Treatment Validation

6	Embracing Trustworthiness and Authenticity in Validation	111
7	Validity Criteria for Technology-Enhanced Learning	123
8	VAST: Validating Socio-Technical Systems	147

IV Treatment Implementation

9	Federated Learning for Educational Analytics	171
10	Conclusion: Transdisciplinary Perspectives on Validity	185

Bibliography	193
List of Publications	231
Summary in English	233
Samenvatting in het Nederlands	235
Curriculum Vitae	237
SIKS Dissertation Series	239

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1	The socio-technical ecosystem of GEIGER	4
Figure 1.2	Transdisciplinary research and other strategies	6
Figure 1.3	Transdisciplinary process and engineering cycle	8
Figure 2.1	Depiction of the SYMBALS screening process	19
Figure 2.2	Outline of the steps involved in SYMBALS	22
Figure 2.3	The backward snowballing process	25
Figure 2.4	Visualisation of case study steps	29
Figure 2.5	Inclusions per year for case study	32
Figure 2.6	Comparison of SYMBALS and FAST ² recall	35
Figure 3.1	Visualisation of SYMBALS steps in our review	51
Figure 3.2	Consideration of ADKAR factors over the years	53
Figure 3.3	Visualisation of a socio-technical system	58
Figure 3.4	Summary of STS interactions in our framework	62
Figure 4.1	View on SME cyber-systems	74
Figure 4.2	Data model for threat-based risk assessment	75
Figure 4.3	Data flow diagram of the system	76
Figure 4.4	Metric and countermeasure impact on threats	78
Figure 4.5	Main interface and score calculation process	83
Figure 4.6	Interfaces of all devices and all employees	85
Figure 4.7	Interfaces of user-specific recommendations	86
Figure 5.1	VCDB and ENISA threat rankings over time	96
Figure 5.2	Events shared from MISP to GEIGER cloud	98
Figure 5.3	Incoming MISP data processed by GEIGER	99
Figure 5.4	Process for turning CTI into recommendations	101
Figure 5.5	Phishing and malware recommendations	104
Figure 5.6	Response to a malware wave using MISP data	104
Figure 6.1	The epistemological plane of validity	114
Figure 6.2	The Learning Analytics Validation Assistant	117
Figure 7.1	Systematic review PRISMA flowchart	132
Figure 7.2	Validity criteria bubble plot	136
Figure 7.3	Assessment of validity criteria in inclusions	138
Figure 7.4	Comparison of evaluation theme occurrences	139
Figure 7.5	Most frequently encountered validity criteria	139
Figure 7.6	Validity criteria positioning over time	140
Figure 7.7	Network of co-occurring validity criteria	142
Figure 8.1	Inferences making up the inference chain	154
Figure 8.2	An example CJML swimlane diagram	155
Figure 8.3	An example Toulmin argument	156
Figure 8.4	The grounding procedure of our methodology	157

Figure 8.5	The VAST validation framework	158
Figure 8.6	Mapping inference chain to user journey	162
Figure 9.1	Machine learning architectures	174
Figure 9.2	Accuracy for varying client numbers	179
Figure 9.3	AUC for varying client numbers	180
Figure 9.4	FLAME values for EdNet and KDD Cup 2015	181
Figure 10.1	Research process visualisation	187

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1	Correspondence of dissertation to cycle phases	7
Table 1.2	Research questions of this dissertation	12
Table 2.1	Overview of systematic review methodologies	20
Table 2.2	Quality criteria assessments for inclusions	30
Table 3.1	Cybersecurity metric (systematic) reviews	43
Table 3.2	Various classes of metric aggregation strategies	47
Table 3.3	Statistics regarding the different databases used	49
Table 3.4	Quality criteria applied to inclusions	51
Table 3.5	ADKAR factors and related concepts	53
Table 3.6	Security assessment concepts covered by papers	54
Table 3.7	Different social viewpoints in our inclusions	55
Table 3.8	Aggregation strategy classes in the literature	55
Table 3.9	ADKAR and aggregation strategy frequencies	56
Table 3.10	Socio-technical cybersecurity framework	59
Table 4.1	The variables used within the algorithm	82
Table 5.1	Keywords and synonyms for database search	92
Table 5.2	Extracted data on CTI usage and SME type	93
Table 6.1	Validity criteria quadrants encountered	119
Table 7.1	Search terms and synonyms for review	130
Table 7.2	Validity criteria considered in review	134
Table 7.3	Constructs used for TEL evaluation	137
Table 8.1	Consolidated table of validity types	152
Table 8.2	Mapping of inferences and validity types	159
Table 8.3	Details of our three interviewees	164
Table 8.4	Questions asked during expert interviews	165
Table 8.5	The three axes of improvement for VAST	166
Table 9.1	Descriptive statistics of investigated datasets	176
Table 9.2	Comparison to state-of-the-art results	178

*Alle Sätze sind gleichwertig.
Der Sinn der Welt muß außerhalb ihrer liegen.*

— Ludwig Wittgenstein

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

There are different ways to interpret the above statement by Wittgenstein, but I interpret it as a reminder that while propositions can describe facts about the world, they struggle to express deeper meaning or purpose. While the propositions in this dissertation have brought me to the point of defending my PhD, it is the people in my life who have given meaning and purpose to my PhD journey.

First of all, a massive thank you to Marco Spruit and Matthieu Brinkhuis, for your unwavering support throughout these years. Marco, thank you for giving me the chance to join your group in Utrecht and later in Leiden, and thank you for the trust you placed in me from day one. The way you allowed me to take on a leading role in GEIGER activities enabled me to grow as a researcher and as a person, and I honestly cannot think of many supervisors who would be as daring. Matthieu, you were always a cheerful and creative voice during our meetings and lunches. It is safe to say that the second half of this dissertation would have looked very different if you had not suggested looking into learning analytics and educational measurement for a change. It is even safer to say that I would not have my current job at Cito without your relentless enthusiasm and your support for me when looking for my next step. Thank you both for making these 4.5 years such a smooth ride.

That ride started in Utrecht in 2020, in the middle of the COVID pandemic. Jelmer Koorn, Ellen Deelen, Anna Wegmann, and Jan Posthoorn, thanks for helping me get settled during these strange times. Jan deserves a special mention for also having guided me through my MSc thesis at ORTEC, in a time when programming languages still seemed scarier to me than publication reviews.

In October 2021 I moved to Leiden University, where Bram van Dijk and Tom Kouwenhoven were so kind to welcome me. We organised the monthly LIACS PhD seminar together during my first year, which really helped me to get settled and get to know our amazing colleagues at LIACS. I want to thank Alexandra Blank for always being there to energise the PhD community at LIACS. You inspired me to organise the LIACS PhD/postdoc weekend, first with Nathan Schiele, then with Matthias Müller-Brockhausen. Nathan and Matthias, you have been an amazing asset to LIACS over the years, not in the least through your activism in fighting for the rights of Teaching PhDs.

From September 2022 onwards, I spent two years as a representative for PhDoc in Leiden's University Council. Thank you Lis Kerr and Niko Kontovas for all the work you did and for granting me this opportunity, and good luck to Tahmina Fariaby and Marie Kolbenstetter in the coming years. There are far too many people that I met during my time in the council to thank them all, but thank you to everyone who is going above and beyond to make Leiden University an inspiring home for students and staff. I do want to mention a few people specifically. Janneke Vader and Anita Romijn, thank you for making Leiden a better place for PhDs and postdocs. Pauline Vincenten and Robert-Jan van Ette, thank you for all the work you are doing for our university. Leiden is lucky to have you.

Thank you to all those who have been a part of Marco's TDS Lab over the years, who have welcomed me in Utrecht and later made me feel at home in Leiden. Armel Lefebvre, Chaïm van Toledo, Emil Rijcken, Friso van Dijk, Hielke Muizelaar, Jim Achterberg, Marcel Haas, Noha Tawfik, Pablo Mosteiro Romero, Samar Samir, whether you know it or not, you have all inspired me in your own way.

Bilge Yigit Ozkan, thank you for your support during my first year in Utrecht. You helped me discover what it means to do research. Alireza Shojaifar, thank you for being a great collaborator and for granting me the honour to be a paronymph at your defence. Injy Sarhan, thank you for being a wonderful friend and colleague, and for all the work you did in the GEIGER project, making me look a lot smarter and productive than I really am. I wish you all the best in life, you deserve it.

Maarten Hamming and Bram van Dijk, thank you for supporting me as paronymphs on my final day in Leiden. You seem a fitting duo, with Bram being the longest-standing colleague and friend from my time in Leiden, and Maarten being the longest-standing friend from my time in Groningen.

Finally, a massive thank you to the people closest to me, who were so kind to put in the effort to understand what I was doing, even when I did not always understand it myself. Mom, dad, Thomas, and Ties, thank you for being who you are and for making me who I am. Veerle, I could not have done this without you, and would not have wanted to either. Everything just seems so much easier when I am with you.