



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Irrigating the desert: water management, agricultural practices, and social complexity in Southern Turkmenistan during the Bronze Age
Arciero, R.

Citation

Arciero, R. (2024, December 6). *Irrigating the desert: water management, agricultural practices, and social complexity in Southern Turkmenistan during the Bronze Age*. *Archaeological Studies Leiden University*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/4171706>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/4171706>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Irrigating the Desert

Water Management, Agricultural Practices, and Social Complexity
in Southern Turkmenistan during the Bronze Age

PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van

de graad van doctor aan de Universiteit

Leiden, op gezag van rector magnificus

prof.dr.ir. H. Bijl, volgens besluit van het

college voor promoties te verdedigen op

vrijdag 6 december 2024

klokke 11:30 uur

door

Roberto Arciero

Supervisor

Prof.dr. B.S. Düring, Professor of Archaeology of West Asia, Faculty of Archaeology, Leiden University

Additional Supervisor

Prof.dr. P.M.M.G. Akkermans, Professor of Near Eastern Archaeology, Faculty of Archaeology, Leiden University

Doctoral Committee

Prof.dr. J.C.A. Kolen, Dean of the Faculty of Archaeology and Professor of the History and Heritage of European Cultural Landscapes, Faculty of Archaeology, Leiden University

Prof.dr. R.H.A. Corbey, Professor emeritus Philosophy of Science and Anthropology, Faculty of Archaeology, Leiden University.

Prof. Dr. Mirko Novák, Professor of Near Eastern Archeology, Institute of Archaeological Sciences, Bern University.

Dr.ir. M.W. Ertzen, Associate Professor Water and Society, Department of Water Management, Delft University of Technology.

Dr. J.A. Mol, Assistant Professor in Geoarchaeology, Faculty of Archaeology, Leiden University.

Institutions that financially supported this project and dissertation:

National Geographic Society - Early Career Grant (Grant No. EC-219R-18)

LUF - Leiden University Fund (Grant No. W18327-6-EM)

Asian Modernities and Traditions Grant, Leiden University

To my past, to my future

LIST OF CONTENTS

List of Figures.....	8
List of Tables.....	13
Chapter 1 – Introduction.....	14
1. Introduction to the <i>Bactria-Margiana Archaeological Complex (BMAC)</i> or <i>Oxus Civilization</i>	14
1.1 Chronology of the Region: a Few Notes.....	17
1.2 The History of Archaeological Research in Turkmenistan	20
1.2.1 Landscape Research in the BMAC	24
1.3 Reasons for the Present Research	26
1.3.1 Aims of the Research	28
1.4 Thesis Structure.....	31
1.5 Limitations and Constraints	32
1.6 Summary	34
Chapter 2 – The Geology and Climate of Southern Turkmenistan.....	35
2. Overview	35
2.1 The Climate of the Murghab Region	35
2.1.1 The Paleoclimate during the Holocene in Central Asia	37
2.2 The Geomorphological Setting of the Murghab Region and its Hydrology	42
2.3 Dune Movement and Soil	50
2.3.1 Takyr Surfaces.....	51
2.3.2 The Role of Takyr in Modern Crop Cultivation and Animal Husbandry	53
2.3.3 The Role of Takyr in an Archaeological Context	54
2.3.4 Takyr and Ancient Watercourses	56
2.4 The Local Desert Environment	57
2.5 Traditional Pastoralism and Irrigation Farming in the Murghab	59
2.6 Summary	62
Chapter 3 – The Archaeological Context and Theoretical Framework.....	64
3.1 BMAC Origins and the Broader Context.....	64
3.2 Settlement Systems and Architecture in the Murghab Region	68
3.2.1 The Middle Bronze Age	68
3.2.1.1 Architecture, Economy, and the Political System	69
3.2.1.2 The Late and Final Bronze Age and the “Collapse” of the BMAC	81
3.3 The “Andronovo” Presence in the Murghab Region	84
3.3.1 Excavated Rural Sites in the Murghab.....	87
3.3.2 Urban–Pastoral Interaction and Local Models of Variability	91
3.3.3 Millet Cultivation and Agricultural Variability	93
3.3.4 A Comment on the Terminology	94
3.4 Models of BMAC Landscape Development.....	96
3.4.1 The Early Soviet and AMMD Models	96

3.4.2	Further Models of Landscape Development.....	102
3.5	The Theoretical Context of Hydrological Research	103
3.5.1	The Early Central Asian Context	107
3.6	Summary	108
Chapter 4 – Methodology		110
4.	Overview.....	110
4.1	GIS Analysis	111
4.2	Remote Sensing	113
4.2.1	A Short Introduction to Aerial Photo Interpretation and Remote Sensing.....	113
4.2.2	CORONA Images	115
4.2.3	LANDSAT Images.....	118
4.2.4	Sentinel Images.....	118
4.2.5	Aerial Photos.....	119
4.2.6	Cartography.....	122
4.2.7	Image Analysis and Interpretation	123
4.3	Field Work.....	128
4.3.1	A Short Introduction to The History of Investigating Ancient Channels	128
4.3.2	Previous and Current Survey Projects of the Murghab Region	130
4.3.3	Pottery Clusters: What Do They Represent?	132
4.3.4	The Definition and Categorization of “Site”.....	134
4.3.5	Field Survey	138
4.3.5.1	Ancient Channel Scouting and Recording	139
4.3.5.2	Pedestrian Survey.....	141
4.3.6	Paleochannel Investigations.....	144
4.3.6.1	Hydrological System and Geoarchaeological Approach	144
4.3.6.2	Channel Cores.....	150
4.3.6.3	Channel Trenches.....	151
4.4	Modeling of a Water Channel	152
4.4.1	SOBEK Software Analysis	153
4.5	Isotope Analysis	154
4.5.1	Togolok Botanical Samples	156
4.6	Summary	157
Chapter 5 – Results from the Ojakly Area		160
5.	Results: The Ojakly Area	160
5.1	Overview.....	160
5.2	The Peripheries and the ICW (Andronovo) Presence in the Ojakly Area	160
5.3	The Ojakly Site	163
5.3.1	Excavations and Interpretations.....	163
5.3.2	Subsistence Economy	165
5.4	Results: Remote Sensing Analysis of the Paleochannel Network	172

5.4.1 The Ojakly Paleochannel System	176
5.4.2 Paleochannel Ground Truthing	191
5.4.3 Paleochannel Characteristics	199
5.5 Results of the Field Survey in the Ojakly Area.....	202
5.5.1 Recorded Sites	204
5.5.2 Chronology of the Recorded Sites	208
5.5.3 The AMMD and Soviet Survey Data.....	211
5.6 Settlements and Channels in the Ojakly Area.....	213
5.7 Paleochannel Chronology	223
5.8 Results of Paleochannel Investigations.....	227
5.8.1 Fieldwork Preparation.....	227
5.8.2 Cross-Section Coring.....	228
5.8.3 The Test Trench.....	231
5.8.4 Section Description and Discussion.....	232
5.9 The Takyr Areas in Ojakly	240
5.9.1 Results of the Takyr Analysis.....	243
5.10 Results: Modelling the Irrigation System	245
5.10.1 Modelling Paleochannel 10.....	247
5.10.1.1 Scenario Results.....	249
5.10.1.2 Water Management Scenario	250
5.11 Synthesis	252
Chapter 6 – Results from the Togolok Area.....	254
6. Results: The Togolok Area.....	254
6.1 Overview.....	254
6.2 The Cluster Site Area of Togolok.....	254
6.2.1 Togolok 21	256
6.2.2 Togolok 24	258
6.2.3 Togolok 1	258
6.2.3.1 Togolok 1: Southern Mound (Tepe 2).....	260
6.2.3.2 Togolok 1: Northern Mound (Tepe 1).....	262
6.2.4 The Domestic Economy of the Togolok Area.....	271
6.3 Results: Remote Sensing Analysis of the Paleochannel Network	273
6.3.1 The Togolok Paleochannel System	275
6.3.2 Ground Truthing the Paleochannels.....	284
6.3.3 Paleochannel Characteristics	290
6.4 Results: the Field Survey in the Togolok Area	295
6.4.1 Recorded Sites	296
6.4.2 Chronology of the Recorded Sites	299
6.4.3 The AMMD and Soviet Survey Data.....	301
6.4.4 Settlements and Channels in the Togolok Area	303

6.4.5 Paleochannel Chronology	310
6.5 Results: Paleochannel Test Trench.....	312
6.5.1 Fieldwork Preparation.....	312
6.5.2 Cross-Section Coring.....	314
6.5.3 The Test Trench.....	316
6.5.4 Section Description and Discussion.....	317
6.6 The Takyr Areas in Togolok.....	324
6.7 Isotope Analysis from Botanical Samples	327
6.7.1 Results of the Isotope Analysis from Togolok 1	330
6.8 Synthesis	333
Chapter 7 – Discussion and Conclusion.....	335
7. Discussion	335
7.1 Overview	335
7.2 Climate Change and Local Dynamics.....	335
7.2.1 Micro-Climatic Changes and Social Response.....	336
7.3 Re-evaluating the Local Dynamics during the 3 rd and 2 nd Millennium BCE ...	338
7.3.1 “Dots” along the Channels.....	340
7.3.2 Reconsidering the Manuring Hypothesis in the Togolok and Ojakly Areas..	342
7.3.3 Economy and Settlement Pattern in the Murghab	344
7.4 Center–Periphery Dynamics in the Murghab	347
7.4.1 Settlement Systems and the Problem of Single-Period Occupation.	349
7.5 Pastoralism and Agricultural Practices: Same Landscape, Different Strategies	351
7.6 Agriculture and Subsistence Economies in Rural Areas.....	355
7.7 Stability and Predictability of the Water System	360
7.8 The Workforce and Canal System: a Preliminary Analysis	364
7.8.1 The Murghab Irrigation System and the Mesopotamian Model	367
7.9 Conclusion	369
7.9.1 Towards a “Multiple Adaptation” Model.....	370
7.9.2 Future Directions: a Suggestion.....	375
Acknowledgments	380
References	382
Appendices.....	446
- Appendix 1.....	446
- Appendix 2.....	465
- Appendix 3.....	467
Glossary	481
English Summary.....	484
Nederlandse Samenvatting.....	486
Curriculum Vitae	488

LIST of FIGURES

Figure 1.1	General map of Turkmenistan	15
Figure 1.2	Sites and the neighboring regions of BMAC interaction	16
Figure 1.3	The “core” and the “formative” areas of the BMAC	22
Figure 1.4	Map of the main Bronze Age sites of the Murghab alluvial fan	25
Figure 1.5	AMMD model of the main paleochannels of the Murghab alluvial fan	27
Figure 1.6	Location of Ojakly and Togolok in the northeast of the Murghab alluvial fan	29
Figure 2.1	Paleoclimatic results from Son Kol (Kyrgyzstan)	39
Figure 2.2	Locations of the paleoclimatic records from Table 2.2	42
Figure 2.3	Expansion of the Murghab alluvial fan	43
Figure 2.4	General geological map of Turkmenistan	48
Figure 2.5	The SRTM image of Bayram-Ali Fan and Mary Fan	49
Figure 2.6	Photo of takyr	52
Figure 2.7	Photo of black saxaul	57
Figure 3.1	Plan of the fortified site of Kelleli 3	69
Figure 3.2	Plan of Gonur North	71
Figure 3.3	Drainage pipes found in front of the main entrance at Gonur palace	72
Figure 3.4	The satellite image of Adjı Kui 1 and Adjı Kui 9	77
Figure 3.5	The ceramic typology of Periods 1 and 2 in Gonur North	79
Figure 3.6	Period 2 vessel with terracotta figurines	81
Figure 3.7	Ceramic forms from Takhırbaj 3	83
Figure 3.8	Typical hand-made “Andronovo” (ICW) pottery from the Chopantam	86
Figure 3.9	Location of Gonur N site	89
Figure 3.10	The “Oasis” model	97
Figure 3.11	Distribution of the Bronze and Iron Age sites	99
Figure 3.12	Distribution of Middle and Late-Final Bronze Age sites	101
Figure 4.1	Example of a CORONA image strip	115
Figure 4.2	CORONA KH4 images covering the Murghab alluvial fan	117
Figure 4.3	Distribution of the aerial photos in the Murghab	120
Figure 4.4	Example of a Soviet aerial photo of the Murghab	121
Figure 4.5	Cartographic map, scale 1:100,000	123
Figure 4.6	The AMMD walking transects	135
Figure 4.7	A canal excavated in the vicinity of Togolok	140
Figure 4.8	Schematic representation of walking transects	142
Figure 4.9	Main classification of channel patterns	147
Figure 4.10	Early stage of excavation of the Togolok trench	152
Figure 4.11	Schematic chart displaying the methodology adopted by this project	159
Figure 5.1	The location of Ojakly and its distance from other sites	162
Figure 5.2	Plan of Ojakly site	163

Figure 5.3	Botanical remains from Ojakly	166
Figure 5.4	Faunal remains from Ojakly	169
Figure 5.5	Difference between a takyr and barchan dunes	174
Figure 5.6	Aerial photo of Auchin 1	175
Figure 5.7	The research area of Ojakly	176
Figure 5.8	Modern agricultural fields in Ojakly area	179
Figure 5.9	Barchans area in Ojakly	180
Figure 5.10	The paleochannels identified in the Ojakly area	181
Figure 5.11	Aerial photos of paleochannel OJK_Ch_IXa and OJK_Ch_IXb	182
Figure 5.12	Paleochannel 9 and Paleochannel 28	183
Figure 5.13	Paleochannel 3	184
Figure 5.14	Example of crevasse splays	185
Figure 5.15	Representation depicting narrow lines within canal structures	187
Figure 5.16	Traces of Paleochannel 23	188
Figure 5.17	Settlement plan with the presence of artificial canals dated to the Bronze Age in the Aral Sea area	190
Figure 5.18	Photo of the Paleochannel 10	192
Figure 5.19	Photo of the Paleochannel 13	193
Figure 5.20	Photo of the Paleochannel 17	194
Figure 5.21	Photo of the Paleochannel 9	195
Figure 5.22	Photo of the Paleochannel 8	196
Figure 5.23	Photo of the Paleochannel 1	197
Figure 5.24	Modern artificial canal in Ojakly	198
Figure 5.25	Modern artificial canals surrounding a modern farmstead in Ojakly	198
Figure 5.26	Paleochannel 15 in CORONA images	201
Figure 5.27	Survey areas of Ojakly	204
Figure 5.28	Distribution of newly identified sites in the Ojakly area	205
Figure 5.29	Evidence of kiln fragments at site n.1748	206
Figure 5.30	Site n.1766 with the presence of fragments of kiln wasters	207
Figure 5.31	Main sites identified by the Soviet and AMMD surveys in the Ojakly area	211
Figure 5.32	Sites identified in the Ojakly area according to site typology	214
Figure 5.33	Sites classified for pottery type in Ojakly area	215
Figure 5.34	Intervals in water distance from the nearest paleochannels in the Ojakly area	217
Figure 5.35	Distance to the nearest paleochannel in the Ojakly area according to site type	218
Figure 5.36	Distance to the nearest paleochannel in the Ojakly area of small cluster sites	218

Figure 5.37	Distance to the nearest paleochannel in the Ojakly area of scattered sites	219
Figure 5.38	Average distance from paleochannels based on site type and pottery assemblages in Ojakly area	220
Figure 5.39	Average distance from water for sites with and without kiln evidence in the Ojakly area	222
Figure 5.40	Modern Yurts along a canal in Bairam-ali Murghab region (Turkmenistan)	224
Figure 5.41	Periods of channels activity in the Ojakly area	225
Figure 5.42	Paleochannel 13 in CORONA image	228
Figure 5.43	Trench and coring points in the Paleochannel 13	229
Figure 5.44	Cross-section and core drawings of Paleochannel 13	230
Figure 5.45	South and West profile drawings of the Ojakly Trench along with OSL dates	233
Figure 5.46	Photos of the South and West profiles of Ojakly Trench	234
Figure 5.47	Core n.4 in the Ojakly trench	238
Figure 5.48	Takyr surfaces in the CORONA and recent Google Earth images	240
Figure 5.49	Takyr areas along the Paleochannel 10 in the Ojakly area	242
Figure 5.50	Takyr areas connected to former channels in the Ojakly area	243
Figure 5.51	Average and frequency of takyr areas in Ojakly	244
Figure 5.52	Paleochannel 10 as reproduced in SOBEK software	248
Figure 6.1	Togolok area with the main excavated sites	255
Figure 6.2	The general plan of Togolok 21	257
Figure 6.3	The two main mounds of Togolok 1 and excavated trenches	259
Figure 6.4	Plan of Togolok 1 (Tepe 2)	261
Figure 6.5	Ceramic pipes at Togolok 1 (Tepe 2)	261
Figure 6.6	Image of north trench (1A) excavation at Togolok 1 (Tepe 1)	263
Figure 6.7	3D model of Togolok 1 (Tepe 1)	263
Figure 6.8	Semi-aligned post-holes from Togolok 1 (Tepe1) excavation	264
Figure 6.9	Western section of Trench 1C in Togolok 1 (Tepe 1)	265
Figure 6.10	Botanical remains from Togolok 1	268
Figure 6.11	Fish vertebrae recovered from Togolok 1	271
Figure 6.12	Drone operation in the Togolok area	274
Figure 6.13	Area with greatest concentration of ceramics at Togolok 1	276
Figure 6.14	Togolok research area	277
Figure 6.15	Modern agricultural zones surrounding the Togolok area	278
Figure 6.16	Possible water storage areas in Togolok	279
Figure 6.17	The paleochannels identified in the Togolok area	281
Figure 6.18	The control locations of the paleochannels identified in Togolok area	284

Figure 6.19	Photo of the Paleochannel 1	285
Figure 6.20	Photo of the Paleochannel 2	286
Figure 6.21	Photo of the Paleochannel 6	287
Figure 6.22	Photo of the Paleochannel 7	288
Figure 6.23	Photo of the Paleochannel 8	289
Figure 6.24	Drone image of the Paleochannel 8	289
Figure 6.25	Photo of the Paleochannel 10	290
Figure 6.26	Trace of paleochannel with possible evidence of channel bar	292
Figure 6.27	Trace of paleochannel with possible evidence of multiple-channel bar	293
Figure 6.28	Survey areas in Togolok	296
Figure 6.29	Distribution of newly identified sites in the Togolok area	297
Figure 6.30	The main sites identified by the Soviet and AMMD surveys in the Togolok area	302
Figure 6.31	The total number of sites in the Togolok area	304
Figure 6.32	The Togolok sites classified by pottery type and site typology	305
Figure 6.33	Distances to the nearest paleochannel for sites based on pottery typology	306
Figure 6.34	Intervals in water distance from the nearest paleochannels in the Togolok area	307
Figure 6.35	Average distance from paleochannels based on pottery and site typology	308
Figure 6.36	Average distance from water for sites with and without kiln evidence in the Togolok area	310
Figure 6.37	Periods of channels activity in the Togolok area	311
Figure 6.38	Trench and coring points in the Paleochannel 7	313
Figure 6.39	Coring of the first hand auger core in the Paleochannel 7	314
Figure 6.40	Cross-section and cores drawings of Paleochannel 7	315
Figure 6.41	Core n.7 in Togolok trench	318
Figure 6.42	South and West profile drawings of the Togolok trench along with OSL dates	319
Figure 6.43	Photos of the South and West profiles of Togolok trench	320
Figure 6.44	Takyr areas connected to former channels in the Togolok area	325
Figure 6.45	Average and frequency of takyr areas in Togolok	326
Figure 6.46	Level of $\Delta^{13}\text{C}$ in wheat, barley, and lentils in terms of water stress	329
Figure 6.47	The carbon isotope results from Late Bronze Age crops from Togolok 1	331
Figure 6.48	The carbon isotope results from Middle and Late Bronze Age crops from Togolok 1	332
Figure 7.1	The representation of the 'Oasis' and 'AMMD' models	339
Figure 7.2	Sites dated to the Late Bronze Age that exceed and do not exceed 1 ha	350

Figure 7.3	Intervals in water distance from the nearest paleochannels in the Togolok and Ojakly areas	353
Figure 7.4	The percentage of sites located along paleochannels in the Togolok and Ojakly areas	361
Figure 7.5	Spatial density analysis of the Togolok and Ojakly areas	363
Figure 7.6	The location of the Geoksyur Oasis	365
Figure 7.7	Comparison between modern and ancient shovels from Gonur North	366
Figure 7.8	Illustrated reconstruction of the “Multiple Adaptation Model,”	374

LIST of TABLES

Table 1.1	BMAC chronologies according to the different scholars	19
Table 2.1	Average temperatures in Turkmenistan during the year	36
Table 2.2	Dry periods recorded in the Central Asia	41
Table 2.3	Annual and monthly mean discharge of the Murghab River between 1966 and 1976	46
Table 4.1	Site categorization of the this project	137
Table 5.1	Walking survey areas in Ojakly and associated paleochannels	203
Table 5.2	The new sites recorded by this project in the Ojakly area	210
Table 5.3	OSL samples from the western section of the Ojakly trench	232
Table 5.4	OSL ages (IR50) from Ojakly trench	235
Table 5.5	Water levels reached by the lateral canals in the three SOBEK scenarios	251
Table 6.1	The main botanical species recovered from fortified sites	272
Table 6.2	Walking survey areas in Togolok and associated paleochannels	295
Table 6.3	The new sites recorded by this project in the Togolok area	300
Table 6.4	OSL samples from west and south profiles of Togolok trench	317
Table 6.5	OSL ages (IR50) from Togolok trench	320
Table 6.6	Botanical samples selected for isotopes analysis from Togolok 1	330
Table 7.1	Table with presence/absence of crops in the main fortified and rural sites	357
Table 7.2	Main characteristics that differentiate dense settlement cluster and rural areas	371