

In the line of fire: firearm violence in Europe Krüsselmann, K.

Citation

Krüsselmann, K. (2024, November 21). *In the line of fire: firearm violence in Europe*. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/4170856

Version: Publisher's Version

Licence agreement concerning inclusion

License: of doctoral thesis in the Institutional

Repository of the University of Leiden

Downloaded from: https://hdl.handle.net/1887/4170856

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Propositions related to thesis 'In the line of fire – Firearm violence in Europe':

- 1. There is no need for a theory on firearm violence, but a theory is needed to account for the modus operandi in violence.
- 2. The availability of legal firearms does not determine the *prevalence* of lethal violence in Europe, but the *nature* of lethal violence committed with firearms
- 3. Firearm homicides should not be equated with firearm violence.
- Firearms are not necessary to kill a person, but they enable new opportunities for violence and empower perpetrators who might otherwise lack the means to commit such acts.
- Firearms and firearm violence in Europe is researched too little, and through too few disciplinary lenses.
- Firearm violence cannot be understood fully through quantitative approaches; insight from firearm users – and thus qualitative research - are necessary to understand weapon choice and the meaning of weapons.
- Combining criminologists' research with practitioners' real-world experience deepens the understanding of firearm violence, offering a comprehensive view of its causes and dynamics
- The biggest hurdle we need to overcome in the study of (armed)
 violence in Europe is creating a system that captures detailed,
 reliable and comparable information on both lethal and non-lethal
 violence.
- 9. Open Science is the future of academic research and education.
- Firing at a shooting range should be a prerequisite to studying firearm violence.