



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Plain of plenty: farming practices, food production, and the agricultural potential of the Late Bronze Age (1600-1200 BCE) Argive Plain, Greece

Timonen, R.E.

Citation

Timonen, R. E. (2024, October 17). *Plain of plenty: farming practices, food production, and the agricultural potential of the Late Bronze Age (1600-1200 BCE) Argive Plain, Greece*. Archaeopress Publishing Ltd., Oxford. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/4098113>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/4098113>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Plain of plenty



Plain of plenty

Farming practices, food production,
and the agricultural potential of the
Late Bronze Age (1600-1200 BCE)
Argive Plain, Greece

Riia Elina Timonen

ARCHAEOPRESS ARCHAEOLOGY



ARCHAEOPRESS PUBLISHING LTD

Summertown Pavilion

18-24 Middle Way

Summertown

Oxford OX2 7LG

www.archaeopress.com

ISBN 978-1-80327-855-1

ISBN 978-1-80327-856-8 (e-Pdf)

© Riia Timonen and Archaeopress 2024

Cover: The Argive Plain in spring (Riia Timonen, 2018).

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the copyright owners.

This book is available direct from Archaeopress or from our website www.archaeopress.com

Plain of plenty
Farming practices, food production, and the agricultural potential of the
Late Bronze Age (1600-1200 BCE) Argive Plain, Greece

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van
de graad van doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van Rector Magnificus Prof.dr.ir. H. Bijl,
volgens besluit van het college voor promoties
te verdedigen op donderdag 17 oktober 2024
klokke 14.30 uur
door
Riia Elina Timonen
Geboren te Mikkeli
in 1985

Supervisor:

Prof.dr. Bleda S. Düring

Additional supervisor:

Dr. Amanda G. Henry

Co-supervisor:

Dr. Hanna Stöger †

Doctorate Committee:

Prof.dr. Sofia Voutsaki	(Rijksuniversiteit Groningen)
Prof.dr. Paul Halstead	(University of Sheffield)
Prof.dr. Paul Erdkamp	(Vrije Universiteit Brussel)
Dr. Sarah A. Schrader	(Universiteit Leiden)
Dr. Michael H. Field	(Universiteit Leiden)
Prof.dr. Karsten Lambers	(Universiteit Leiden)
Prof.dr. Jan C.A. Kolen	(Universiteit Leiden)

Riia Timonen

Plain of plenty: Farming practices, food production, and the agricultural potential of the Late Bronze Age (1600-1200 BCE) Argive Plain, Greece

Published by Archaeopress

Printed in the UK

This research is part of the ERC-Consolidator SETinSTONE project under the direction of Prof. Dr. Ann Brysbaert and funded by the European Research Council under the European Union's Horizon 2020 Programme / ERC grant agreement n° 646667.



European Research Council

Established by the European Commission



Contents

Acknowledgements	viii
Nederlandse samenvatting	ix
Curriculum vitae	x
Chapter 1: Introduction	1
Chapter 2: Mycenaean society and economy	5
The extent of Mycenaean Greece and chronology	5
The Bronze Age collapse.....	7
Summary: The Mycenaean period in Greece	8
Mycenaean society in Linear B textual evidence	8
Societal organization	8
Landowners and users in textual evidence	10
Land use in the Argive Plain tablets	13
Summary: Mycenaean land and society	14
Mycenaean economy.....	15
Taxation	15
Palatial production.....	16
Control over the availability of goods	16
Conclusion: Evidence of economic transactions	18
Summary: Mycenaean society and economy	18
Chapter 3: The Late Bronze Age Argive Plain.....	20
Survey and reconnaissance projects in the Argive Plain	20
Surveys in the eastern Peloponnese	23
The Argive Plain settlements.....	25
Mycenae	25
Tiryns.....	26
Midea	26
Argos.....	27
Lerna	28
Nafplion.....	28
Argive Heraion / Prosymna	28
Mastos.....	29
Asine	29
Tsoungiza	29
Other sites.....	30
Summary: The Argive Plain occupational history	31
The Argive Plain settlement hierarchy and subsistence territories.....	31
Summary: Models of the LH III Argive Plain settlement and land use distribution.....	36
The Argive Plain population estimates	36
Summary: Large and small settlements in the LH III Argive Plain	38
Chapter 4: Reconstructing agricultural systems and agricultural potential.....	41
Approaches to agriculture in the LBA Aegean	41
History of approaches.....	41
Specialized processual approaches	41
Settlement patterns and landscape analyses.....	43
Current approaches to the LBA Aegean and subsistence.....	46
Agriculture as an integrated system.....	50
Agricultural potential	51
The approach.....	53
Summary: Modelling Late Bronze Age farming and food production	60

Chapter 5: Late Bronze Age agriculture in archaeological data	62
The geography and soils of the Argive Plain	62
Geography	63
Late Bronze Age landscape changes	65
Modern and Bronze Age soils	65
Terracing	69
Summary: The LH III Argive Plain agricultural landscape	74
Late Bronze Age climate in the Argive Plain and its impact on agriculture	74
Current climates in the Eastern Mediterranean and the Argive Plain	76
The LBA climate in the Eastern Mediterranean and the Argolid	76
The impact of climate on the Bronze Age crop production	78
Summary: Climate as a factor in Late Bronze Age farming	79
Agriculture in the LBA material evidence	80
Agricultural tools	80
Special activity sites	81
Agricultural storage	82
Potential trade of agricultural products	85
Summary: material evidence of Mycenaean agricultural practices	86
Vegetation and food crops of the Late Bronze Age Argive Plain	86
Microbotanical evidence	87
Macrobotanical evidence	90
Summary: The Argive plain macrobotanical remains as indicators of farming and food consumption	100
Animals and animal husbandry in the LBA Argive Plain	103
Textual evidence on LBA animal husbandry	104
The LBA Argive Plain zooarchaeological evidence	106
Summary: animal husbandry in the LBA Argive Plain	115
The Argive Plain population through osteoarchaeological evidence	118
The skeletal analysis of the LBA human remains	119
Oral pathologies	124
Isotope signatures	126
Summary: Diet and health of the Argive Plain population	129
Chapter 6: Farming strategies and the agricultural potential of the LH III Argive Plain	132
Model of the agricultural practices in the LH III Argive Plain	132
Settlement system, political geography and landscape potential	132
The LH III Argive Plain cultivation space	134
Land use distribution	137
Agricultural practices in the LH III Argive Plain	139
The LH III Argive Plain diet	149
The LH III average food composition	150
The nutritional composition of the Late Bronze Age diet	154
The LH III diet analysis	158
The agricultural potential of the Argive Plain	166
Individual production areas	167
The agricultural potential in Model 1 (a and b)	169
The agricultural potential in Model 2 (a and b)	171
Summary: Comparing Models 1 and 2 of the Argive Plain agricultural potential	174
Chapter 7: Reconstructing Mycenaean agriculture and subsistence	178
The Argive Plain as an agricultural space	178
Individual subsistence areas	178
The adjacent valleys as production areas	180
The agricultural potential and the Argive Plain population estimates	182
Crops, texts, and people	185
Reconstructing LH III agriculture in the future	188
Conclusion	190
References	193
Cartographic material	222

Appendix 1: Bronze Age chronological systems	223
Appendix 2: Summary table of the ethnographic studies used in the publication.....	224
Appendix 3: Modern soil fertility classes.....	225
Appendix 4: Bronze Age macrobotanical finds from the Argive Plain sites	227
Appendix 5: Tree and maquis species present in the pollen cores of the Bronze Argive Plain (Lake Lerna and Kleonai) and the Southern Argolid (Limni Thermisia and Kiladha).....	229
Appendix 6: Domestic and wild mammals, fish, and bird species present in the LH III deposits of the Argive Plain sites of Mycenae, Lerna, Midea, Tiryns, Asine, and Tsoungiza.....	230
Domestic and wild mammals, fish, and bird species present in the LH III deposits	230
Appendix 7: Comparative data of ovicaprid sizes and milk yields.....	232
Appendix 8: Stocking rates for (modern indigenous) cattle, sheep, and goats.....	234
Cattle	234
Sheep and goats	234
Appendix 9: The number, age, and sex of the Bronze Age human individuals whose skeletal material are used in this publication	235
Appendix 10: The nutritional values of foodstuffs used in the dietary analysis of this publication	237
Appendix 11: Food consumption, and the production need of different food items according to Diet model 1	238
Appendix 12: Food consumption, and the production need of different food items according to diet model 2	241
Appendix 13: The individual subsistence production areas for different foodstuffs according to model 1 ..	244
Appendix 14: The individual subsistence production areas for different foodstuffs according to model 2 ..	247
Appendix 15: The agricultural potential of the LH III Argive Plain in Model 1.....	250
Appendix 16: The agricultural potential of the LH III Argive Plain in Model 2.....	252
Appendix 17: The agricultural potential of the three Argive Plain neighbours; the Berbati and Nemea Valleys and Asine plateau	253

List of Figures and Tables

Chapter 2

Table 2.1.	Simplified chronological table of the Bronze Age in mainland Greece showing the relative chronological system and the two absolute dating systems commonly used to describe the period (adapted from Shelmerdine 2008a). This thesis mainly uses the relative dating system, but whenever relevant, the High Dating is referred to (see footnote 2).....	5
Figure 2.1.	The extent of Mycenaean assemblages in the Aegean in the LH III period	6

Chapter 3

Figure 3.1.	Known LH III sites in the Argive Plain.....	21
Table 3.1.	List of the numbered sites in figure 3.1.....	22
Figure 3.2.	Major survey projects conducted in the surroundings of the Argive Plain.....	24
Table 3.2.	Estimation of densities of small settlement sites in the surveyed areas of the eastern Peloponnese.....	25
Figure 3.3.	The Mycenaean Argive Plain settlement pattern with subsistence territories and 2.5km catchment areas according to Bintliff (1977b: Appx A, map 2A; map adapted from original by current author). Black triangles represent the main settlements of each subsistence territory, which are defined through Thiessen polygons. White triangles, numbers 14, 15, 16 and 17, represent yet uncovered or undefined sites. The known numbered sites (author's interpretation) are: 1) Mycenae, 2) Malantreni, 3) Schoinchori/Melissi, 4) the Argive Heraion (Prosymna), 5) Mastos, 6) Midea (Dendra), 7) Argos, 8) Magoula, 9) Kiveri, 10) Tiryns, 11) Profitis Ilias, 12) Asine, 13) Kandia, 18) Kazarma, and 19) Nafplion	32
Figure 3.4.	Hierarchy of the Mycenaean settlements in the Argive Plain according to Kilian (1988: 297, Fig. 3; map adapted from original by current author).....	33
Table 3.3.	Size, population, and population density estimates for the larger Argive Plain sites in various sources. The total population is calculated according to the density estimate given in the reference.....	37
Table 3.4.	Population numbers for the sites for which only a size estimate is given. The 200ppl/ha population density by Whitelaw (2001) was used to formulate the hypothetical population numbers in this table	38

Chapter 4

Figure 4.1.	Visualization of the reconstruction model of the LBA Argive Plain agricultural potential.....	52
-------------	---	----

Chapter 5

Figure 5.1.	The geography of the Argive Plain. The map shows the three main streams, Lake Lerna, and other geographical landmarks mentioned in the text. The EH and LH coastline are after Zangger 1991 and 1993, as is the maximum extension of Lake Lerna. The triangles represent the main sites and are in numeric order: 1) Tsoungiza, 2) Mycenae, 3) Mastos, 4) Midea, 5) Argos, 6) Magoula, 7) Lerna, 8) Tiryns, 9) Nafplion, and 10) Asine	62
Figure 5.2.	Parent materials on and surrounding the Argive Plain. The map was adapted from IGME Geological maps, sheets Nafplion (1964), Argos (1970), Nemea (1970) and Korinthos (1970) by the author	64
Figure 5.3.	Landscape changes around Mycenae and Tiryns, adapted from Finke (1988), Zangger (1993) and Fallu (2017: 30-34 and 211-219). The illustration of the extent of the flood deposits caused by the streams Chavos and Vathyrema around Mycenae and Chania is an interpretation by the current author. To the best knowledge of the current author, there are no previous published visualizations of these flooding events	66
Figure 5.4.	Adaptation from Finke (1988: 71, Figure 18). The marsh and lake deposits in the south of the plain, as well as the recent overbank loams surrounding Argos are more recent developments in the Argive Plain landscape. The LH III surface likely consisted of the Pleistocene alluvial fans of the plain edges, and the Bronze Age alluvial deposits, mostly deposited during the EH, almost entirely covering the inner plain	67
Figure 5.5.	Land use potential of the Argive Plain and Berbati Valley. Fertile soils (see also appendix 3) are marked in white. The map is adapted from Ritzou (2013: 66, Fig 2.17) and Drakaki and Sideri (2014: 30, Fig. 2.17).....	68
Figure 5.6.	Potential slopes (steeper than 6°) suitable for terracing without altitude limitations and within 2.5km buffer from the edge of the flat plain.....	72
Figure 5.7.	Slopes suitable for terracing around the main LBA Argive Plain sites within a 2.5km buffer. The Argive Heraion is included in the sites, since the LBA terraces detected in the Mycenae Survey (Iakovidis and French 2003) are known to continue close to the site	73
Table 5.1.	Area of land in hectares that could have been terraced in the Argive Plain region. Areas are based on the slope parameters and calculations presented in figures 5.6 and 5.7.....	74
Table 5.2.	Terraced area in hectares if 30-90 percent of the potential slope area available was used.....	74
Figure 5.8.	Locations of the climate data mentioned in the text. 1) Elliniko, Athens, 2) Kleonai, 3) Lake Lerna 4) Argos 5) Tripoli, 6) Asea valley, 7) Agios Phloros, 8) Gialova Lagoon, 9) Mavri Trypa cave, 10) Alepotrypa cave	75

Figure 5.9.	The wetter (blue) and drier (red) climatic conditions, and the shorter dry periods (dark red) in the Peloponnese according to the referenced studies (source). The period of interest to this thesis is marked yellow. The LBA ‘collapse’ is currently dated to c. 1200 BCE	78
Table 5.3.	Average rainfall in millimetres per year needed for diverse types of crop cultivation in the Eastern Mediterranean according to archaeoethnographic studies	79
Figure 5.10.	Locations of the sources of the micro- and macrobotanical data mentioned in the text. The sites in order are 1) Assiros Toumba, 2) Mesimeriani Toumba, 3) Aliko, 4) Kleonai, 5) Tsoungiza, 6) Mycenae, 7) Synoro, 8) Midea, 9) Tiryns, 10) Lake Lerna, 11) Lerna, 12) Iria, 13) Koiladha bay, 14) Limni Thermisia, 15) Kouphovouno, 16) Agios Phloros, 17) Kotihi lagoon, 18) Pylos, 19) Akrotiri, Thera, 20) Knossos, Crete, 21) Palaikastro, Crete, 22) Chania, Crete, 23) Thessaloniki Toumba, and 24) Archontiko, 25) Salamis	87
Figure 5.11.	The find locations of the zooarchaeological data mentioned in the text. The sites in order are: 1) Tsoungiza, 2) Mycenae, 3) Midea, 4) Tiryns, 5) Lerna, 6) Asine, 7) Fourni, 8) Kosona, 9) Pylos, and 10) Knossos, Crete	103
Table 5.4.	The Minimum Number of Individuals (MIN) in three LH III Argive Plain sites, Mycenae, Lerna, and Midea. The assemblage of Mycenae consists of 99 selected individuals from two separate contexts (Price <i>et al.</i> 2017). The Lerna assemblage includes the Lerna V+VII, VII+V, VII and VII+Class ³² layers (Reese 2008). The Midea assemblage includes the LH III ³³ period, which was published on two occasions (Reese 1998 and 2007). The numbers of individuals from the sites should not be compared, because the Mycenae assemblage represented only a selected number of individuals, not the complete collection. There are further chronological discrepancies between the studies.....	109
Figure 5.12.	Locations of the osteoarchaeological sites mentioned in the section are 1) Tragana Agia Triada, Lokris, 2) Mirou, Lokris, 3) Kolaka, Lokris, 4) Modi, Lokris, 5) Atalanti, Lokris, 6) Kalapodi, Lokris, 7) Zeli, Lokris, 8) Athens, 9) Almyri, 10) Mycenae, 11) Midea, 12) Argos, 13) Lerna, 14) Tiryns, 15) Asine, 16) Voudeni, Achaia, 17) Kalamaki, Achaia, 18) Spaliareika, Achaia, 19) Agia Triada, Achaia, 20) Pylos, 21) Kouphovouno, Laconia, 22) Sykia, Laconia, 23) Armenoi, Crete, and 24) Knossos, Crete	118

Chapter 6

Figure 6.1.	The land area (dark grey) that could be used for agriculture on a slope under 6°	135
Figure 6.2.	Areas (purple) excluded from the agricultural space because of difficult access.....	135
Figure 6.3.	The ‘production areas’ outside the Argive Plain, the valleys of Nemea, Kleonai and Berbati, as well as the plain of Asine, all marked in blue	136
Figure 6.4.	Final agricultural area of the Argive Plain based on topographic and soil variables.....	137
Table 6.1.	Average yields of wheat and barley in various sources. The section above represents yields based on ethnographic fieldwork, and the section below yield estimates for historic and prehistoric time periods	145
Table 6.2.	Yields of species of legumes in the Eastern Mediterranean. All numbers are kilograms per hectare	147
Table 6.3.	Estimations for the yields of olives and olive oil with references. Olive yield is bimodal. The lower figure indicates the yield in the ‘off’ year for olives, and the higher number the main year of production	148
Table 6.4.	Estimations of the average yields of figs and grapes in the Eastern Mediterranean	148
Table 6.5.	The share (in percent) of different foodstuffs in prehistoric and early historic diet in Greece.....	152
Table 6.6.	Amounts of different foodstuffs (kg/yr) in ethnographic studies of rural communities in the Mediterranean	153
Table 6.7.	Basic energy requirements per sex and activity levels as given by the FAO/WHO and adjusted to the LBA individuals according to their reconstructed weights (marked in bold)	156
Table 6.8.	Calculated daily energy needs of children and elderly in a LBA household. The formula for calculating the BMR of children was calculated by the author, while the BMR of the older female is provided by the FAO/WHO. The weights of the children are taken from the mean weights for 3-0, and 11-18-year-olds provided by FAO (1991)	156
Table 6.9.	Recommendations for the daily protein, fats, and carbohydrates intake for an average LBA individual with a daily energy intake of 2400kcal.....	157
Table 6.10.	The average calorific and nutrient content of different foodstuffs in the LBA diet as presented in the USDA database. Further information on the items is compiled in appendix 10	159
Figure 6.6.	Two diet sub-models, a and b, in which specifically the consumption of cereals, meat, and dairy is examined in more detail. The shares of each food item are of the total food resource (cereals, legumes, meat etc.) consumption in diet models 1 and 2	160
Figure 6.5.	The composition of different foodstuffs in diet model 1 as percentages of the total	160
Figure 6.7.	The composition of different foodstuffs in diet model 2 as percentages of the total	161
Figure 6.8.	The annual consumption of different LBA foodstuffs per person in kilograms in diet model 1a.....	162
Figure 6.9.	The annual consumption of different LBA foodstuffs per person in kilograms in diet model 1b.....	162
Figure 6.10.	The annual consumption of different LBA foodstuffs in kilograms in diet model 2a	163
Figure 6.11.	The annual consumption of different LBA foodstuffs in kilograms in diet model 2b	163
Table 6.11.	Nutrient intake in diet models 1a, 1b, 2a and 2b with WHO/FAO recommendations for intake of protein, carbohydrates and fats for an adult resembling the size and level of activity of a LH III person.....	164
Table 6.12.	Variables used in the analysis of the agricultural potential of the Argive Plain, excluding land use models which are based on the diet models presented in section 6.2.3.....	166
Table 6.13.	‘Personal’ plot sizes in sub-models 1a and 1b. The two rightmost columns of the table indicate, how much space (in ha) is required to produce a foodstuff for one person’s annual dietary needs. Since most of the foodstuffs in the LBA dietary model yield varying volumes each year, spatial needs of each product are calculated from the minimum and maximum volumes of their respective yield ranges.....	169
Table 6.14.	‘Personal’ plot sizes in sub-models 2a and 2b, calculated in a similar way as in table 6.13	169

Table 6.15.	Key to yield models in the agricultural potential tables 6.20 and 6.21. All figures represent yields in kilograms	171
Table 6.16.	Summary of the agricultural potential of the LH III Argive Plain, its neighbouring areas, the Nemea and Berbati Valleys and the plain of Asine, and the minimum and maximum areas for terraced fields according to models 1a and 1b. The figures in bold represent population numbers, the main results of the analysis.....	172
Table 6.17.	Summary of the agricultural potential of the LH III Argive Plain, its neighbouring areas, the Nemea and Berbati Valleys and the plain of Asine, and the minimum and maximum areas for terraced fields according to models 2a and 2b. The figures in bold represent population numbers, the main results of the analysis.....	173

Chapter 7

Table 7.1.	The agricultural potential of the neighbouring valleys and plains to the LH III Argive Plain in model 1, calculated in a similar fashion to the agricultural potential of the Argive Plain (tables 6.16 and 6.17), and expressed as population numbers	181
Table 7.2.	The agricultural potential of the neighbouring valleys and plains to the LH III Argive Plain in model 2, calculated in a similar fashion to the agricultural potential of the Argive Plain (tables 6.6 and 6.7), and expressed as population numbers	181
Table 7.3.	Extrapolation of special workforce (smiths and constructors) of the total workforce, and the amount of workforce of the total population discussed in the text.....	185
Table 7.4.	The agricultural potential of specialized production of olives, olive oil, grapevines and figs on terraces in model 1 (a and b)	188

Appendix 7

Table 1.	Bronze Age cattle, sheep and goat wither heights in reference data	232
Table 2.	The average wither heights and weights of modern indigenous cattle, sheep and goat of Greece based on the fact sheets of the Ministry of Rural Development and Food (Georgoudis <i>et al.</i> 2011)	232
Table 3.	The estimations of Dahl and Hjort (1976: 144–45, 164–65) for the average milk yield of small African indigenous cattle	233

Appendix 11

Table 1.	Variables in diet model 1, and in sub-models a and b. The numbers can be best explained by using cereals as an example: in diet model 1, cereals form 75 percent of the dietary energy. The total daily energy need is 2400kcal per person (section 6.2), of which 75 percent is 1800kcal. Of the 1800kcal, 70 percent, or 1260kcal consists of barley, and 30 percent, or 540kcal, of wheat in sub-model 1a. In sub-model 1b, these figures are reversed. The resulted energy need per foodstuff can be used to calculate how much (in weight) of said foodstuff needs to be consumed annually to reach the needed energy targets. This figure equals to the minimum annual food production target per person	238
Table 2.	The consumption requirements of different foodstuffs per person in diet sub-model 1a. Share in g/d represents daily consumption of food expressed in grams, and share in yr/kg annual consumption in kilograms, calculated according to the percentual shares of sub-model 1a. Need after seed loss represents the production need of cereals and legumes after reseeding stock (10 percent of total) is added multiplying the annual consumption need by 1.10. Need after storage loss represents the total production need of foodstuffs for one person after reseeding stock and storage losses (multiplying factor 1.15) are added to the consumption needs	239
Table 3.	The consumption requirements of different foodstuffs per person in diet sub-model 1b. As with table 2 and sub-model 1a, the 'Need after storage losses' represents the total production need of foodstuffs for one person after reseeding stock and storage losses are added to the consumption needs	240

Appendix 12

Table 1.	Variables in diet model 2, and in sub-models a and b. See further explanation in appendix 11, table 1.....	241
Table 2.	The consumption requirements of different foodstuffs per person in diet sub-model 2a. See further explanation in appendix 11, table 2. The 'need after storage losses' represents the total production need of foodstuffs for one person after reseeding stock and storage losses (multiplying factor 1.15) are added to the consumption needs	242
Table 3.	The consumption requirements of different foodstuffs per person in diet sub-model 2b. See further explanation in appendix 11, table 2.....	243

Appendix 13

Table 1.	Key to yield models. Medium yields 1 consists of 600kg cereal yields and the minimum yields of all other foodstuffs. Medium yields 2 consists of 600kg cereal yields and the maximum yields of all other foodstuffs....	244
Table 2.	Spatial requirements to produce the plant crops of diet model 1a. If cereals can be produced 400kg per hectare, and per annum they are needed 164.34kg (including reseeding and storage losses), it takes to produce the needed volume of cereals when fallow fields (50 percent of the field space) are included.....	244

Table 3.	Spatial requirements to produce the animal products of diet model 1a. If 4.67kg of sheep's milk is needed per year, and one sheep produces a minimum 60kg of milk per year, share of that sheep is needed to produce the needed milk. The maximum pasture area to sustain one sheep is 0.4ha. The 0.078th of a sheep thus needs of pasture space for one person's milk production at its maximum	245
Table 4.	Spatial requirements to produce the plant crops of diet model 1b	245
Table 5.	Spatial requirements to produce the animal products of diet model 1b	246
Table 6.	Summary table of the land areas needed to produce foodstuffs in diet sub-models 1a and 1b. Colour coding refers to the individual foodstuffs in tables 2-5 which form the food groups 'cereals', 'fruit crops', 'dairy', and 'meat'	246

Appendix 14

Table 1.	Spatial requirements to produce the plant crops of diet model 2a. See key to yield models in appendix 13, table 1	247
Table 2.	Spatial requirements to produce the animal products of diet model 2a. See key to yield models in appendix 13, table 1	247
Table 3.	Spatial requirements to produce the plant crops of diet model 2b	248
Table 4.	Spatial requirements to produce the animal products of diet model 2b	248
Table 5.	Summary table of the land areas needed to produce foodstuffs in diet sub-models 2a and 2b. Colour coding refers to the individual foodstuffs in tables 2-5 which form the food groups 'cereals', 'fruit crops', 'dairy', and 'meat'	249

Appendix 15

Table 1.	The agricultural potential of the LH III Argive Plain according to sub-model 1a, expressed as numbers of population. The number of people that could be sustained by a specific land area is achieved by dividing the land area (ha) by the individual subsistence areas needed to produce the foodstuffs included in diet model 1a. See appendix 13 for the formulation of the subsistence areas. Land that could be terraced is added to the land area available in the Argive Plain (= 'plain') and neighbouring valleys and the plain of Asine (= 'valleys')	250
Table 2.	The agricultural potential of the LH III Argive Plain according to sub-model 1b, expressed as numbers of population	251
Table 4.	The agricultural potential of dried figs on terraced fields for palatial payment rations where the need per person is 150kg per annum. Since fruit yields, consumption, and production shares are the same in models 1 and 2, these figures apply to both models	251

Appendix 16

Table 1.	The agricultural potential of the LH III Argive Plain according to sub-model 2a, expressed as numbers of population	252
Table 2.	The agricultural potential of the LH III Argive Plain according to sub-model 2b, expressed as numbers of population	252

Appendix 17

Table 1.	The agricultural potential of the three Argive Plain neighbours; Berbati and Nemea valleys, and Asine plateau in model 1. The results have been achieved with the same individual subsistence area sizes as in model 1 of the Argive Plain agricultural potential (see appendix 13)	253
Table 2.	The agricultural potential of the three Argive Plain neighbours; Berbati and Nemea valleys, and Asine plateau in model 1. The results have been achieved with the same individual subsistence area sizes as in model 2 of the Argive Plain agricultural potential (see appendix 14)	253

Acknowledgements

Research into the Late Bronze Age Argive Plain would not have been possible without the support of numerous colleagues and friends, both in Leiden and abroad, and I am excited to finally have the opportunity to express my gratitude.

First and foremost, I would like to thank Professor Bleda Düring for accepting me as one of his PhD students and for providing unwavering support throughout my journey. His fair and intelligent criticism, along with extensive discussions about my research, have been invaluable. I am also incredibly fortunate to have had Dr. Amanda Henry as my co-supervisor. Amanda has a unique ability to organize complicated ideas into clear and interesting plans. Her guidance, particularly with methodology and writing, has been crucial to my success.

I owe a great deal of gratitude to Professor Ann Brysbaert for offering me a position in her SETinSTONE project, enabling me to pursue a career in Aegean archaeology. I would also like to remember the late Hanna Stöger, whose valuable feedback at the start of my PhD journey and joyful companionship on fieldtrips are dearly missed.

The transition to academic life in Leiden was made smooth by my wonderful office mates at the Faculty of Archaeology. Yannick Boswinkel provided in-depth conversations on Mycenaean architecture and life in general, while Victor Klinkenberg was a constant source of inspiration and energy, teaching me new technical and research skills. Thank you for making me laugh countless times. I am also grateful to Daniel Turner for his important feedback on my writing, and for his friendship and unforgettable disco dance moves in Athens.

Throughout my time at the Faculty of Archaeology, I had the pleasure of interacting with many brilliant minds. I would like to extend special thanks to Corrie Bakels for our insightful discussions on archaeobotany, Annelou van Gijn for welcoming me into the Department of Material Culture Studies, and Marie Soressi and Miguel John Versluys for conversations about my future in academia. Additionally, Karsten Lambers provided great advice and motivation, particularly in relation to map-making.

My work has also been enriched by interactions with specialists and pioneers working in Greece. I am grateful to John Bintliff for our discussions on my research and his insights into the Argive Plain, and

to Jari Pakkanen for involving me in his fieldwork projects, which fuelled my passion for archaeology. I have developed my fieldwork skills through projects organized by the Finnish Institute at Athens and the Institute for Mediterranean Studies, as well as through the guidance of Björn Forsén, Apostolos Sarris, and Martti Leiwo. My work within the SETinSTONE project was greatly aided by Irini Vikatou's relentless efforts in data collection, and I had the pleasure of learning about Greek architecture from Dr. Elisavet Sioumpara.

The academic community at the Faculty of Archaeology has been a tremendous source of support and motivation during my PhD journey. Thank you for thesis talks, beers, games of darts and so many laughs shared with Roberto Arciero, Sam Botan, Alex Brandsen, Nathalie Brusgaard, Giacomo Fontana, Maria Hadjigavriel, Eduardo Herrera Malatesta, Harmen Huygens, Shumon Hussain, Mink van IJzendoorn, Tuna Kalayci, Lennart Kruijer, Lena Lambers, Weiya Li, Mark Locicero, Arjan Louwen, Nastya Nikulina, Aris Politopoulos, Femke Reidsma, Martina Revello Lami, Agnes Schneider, Irini Sifogeorgaki, and Andy Sorensen.

I also want to extend my appreciation to my friends in Finland and abroad for providing much-needed distractions from academia. Special thanks to Olli Hyvönen, Sini Salminen, Joona Paukku, Taina Inkeröinen, Marjo Hietapuro, Erica van Hees, Helena Meskanen, Janne Soisalo, Giulia Russo, Tess Paulson, and Manolis Tzortzakis. I look forward to our future adventures together. I would also like to express my deep gratitude to my dear friend Maija Lehikoinen, who is no longer with us but remains one of the most inspirational people I have ever known.

This thesis would not have been possible without the support of my family. My mother, Eija, has always been proud of me and supportive, even though I live far away and don't visit as often as I should. My aunt Marja, who shared her love for Greece with me early on, has also been a significant influence. Although my father Mikko is no longer here to see the completion of this work, his support continues to inspire me in my career and life. I also want to thank my sister Emmi for allowing me to be the annoying big sister and her mother, Irma, for her warmth and insightfulness, which have been a great comfort in recent years.

Finally, I must thank all the cats I have had the pleasure of meeting, petting, and loving over the years - you have brought joy to my days, time and again.