



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

The material side of the energy transition: analyzing flows and stocks of critical and other metals

Liang, Y.

Citation

Liang, Y. (2024, September 19). *The material side of the energy transition: analyzing flows and stocks of critical and other metals*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/4092819>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/4092819>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Chapter 7

References

- [1] World Economic Forum. The 200-year history of mankind's energy transitions. World Econ Forum 2022. <https://www.weforum.org/agenda/2022/04/visualizing-the-history-of-energy-transitions/> (accessed November 15, 2023).
- [2] Fouquet R. Historical energy transitions: Speed, prices and system transformation. *Energy Res Soc Sci* 2016;22:7–12.
- [3] Skone TJ, Littlefield J, Roman-White S, Augustine D, Pegallapati A, G. Zaines G, et al. Life Cycle Analysis of Natural Gas Extraction and Power Generation. *US Dep Energy* 2019:1–374.
- [4] Araújo K. The emerging field of energy transitions: Progress, challenges, and opportunities. *Energy Res Soc Sci* 2014;1:112–21. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2014.03.002>.
- [5] Smil V. *Energy and civilization: a history*. MIT press; 2018.
- [6] Agrawala S. Structural and process history of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. *Clim Change* 1998;39:621–42.
- [7] UNFCCC. Paris Agreement. 2015.
- [8] Rogelj J, Den Elzen M, Höhne N, Fransen T, Fekete H, Winkler H, et al. Paris Agreement climate proposals need a boost to keep warming well below 2 °c. *Nature* 2016;534:631–9. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature18307>.
- [9] IPCC. Global Warming of 1.5°C: IPCC Special Report on Impacts of Global Warming of 1.5°C above Pre-industrial Levels in Context of Strengthening Response to Climate Change, Sustainable Development, and Efforts to Eradicate Poverty. 2018.
- [10] Colasante A, D'Adamo I, Morone P. What drives the solar energy transition? The effect of policies, incentives and behavior in a cross-country comparison. *Energy Res Soc Sci* 2022;85:102405.
- [11] IEA. *World Energy Outlook 2023 – Analysis*. Paris: 2023.
- [12] Pivovar B. Catalysts for fuel cell transportation and hydrogen related uses. *Nat Catal* 2019;2. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41929-019-0320-9>.
- [13] Pulido-Sánchez D, Capellán-Pérez I, Castro C de, Frechoso F. Material and energy requirements of transport electrification. *Energy Environ Sci* 2022;15:4872–910. <https://doi.org/10.1039/D2EE00802E>.
- [14] Azadnia AH, McDaid C, Andwari AM, Hosseini SE. Green hydrogen supply chain risk analysis: A european hard-to-abate

- sectors perspective. *Renew Sustain Energy Rev* 2023;182:113371. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2023.113371>.
- [15] Devlin A, Kossen J, Goldie-Jones H, Yang A. Global green hydrogen-based steel opportunities surrounding high quality renewable energy and iron ore deposits. *Nat Commun* 2023;14:2578. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-38123-2>.
- [16] Hosseini SE, Wahid MA. Hydrogen production from renewable and sustainable energy resources: Promising green energy carrier for clean development. *Renew Sustain Energy Rev* 2016;57:850–66. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2015.12.112>.
- [17] Moradpoor I, Syri S, Santasalo-Aarnio A. Green hydrogen production for oil refining – Finnish case. *Renew Sustain Energy Rev* 2023;175:113159. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2023.113159>.
- [18] Graedel TE, Harper EM, Nassar NT, Reck BK. On the materials basis of modern society. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2015;112:6295–300. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1312752110>.
- [19] IEA. *The Role of Critical Minerals in Clean Energy Transitions*. 2021.
- [20] Andersson BA. Materials availability for large-scale thin-film photovoltaics. *Prog Photovolt Res Appl* 2000;8:61–76. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(sici\)1099-159x\(200001/02\)8:1<61::aid-pip301>3.0.co;2-6](https://doi.org/10.1002/(sici)1099-159x(200001/02)8:1<61::aid-pip301>3.0.co;2-6).
- [21] Candelise C, Spiers JF, Gross RJK. Materials availability for thin film (TF) PV technologies development: A real concern? *Renew Sustain Energy Rev* 2011. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2011.06.012>.
- [22] Helbig C, Bradshaw AM, Kolotzek C, Thorenz A, Tuma A. Supply risks associated with CdTe and CIGS thin-film photovoltaics. *Appl Energy* 2016;178:422–33. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2016.06.102>.
- [23] Houari Y, Speirs J, Candelise C, Gross R. A system dynamics model of tellurium availability for CdTe PV. *Prog Photovolt Res Appl* 2014;22:129–46. <https://doi.org/10.1002/PIP.2359>.
- [24] Nayak PK, Mahesh S, Snaith HJ, Cahen D. Photovoltaic solar cell technologies: analysing the state of the art. *Nat Rev Mater* 2019;4:269–85. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41578-019-0097-0>.
- [25] Wang P, Chen L-Y, Ge J-P, Cai W, Chen W-Q. Incorporating critical material cycles into metal-energy nexus of China’s 2050 renewable transition. *Appl Energy* 2019;253:113612. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2019.113612>.

- [26] Zhou Y, Li J, Rechberger H, Wang G, Chen S, Xing W, et al. Dynamic criticality of by-products used in thin-film photovoltaic technologies by 2050. *J Clean Prod* 2020;263:121599. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.121599>.
- [27] Stamp A, Wäger PA, Hellweg S. Linking energy scenarios with metal demand modeling—The case of indium in CIGS solar cells. *Resour Conserv Recycl* 2014;93:156–67. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2014.10.012>.
- [28] Grandell L, Thorenz A. Silver supply risk analysis for the solar sector. *Renew Energy* 2014;69:157–65. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2014.03.032>.
- [29] Pihl E, Kushnir D, Sandén B, Johnsson F. Material constraints for concentrating solar thermal power. *Energy* 2012;44:944–54. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2012.04.057>.
- [30] Alonso E, M. Sherman A, J. Wallington T, P. Everson M, R. Field F, Roth R, et al. Evaluating Rare Earth Element Availability: A Case with Revolutionary Demand from Clean Technologies. *Environ Sci Amp Technol* 2012;46:3406–14. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es203518d>.
- [31] Alves Dias P, Bobba S, Carrara S, Plazzotta B. The Role of Rare Earth Elements in Wind Energy and Electric Mobility. 2020.
- [32] Buchert M. Rare Earths - a Bottleneck for Future Wind Turbine Technologies? *Wind Turbine Supply Chain & Logistics*. Wind Turbine Supply Chain Logist., 2011.
- [33] Cao Z, O'Sullivan C, Tan J, Kalvig P, Ciacci L, Chen W, et al. Resourcing the Fairytale Country with Wind Power: A Dynamic Material Flow Analysis. *Environ Sci Technol* 2019;acs.est.9b03765. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b03765>.
- [34] Carrara S, Alves Dias P, Plazzotta B, Pavel C. Raw materials demand for wind and solar PV technologies in the transition towards a decarbonised energy system. *Doi* 2020;10:160859.
- [35] Du X, Graedel TE. Global rare earth in-use stocks in NdFeB permanent magnets. *J Ind Ecol* 2011;15:836–43. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1530-9290.2011.00362.x>.
- [36] Fishman T, Graedel TE. Impact of the establishment of US offshore wind power on neodymium flows. *Nat Sustain* 2019;2:332–8. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-019-0252-z>.
- [37] Li J, Peng K, Wang P, Zhang N, Feng K, Guan D, et al. Critical Rare-Earth Elements Mismatch Global Wind-Power Ambitions. *One Earth* 2021;3:116–25. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oneear.2020.06.009>.

- [38] Rademaker JH, Kleijn R, Yang Y. Recycling as a Strategy against Rare Earth Element Criticality: A Systemic Evaluation of the Potential Yield of NdFeB Magnet Recycling. *Environ Sci Technol* 2013;47:10129–36. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es305007w>.
- [39] Baars J, Domenech T, Bleischwitz R, Melin HE, Heidrich O. Circular economy strategies for electric vehicle batteries reduce reliance on raw materials. *Nat Sustain* 2020;2017. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-020-00607-0>.
- [40] Crabtree G. The coming electric vehicle transformation. *Science* 2019;366:422–4. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aax0704>.
- [41] Deng J, Bae C, Miller T. Electric Vehicles Batteries : Requirements and Challenges. *Joule* 2020;4:511–5. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joule.2020.01.013>.
- [42] Greim P, Solomon AA, Breyer C. Assessment of lithium criticality in the global energy transition and addressing policy gaps in transportation. *Nat Commun* 2020;11:1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-18402-y>.
- [43] Kamran M, Raugei M, Hutchinson A. A dynamic material flow analysis of lithium-ion battery metals for electric vehicles and grid storage in the UK: Assessing the impact of shared mobility and end-of-life strategies. *Resour Conserv Recycl* 2021;167:105412. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.RESCONREC.2021.105412>.
- [44] Olivetti EA, Ceder G, Gaustad GG, Fu X. Lithium-Ion Battery Supply Chain Considerations: Analysis of Potential Bottlenecks in Critical Metals. *Joule* 2017;1:229–43. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joule.2017.08.019>.
- [45] Vikström H, Davidsson S, Höök M. Lithium availability and future production outlooks. *Appl Energy* 2013;110:252–66. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2013.04.005>.
- [46] Clapp M, Zalitis CM, Ryan M. Perspectives on current and future iridium demand and iridium oxide catalysts for PEM water electrolysis. *Catal Today* 2023;420:114140. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cattod.2023.114140>.
- [47] Minke C, Suermann M, Bensmann B, Hanke-Rauschenbach R. Is iridium demand a potential bottleneck in the realization of large-scale PEM water electrolysis? *Int J Hydrog Energy* 2021;46:23581–90. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.IJHYDENE.2021.04.174>.
- [48] Rasmussen KD, Wenzel H, Bangs C, Petavratzi E, Liu G. Platinum Demand and Potential Bottlenecks in the Global Green

- Transition: A Dynamic Material Flow Analysis. *Environ Sci Technol* 2019;acs.est.9b01912.
<https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b01912>.
- [49] Saurat M, Bringezu S. Platinum Group Metal Flows of Europe, Part II: Exploring the Technological and Institutional Potential for Reducing Environmental Impacts. *J Ind Ecol* 2009;13:406–21.
- [50] Hao H, Geng Y, Tate JE, Liu F, Sun X, Mu Z, et al. Securing Platinum-Group Metals for Transport Low-Carbon Transition. *One Earth* 2019;1:117–25.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oneear.2019.08.012>.
- [51] Nansai K, Nakajima K, Kagawa S, Kondo Y, Shigetomi Y, Suh S. Global Mining Risk Footprint of Critical Metals Necessary for Low- Carbon Technologies : The Case of Neodymium , Cobalt , and Platinum in Japan 2015. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es504255r>.
- [52] Nansai K, Nakajima K, Kagawa S, Kondo Y, Suh S, Shigetomi Y, et al. Global flows of critical metals necessary for low-carbon technologies: The case of neodymium, cobalt, and platinum. *Environ Sci Technol* 2014;48:1391–400.
<https://doi.org/10.1021/es4033452>.
- [53] Bauer D, Diamond D, Li J, Sandalow D, Telleen P, Wanner B. US Department of Energy Critical Materials Strategy. 2010.
- [54] DOE. Critical materials strategy. 2011.
- [55] Erdmann L, Graedel TE. Criticality of Non-Fuel Minerals: A Review of Major Approaches and Analyses. *Environ Sci Technol* 2011;45:7620–30. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es200563g>.
- [56] Graedel TE, Barr R, Chandler C, Chase T, Choi J, Christoffersen L, et al. Methodology of Metal Criticality Determination. *Environ Sci Technol* 2012;46:1063–70.
<https://doi.org/10.1021/es203534z>.
- [57] Elshkaki A, Graedel TE. Solar cell metals and their hosts: A tale of oversupply and undersupply. *Appl Energy* 2015;158:167–77.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2015.08.066>.
- [58] Fizaine F. Byproduct production of minor metals: Threat or opportunity for the development of clean technologies? The PV sector as an illustration. *Resour Policy* 2013;38:373–83.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resourpol.2013.05.002>.
- [59] Frenzel M, Mikolajczak C, Reuter MA, Gutzmer J. Quantifying the relative availability of high-tech by-product metals – The cases of gallium , germanium and indium. *Resour Policy*

- 2017;52:327–35.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resourpol.2017.04.008>.
- [60] Løvik AN, Restrepo E, Müller DB. Byproduct Metal Availability Constrained by Dynamics of Carrier Metal Cycle: The Gallium-Aluminum Example. *Environ Sci Technol* 2016;50:8453–61. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b02396>.
- [61] Nassar NT, Graedel TE, Harper EM. By-product metals are technologically essential but have problematic supply. *Sci Adv* 2015;1:e1400180. <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1400180>.
- [62] Alonso E, Gregory J, Field F, Kirchain R. Material availability and the supply chain: Risks, effects, and responses. *Environ Sci Technol* 2007;41:6649–56. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es070159c>.
- [63] Bhuiwala K, Kirchain RE, Olivetti EA, Roth R. Quantifying the drivers of long-term prices in materials supply chains. *J Ind Ecol* 2023;27:141–54. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jiec.13355>.
- [64] Dewulf J, Blengini GA, Pennington D, Nuss P, Nassar NT. Criticality on the international scene: Quo vadis? *Resour Policy* 2016;50:169–76.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resourpol.2016.09.008>.
- [65] Graedel T e., Gunn G, Tercero Espinoza L. Metal resources, use and Criticality. *Crit. Met. Handb.*, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 2014, p. 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118755341.ch1>.
- [66] Hayes SM, McCullough EA. Critical minerals: A review of elemental trends in comprehensive criticality studies. *Resour Policy* 2018;59. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resourpol.2018.06.015>.
- [67] McNulty BA, Jowitt SM. iScience II Barriers to and uncertainties in understanding and quantifying global critical mineral and element supply. *ISCIENCE* 2021;24:102809.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2021.102809>.
- [68] Mudd GM, Jowitt SM, Werner TT. Global platinum group element resources, reserves and mining – A critical assessment. *Sci Total Environ* 2018;622–623:614–25.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.11.350>.
- [69] Nassar NT, Brainard J, Gulley A, Manley R, Matos G, Lederer G, et al. Evaluating the mineral commodity supply risk of the U.S. manufacturing sector. *Sci Adv* 2020;6:eaay8647.
<https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aay8647>.
- [70] Sprecher B, Kleijn R. Tackling material constraints on the exponential growth of the energy transition. *One Earth* 2021;4:335–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oneear.2021.02.020>.

- [71] Graedel TE. On the Future Availability of the Energy Metals. *Annu Rev Mater Res* 2011;41:323–35. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-matsci-062910-095759>.
- [72] Habib K, Hamelin L, Wenzel H. A dynamic perspective of the geopolitical supply risk of metals. *J Clean Prod* 2016;133:850–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.05.118>.
- [73] Månberger A, Johansson B. The geopolitics of metals and metalloids used for the renewable energy transition. *Energy Strategy Rev* 2019;26:100394. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esr.2019.100394>.
- [74] Nassar NT, Lederer GW, Brainard JL, Padilla AJ, Lessard JD. Rock-to-Metal Ratio: A Foundational Metric for Understanding Mine Wastes. *Environ Sci Technol* 2021;2022:6721. https://doi.org/10.1021/ACS.EST.1C07875/ASSET/IMAGES/LARGE/ES1C07875_0006.JPEG.
- [75] Prior T, Giurco D, Mudd G, Mason L, Behrisch J. Resource depletion, peak minerals and the implications for sustainable resource management. *Glob Environ Change* 2012;22:577–87. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2011.08.009>.
- [76] Chen W-Q, Graedel TE. Anthropogenic Cycles of the Elements: A Critical Review. *Environ Sci Technol* 2012;46:8574–86. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es3010333>.
- [77] Graedel TE. Grand Challenges in Metal Life Cycles. *Nat Resour Res* 2018;27:181–90. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11053-017-9333-8>.
- [78] Hashimoto S, Moriguchi Y. Proposal of six indicators of material cycles for describing society’s metabolism: from the viewpoint of material flow analysis. *Resour Conserv Recycl* 2004;40:185–200.
- [79] Müller DB, Wang T, Duval B, Graedel TE. Exploring the engine of anthropogenic iron cycles. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2006;103:16111–6. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0603375103>.
- [80] Brunner PH, Rechberger H. Methodology of MFA. *Pract Handb Mater Flow Anal* Lewis Publ Boca Raton FL USA 2004:34–166.
- [81] Müller E, Hilty LM, Widmer R, Schluep M, Faulstich M. Modeling Metal Stocks and Flows: A Review of Dynamic Material Flow Analysis Methods. *Environ Sci Technol* 2014;48:2102–13. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es403506a>.
- [82] Van der Voet E. Substance flow analysis methodology. *Handb Ind Ecol* 2002:91–101.

- [83] Graedel TE. Material flow analysis from origin to evolution. *Environ Sci Technol* 2019;53:12188–96.
- [84] Hendriks C, Obernosterer R, Müller D, Kytzia S, Baccini P, Brunner PH. Material flow analysis: a tool to support environmental policy decision making. Case-studies on the city of Vienna and the Swiss lowlands. *Local Environ* 2000;5:311–28.
- [85] Liu G, Müller DB. Centennial Evolution of Aluminum In-Use Stocks on Our Aluminized Planet. *Environ Sci Technol* 2013;47:4882–8. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es305108p>.
- [86] Müller DB. Stock dynamics for forecasting material flows—Case study for housing in The Netherlands. *Ecol Econ* 2006;59:142–56. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2005.09.025>.
- [87] Harper EM, Kavlak G, Graedel TE. Tracking the Metal of the Goblins: Cobalt’s Cycle of Use. *Environ Sci Technol* 2012;46:1079–86. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es201874e>.
- [88] Peiró LT, Méndez GV, Ayres RU. Material flow analysis of scarce metals: Sources, functions, end-uses and aspects for future supply. *Environ Sci Technol* 2013;47:2939–47.
- [89] Schipper BW, Lin HC, Meloni MA, Wansleben K, Heijungs R, van der Voet E. Estimating global copper demand until 2100 with regression and stock dynamics. *Resour Conserv Recycl* 2018;132:28–36. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2018.01.004>.
- [90] Wang T, Müller DB, Hashimoto S. The ferrous find counting iron and steel stocks in China’s economy. *J Ind Ecol* 2015;19:877–89. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jiec.12319>.
- [91] Elshkaki A, Graedel TE. Dynamic analysis of the global metals flows and stocks in electricity generation technologies. *J Clean Prod* 2013;59:260–73. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2013.07.003>.
- [92] Lennon A, Lunardi M, Hallam B, Dias PR. The aluminium demand risk of terawatt photovoltaics for net zero emissions by 2050. *Nat Sustain* 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-021-00838-9>.
- [93] Li F, Ye Z, Xiao X, Xu J, Liu G. Material stocks and flows of power infrastructure development in China. *Resour Conserv Recycl* 2020;160:104906. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2020.104906>.

- [94] Elshkaki A, Graedel TE, Ciacci L, Reck BK. Resource Demand Scenarios for the Major Metals. *Environ Sci Technol* 2018;52. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b05154>.
- [95] Junne T, Wulff N, Breyer C, Naegler T. Critical materials in global low-carbon energy scenarios: The case for neodymium, dysprosium, lithium, and cobalt. *Energy* 2020;211. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ENERGY.2020.118532>.
- [96] Stamp A, Wäger PA, Hellweg S. Linking energy scenarios with metal demand modeling-The case of indium in CIGS solar cells. *Resour Conserv Recycl* 2014;93:156–67. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2014.10.012>.
- [97] de Koning A, Kleijn R, Huppes G, Sprecher B, van Engelen G, Tukker A. Metal supply constraints for a low-carbon economy? *Resour Conserv Recycl* 2018;129. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2017.10.040>.
- [98] Deetman SP. Stock-driven scenarios on global material demand: the story of a lifetime. Leiden University, 2021.
- [99] Gerst MD. Linking material flow analysis and resource policy via future scenarios of in-use stock: an example for copper. *Environ Sci Technol* 2009;43:6320–5.
- [100] Van der Voet E, Van Oers L, Verboon M, Kuipers K. Environmental implications of future demand scenarios for metals: methodology and application to the case of seven major metals. *J Ind Ecol* 2019;23:141–55.
- [101] O’Neill BC, Kriegler E, Ebi KL, Kemp-Benedict E, Riahi K, Rothman DS, et al. The roads ahead: Narratives for shared socioeconomic pathways describing world futures in the 21st century. *Glob Environ Change* 2017;42:169–80.
- [102] O’Neill BC, Kriegler E, Riahi K, Ebi KL, Hallegatte S, Carter TR, et al. A new scenario framework for climate change research: the concept of shared socioeconomic pathways. *Clim Change* 2014;122:387–400.
- [103] Riahi K, van Vuuren DP, Kriegler E, Edmonds J, O’Neill BC, Fujimori S, et al. The Shared Socioeconomic Pathways and their energy, land use, and greenhouse gas emissions implications: An overview. *Glob Environ Change* 2017;42:153–68. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2016.05.009>.
- [104] IPCC. Sixth Assessment Report. 2021.
- [105] IEA. Global Energy and Climate Model. Paris: IEA; 2023.
- [106] IEA. World Energy Outlook 2022 2022.
- [107] IEA. World Energy Outlook 2020. Paris: 2020.

- [108] IEA. World Energy Outlook 2021. Paris: IEA; 2021.
- [109] IEA. Energy Technology Perspectives 2020. 2020.
- [110] IEA. Energy Technology Perspectives 2023. Paris: 2023.
- [111] IEA. Net Zero by 2050: A Roadmap for the Global Energy Sector 2021.
- [112] PBL. The 2021 SSP scenarios of the IMAGE 3.2 model. PBL Neth Environ Assess Agency 2021.
<https://www.pbl.nl/en/publications/the-2021-ssp-scenarios-of-the-image-32-model> (accessed August 2, 2023).
- [113] Stehfest E, van Vuuren D, Bouwman L, Kram T. Integrated assessment of global environmental change with IMAGE 3.0: Model description and policy applications. Netherlands Environmental Assessment Agency (PBL); 2014.
- [114] van Vuuren DP, Carter TR. Climate and socio-economic scenarios for climate change research and assessment: reconciling the new with the old. *Clim Change* 2014;122:415–29. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-013-0974-2>.
- [115] Grubler A, Wilson C, Bento N, Boza-Kiss B, Krey V, McCollum DL, et al. A low energy demand scenario for meeting the 1.5 °C target and sustainable development goals without negative emission technologies. *Nat Energy* 2018;3:515–27. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41560-018-0172-6>.
- [116] Barrett J, Pye S, Betts-Davies S, Broad O, Price J, Eyre N, et al. Energy demand reduction options for meeting national zero-emission targets in the United Kingdom. *Nat Energy* 2022 78 2022;7:726–35. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41560-022-01057-y>.
- [117] Büchs M, Cass N, Mullen C, Lucas K, Ivanova D. Emissions savings from equitable energy demand reduction. *Nat Energy* 2023;8:758–69. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41560-023-01283-y>.
- [118] Ivanova D, Barrett J, Wiedenhofer D, Macura B, Callaghan M, Creutzig F. Quantifying the potential for climate change mitigation of consumption options. *Environ Res Lett* 2020;15:093001. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ab8589>.
- [119] Mastrucci A, Niamir L, Boza-Kiss B, Bento N, Wiedenhofer D, Streeck J, et al. Modeling Low Energy Demand Futures for Buildings: Current State and Research Needs. *Annu Rev Environ Resour* 2023;48:761–92.
<https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-environ-112321-102921>.
- [120] van Vuuren DP, Stehfest E, Gernaat DEHJ, van den Berg M, Bijl DL, de Boer HS, et al. Alternative pathways to the 1.5 °C target reduce the need for negative emission technologies. *Nat*

- Clim Change 2018;8:391–7. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-018-0119-8>.
- [121] Bontempi E. A new approach for evaluating the sustainability of raw materials substitution based on embodied energy and the CO₂ footprint. *J Clean Prod* 2017;162:162–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.06.028>.
- [122] Månberger A, Stenqvist B. Global metal flows in the renewable energy transition: Exploring the effects of substitutes, technological mix and development. *Energy Policy* 2018;119:226–41. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2018.04.056>.
- [123] Modaresi R, Pauliuk S, N. Løvik A, B. Müller D. Global Carbon Benefits of Material Substitution in Passenger Cars until 2050 and the Impact on the Steel and Aluminum Industries. *Environ Sci Technol* 2014;48:10776–84. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es502930w>.
- [124] Pavel CC, Lacal-Arántegui R, Marmier A, Schüller D, Tzimas E, Buchert M, et al. Substitution strategies for reducing the use of rare earths in wind turbines. *Resour Policy* 2017;52:349–57. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.RESOURPOL.2017.04.010>.
- [125] Riba J-R, López-Torres C, Romeral L, Garcia A. Rare-earth-free propulsion motors for electric vehicles: A technology review. *Renew Sustain Energy Rev* 2016;57:367–79.
- [126] Zheng S, Zhu X, Xiang Z, Xu L, Zhang L, Lee CH. Technology trends, challenges, and opportunities of reduced-rare-earth PM motor for modern electric vehicles. *Green Energy Intell Transp* 2022;1:100012.
- [127] Zeng X, Li M, Abd El-Hady D, Alshitari W, Al-Bogami AS, Lu J, et al. Commercialization of Lithium Battery Technologies for Electric Vehicles. *Adv Energy Mater* 2019;9:1900161. <https://doi.org/10.1002/aenm.201900161>.
- [128] Ohno S, Zeier WG. Sodium is the new lithium. *Nat Energy* 2022;7:686–7. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41560-022-01084-9>.
- [129] Usiskin R, Lu Y, Popovic J, Law M, Balaya P, Hu Y-S, et al. Fundamentals, status and promise of sodium-based batteries. *Nat Rev Mater* 2021;6:1020–35. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41578-021-00324-w>.
- [130] Tercero Espinoza L, Schrijvers D, Chen W-Q, Dewulf J, Eggert R, Goddin J, et al. Greater circularity leads to lower criticality, and other links between criticality and the circular economy. *Resour Conserv Recycl* 2020;159:104718. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2020.104718>.

- [131] Andersen MS. An introductory note on the environmental economics of the circular economy. *Sustain Sci* 2007;2:133–40. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11625-006-0013-6>.
- [132] Bocken NMP, de Pauw I, Bakker C, van der Grinten B. Product design and business model strategies for a circular economy. *J Ind Prod Eng* 2016;33:308–20. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21681015.2016.1172124>.
- [133] Ekins P, Domenech Aparisi T, Drummond P, Bleischwitz R, Hughes N, Lotti L. *The circular economy: What, why, how and where 2020*.
- [134] Ghisellini P, Cialani C, Ulgiati S. A review on circular economy: the expected transition to a balanced interplay of environmental and economic systems. *J Clean Prod* 2016;114:11–32. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.09.007>.
- [135] MacArthur E. *Towards the circular economy: Accelerating the scale-up across global supply chains, 2014*.
- [136] UNEP. *Decoupling Natural Resource Use and Environmental Impacts from Economic Growth*. UNEP; 2011.
- [137] Yuan Z, Bi J, Moriguchi Y. The circular economy: A new development strategy in China. *J Ind Ecol* 2006;10:4–8.
- [138] Babbitt CW, Althaf S, Cruz Rios F, Bilec MM, Graedel TE. The role of design in circular economy solutions for critical materials. *One Earth* 2021;4:353–62. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oneear.2021.02.014>.
- [139] Cimprich A, Young SB, Schrijvers D, Ku AY, Hagelüken C, Christmann P, et al. The role of industrial actors in the circular economy for critical raw materials: a framework with case studies across a range of industries. *Miner Econ* 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13563-022-00304-8>.
- [140] Lapko Y, Trianni A, Nuur C, Masi D. In Pursuit of Closed-Loop Supply Chains for Critical Materials: An Exploratory Study in the Green Energy Sector. *J Ind Ecol* 2019;23:182–96. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jiec.12741>.
- [141] Van Ewijk S, Ashton WS, Berrill P, Cao Z, Chertow M, Chopra SS, et al. *10 insights from industrial ecology for the circular economy 2023*.
- [142] Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. *Global Warming of 1.5°C*. 2019.
- [143] Johnston RB. Arsenic and the 2030 Agenda for sustainable development. 2016. <https://doi.org/10.1201/b20466-7>.

- [144] IRENA. Global energy transformation: A roadmap to 2050 (2019 edition). 2019.
- [145] Sovacool BK, Ali SH, Bazilian M, Radley B, Nemery B, Okatz J, et al. Sustainable minerals and metals for a low-carbon future. *Science* 2020;367:30–3. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaz6003>.
- [146] Vidal O, Goffé B, Arndt N. Metals for a low-carbon society. *Nat Geosci* 2013;6:894–6. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1993>.
- [147] Ali SH, Giurco D, arndt N, Nickless E, Brown G, Demetriades alecos, et al. Mineral supply for sustainable develop- ment requires resource governance 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature21359>.
- [148] Kleijn R, van der Voet E, Kramer GJ, van Oers L, van der Giesen C. Metal requirements of low-carbon power generation. *Energy* 2011;36:5640–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2011.07.003>.
- [149] UKERC. Materials availability for low-carbon technologies : An assessment of the evidence 2014:1–48.
- [150] Tukker A. Rare Earth Elements Supply Restrictions: Market Failures, Not Scarcity, Hamper Their Current Use in High-Tech Applications. *Environ Sci Technol* 2014;48:9973–4. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ES503548F>.
- [151] Elshkaki A, Shen L. Energy-material nexus: The impacts of national and international energy scenarios on critical metals use in China up to 2050 and their global implications. *Energy* 2019;180:903–17. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ENERGY.2019.05.156>.
- [152] Raabe D, Tasan CC, Olivetti EA. Strategies for improving the sustainability of structural metals. *Nature* 2019;575:64–74. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1702-5>.
- [153] Valero A, Valero A, Calvo G, Ortego A, Ascaso S, Palacios J-L. Global material requirements for the energy transition. An exergy flow analysis of decarbonisation pathways. *Energy* 2018;159:1175–84. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ENERGY.2018.06.149>.
- [154] Grandell L, Lehtilä A, Kivinen M, Koljonen T, Kihlman S, Lauri LS. Role of critical metals in the future markets of clean energy technologies. *Renew Energy* 2016;95:53–62. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2016.03.102>.
- [155] Tokimatsu K, Höök M, McLellan B, Wachtmeister H, Murakami S, Yasuoka R, et al. Energy modeling approach to the global

- energy-mineral nexus: Exploring metal requirements and the well-below 2 °C target with 100 percent renewable energy. *Appl Energy* 2018;225:1158–75.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2018.05.047>.
- [156] Tokimatsu K, Wachtmeister H, McLellan B, Davidsson S, Murakami S, Höök M, et al. Energy modeling approach to the global energy-mineral nexus: A first look at metal requirements and the 2 °C target. *Appl Energy* 2017;207:494–509.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2017.05.151>.
- [157] Kim J, Guillaume B, Chung J, Hwang Y. Critical and precious materials consumption and requirement in wind energy system in the EU 27. *Appl Energy* 2015;139:327–34.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2014.11.003>.
- [158] Ciacci L, Vassura I, Cao Z, Liu G, Passarini F. Recovering the “new twin”: Analysis of secondary neodymium sources and recycling potentials in Europe. *Resour Conserv Recycl* 2019;142:143–52.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2018.11.024>.
- [159] Simon B, Ziemann S, Weil M. Potential metal requirement of active materials in lithium-ion battery cells of electric vehicles and its impact on reserves: Focus on Europe. *Resour Conserv Recycl* 2015;104:300–10.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2015.07.011>.
- [160] Nassar NT, Wilburn DR, Goonan TG. Byproduct metal requirements for U.S. wind and solar photovoltaic electricity generation up to the year 2040 under various Clean Power Plan scenarios. *Appl Energy* 2016;183.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2016.08.062>.
- [161] Elshkaki A, Shen L. Energy-material nexus: The impacts of national and international energy scenarios on critical metals use in China up to 2050 and their global implications. *Energy* 2019;180:903–17.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ENERGY.2019.05.156>.
- [162] Arent D, Pless J, Mai T, Wiser R, Hand M, Baldwin S, et al. Implications of high renewable electricity penetration in the U.S. for water use, greenhouse gas emissions, land-use, and materials supply. *Appl Energy* 2014;123:368–77.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2013.12.022>.
- [163] Beylot A, Guyonnet D, Muller S, Vaxelaire S, Villeneuve J. Mineral raw material requirements and associated climate-change impacts of the French energy transition by 2050. *J Clean*

- Prod 2019;208:1198–205.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.10.154>.
- [164] Miyamoto W, Kosai S, Hashimoto S. Evaluating Metal Criticality for Low-Carbon Power Generation Technologies in Japan. *Minerals* 2019;9. <https://doi.org/10.3390/min9020095>.
- [165] Habib K, Wenzel H. Reviewing resource criticality assessment from a dynamic and technology specific perspective – using the case of direct-drive wind turbines. *J Clean Prod* 2016;112:3852–63. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JCLEPRO.2015.07.064>.
- [166] Imholte DD, Nguyen RT, Vedantam A, Brown M, Iyer A, Smith BJ, et al. An assessment of U.S. rare earth availability for supporting U.S. wind energy growth targets. *Energy Policy* 2018;113:294–305. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2017.11.001>.
- [167] Tazi N, Kim J, Bouzidi Y, Chatelet E, Liu G. Waste and material flow analysis in the end-of-life wind energy system. *Resour Conserv Recycl* 2019;145:199–207.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2019.02.039>.
- [168] Fthenakis V. Sustainability of photovoltaics: The case for thin-film solar cells. *Renew Sustain Energy Rev* 2009;13:2746–50.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2009.05.001>.
- [169] Grandell L, Höök M. Assessing rare metal availability challenges for solar energy technologies. *Sustain Switz* 2015;7:11818–37. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su70911818>.
- [170] Ravikumar D, Malghan D. Material constraints for indigenous production of CdTe PV: Evidence from a Monte Carlo experiment using India’s National Solar Mission Benchmarks. *Renew Sustain Energy Rev* 2013;25:393–403.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2013.04.030>.
- [171] Jacobson MZ, Delucchi MA. Providing all global energy with wind, water, and solar power, Part I: Technologies, energy resources, quantities and areas of infrastructure, and materials. *Energy Policy* 2011;39:1154–69.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2010.11.040>.
- [172] Deetman S, de Boer HS, Van Engelenburg M, van der Voet E, van Vuuren DP. Projected material requirements for the global electricity infrastructure – generation, transmission and storage. *Resour Conserv Recycl* 2021;164:105200.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2020.105200>.
- [173] Ameer A, Berrada A, Loudiyi K, Aggour M. Analysis of renewable energy integration into the transmission network.

- Electr J 2019;32:106676.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tej.2019.106676>.
- [174] Buchholz P, Brandenburg T. Demand, Supply, and Price Trends for Mineral Raw Materials Relevant to the Renewable Energy Transition Wind Energy, Solar Photovoltaic Energy, and Energy Storage. *Chem-Ing-Tech* 2018;90:141–53.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/cite.201700098>.
- [175] Dominković DF, Bačeković I, Pedersen AS, Krajačić G. The future of transportation in sustainable energy systems: Opportunities and barriers in a clean energy transition. *Renew Sustain Energy Rev* 2018;82:1823–38.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2017.06.117>.
- [176] Dominković DF, Bačeković I, Pedersen AS, Krajačić G. The future of transportation in sustainable energy systems: Opportunities and barriers in a clean energy transition. *Renew Sustain Energy Rev* 2018;82:1823–38.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2017.06.117>.
- [177] Sarkar EM, Sarkar T, Bharadwaj MD. Lithium-ion battery supply chain: Enabling national electric vehicle and renewables targets. *Curr Sci* 2018;114:2453–8.
<https://doi.org/10.18520/cs/v114/i12/2453-2458>.
- [178] Heymans C, Walker SB, Young SB, Fowler M. Economic analysis of second use electric vehicle batteries for residential energy storage and load-levelling. *Energy Policy* 2014;71:22–30.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2014.04.016>.
- [179] Liu L, Kong F, Liu X, Peng Y, Wang Q. A review on electric vehicles interacting with renewable energy in smart grid. *Renew Sustain Energy Rev* 2015;51:648–61.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2015.06.036>.
- [180] Pehlken A, Albach S, Vogt T. Is there a resource constraint related to lithium ion batteries in cars? *Int J Life Cycle Assess* 2017;22:40–53. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11367-015-0925-4>.
- [181] Andersson BA, Råde I. Metal resource constraints for electric-vehicle batteries. *Transp Res Part Transp Environ* 2001;6:297–324. [https://doi.org/Doi: 10.1016/s1361-9209\(00\)00030-4](https://doi.org/Doi:10.1016/s1361-9209(00)00030-4).
- [182] Du X, Restrepo E, Widmer R, Wäger P. Quantifying the distribution of critical metals in conventional passenger vehicles using input-driven and output-driven approaches: a comparative study. *J Mater Cycles Waste Manag* 2015;17:218–28.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10163-015-0353-3>.

- [183] Mohr SH, Mudd GM, Giurco D. Lithium Resources and Production: Critical Assessment and Global Projections. *Minerals* 2012;2:65–84. <https://doi.org/10.3390/min2010065>.
- [184] Watari T, Nansai K, Nakajima K. Review of critical metal dynamics to 2050 for 48 elements. *Resour Conserv Recycl* 2020;155:104669. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.RESCONREC.2019.104669>.
- [185] Lee J, Bazilian M, Sovacool B, Hund K, Jowitt SM, Nguyen TP, et al. Reviewing the material and metal security of low-carbon energy transitions. *Renew Sustain Energy Rev* 2020;124:109789. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2020.109789>.
- [186] Zein H, Tran VLH, Azmy A, Mohammed AT, Ahmed AM, Iraqi AIA, et al. How to extract data from graphs using Plot Digitizer or Getdata Graph Digitizer 2015:13. <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.17070.72002>.
- [187] Hache E, Seck GS, Simoen M, Bonnet C, Carcanague S. Critical raw materials and transportation sector electrification: A detailed bottom-up analysis in world transport. *Appl Energy* 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2019.02.057>.
- [188] Harvey LDD. Resource implications of alternative strategies for achieving zero greenhouse gas emissions from light-duty vehicles by 2060. *Appl Energy* 2018;212:663–79. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.APENERGY.2017.11.074>.
- [189] Viebahn P, Soukup O, Samadi S, Teubler J, Wiesen K, Ritthoff M. Assessing the need for critical minerals to shift the German energy system towards a high proportion of renewables. *Renew Sustain Energy Rev* 2015;49:655–71. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.RSER.2015.04.070>.
- [190] Fthenakis V. Sustainability metrics for extending thin-film photovoltaics to terawatt levels. *MRS Bull* 2012;37:425–30. <https://doi.org/10.1557/mrs.2012.50>.
- [191] Sun Y, Delucchi M, Ogden J. The impact of widespread deployment of fuel cell vehicles on platinum demand and price. *Int J Hydrog Energy* 2011;36:11116–27. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2011.05.157>.
- [192] Maples B, Hand M, Musial W. Comparative Assessment of Direct Drive High Temperature Superconducting Generators in Multi-Megawatt Class Wind Turbines. 2010.
- [193] Green MA, Ho-Baillie A, Snaith HJ. The emergence of perovskite solar cells. *Nat Photonics* 2014;8:506–14. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nphoton.2014.134>.

- [194] Espinosa N, Serrano-Luján L, Urbina A, Krebs FC. Solution and vapour deposited lead perovskite solar cells: Ecotoxicity from a life cycle assessment perspective. *Sol Energy Mater Sol Cells* 2015;137:303–10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.solmat.2015.02.013>.
- [195] Andersson BA, Azar C, Holmberg J, Karlsson S. Material constraints for thin-film solar cells. *Energy* 1998;23:407–11. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0360-5442\(97\)00102-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0360-5442(97)00102-3).
- [196] Andersson BA, Jacobsson S. Monitoring and assessing technology choice: The case of solar cells. *Energy Policy* 2000;28:1037–49. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0301-4215\(00\)00090-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0301-4215(00)00090-2).
- [197] Andersson BA. Materials availability for large-scale thin-film photovoltaics. *Prog Photovolt Res Appl* 2000;8:61–76. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1099-159X\(200001/02\)8:1<61::AID-PIP301>3.0.CO;2-6](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1099-159X(200001/02)8:1<61::AID-PIP301>3.0.CO;2-6).
- [198] Ashby M, Attwood J, Lord F. *Materials for Low-Carbon Power*. Materials for Low Carbon Power, 2 nd edition. 2012.
- [199] Fishman T, Myers RJ, Rios O, Graedel TE. Implications of Emerging Vehicle Technologies on Rare Earth Supply and Demand in the United States. *Resources* 2018;7. <https://doi.org/10.3390/resources7010009>.
- [200] Chan CC, Bouscayrol A, Chen K. Electric, Hybrid, and Fuel-Cell Vehicles: Architectures and Modeling. *IEEE Trans Veh Technol* 2010;59:589–98. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TVT.2009.2033605>.
- [201] Yang Z, Wang B, Jiao K. Life cycle assessment of fuel cell, electric and internal combustion engine vehicles under different fuel scenarios and driving mileages in China. *Energy* 2020;198:117365. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2020.117365>.
- [202] Hund K, Porta DL, Fabregas TP, Laing T, Drexhage J. *Minerals for Climate Action : The Mineral Intensity of the Clean Energy Transition*. 2020.
- [203] Lichtenegger G, Rentizelas AA, Trivyza N, Siegl S. Offshore and onshore wind turbine blade waste material forecast at a regional level in Europe until 2050. *Waste Manag* 2020;106:120–31. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2020.03.018>.
- [204] Verschelling JVDH. *A circular economy in 2050 A look at the stocks and flows of electricity cables in the Netherlands*. 2020.

- [205] Busch J, Dawson D, Roelich K. Closing the low-carbon material loop using a dynamic whole system approach. *J Clean Prod* 2017;149:751–61. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.02.166>.
- [206] Viebahn P, Kronshage S, Lechon Y. Deliverable n 12.2-RS Ia" Final report on technical data, costs, and life cycle inventories of solar thermal power plants. 2008.
- [207] Peñaherrera F, Pehlken A. Assessment of the demand of critical materials for fuel cell micro CHP for households in Germany. *Glob Nest J* 2018;20:758–66. <https://doi.org/10.30955/GNJ.002645>.
- [208] Moss RL, Tzimas E, Kara H, Willis P, Kooroshy J. *Critical Metals in Strategic Energy Technologies*. 2011.
- [209] Moss R, Tzimas E, Willis P, Arendorf J, Tercero Espinoza L, Thomson P, et al. *Critical Metals in the Path towards the Decarbonisation of the EU Energy Sector*. 2013. <https://doi.org/10.2790/46338>.
- [210] Carrara S, Alves Dias P, Plazzotta B, Pavel C. Raw materials demand for wind and solar PV technologies in the transition towards a decarbonised energy system. *JRC119941*. Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union; 2020.
- [211] JRC. *Critical Raw Materials for Strategic Technologies and Sectors in the EU A Foresight Study 2020*. <https://doi.org/10.2873/58081>.
- [212] Jensen JP, Skelton K. Wind turbine blade recycling: Experiences, challenges and possibilities in a circular economy. *Renew Sustain Energy Rev* 2018;97:165–76. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2018.08.041>.
- [213] Zimmermann T, Rehberger M, Gößling-Reisemann S. Material Flows Resulting from Large Scale Deployment of Wind Energy in Germany. *Resources* 2013;2:303–34. <https://doi.org/10.3390/resources2030303>.
- [214] Weil M, Ziemann S, Peters J, Weil M, Ziemann S, Peters ÁJ. *The Issue of Metal Resources in Li-Ion Batteries for Electric Vehicles* n.d. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-69950-9_3.
- [215] Busch J, Steinberger JK, Dawson DA, Purnell P, Roelich K. Managing Critical Materials with a Technology-Specific Stocks and Flows Model. *Environ Sci Technol* 2014;48:1298–305. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es404877u>.
- [216] IEA. *The Role of Critical Minerals in Clean Energy Transitions*. 2021.

- [217] IRENA. Global Energy Transformation: A Roadmap to 2050. 2019.
- [218] Herrington R. Mining our green future. *Nat Rev Mater* 2021;6:456–8. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41578-021-00325-9>.
- [219] Graedel TE, Harper EM, Nassar NT, Reck BK. On the materials basis of modern society. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2015;112:6295–300. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1312752110>.
- [220] Pell R, Tijsseling L, Goodenough K, Wall F, Dehaine Q, Grant A, et al. Towards sustainable extraction of technology materials through integrated approaches. *Nat Rev Earth Environ* 2021;2:665–79. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-021-00211-6>.
- [221] WWF. Critical materials for the transition to a 100 % sustainable energy future contents 2014:76.
- [222] World Bank Group. The Growing Role of Minerals and Metals for a Low Carbon Future. 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1596/28312>.
- [223] Umar M, Riaz Y, Yousaf I. Impact of Russian-Ukraine war on clean energy, conventional energy, and metal markets: Evidence from event study approach. *Resour Policy* 2022;79:102966. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resourpol.2022.102966>.
- [224] Masson-Delmotte V, Zhai P, Pörtner H-O, Roberts D, Skea J, Shukla PR, et al. Global warming of 1.5 C. *IPCC Spec Rep Impacts Glob Warm Of 2018*;1.
- [225] IEA. World Energy Model Documentation. 2021.
- [226] Wang S, Hausfather Z, Davis S, Lloyd J, Olson EB, Liebermann L, et al. Future demand for electricity generation materials under different climate mitigation scenarios. *Joule* 2023. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joule.2023.01.001>.
- [227] Kalt G, Thunshirn P, Krausmann F, Haberl H. Material requirements of global electricity sector pathways to 2050 and associated greenhouse gas emissions. *J Clean Prod* 2022;358:132014. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.132014>.
- [228] Deetman S, Pauliuk S, Van Vuuren DP, Van Der Voet E, Tukker A. Scenarios for Demand Growth of Metals in Electricity Generation Technologies, Cars, and Electronic Appliances. *Environ Sci Technol* 2018;52. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b05549>.
- [229] Wang P, Chen W-Q, Cui X, Li J, Li W, Wang C, et al. Critical mineral constraints in global renewable scenarios under 1.5 °C target. *Environ Res Lett* 2022;17:125004. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aca4ea>.

- [230] Gregoir L, van Acker K. Metals for clean energy: pathways to solving Europe's raw materials challenge. Eurometaux KU Leuven 2022.
- [231] Watari T, McLellan B, Ogata S, Tezuka T. Analysis of Potential for Critical Metal Resource Constraints in the International Energy Agency's Long-Term Low-Carbon Energy Scenarios. *Minerals* 2018;8:156. <https://doi.org/10.3390/min8040156>.
- [232] Pauliuk S, Heeren N. ODYM-An open software framework for studying dynamic material systems Principles, implementation, and data structures n.d. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jiec.12952>.
- [233] Garcia-menendez F, Usai L, Lamb JJ, Hertwich E, Burheim OS. Analysis of the Li-ion battery industry in light of the global transition to electric passenger light duty vehicles until 2050 Analysis of the Li-ion battery industry in light of the global transition to electric passenger light duty vehicles until 2050 n.d.
- [234] Fishman T, Heeren N, Pauliuk S, Berrill P, Tu Q, Wolfram P, et al. A comprehensive set of global scenarios of housing, mobility, and material efficiency for material cycles and energy systems modeling. *J Ind Ecol* 2021;25:305–20. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jiec.13122>.
- [235] Kikstra JS, Vinca A, Lovat F, Boza-Kiss B, van Ruijven B, Wilson C, et al. Climate mitigation scenarios with persistent COVID-19-related energy demand changes n.d. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41560-021-00904-8>.
- [236] Carrara S, Alves Dias P, Plazzotta B, Pavel C. Raw materials demand for wind and solar PV technologies in the transition towards a decarbonised energy system. 2020. <https://doi.org/10.2760/160859>.
- [237] Rohatgi A. WebPlotDigitizer 2017.
- [238] IRENA. RENEWABLE CAPACITY STATISTIQUES 2021. 2021.
- [239] IEA. Global EV Outlook 2021 - Accelerating ambitions despite the pandemic. 2021.
- [240] IEA. Global EV Outlook 2022 Securing supplies for an electric future. 2022.
- [241] UNDESA. World Population Prospects 2019 2019.
- [242] IEA. International Energy Outlook 2021. 2021.
- [243] Yang J, Zhang L, Chang Y, Hao Y, Liu G, Yan Q, et al. Understanding the material efficiency of the wind power sector in China: A spatial-temporal assessment. *Resour Conserv Recycl*

- 2020;155:104668.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/J.RESCONREC.2019.104668>.
- [244] Liu D, Gao X, An H, Qi Y, Sun X, Wang Z, et al. Supply and demand response trends of lithium resources driven by the demand of emerging renewable energy technologies in China. *Resour Conserv Recycl* 2019;145:311–21.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/J.RESCONREC.2019.02.043>.
- [245] L. Bustamante M, Gaustad G, Alonso E. Comparative Analysis of Supply Risk-Mitigation Strategies for Critical Byproduct Minerals: A Case Study of Tellurium. *Environ Sci Amp Technol* 2017;52:11–21. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b03963>.
- [246] Li W, Adachi T. Evaluation of long-term silver supply shortage for c-Si PV under different technological scenarios. *Nat Resour Model* 2019;32:e12176. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nrm.12176>.
- [247] Global EV Outlook 2020 2020:276.
- [248] Watari T, Nansai K, Nakajima K, McLellan BC, Dominish E, Giurco D. Integrating Circular Economy Strategies with Low-Carbon Scenarios: Lithium Use in Electric Vehicles. *Environ Sci Technol* 2019;53:11657–65.
<https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b02872>.
- [249] Watari T, McLellan BC, Giurco D, Dominish E, Yamasue E, Nansai K. Total material requirement for the global energy transition to 2050: A focus on transport and electricity. *Resour Conserv Recycl* 2019;148:91–103.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2019.05.015>.
- [250] Goldschmidt JC, Wagner L, Pietzcker R, Friedrich L. Technological learning for resource efficient terawatt scale photovoltaics. *Energy Environ Sci* 2021.
<https://doi.org/10.1039/d1ee02497c>.
- [251] Gervais E, Shammugam S, Friedrich L, Schlegl T. Raw material needs for the large-scale deployment of photovoltaics – Effects of innovation-driven roadmaps on material constraints until 2050. *Renew Sustain Energy Rev* 2021;137:110589.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/J.RSER.2020.110589>.
- [252] Valero A, Valero A, Calvo G, Ortego A. Material bottlenecks in the future development of green technologies. *Renew Sustain Energy Rev* 2018;93:178–200.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2018.05.041>.
- [253] Ziemann S, Müller DB, Schebek L, Weil M. Modeling the potential impact of lithium recycling from EV batteries on lithium demand: A dynamic MFA approach. *Resour Conserv*

- Recycl 2018;133:76–85.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2018.01.031>.
- [254] Mathieux F, Ardente F, Bobba S, Nuss P, Blengini GA, Dias PA, et al. Critical Raw Materials and the Circular Economy. Background report. 2017. <https://doi.org/10.2760/378123>.
- [255] UNEP. Green Energy Choices : the benefits , risks and trade - offs of. 2016.
- [256] Ziegler L, Gonzalez E, Rubert T, Smolka U, Melero JJ. Lifetime extension of onshore wind turbines: A review covering Germany, Spain, Denmark, and the UK. *Renew Sustain Energy Rev* 2018;82:1261–71.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2017.09.100>.
- [257] Grubler A, Wilson C, Bento N, Boza-Kiss B, Krey V, McCollum DL, et al. A low energy demand scenario for meeting the 1.5 °c target and sustainable development goals without negative emission technologies. *Nat Energy* 2018;3:515–27.
<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41560-018-0172-6>.
- [258] Pauliuk S, Heeren N, Berrill P, Fishman T, Nistad A, Tu Q, et al. Global scenarios of resource and emission savings from material efficiency in residential buildings and cars. *Nat Commun* 2021;12. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-25300-4>.
- [259] Liang Y, Kleijn R, Tukker A, van der Voet E. Material requirements for low-carbon energy technologies: A quantitative review. *Renew Sustain Energy Rev* 2022;161:112334.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/J.RSER.2022.112334>.
- [260] Ballinger B, Stringer M, Schmeda-Lopez DR, Kefford B, Parkinson B, Greig C, et al. The vulnerability of electric vehicle deployment to critical mineral supply. *Appl Energy* 2019;255:113844.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2019.113844>.
- [261] Weil M, Ziemann S, Peters J, Weil M, Ziemann S, Peters ÁJ. The Issue of Metal Resources in Li-Ion Batteries for Electric Vehicles n.d. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-69950-9_3.
- [262] Ren K, Tang X, Wang P, Willerström J, Höök M. Bridging energy and metal sustainability: Insights from China’s wind power development up to 2050. *Energy* 2021:120524.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2021.120524>.
- [263] Glöser-Chahoud S, Huster S, Rosenberg S, Baazouzi S, Kiemel S, Singh S, et al. Industrial disassembling as a key enabler of circular economy solutions for obsolete electric vehicle battery

- systems. *Resour Conserv Recycl* 2021;174:105735.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/J.RESCONREC.2021.105735>.
- [264] Jones C, Gilbert P, Stamford L. Assessing the Climate Change Mitigation Potential of Stationary Energy Storage for Electricity Grid Services. *Environ Sci Technol* 2020;54:67–75.
<https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b06231>.
- [265] Hiremath M, Derendorf K, Vogt T. Comparative Life Cycle Assessment of Battery Storage Systems for Stationary Applications. *Environ Sci Technol* 2015;49:4825–33.
<https://doi.org/10.1021/es504572q>.
- [266] Snaith HJ. Present status and future prospects of perovskite photovoltaics. *Nat Mater* 2018;17:372–6.
<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41563-018-0071-z>.
- [267] O’Connor D, Hou D. Manage the environmental risks of perovskites. *One Earth* 2021;4:1534–7.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oneear.2021.11.002>.
- [268] Jiang Y, Qiu L, Juarez-Perez EJ, Ono LK, Hu Z, Liu Z, et al. Reduction of lead leakage from damaged lead halide perovskite solar modules using self-healing polymer-based encapsulation. *Nat Energy* 2019;4:585–93. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41560-019-0406-2>.
- [269] Saliba M, Correa-Baena J-P, Grätzel M, Hagfeldt A, Abate A. Perovskite Solar Cells: From the Atomic Level to Film Quality and Device Performance. *Angew Chem Int Ed* 2018;57:2554–69. <https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201703226>.
- [270] Ford, VW and Tesla are investing in LFP battery technology for EV. *Environ Energy Lead* 2021.
<https://www.environmentalleader.com/2021/08/ford-vw-tesla-lean-in-to-lfp-battery-technology-for-evs/> (accessed November 8, 2022).
- [271] Volkswagen’s plan on LFP use shifts hydroxide dominance narrative in EV sector | S&P Global Commodity Insights n.d.
<https://www.spglobal.com/commodityinsights/en/market-insights/latest-news/metals/031721-volkswagens-plan-on-lfp-use-shifts-hydroxide-dominance-narrative-in-ev-sector> (accessed June 20, 2022).
- [272] Tao Y, Rahn CD, Archer LA, You F. Second life and recycling: Energy and environmental sustainability perspectives for high-performance lithium-ion batteries. *Sci Adv* 2021;7:1–17.
<https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abi7633>.

- [273] Li W, Erickson EM, Manthiram A. lithium-based automotive batteries. *Nat Energy* 2020;5. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41560-019-0513-0>.
- [274] van Nielen SS, Kleijn R, Sprecher B, Miranda Xicotencatl B, Tukker A. Early-stage assessment of minor metal recyclability. *Resour Conserv Recycl* 2022;176:105881. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2021.105881>.
- [275] Jowitt SM, Werner TT, Weng Z, Mudd GM. Recycling of the rare earth elements. *Curr Opin Green Sustain Chem* 2018;13:1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cogsc.2018.02.008>.
- [276] Ku AY, Kendall A, Harper G, Heidrich O. Global implications of the EU battery regulation n.d.
- [277] Slater P, Stolkin R, Walton A, Christensen P, Heidrich O. Recycling lithium-ion batteries from electric vehicles. *Nature* 2019;575:75–86. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1682-5>.
- [278] Lèbre É, R. Owen J, D. Corder G, Kemp D, Stringer M, K. Valenta R. Source Risks As Constraints to Future Metal Supply. *Environ Sci Amp Technol* 2019;53:10571–9. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b02808>.
- [279] Net Zero Could Mean Fewer Cars on Top of Switching to EVs | BloombergNEF n.d. <https://about.bnef.com/blog/net-zero-could-mean-fewer-cars-on-top-of-switching-to-evs/> (accessed June 20, 2022).
- [280] Milovanoff A, Posen ID, MacLean HL. Electrification of light-duty vehicle fleet alone will not meet mitigation targets. *Nat Clim Change* 2020 1012 2020;10:1102–7. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-020-00921-7>.
- [281] Parag Y, Ayal S. A middle-out approach to foster low-carbon lifestyles. *One Earth* 2023;6:333–6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oneear.2023.03.013>.
- [282] Abdollahipour A, Sayyaadi H. Optimal design of a hybrid power generation system based on integrating PEM fuel cell and PEM electrolyzer as a moderator for micro-renewable energy systems. *Energy* 2022;260:124944. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2022.124944>.
- [283] Folgado FJ, González I, Calderón AJ. Simulation platform for the assessment of PEM electrolyzer models oriented to implement digital Replicas. *Energy Convers Manag* 2022;267:115917. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2022.115917>.

- [284] Mac Dowell N, Sunny N, Brandon N, Herzog H, Ku AY, Maas W, et al. The hydrogen economy: A pragmatic path forward. *Joule* 2021;5:2524–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joule.2021.09.014>.
- [285] Samsatli S, Samsatli NJ. The role of renewable hydrogen and inter-seasonal storage in decarbonising heat – Comprehensive optimisation of future renewable energy value chains. *Appl Energy* 2019;233–234:854–93. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2018.09.159>.
- [286] Kleijn R, van der Voet E. Resource constraints in a hydrogen economy based on renewable energy sources: An exploration. *Renew Sustain Energy Rev* 2010;14:2784–95. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2010.07.066>.
- [287] van der Spek M, Banet C, Bauer C, Gabrielli P, Goldthorpe W, Mazzotti M, et al. Perspective on the hydrogen economy as a pathway to reach net-zero CO₂ emissions in Europe. *Energy Environ Sci* 2022;15:1034–77. <https://doi.org/10.1039/D1EE02118D>.
- [288] Goldthau A, Tagliapietra S. Energy crisis: five questions that must be answered in 2023. *Nature* 2022;612:627–30. <https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-022-04467-w>.
- [289] European Commission. REPowerEU: A plan to rapidly reduce dependence on Russian fossil fuels and fast forward the green transition. *J Eur Union* 2022.
- [290] DOE. U.S. National Clean Hydrogen Strategy and Roadmap : DOE Hydrogen Program. 2023.
- [291] UN. Transforming our world: The 2030 agenda for sustainable development. 2016.
- [292] Schmidt O, Gambhir A, Staffell I, Hawkes A, Nelson J, Few S. Future cost and performance of water electrolysis: An expert elicitation study. *Int J Hydrog Energy* 2017;42:30470–92. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2017.10.045>.
- [293] Chi J, Yu H. Water electrolysis based on renewable energy for hydrogen production. *Cuihua XuebaoChinese J Catal* 2018;39:390–4. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1872-2067\(17\)62949-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1872-2067(17)62949-8).
- [294] IEA. Hydrogen Projects Database - Data product 2023.
- [295] FuelCellsWorks. Humber Green Hydrogen: Gigastack Project One Step Closer To Renewable Hydrogen At Industrial Scale As Phase 2 Concludes 2023.

- [296] ITM power. Green Hydrogen for Humberside Project Deployment Study 2023.
- [297] Parkinson G. Neoen plans world's biggest solar + wind powered hydrogen hub in S.A. *RenewEconomy* 2018. <https://reneweconomy.com.au/neoen-plans-worlds-biggest-solar-wind-powered-hydrogen-hub-in-s-a-53674/> (accessed August 29, 2023).
- [298] Kiemel S, Smolinka T. Critical materials for water electrolyzers at the example of the energy transition in Germany 2021:1–22. <https://doi.org/10.1002/er.6487>.
- [299] Ouimet RJ, Glenn JR, De Porcellinis D, Motz AR, Carmo M, Ayers KE. The Role of Electrocatalysts in the Development of Gigawatt-Scale PEM Electrolyzers. *ACS Catal* 2022;12:6159–71. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.2c00570>.
- [300] IRENA. Green Hydrogen Cost Reduction: Scaling up Electrolyzers to Meet the 1.5⁰C Climate Goal. 2020.
- [301] Johnson M. Platinum: a sustainable solution for the energy transition. Johnson Matthey; 2023.
- [302] Hughes AE, Haque N, Northey SA, Giddey S. Platinum Group Metals: A Review of Resources, Production and Usage with a Focus on Catalysts. *Resources* 2021;10:93. <https://doi.org/10.3390/resources10090093>.
- [303] IEA. Global EV Outlook 2023. Paris: IEA; 2023.
- [304] Liang Y, Kleijn R, van der Voet E. Increase in demand for critical materials under IEA Net-Zero emission by 2050 scenario. *Appl Energy* 2023;346:121400. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2023.121400>.
- [305] Auld G, Betsill M, VanDeveer SD. Transnational Governance for Mining and the Mineral Lifecycle. *Annu Rev Environ Resour* 2018;43:425–53. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-environ-102017-030223>.
- [306] Brunner PH. Urban Mining A Contribution to Reindustrializing the City. *J Ind Ecol* 2011;15:339–41. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1530-9290.2011.00345.x>.
- [307] Tong X, Dai H, Lu P, Zhang A, Ma T. Saving global platinum demand while achieving carbon neutrality in the passenger transport sector: linking material flow analysis with integrated assessment model. *Resour Conserv Recycl* 2022;179:106110. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2021.106110>.
- [308] Zhang C, Zhao X, Sacchi R, You F. Trade-off between critical metal requirement and transportation decarbonization in

- automotive electrification. *Nat Commun* 2023;14:1616.
<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-37373-4>.
- [309] Xun D, Hao H, Sun X, Liu Z, Zhao F. End-of-life recycling rates of platinum group metals in the automotive industry: Insight into regional disparities. *J Clean Prod* 2020;266:121942.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.121942>.
- [310] Odenweller A, Ueckerdt F, Nemet GF, Jensterle M, Luderer G. Probabilistic feasibility space of scaling up green hydrogen supply. *Nat Energy* 2022;7:854–65.
<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41560-022-01097-4>.
- [311] Smolinka T, Wiebe N, Sterchele P, Palzer A, Lehner F, Jansen M, et al. Studie IndWEDe. Industrialisierung der Wasserelektrolyse in Deutschland 2018.
- [312] Pauliuk S, Heeren N. ODYM—An open software framework for studying dynamic material systems: Principles, implementation, and data structures. *J Ind Ecol* 2020;24:446–58.
- [313] Müller E, M. Hilty L, Widmer R, Schluep M, Faulstich M. Modeling Metal Stocks and Flows: A Review of Dynamic Material Flow Analysis Methods. *Environ Sci Amp Technol* 2014;48:2102–13. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es403506a>.
- [314] Van der Voet E, Kleijn R, Huele R, Ishikawa M, Verkuijlen E. Predicting future emissions based on characteristics of stocks. *Ecol Econ* 2002;41:223–34. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-8009\(02\)00028-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-8009(02)00028-9).
- [315] IEA. Global electrolyser capacity by size, 2018-2021 2023.
- [316] Dolci J, Weidner F. Historical Analysis of FCH 2 JU Electrolyser Projects. 2021. <https://doi.org/10.2760/951902>.
- [317] Delpierre M, Quist J, Mertens J, Prieur-Vernat A, Cucurachi S. Assessing the environmental impacts of wind-based hydrogen production in the Netherlands using ex-ante LCA and scenarios analysis. *J Clean Prod* 2021;299:126866.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.126866>.
- [318] Making T, Possible M. Making Clean Electrification Possible : 2021.
- [319] Stropnik R, Sekavcnik M, Lorric A, Mori M. Life Cycle Assessment of 1kW PEMFC system with the focus on critical materials. 7th Int. Youth Conf. Energy IYCE 2019, Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers Inc.; 2019, p. 1–7.
<https://doi.org/10.1109/IYCE45807.2019.8991589>.
- [320] van der Star M. Material Requirements for Water Electrolysers in the Netherlands 2020-2050. 2022.

- [321] IRENA IREA. Innovation Trends in Electrolysers for Hydrogen Production. 2022.
- [322] Urban Europe. Strategic research and innovation agenda 2.0. Austrian: 2019.
- [323] Tang H, Peng Z, Tian R, Ye L, Zhang J, Rao M, et al. Platinum-group metals: Demand, supply, applications and their recycling from spent automotive catalysts. *J Environ Chem Eng* 2023;11:110237. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2023.110237>.
- [324] Graedel TE, Allwood J, Birat J, Buchert M, Hagelüken C, Reck BK, et al. What do we know about metal recycling rates? *J Ind Ecol* 2011;15:355–66.
- [325] Xia J, Ghahreman A. Platinum group metals recycling from spent automotive catalysts: metallurgical extraction and recovery technologies. *Sep Purif Technol* 2023;311:123357. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2023.123357>.
- [326] Torrejos REC, Escobar EC, Han JW, Min SH, Yook H, Parohinog KJ, et al. Multidentate thia-crown ethers as hyper-crosslinked macroporous adsorbent resins for the efficient Pd/Pt recovery and separation from highly acidic spent automotive catalyst leachate. *Chem Eng J* 2021;424:130379.
- [327] Hong H-J, Yu H, Hong S, Hwang JY, Kim SM, Park MS, et al. Modified tunicate nanocellulose liquid crystalline fiber as closed loop for recycling platinum-group metals. *Carbohydr Polym* 2020;228:115424.
- [328] Maes S, Props R, Fitts JP, Smet RD, Vilchez-Vargas R, Vital M, et al. Platinum Recovery from Synthetic Extreme Environments by Halophilic Bacteria. *Environ Sci Technol* 2016;50:2619–26. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b05355>.
- [329] Limjuco LA, Burnea FK. Evaluation of dithiadamide-based molecular ion imprinted polymer (MIIP) for selective recovery of platinum from acid-digested spent automobile catalytic converter (ACC) solution. *MRS Commun* 2022;12:175–82.
- [330] Rozain C, Mayousse E, Guillet N, Millet P. Influence of iridium oxide loadings on the performance of PEM water electrolysis cells: Part I–Pure IrO₂-based anodes. *Appl Catal B Environ* 2016;182:153–60.
- [331] Hagelüken C, Goldmann D. Recycling and circular economy — towards a closed loop for metals in emerging clean technologies. *Miner Econ* 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13563-022-00319-1>.
- [332] Hao H, Geng Y, Tate JE, Liu F, Chen K, Sun X, et al. Impact of transport electrification on critical metal sustainability with a

- focus on the heavy-duty segment. *Nat Commun* 2019;10:5398. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-13400-1>.
- [333] Sun S, Jin C, He W, Li G, Zhu H, Huang J. A review on management of waste three-way catalysts and strategies for recovery of platinum group metals from them. *J Environ Manage* 2022;305:114383. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2021.114383>.
- [334] Tang H, Peng Z, Tian R, Ye L, Zhang J, Rao M, et al. Recycling of platinum-group metals from spent automotive catalysts by smelting. *J Environ Chem Eng* 2022;10:108709. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2022.108709>.
- [335] Helmers E. PGE emissions of automobile catalysts—identifying their track in the environment: A challenge to analytical strategy and assessment. *Anthropog. Platin.-Group Elem. Emiss. Their Impact Man Environ.*, Springer; 2000, p. 133–44.
- [336] Welsby D, Price J, Pye S, Ekins P. Unextractable fossil fuels in a 1.5 °C world. *Nature* 2021;597. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03821-8>.
- [337] Trout K, Muttitt G, Lafleur D, Van de Graaf T, Mendelevitch R, Mei L, et al. Existing fossil fuel extraction would warm the world beyond 1.5 C. *Environ Res Lett* 2022;17:064010.
- [338] van Asselt H, Newell P. Pathways to an International Agreement to Leave Fossil Fuels in the Ground. *Glob Environ Polit* 2022;22:28–47. https://doi.org/10.1162/glep_a_00674.
- [339] Gielen D, Gorini R, Leme R, Prakash G, Wagner N, Janeiro L, et al. World energy transitions outlook: 1.5° C pathway 2021.
- [340] Grubert E. Fossil electricity retirement deadlines for a just transition. *Science* 2020;370:1171–3. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abe0375>.
- [341] Cherp A, Vinichenko V, Tosun J, Gordon JA, Jewell J. National growth dynamics of wind and solar power compared to the growth required for global climate targets. *Nat Energy* 2021;6:742–54. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41560-021-00863-0>.
- [342] Wang Y, Wang R, Tanaka K, Ciais P, Penuelas J, Balkanski Y, et al. Accelerating the energy transition towards photovoltaic and wind in China. *Nature* 2023;619:761–7. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-023-06180-8>.
- [343] Pryor SC, Barthelmie RJ, Bukovsky MS, Leung LR. Climate change impacts on wind power generation. *Nat Rev Earth Environ* n.d.;2. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-020-0101-7>.

- [344] Gielen D, Lyons M. Critical materials for the energy transition. Tech Pap IRENA Int Renew Energy Agency 2021.
- [345] Wang P, Wang H, Chen W-Q, Pauliuk S. Carbon Neutrality Needs a Circular Metal-Energy Nexus. *Fundam Res* 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FMRE.2022.02.003>.
- [346] Gielen D, Lyons M. Critical materials for the energy transition: Rare earth elements. 2022.
- [347] Raw materials for a truly green future. *Nat Rev Mater* 2021;6:455–455. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41578-021-00333-9>.
- [348] Limited quantity and quality of steel supply in a zero-emission future | *Nature Sustainability* n.d. <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41893-022-01025-0> (accessed January 14, 2023).
- [349] Carmona LG, Whiting K, Haberl H, Sousa T. The use of steel in the United Kingdom’s transport sector: A stock–flow–service nexus case study. *J Ind Ecol* 2021;25:125–43. <https://doi.org/10.1111/JIEC.13055>.
- [350] World Energy Investment 2022 – Analysis. IEA n.d. <https://www.iea.org/reports/world-energy-investment-2022> (accessed July 18, 2023).
- [351] Griffiths S, Sovacool BK, Kim J, Bazilian M, Uratani JM. Decarbonizing the oil refining industry: A systematic review of sociotechnical systems, technological innovations, and policy options. *Energy Res Soc Sci* 2022;89:102542. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2022.102542>.
- [352] Adoption of the Paris Agreement. Proposal by the President. | UNFCCC n.d. <https://unfccc.int/documents/9064> (accessed August 2, 2023).
- [353] Deetman S, Marinova S, van der Voet E, van Vuuren DP, Edelenbosch O, Heijungs R. Modelling global material stocks and flows for residential and service sector buildings towards 2050. *J Clean Prod* 2020;245:118658. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.118658>.
- [354] Marinova S, Deetman S, van der Voet E, Daioglou V. Global construction materials database and stock analysis of residential buildings between 1970-2050. *J Clean Prod* 2020;247:119146. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.119146>.
- [355] harrisson thomas. Explainer: How ‘Shared Socioeconomic Pathways’ explore future climate change. *Carbon Brief* 2018. <https://www.carbonbrief.org/explainer-how-shared->

- socioeconomic-pathways-explore-future-climate-change/ (accessed June 11, 2023).
- [356] Fricko O, Havlik P, Rogelj J, Klimont Z, Gusti M, Johnson N, et al. The marker quantification of the Shared Socioeconomic Pathway 2: A middle-of-the-road scenario for the 21st century. *Glob Environ Change* 2017;42:251–67. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2016.06.004>.
- [357] ecoinvent. Ecoinvent 3.8 Cut-off Database. n.d.
- [358] Statistical Review of World Energy | Energy economics | Home. Bp Glob n.d. <https://www.bp.com/en/global/corporate/energy-economics/statistical-review-of-world-energy.html> (accessed August 8, 2023).
- [359] Sarraf D, Dale S. BP Energy Outlook 2023 2023.
- [360] IEA. Critical Minerals Data Explorer n.d.
- [361] Bistline JET, Young DT. The role of natural gas in reaching net-zero emissions in the electric sector. *Nat Commun* 2022;13:4743. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-32468-w>.
- [362] Kemfert C, Präger F, Braunger I, Hoffart FM, Brauers H. The expansion of natural gas infrastructure puts energy transitions at risk. *Nat Energy* 2022;7:582–7. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41560-022-01060-3>.
- [363] Omara M, Zavala-Araiza D, Lyon DR, Hmiel B, Roberts KA, Hamburg SP. Methane emissions from US low production oil and natural gas well sites. *Nat Commun* 2022;13:2085. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-29709-3>.
- [364] Zimmerle DJ, Williams LL, Vaughn TL, Quinn C, Duggan GP, Willson B, et al. Methane emissions from the natural gas transmission and storage system in the United States (File Modeling_EST_Suppl_Info_-_Final3b.docx) S1 1 Supporting Information for 2 Methane emissions from the natural gas transmission and storage system in the n.d.
- [365] Alvarez RA, Zavala-Araiza D, Lyon DR, Allen DT, Barkley ZR, Brandt AR, et al. Assessment of methane emissions from the U.S. oil and gas supply chain. *Science* 2018;361:186–8. <https://doi.org/10.1126/SCIENCE.AAR7204>.
- [366] Balcombe P, Brandon NP, Hawkes AD. Characterising the distribution of methane and carbon dioxide emissions from the natural gas supply chain. *J Clean Prod* 2018;172:2019–32. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JCLEPRO.2017.11.223>.
- [367] Gordon D, Reuland F, Jacob D, Worden J, Shindell D, Dyson M. Evaluating net life-cycle greenhouse gas emissions intensities

- from gas and coal at varying methane leakage rates. *Environ Res Lett* 2023;18. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ace3db>.
- [368] Davis SJ, Caldeira K, Matthews HD. Future CO₂ Emissions and Climate Change from Existing Energy Infrastructure. *Science* 2010;329:1330–3. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1188566>.
- [369] Pfeiffer A, Hepburn C, Vogt-Schilb A, Caldecott B. Committed emissions from existing and planned power plants and asset stranding required to meet the Paris Agreement. *Environ Res Lett* 2018;13:054019. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aabc5f>.
- [370] Yang S, Hastings-Simon S, Ravikumar AP. Pipeline Availability Limits on the Feasibility of Global Coal-to-Gas Switching in the Power Sector. *Environ Sci Technol* 2022;56:14734–42. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.2c05039>.
- [371] Manager. Recycling Rates of Metals 2017.
- [372] Global socioeconomic material stocks rise 23-fold over the 20th century and require half of annual resource use n.d. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1613773114>.
- [373] Streeck J, Wiedenhofer D, Krausmann F, Haberl H. Stock-flow relations in the socio-economic metabolism of the United Kingdom 1800–2017. *Resour Conserv Recycl* 2020;161:104960. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2020.104960>.
- [374] Sommer B, Fowler AM, Macreadie PI, Palandro DA, Aziz AC, Booth DJ. Decommissioning of offshore oil and gas structures – Environmental opportunities and challenges. *Sci Total Environ* 2019;658:973–81. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.12.193>.
- [375] Melbourne-Thomas J, Hayes KR, Hobday AJ, Little LR, Strzelecki J, Thomson DP, et al. Decommissioning Research Needs for Offshore Oil and Gas Infrastructure in Australia. *Front Mar Sci* 2021;8.
- [376] Potential role of natural gas infrastructure in China to supply low-carbon gases during 2020–2050 - ScienceDirect n.d. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0306261921012927> (accessed January 25, 2023).
- [377] Jayanti SE-P. Repurposing pipelines for hydrogen: Legal and policy considerations. *Energy Rep* 2022;8:815–20. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egy.2022.11.063>.
- [378] Lipiäinen S, Lipiäinen K, Ahola A, Vakkilainen E. Use of existing gas infrastructure in European hydrogen economy. *Int J Hydrog Energy* 2023. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2023.04.283>.

- [379] Invernizzi DC, Locatelli G, Velenturf A, Love PED, Purnell P, Brookes NJ. Developing policies for the end-of-life of energy infrastructure: Coming to terms with the challenges of decommissioning. *Energy Policy* 2020;144:111677. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2020.111677>.
- [380] U.S Geological Survey. MINERAL COMMODITY SUMMARIES 2022. 2022.
- [381] Charpentier Poncelet A, Helbig C, Loubet P, Beylot A, Muller S, Villeneuve J, et al. Lifetimes and losses of metals in the economy. *Nat Sustain* 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-022-00895-8>.
- [382] Rossi F, Tosti L, Basosi R, Cusenza MA, Parisi ML, Sinicropi A. Environmental optimization model for the European batteries industry based on prospective life cycle assessment and material flow analysis. *Renew Sustain Energy Rev* 2023;183:113485. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2023.113485>.
- [383] Ertz M, Leblanc-Proulx S, Sarigöllü E, Morin V. Advancing quantitative rigor in the circular economy literature: New methodology for product lifetime extension business models. *Resour Conserv Recycl* 2019;150:104437. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2019.104437>.
- [384] Schuster V, Ciacci L, Passarini F. Mining the in-use stock of energy-transition materials for closed-loop e-mobility. *Resour Policy* 2023;86:104155. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resourpol.2023.104155>.
- [385] Velenturf APM, Purnell P, Jensen PD. Reducing material criticality through circular business models: Challenges in renewable energy. *One Earth* 2021;4:350–2. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ONEEAR.2021.02.016>.
- [386] Achakulwisut P, Erickson P, Guivarch C, Schaeffer R, Brutschin E, Pye S. Global fossil fuel reduction pathways under different climate mitigation strategies and ambitions. *Nat Commun* 2023;14:5425. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-41105-z>.
- [387] Aramendia E, Brockway PE, Taylor PG, Norman J. Global energy consumption of the mineral mining industry: Exploring the historical perspective and future pathways to 2060. *Glob Environ Change* 2023;83:102745. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2023.102745>.
- [388] Azadi M, Northey SA, Ali SH, Edraki M. Transparency on greenhouse gas emissions from mining to enable climate change

- mitigation. *Nat Geosci* 2020;13:100–4.
<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-020-0531-3>.
- [389] Hoff H, Andrews-Speed P, Obersteiner M, vanVuuren D, vanderVoet E, Johnson C, et al. Resource nexus perspectives towards the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals. *Nat Sustain* 2018;1. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-018-0173-2>.
- [390] Nuss P, Eckelman MJ. Life Cycle Assessment of Metals: A Scientific Synthesis. *PLOS ONE* 2014;9:e101298.
<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0101298>.
- [391] van der Voet E, Kleijn R, Mudd GM. The energy-materials nexus: The case of metals. 2017.
<https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315560625>.
- [392] Wang P, Wang H, Chen W-Q, Pauliuk S. Carbon neutrality needs a circular metal-energy nexus. *Fundam Res* 2022;2:392–5.
- [393] Wallsten B, Magnusson D, Andersson S, Krook J. The economic conditions for urban infrastructure mining: Using GIS to prospect hibernating copper stocks. *Resour Conserv Recycl* 2015;103:85–97.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2015.07.025>.
- [394] Sugiyama M, Wilson C, Wiedenhofer D, Boza-Kiss B, Cao T, Chatterjee JS, et al. High with low: Harnessing the power of demand-side solutions for high wellbeing with low energy and material demand. *Joule* 2024;8:1–6.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joule.2023.12.014>.
- [395] Dekker MM, Daioglou V, Pietzcker R, Rodrigues R, de Boer H-S, Dalla Longa F, et al. Identifying energy model fingerprints in mitigation scenarios. *Nat Energy* 2023;1–10.
<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41560-023-01399-1>.
- [396] European Commission. Proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council Establishing a Framework for Ensuring a Secure and Sustainable Supply of Critical Raw Materials and Amending Regulations (EU) 168/2013,(EU) 2018/858, 2018/1724 and (EU) 2019/1020. COM/2023/160 Final. 2023. 77p. Available online: [https://eur-lex.europa.eu ...](https://eur-lex.europa.eu...); 2023.
- [397] Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, PRISMA Group*. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *Ann Intern Med* 2009;151:264–9.
- [398] Moher D, Shamseer L, Clarke M, Ghersi D, Liberati A, Petticrew M, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic

- review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. *Syst Rev* 2015;4:1–9.
- [399] Ashby MF. *Materials and the environment: eco-informed material choice*. Elsevier; 2012.
- [400] Bödeker JM, Bauer M, Pehnt M. Aluminium and renewable energy systems—prospects for the sustainable generation of electricity and heat. *Alum Renew Energy Syst Sustain Gener Electr Heat* 2010.
- [401] Burkhardt III JJ, Heath GA, Turchi CS. Life cycle assessment of a parabolic trough concentrating solar power plant and the impacts of key design alternatives. *Environ Sci Technol* 2011;45:2457–64.
- [402] Whitaker M, Heath GA, O'Donoghue P, Vorum M. Life Cycle Greenhouse Gas Emissions of Coal-Fired Electricity Generation: Systematic Review and Harmonization. *J Ind Ecol* 2012;16. <https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1530-9290.2012.00465.X>.
- [403] Fizaine F, Court V. Renewable electricity producing technologies and metal depletion: A sensitivity analysis using the EROI. *Ecol Econ* 2015;110. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2014.12.001>.
- [404] Teske S, Florin N, Dominish E, Giurco D. *Renewable energy and deep sea mining: Supply, demand and scenarios* 2016.
- [405] Kundig K. *Market study: Current and projected wind and solar renewable electric generating capacity and resulting copper demand*. BFF Assoc 2011.
- [406] Angerer G, Karlsruhe Fraunhofer-Institut für System-und Innovationsforschung. *Rohstoffe für Zukunftstechnologien: Einfluss des branchenspezifischen Rohstoffbedarfs in rohstoffintensiven Zukunftstechnologien auf die zukünftige Rohstoffnachfrage*. Fraunhofer-IRB-Verlag; 2009.
- [407] Winterbach F. *Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) of various solar heat technologies*. Stellenbosch: Stellenbosch University; 2011.
- [408] Hertwich EG, Gibon T, Bouman EA, Arvesen A, Suh S, Heath GA, et al. Integrated life-cycle assessment of electricity-supply scenarios confirms global environmental benefit of low-carbon technologies. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2015;112:6277–82. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1312753111>.
- [409] Hoenderdaal S, Tercero Espinoza L, Marscheider-Weidemann F, Graus W. Can a dysprosium shortage threaten green energy technologies? *Energy* 2013;49:344–55. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2012.10.043>.

- [410] Habib K, Wenzel H. Exploring rare earths supply constraints for the emerging clean energy technologies and the role of recycling. *J Clean Prod* 2014;84:348–59. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2014.04.035>.
- [411] Davidsson S, Grandell L, Wachtmeister H, Höök M. Growth curves and sustained commissioning modelling of renewable energy: Investigating resource constraints for wind energy. *Energy Policy* 2014;73:767–76. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2014.05.003>.
- [412] Elshkaki A, Graedel TE. Dysprosium, the balance problem, and wind power technology. *Appl Energy* 2014;136:548–59. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2014.09.064>.
- [413] McLellan BC, Yamasue E, Tezuka T, Corder G, Golev A, Giurco D. Critical Minerals and Energy—Impacts and Limitations of Moving to Unconventional Resources. *Resources* 2016;5. <https://doi.org/10.3390/resources5020019>.
- [414] Schulze R, Buchert M. Estimates of global REE recycling potentials from NdFeB magnet material. *Resour Conserv Recycl* 2016;113:12–27.
- [415] Marwede M, Reller A. Future recycling flows of tellurium from cadmium telluride photovoltaic waste. *Resour Conserv Recycl* 2012;69:35–49. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2012.09.003>.
- [416] Bustamante ML, Gaustad G. Challenges in assessment of clean energy supply-chains based on byproduct minerals: A case study of tellurium use in thin film photovoltaics. *Appl Energy* 2014;123:397–414.
- [417] Choi CH, Cao J, Zhao F. System Dynamics Modeling of Indium Material Flows under Wide Deployment of Clean Energy Technologies. *Resour Conserv Recycl* 2016;114. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2016.04.012>.
- [418] Davidsson S, Höök M. Material requirements and availability for multi-terawatt deployment of photovoltaics. *Energy Policy* 2017;108:574–82.
- [419] Piano SL, Mayumi K. Toward an integrated assessment of the performance of photovoltaic power stations for electricity generation. *Appl Energy* 2017;186:167–74.
- [420] Moreau V, Dos Reis PC, Vuille F. Enough metals? Resource constraints to supply a fully renewable energy system. *Resources* 2019;8:29.
- [421] Habib K, Schibye PK, Vestbø AP, Dall O, Wenzel H. Material flow analysis of NdFeB magnets for Denmark: a comprehensive

- waste flow sampling and analysis approach. *Environ Sci Technol* 2014;48:12229–37.
- [422] Gruber PW, Medina PA, Keoleian GA, Kesler SE, Everson MP, Wallington TJ. Global lithium availability: A constraint for electric vehicles? *J Ind Ecol* 2011;15:760–75.
- [423] Kushnir D, Sandén BA. The time dimension and lithium resource constraints for electric vehicles. *Resour Policy* 2012;37:93–103.
- [424] Miedema JH, Moll HC. Lithium availability in the EU27 for battery-driven vehicles: The impact of recycling and substitution on the confrontation between supply and demand until 2050. *Resour Policy* 2013;38:204–11.
- [425] Elshkaki A. Long-term analysis of critical materials in future vehicles electrification in China and their national and global implications. *Energy* 2020;202:117697.
- [426] Ortego A, Calvo G, Valero A, Iglesias-Émbil M, Valero A, Villacampa M. Assessment of strategic raw materials in the automobile sector. *Resour Conserv Recycl* 2020;161:104968.
- [427] Richa K, Babbitt CW, Gaustad G, Wang X. A future perspective on lithium-ion battery waste flows from electric vehicles. *Resour Conserv Recycl* 2014;83:63–76.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2013.11.008>.
- [428] Harmsen J, Roes A, Patel M. The impact of copper scarcity on the efficiency of 2050 global renewable energy scenarios. *Energy* 2013;50:62–73.
- [429] García-Olivares A, Ballabrera-Poy J, García-Ladona E, Turiel A. A global renewable mix with proven technologies and common materials. *Energy Policy* 2012;41:561–74.
- [430] Usai L, Lamb JJ, Hertwich E, Burheim OS, Strømman AH. Analysis of the Li-ion battery industry in light of the global transition to electric passenger light duty vehicles until 2050. *Environ Res Infrastruct Sustain* 2022;2:011002.
<https://doi.org/10.1088/2634-4505/ac49a0>.
- [431] Deetman S, Pauliuk S, Van Vuuren DP, Van Der Voet E, Tukker A. Scenarios for Demand Growth of Metals in Electricity Generation Technologies, Cars, and Electronic Appliances. *Environ Sci Technol* 2018;52.
<https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b05549>.
- [432] EIA. International Energy Outlook 2021. 2021.
- [433] Carrara S, Alves Dias P, Plazzotta B, Pavel C. Raw materials demand for wind and solar PV technologies in the transition

- towards a decarbonised energy system. 2020.
<https://doi.org/10.2760/160859>.
- [434] Xu C, Dai Q, Gaines L, Hu M, Tukker A, Steubing B. Future material demand for automotive lithium-based batteries. *Commun Mater* 2020 11 2020;1:1–10.
<https://doi.org/10.1038/s43246-020-00095-x>.
- [435] Junne T, Wulff N, Breyer C, Naegler T. Critical materials in global low-carbon energy scenarios: The case for neodymium, dysprosium, lithium, and cobalt. *Energy* 2020;211.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ENERGY.2020.118532>.
- [436] van Oorschot J, Sprecher B, Roelofs B, van der Horst J, van der Voet E. Towards a low-carbon and circular economy: Scenarios for metal stocks and flows in the Dutch electricity system. *Resour Conserv Recycl* 2022;178:106105.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2021.106105>.
- [437] Zeng A, Chen W, Rasmussen KD, Zhu X, Lundhaug M, Müller DB, et al. Battery technology and recycling alone will not save the electric mobility transition from future cobalt shortages. *Nat Commun* 2022;13. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-29022-z>.
- [438] Ortego A, Valero A, Valero A, Restrepo E. Vehicles and Critical Raw Materials: A Sustainability Assessment Using Thermodynamic Rarity. *J Ind Ecol* 2018;22:1005–15.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/jiec.12737>.
- [439] Månberger A, Stenqvist B. Global metal flows in the renewable energy transition: Exploring the effects of substitutes, technological mix and development. *Energy Policy* 2018;119:226–41. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2018.04.056>.
- [440] IEA. *Global Hydrogen Review 2022*. Paris: 2023.
- [441] Andersson M, Ljunggren Söderman M, Sandén BA. Are scarce metals in cars functionally recycled? *Waste Manag* 2017;60:407–16. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2016.06.031>.
- [442] Zhang Y, Liu Y, Lv H, Chen S, Li S. Dynamic analysis of platinum material flows and stocks with hybrid MFA method aiming to carbon neutral targets of automotive industry in China. *Environ Pollut Bioavailab* 2021;33:194–205.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/26395940.2021.1947158>.
- [443] van Vuuren DP, Stehfest E, Gernaat DEHJ, Doelman JC, van den Berg M, Harmsen M, et al. Energy, land-use and greenhouse gas emissions trajectories under a green growth paradigm. *Glob Environ Change* 2017;42:237–50.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2016.05.008>.

- [444] Dones R, Bauer C, Bolliger R, Burger B, Faist Emmenegger M, Frischknecht R, et al. Life cycle inventories of energy systems: results for current systems in Switzerland and other UCTE countries. Final Rep Ecoinvent Data V2 0 2007;5.
- [445] Media KW. Oil and gas companies are making old pipelines the landowner's problem. Pop Sci 2021. <https://www.popsoci.com/story/environment/oil-gas-pipelines-property/> (accessed August 13, 2023).
- [446] Lei T, Guan D, Shan Y, Zheng B, Liang X, Meng J, et al. Adaptive CO₂ emissions mitigation strategies of global oil refineries in all age groups. *One Earth* 2021;4:1114–26. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ONEEAR.2021.07.009>.
- [447] Kong X, Feng K, Wang P, Wan Z, Lin L, Zhang N, et al. Steel stocks and flows of global merchant fleets as material base of international trade from 1980 to 2050. *Glob Environ Change* 2022;73:102493. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.GLOENVCHA.2022.102493>.
- [448] Ukraine Coal Mine Methane Inventories: 1990-2001 (June 2002) | Global Methane Initiative. <https://www.globalmethane.org/> n.d. <https://globalmethane.org/resources/details.aspx?resourceid=5086> (accessed August 13, 2023).
- [449] National Academies of Sciences E, Division H and M, Studies D on E and L, Policy B on HS, Toxicology B on ES and, Resources B on ES and, et al. Coal Mining in the United States. Monit. Sampl. Approaches Assess Undergr. Coal Mine Dust Expo., National Academies Press (US); 2018.
- [450] Slivyak V, Podosenova O. Russian coal industry: Environmental and public health impacts and regional development prospects. *Ecodefense Rep* 2013.
- [451] Munnik V, Hochmann G, Hlabane M, Law S. The social and environmental consequences of coal mining in South Africa. Case Study 13f 2010.
- [452] Mukherjee S, Î DPP. Underground and Opencast Coal Mining Methods in India: A Comparative Assessment. *Space Cult India* 2019;7:39–55. <https://doi.org/10.20896/saci.v7i1.395>.
- [453] Kishore B. Future of Bulk Production from Underground Coal Mine in India. *Coal Min Technol Manag* 2018;21.
- [454] Mitra R, Saydam S. Surface coal mining methods in Australia. *Min Methods* 2012;9:125–32.

- [455] Poland. Voice Coal Eur 2020. <https://euracoal.eu/info/country-profiles/poland/> (accessed August 14, 2023).
- [456] Tao M, Cheng W, Nie K, Zhang X, Cao W. Life cycle assessment of underground coal mining in China. *Sci Total Environ* 2022;805:150231.
- [457] IEA. Offshore Energy Outlook 2018. Paris: IEA; n.d.
- [458] Offshore Oil and Gas Production n.d. <https://www.planete-energies.com/en/media/article/offshore-oil-and-gas-production> (accessed August 14, 2023).
- [459] Global crude oil onshore and offshore production distribution 2025. Statista n.d. <https://www.statista.com/statistics/624138/distribution-of-crude-oil-production-worldwide-onshore-and-offshore/> (accessed August 14, 2023).
- [460] Offshore production nearly 30% of global crude oil output in 2015 n.d. <https://www.eia.gov/todayinenergy/detail.php?id=28492> (accessed August 14, 2023).
- [461] Wernet G, Bauer C, Steubing B, Reinhard J, Moreno-Ruiz E, Weidema B. The ecoinvent database version 3 (part I): overview and methodology. *Int J Life Cycle Assess* 2016;21:1218–30.
- [462] Vahn GP, Lee C. S Korea expands state oil reserve capacity but backwardation bodes ill for new stocks 2021. <https://www.spglobal.com/commodityinsights/en/market-insights/latest-news/oil/112621-s-korea-expands-state-oil-reserve-capacity-but-backwardation-bodes-ill-for-new-stocks> (accessed August 14, 2023).
- [463] Working and Net Available Shell Storage Capacity - U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA) n.d. <https://www.eia.gov/petroleum/storagecapacity/index.php> (accessed August 14, 2023).
- [464] Faist Emmenegger M, Del Duce A, Moreno Ruiz E, Brunner F. Update of the European natural gas supply chains in v3. 4, ecoinvent. Zür Switz 2017.
- [465] Canada NR. Pipelines Across Canada 2016. <https://natural-resources.canada.ca/our-natural-resources/energy-sources-distribution/fossil-fuels/pipelines/pipelines-across-canada/18856> (accessed August 14, 2023).
- [466] Canada Pipeline Maps & Facts | Trans Mountain Pipeline, Keystone XL, Enbridge Line 3. CAPP Unified Voice Can

- Upstream Oil Gas Ind n.d. <https://www.capp.ca/explore/oil-and-natural-gas-pipelines/> (accessed August 14, 2023).
- [467] United States. Department of Transportation. Bureau of Transportation Statistics. National Transportation Statistics (NTS) 2019. <https://doi.org/10.21949/1503663>.
- [468] CIA C. The World Factbook. Central Intelligence Agency 2020.
- [469] OECD Statistics n.d. <https://stats.oecd.org/> (accessed August 14, 2023).
- [470] International Energy Agency IEA. Natural Gas Information 2019 2019.
- [471] Norwegianpetroleum.no - facts about Norwegian petroleum activities. NorwegianpetroleumNo n.d. <https://www.norskpetroleum.no/en/> (accessed August 14, 2023).
- [472] China | Pipeline: Length of Pipeline | CEIC n.d. <https://www.ceicdata.com/en/china/pipeline-length-of-pipeline> (accessed August 14, 2023).
- [473] DONGXING SECURITIES DS. 天然气基础设施建设任重道远 管道建设加速创万亿市场. n.d.
- [474] Verhagen T. The energy and material related impacts of the transition towards low-carbon heating: a case study of the Netherlands 2023.
- [475] API 5L Grade X42. SSAB n.d. <https://www.ssab.com/en-us/brands-and-products/commercial-steel/structural-steel/api-line-pipe-steels/grade-x42> (accessed November 29, 2023).
- [476] API 5L Grade X65. SSAB n.d. <https://www.ssab.com/en-us/brands-and-products/commercial-steel/structural-steel/api-line-pipe-steels/grade-x65> (accessed January 2, 2024).
- [477] API 5L X70 Pipe Specifications. Octalsteel 2019. <https://www.octalsteel.com/resources/api-5l-x70-pipe/> (accessed January 2, 2024).