



Universiteit  
Leiden  
The Netherlands

## **Esophagectomy after definitive chemoradiation in esophageal cancer: a safe therapeutic strategy**

Geffen, E.G.M. van; Neelis, K.J.; Putter, H.; Slingerland, M.; Steur, W.O. de; Kraan, J. van der; ... ; Hartgrink, H.H.

### **Citation**

Geffen, E. G. M. van, Neelis, K. J., Putter, H., Slingerland, M., Steur, W. O. de, Kraan, J. van der, ... Hartgrink, H. H. (2024). Esophagectomy after definitive chemoradiation in esophageal cancer: a safe therapeutic strategy. *Diseases Of The Esophagus*.  
doi:10.1093/dote/doae059

Version: Publisher's Version  
License: [Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)  
Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/4089808>

**Note:** To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

## Esophagectomy after definitive chemoradiation in esophageal cancer: a safe therapeutic strategy

Eline G.M. van Geffen,<sup>1</sup> Karen J. Neelis,<sup>2</sup> Hein Putter,<sup>3</sup> Marije Slingerland,<sup>4</sup> Wobbe O. de Steur,<sup>1</sup> Jolein van der Kraan,<sup>5</sup> Aart J. van der Molen,<sup>6</sup> A. Stijn L.P. Crobach,<sup>7</sup> Henk H. Hartgrink<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Surgical Oncology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands, <sup>2</sup>Department of Radiation Oncology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands, <sup>3</sup>Department of Medical Statistics, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands, <sup>4</sup>Department of Medical Oncology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands, <sup>5</sup>Department of Gastroenterology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands, <sup>6</sup>Department of Radiology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands, and <sup>7</sup>Department of Pathology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands

**SUMMARY.** The standard treatment regimen for esophageal cancer is chemoradiation followed by esophagectomy. However, the use of neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy damages the surrounding tissue, which potentially increases the risk of postoperative complications, including anastomotic leakage. The impact of definitive chemoradiotherapy (dCRT, 50.4 Gy radiotherapy) compared to the standard neoadjuvant scheme (nCRT, 41.4 Gy radiotherapy) prior to surgery on the incidence of anastomotic leakage remains poorly understood. To study this, all patients who received dCRT between 2011 and 2021 followed by esophagectomy were included. For each patient, two patients who received nCRT were selected as matched controls. Outcomes included postoperative anastomotic leakage, pulmonary and other complications, anastomotic stenosis, pulmonary and other postoperative complications (Clavien Dindo Classification  $\geq 1$ ), and overall survival. One hundred and eight patients were included with a median follow-up of 28 months. The time between neoadjuvant treatment and surgery was longer in the dCRT group compared to the nCRT group (65 vs. 48 days,  $P < 0.001$ ). Postoperatively, significantly more patients in the dCRT group suffered from anastomotic leakage (11% vs. 1%,  $P = 0.04$ ) and anastomotic stenosis (42% vs. 17%,  $P < 0.01$ ). No differences were found for other complications or overall survival between both groups. In conclusion, preoperative dCRT is associated with a higher risk of anastomotic leakage and stenosis. These complications, however, can be treated effectively. Therefore, esophagectomy after dCRT is considered to be an appropriate treatment strategy in a selected patient group.

**KEY WORDS:** anastomotic leakage, chemoradiation, esophageal cancer surgery, esophagectomy, therapy, treatment.

### INTRODUCTION

Esophageal cancer is an aggressive form of cancer, with an annually increasing mortality of over 500 000 cases worldwide.<sup>1</sup> The introduction of neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy (nCRT) led to a clear survival benefit compared to surgery alone, subsequently establishing a new standard of care. The current neoadjuvant treatment regimen, based on the CROSS trial, entails CRT (carboplatin AUC 2/paclitaxel 50 mg/m<sup>2</sup> and 41.4 Gy radiotherapy) followed by surgical resection of the esophagus.<sup>2,3</sup> The CROSS treatment regimen substantially increased median overall survival from 24 months to 49 months compared to surgery alone.<sup>4</sup>

However, it should be noted that radiotherapy elicits an inflammatory response, which in turn stimulates excessive collagen production, resulting in the development of fibrosis.<sup>5</sup> When CRT is administered prior to surgery, it has the potential to complicate the esophagectomy and may negatively affect postoperative outcomes in terms of anastomotic leakage and infection.<sup>4,6,7</sup> Increasing the dose of radiotherapy supposedly leads to more cellular damage, tissue necrosis, and less perfusion, and may increase the risk of adverse events.<sup>8–12</sup>

In contrast to the current standard of care using 41.4 Gy of radiotherapy, other prospective studies have utilized radiotherapy doses ranging up to 45.0 Gy as part of preoperative management with

Address correspondence to: Dr. Henk H. Hartgrink, Department of Surgical Oncology, Leiden University Medical Center, Albinusdreef 2, 2333 ZA, Leiden, The Netherlands. Email: h.h.hartgrink@lumc.nl











of people who were initially unfit for surgery, or had multi-morbidities requiring urgent treatment, but were re-evaluated and were considered fit for surgery after dCRT. These reasons have probably contributed to a significant increase for the dCRT group in time between the CRT and surgical treatment CRT from 48 days in nCRT to 65 days in dCRT ( $P < 0.01$ ). While this study outlines the rationale for dCRT in the respective patient group, it is essential to recognize the intricate nature of multidisciplinary decision-making, which is patient-tailored. Consequently, no conclusions can be drawn regarding whether the potential risk of anastomotic leakages and stenosis could have been spared.

This study offers insight into the postoperative complications after dCRT followed by esophagectomy, leading to an increased incidence of anastomotic problems (leakage and stenosis). The results of this study therefore indicate that patients should be carefully selected when esophagectomy after dCRT is planned and should be informed of the increased risks of complications. A prospective national cohort study could be useful to identify and characterize this group in order to make more specific guidelines for patient selection to avoid complications after surgery. A study considering the quality of life of esophageal cancer patients is currently being conducted in The Netherlands and may give insight into the consequences of the dCRT and nCRT regimens and the impact of increased anastomotic leakage and stenosis rates.<sup>25</sup>

## CONCLUSION

Esophagectomy after dCRT is associated with a higher anastomotic leakage and anastomotic stenosis rate. These complications, however, can be treated effectively. Therefore, dCRT prior to surgery is considered an appropriate treatment strategy in a carefully selected group of patients.

## References

- Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel R L *et al*. Global cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2021; 71(3): 209–49.
- van Hagen P, Hulshof M C C M, Van Lanschot J J B *et al*. Preoperative chemoradiotherapy for esophageal or junctional cancer. *New Eng J Med* 2012; 366(22): 2074–84.
- Ajani J A, D'Amico T A, Bentrem D J *et al*. Esophageal and esophagogastric junction cancers, version 2.2019, NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology. *J Natl Compr Canc Netw* 2019; 17(7): 855–83.
- Shapiro J, Van Lanschot J J B, Hulshof M C *et al*. Neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy plus surgery versus surgery alone for esophageal or junctional cancer (CROSS): long-term results of a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2015; 16(9): 1090–8.
- Rodemann H P, Bamberg M. Cellular basis of radiation-induced fibrosis. *Radiother Oncol* 1995; 35(2): 83–90.
- Burmeister B H, Smithers B M, GebSKI V *et al*. Surgery alone versus chemoradiotherapy followed by surgery for resectable cancer of the esophagus: a randomised controlled phase III trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2005; 6(9): 659–68.
- Mariette C, Dahan L, Mornex F *et al*. Surgery alone versus chemoradiotherapy followed by surgery for stage I and II esophageal cancer: final analysis of randomized controlled phase III trial FFCD 9901. *J Clin Oncol* 2014; 32(23): 2416–22.
- Prise E L, Etienne P L, Meunier B *et al*. A randomized study of chemotherapy, radiation therapy, and surgery versus surgery for localized squamous cell carcinoma of the esophagus. *Cancer* 1994; 73(7): 1779–84.
- Herskovic A, Martz K, Al-Sarraf M *et al*. Combined chemotherapy and radiotherapy compared with radiotherapy alone in patients with cancer of the esophagus. *New Eng J Med* 1992; 326(24): 1593–8.
- Apinop C, Puttissak P, Preecha N. A prospective study of combined therapy in esophageal cancer. *Hepatogastroenterology* 1994; 41(4): 391–3.
- Hulshof M C, Geijssen E D, Rozema T *et al*. Randomized study on dose escalation in definitive chemoradiation for patients with locally advanced esophageal cancer (ARTDECO study). *J Clin Oncol* 2021; 39(25): 2816–24.
- Hall E J, Giaccia A J. *Radiobiology for the Radiologist*, Vol II, 7th edn. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, Philadelphia, 2012; 432–47.
- Urba S G, Orringer M B, Turrisi A, Iannettoni M, Forastiere A, Strawderman M. Randomized trial of preoperative chemoradiation versus surgery alone in patients with locoregional esophageal carcinoma. *J Clin Oncol* 2001; 19(2): 305–13.
- Austin P C. A comparison of 12 algorithms for matching on the propensity score. *Stat Med* 2014; 33(6): 1057–69.
- Esophaguscarcinoom – Nacontrole en Nazorg – Richtlijn – Federatie Medisch Specialisten. [https://richtlijnen database.nl/richtlijn/oesofaguscarcinoom/follow\\_up/nacontrole\\_en\\_nazorg.html](https://richtlijnen database.nl/richtlijn/oesofaguscarcinoom/follow_up/nacontrole_en_nazorg.html). Published on 01-12-2010, accessed on 19-03-2023.
- Clavien P A, Barkun J, De Oliveira M L *et al*. The Clavien-Dindo classification of surgical complications: five-year experience. *Ann Surg* 2009; 250(2): 187–96.
- Haque W, Verma V, Butler E B, Teh B S. Radiation dose in neoadjuvant chemoradiation therapy for esophageal cancer: patterns of care and outcomes from the National Cancer Data Base. *J Gastrointest Oncol* 2018; 9(1): 80–9.
- Jamel S, Markar S R. Salvage esophagectomy: safe therapeutic strategy? *J Thorac Dis* 2017; 9(Suppl 8): S799–808.
- Blom R L G M, Sosef M N, Nap M *et al*. Comparison of two neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy regimens in patients with potentially curable esophageal carcinoma. *Dis Esophagus* 2014; 27(4): 380–7.
- Noordman B J, Wijnhoven B P, Lagarde S M *et al*. Neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy plus surgery versus active surveillance for esophageal cancer: a stepped-wedge cluster randomised trial. *BMC Cancer* 2018; 18: 1–12.
- Kelly R J, Ajani J A, Kuzdzal J *et al*. Adjuvant nivolumab in resected esophageal or gastroesophageal junction cancer. *New Eng J Med* 2021; 384(13): 1191–203.
- de Steur W O, Huttelman M, Hartgrink H H. Generalizability of the results and concerns about leakage rates of the ICAN trial. *JAMA Surg* 2022; 157(2): 176–6.
- Van Workum F, Verstegen M H, Klarenbeek B R *et al*. Intrathoracic vs cervical anastomosis after totally or hybrid minimally invasive esophagectomy for esophageal cancer: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Surg* 2021; 156(7): 601–10.
- Gooszen J A H, Goense L, Gisbertz S S, Ruurda J P, Van Hillegersberg R, van Berge Henegouwen M I. Intrathoracic versus cervical anastomosis and predictors of anastomotic leakage after esophagectomy for cancer. *J Br Surg* 2018; 105(5): 552–60.
- Coebergh Van Den Braak R R J, van Rijssen L B, Van Kleef J J *et al*. Nationwide comprehensive gastro-intestinal cancer cohorts: the 3P initiative. *Acta Oncol* 2018; 57(2): 195–202.