



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Towards better policing: achieving norm internalization and compliance with persuasively designed technology

Louis, L.B.

Citation

Louis, L. B. (2024, September 17). *Towards better policing: achieving norm internalization and compliance with persuasively designed technology*. Meijers-reeks. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/4083571>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/4083571>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Towards Better Policing

Towards Better Policing
Achieving Norm Internalization and Compliance
with Persuasively Designed Technology

PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van
de graad van doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van rector magnificus prof.dr.ir. H. Bijl,
volgens besluit van het college voor promoties
te verdedigen op dinsdag 17 september 2024
klokke 10.00 uur

door

Linda Beatrice Louis

geboren te Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India

in 1989

Promotoren: prof. mr. dr. ir. B.H.M. Custers
prof. dr. mr. S. van der Hof

Promotiecommissie: prof. dr. V.A.J. Frissen
prof. mr. dr. A.W. Bedner
prof. dr. S. Çelik
prof. dr. G. Jacobs (Erasmus University Rotterdam)
dr. H.C. Lahmann

Opmaak binnenwerk: Anne-Marie Krens – Tekstbeeld – Oegstgeest
Omslagontwerp: Primo!Studio – Delft
Drukwerk: Ipskamp Printing – Amsterdam

ISBN 978 94 6473 559 8

© 2024 L.B. Louis

Behoudens de in of krachtens de Auteurswet gestelde uitzonderingen mag niets uit deze uitgave worden vervoelvoudigd, opgeslagen in een geautomatiseerd gegevensbestand, of openbaar gemaakt, in enige vorm of op enige wijze, hetzij elektronisch, mechanisch, door fotokopieën, opnamen of enige andere manier, zonder voorafgaande schriftelijke toestemming van de uitgever.

Voor zover het maken van reprografische vervoelvoudingen uit deze uitgave is toegestaan op grond van artikel 16h Auteurswet dient men de daarvoor wettelijk verschuldigde vergoedingen te voldoen aan de Stichting Reprorecht (Postbus 3051, 2130 KB Hoofddorp, www.reprorecht.nl). Voor het overnemen van (een) gedeelte(n) uit deze uitgave in bloemlezingen, readers en andere compilatiewerken (art. 16 Auteurswet) kan men zich wenden tot de Stichting PRO (Stichting Publicatie- en Reproductierechten Organisatie, Postbus 3060, 2130 KB Hoofddorp, www.stichting-pro.nl).

No part of this book may be reproduced in any form, by print, photoprint, microfilm or any other means without written permission from the publisher.

'Discrimination against women and racism are two sides of the same coin. Both deny justice.'

Justice Annie Ruth Jiagge

To my family, my sole reason for existence

To all the female victims scared away from justice systems because of prejudiced policing – their stories have left me searching for solutions for more than a decade, where part of this journey started, and will hopefully continue.

Acknowledgements

I would like to express my deepest thanks to my supervisors, Prof. Bart Custers and Prof. Simone Van der Hof, for taking a chance on an external candidate's unconventional idea, giving me this incredible opportunity and helping me sustain it. Everything I have learnt about good research supervision and being a supportive supervisor is because of them, and I cannot thank them enough for their confidence in me and the many hours spent patiently chiseling this work.

My heartfelt gratitude to all the members of my committee, Prof. Frissen, Prof. Bedner, Prof. Celik, Prof. Jacobs, and Dr. Lehmann for their time and energy in assessing my work and for their encouraging remarks and praise which left me on cloud nine for several weeks.

My immense and everlasting thanks to my amazing parents, who supported my decision to take this leap to expand my horizons, eschewing safer options. Words cannot express how lucky I am to have them, for their unconditional love, support, strength and time, their steadfastness in putting my desires (risky as they may be at times) ahead of their own fears as parents every day and still never pressuring me in any way.

To my brother, who encouraged me to take this step when I wasn't sure, who undertook the risk and supported me financially through the first year, and who has been a pillar of strength, love, patience and advise whenever I wavered.

To my sister, my other pillar of strength, who always believed her kid sister was capable of this and of much more, pushed me to believe in myself, who has picked up innumerable (long) midnight calls and has always known exactly what to say to me.

To my wonderful friends at eLaw – Jenneke, Francien, Alan, Gineke, Regina, Eduardo, and so many others, for welcoming me, supporting me, and helping me feel at home in this country I now call home.

To my unofficial 'thesis whisperer' Dr. Michiel Rhoen, who has let me expel anxiety and confusion many times, and helped with the thesis at too many different points to list.

To my colleagues, team leaders and friends at De Haagse Hogeschool, many of whom were as excited as me when I hit final milestones, were always interested, had patience with me when I was trying to balance my work with my research and raised a toast with me the day I was approved for a public

defense. Finishing a PhD with full-time work was only possible the last three years because I had a flexible and warm environment to ensconce myself in.

To Ms. Vidya Reddy in Chennai, my very first internship supervisor and long-time mentor, whose request for a mobile application to reduce policing mistakes in 2017 led me to find the fascinating world of persuasive technology.

Too many friends to count have been sources of joy and support in this journey – they have housed me, fed me (literally), had faith in me, and are now celebrating with me. Praveen, Shwetha, Arte, Orsi, Leyla, Ella Joao and numerous others – I have truly found a surrogate family here, and I am so grateful for all of you in my life and through these last few years.

Table of Contents

1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Non-Compliance by Law Enforcement	1
1.1.1	Defining The Misconduct Under Scrutiny: Problematic Deviations From Expected Conduct	2
1.1.2	Reducing Non-Compliance: Unique Complications Due To The Nature Of Policing	4
1.1.3	Why and How Persuasively Designed Technology Can Help	7
1.2	Research Question	9
1.3	Methodology	12
1.3.1	Research Methods	12
1.3.2	Theoretical Framework	16
1.4	The Structure Of This Thesis	16
2	DEFINING FOUNDATIONAL CONCEPTS: PERSUASIVE TECHNOLOGY AND ITS ROLE IN LEGAL COMPLIANCE	21
	<i>Introduction</i>	21
2.1	Fundamental Concepts: Defining Persuasive Technology	22
2.1.1	Introducing Persuasive Technology	22
2.1.2	The Importance of Interactivity	24
2.1.3	The Importance of User Experience In Building Interactive Technology	27
2.2	How Technology can Persuade	29
2.2.1	The Functional Roles Played By Technology	29
2.2.2	The Fundamentals of Persuasion and Behaviour Change	30
2.2.3	Persuasion Through Technology – A Design Oriented Framework	35
2.2.4	Persuasive Design Strategies Suitable For Technology	41
2.2.5	What Existing Persuasive Technologies Can Tell Us	47
2.3	Architecture And Design For Legal Outcomes	53
2.3.1	Legal Compliance Through Architecture	54
2.3.2	Nudging for Persuasion	58
2.3.3	Digital Nudging	62
2.3.4	Nudge, Code and Persuasive Technology	64
2.4	Conclusion	66

3	ACHIEVING BEHAVIOURAL CHANGE IN POLICE ORGANISATIONS: LESSONS LEARNED AND INSIGHTS GAINED	69
	<i>Introduction</i>	69
3.1	Identifying Racist and Sexist Policing	70
3.1.1	Racist Policing in Developed Countries With Racial / Ethnic Minorities	70
3.1.2	Sexist Treatment By Law Enforcement Personnel	74
3.2	Organizational And Social Factors Engendering Discriminatory Policing	76
3.2.1	Emphasis on 'Performance Management' Can Lead To Discriminatory Policing	77
3.2.2	Racialized Policing as A By-product of the Occupation of Policing	83
3.2.3	Myths And Sexist Attitudes Facilitate Sexist Policing	86
3.3	Explaining Discriminatory Police Behaviour: Individual-Level Factors	90
3.3.1	Discrimination as Learnt Behaviour – Social Learning Theory	91
3.3.2	Discrimination as a Result of Social Conditioning and Stereotype Formation	95
3.3.3	The Role of Implicit Bias in Discriminatory Police Behaviour	101
3.4	Achieving Compliant Behaviour: Evaluating Extant Approaches	106
3.4.1	Implementing Procedural Justice in Policing	107
3.4.2	General Anti-racism Strategies and their evaluation	113
3.4.3	Undoing Implicit Bias	115
3.4.4	Insights from Current Training Models to Reduce Discriminatory Behaviour	118
3.4.5	Additional Methods – Changing Behaviour by Changing Attitudes	120
3.5	Conclusion	122
4	LEGAL CODES, NORMS, AND NORM INTERNALISATION – ESTABLISHING AN ALTERNATIVE APPROACH TO COMPLIANCE	125
	<i>Introduction</i>	125
4.1	Legal Rules on Sexism and Racism Regulating The Behaviour of Law Enforcement	126
4.1.1	Relevant International and Regional Legal Provisions	126
4.1.2	Relevant National Legal Codes That Regulate Law Enforcement	131
4.1.3	Regulatory instruments or 'Soft' Commitments	134
4.2	The Potential of Norms To Fill A Gap	136
4.2.1	Setting the Stage: The Role of Norms in Behavioural Regulation	138
4.2.2	Benefits of Using Norms for Legal Outcomes	141
4.3	Relevant Norms in Combatting Racism and Sexism in Law Enforcement	145
4.3.1	Procedural Justice And Its Utility in Improving Citizen – Police Interactions	146
4.3.2	From Legal Regulations to Model Policies, Principles and Norms	152
4.3.3	Norms Extrapolated From Human Rights and Experimental Evidence	156

4.4	Achieving Compliance Without Sanctions: Norm Internalization as an Alternative Approach	166
4.4.1	Obtaining Norm Agreement Through Social Learning and Desire for Conformity	167
4.4.2	Influencing Norm Agreement By Focusing On Social Identity	168
4.4.3	Achieving Norm Agreement Through Persuasion	171
4.4.4	Achieving Norm Internalisation- Modelling The Process of Norm Internalization	172
4.4.5	Norm Activation – Activating Internalized Norms	176
4.5	Conclusion	179
5	ENHANCING NORM-COMPLIANT BEHAVIOUR WITH PERSUASIVE TECHNOLOGY	181
	<i>Introduction</i>	181
5.1	Persuasive Technologies For Norm Adherence	182
5.1.1	Feedback technologies	183
5.1.2	Embodied Virtual Agents	192
5.1.3	Reminders	201
5.1.4	Barrier technologies	208
5.1.5	Multimedia Technologies using Augmented Reality and Virtual Reality	214
5.1.6	Social Software	220
5.2	Technologies In Policing Today	227
5.2.1	Technologies used in the field	228
5.2.2	Technologies Used in Indoor Environments	234
5.3	Using Policing Technologies For Norm Compliance	238
5.3.1	Technologies in the field	240
5.3.2	Technologies Used In Indoor Environments	247
5.4	Conclusion	253
6	CONCLUSIONS	257
6.1	Conclusions regarding Persuasive Technologies for Better Policing	257
6.2	Recommendations for Further Research	264
6.3	Reflection	268
	SUMMARY	271
	SAMENVATTING (DUTCH SUMMARY)	275
	BIBLIOGRAPHY	279
	CURRICULUM VITAE	329