



Universiteit
Leiden

The Netherlands

These kind of words: number agreement in the species noun phrase in international academic English

Stenton, A.J.

Citation

Stenton, A. J. (2024, September 3). *These kind of words: number agreement in the species noun phrase in international academic English*. LOT dissertation series. LOT, Amsterdam. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/4039590>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/4039590>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

These kind of words:
Number agreement
in the species noun phrase
in International Academic English

Those kind and these kind, for *that* and *this* kind, or those and these *kinds*, seem almost too common errors to be mentioned here.

Published by

LOT

Binnengasthuisstraat 9

1012 ZA Amsterdam

The Netherlands

phone: +31 20 525 2461

e-mail: lot@uva.nl

<http://www.lotschool.nl>

Cover illustration: created specially by Sylvie Gummery. The image captures the atmosphere of a stack of usage guides.

ISBN: 978-94-6093-460-5

DOI: <https://dx.medra.org/10.48273/LOT0675>

NUR 616

Copyright © 2024: Adrian Stenton. All rights reserved

These kind of words:
Number agreement
in the species noun phrase
in International Academic English

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van
de graad van doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van rector magnificus prof.dr.ir. H. Bijl,
volgens besluit van het college voor promoties
te verdedigen op dinsdag 3 september 2024
klokke 13:00 uur

door

Adrian John Stenton

geboren te Liverpool, Engeland
in 1951

Promotor: Prof. dr. Ingrid M. Tiekens-Boon van Ostade
Copromotor: Dr. Anton van der Wouden
Promotiecommissie: Prof. dr. Jenny S. Doetjes
Prof. dr. Evelien Keizer (Universitat Wien)
Prof. dr. Linda Pilliere (Aix-Marseille Universite)
Prof. dr Gijsbert J. Rutten

This book is dedicated to Helen
for giving me the time and the space

Acknowledgements

This book, and the project as a whole, has benefited enormously from the input of a number of friends and colleagues: at Leiden, Carmen Ebner, Jurgen Lingen, Katja Lubina, Lyda Fens-de Zeeuw, Morana Lukač, Robin Straaijer, and Viktorija Kostadinova; elsewhere, Anne Schröder, Carol Percy, Caroline Lanskey, Clive Upton, David Denison, Don Chapman, Evelien Keizer, Fritz Newmeyer, Geoff Pullum, Kay Powell (née Sayce), Linda Pillière, Lise Fontaine, Mark Davies, Pam Peters, Paul Brians, Róisín Knight, Sara Vilar Lluch, and Ulrich Busse; and thanks to all of the respondents who took the time to take part in the survey.

I am also very grateful to Cambridge University Press for permission to hold the files that comprise the Stenton Corpus and to use them for analysis, and in particular to Sarah Grieves, Olivia Goodman and Andrea Vinkler at the Cambridge English Corpus for permission to access the corpus and for help in doing so. Development of this publication has made use of the Cambridge English Corpus (CEC). The CEC is a multi-billion word computer database of contemporary spoken and written English. It includes British English, American English and other varieties of English. It also includes the Cambridge Learner Corpus, developed in collaboration with Cambridge English Language Assessment. Cambridge University Press has built up the CEC to provide evidence about language use that helps to produce better language teaching materials.

And finally, thanks to my late colleague Janet Whitcut, who knew nothing of this study but who was the unwitting instigator of it.

The quotation on the half-title page is taken from Gertrude Payne's *Everyday Errors in Pronunciation, Spelling, and Spoken English* (1911).

Table of Contents

List of Figures	XI
List of Tables	XII
List of abbreviations and symbols	XIII
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 The usage problem	5
1.3 The current study	10
2 The species noun phrase	17
2.1 Introduction	17
2.2 Variation in the species noun phrase	18
2.2.1 Number agreement within the species noun phrase	19
2.2.2 Number agreement beyond the species noun phrase	21
Summary	22
2.3 The modern reference grammars	22
2.3.1 Number agreement within the species noun phrase	23
2.3.2 Number agreement beyond the species noun phrase	25
2.3.3 Number agreement, the proximity principle, and headedness	26
2.3.4 KIND OF as a post-determiner	28
Summary	29
2.4 The post-determiner hypothesis examined	30
2.4.1 Denison	30
2.4.2 Keizer	33
2.4.3 Davidse and colleagues	37
2.4.4 Klockmann	41
2.4.5 A historical perspective	45
2.5 Concluding remarks	46
3 The usage guides	49
3.1 Introduction	49
3.2 The usage guides in this study	51
3.3 Lateral referencing in the usage guides	56
3.3.1 Introduction	56
3.3.2 Lateral referencing in the usage guides as a whole	57
3.3.3 Lateral referencing within the species noun phrase entries	61

VIII

Summary	63
3.4 Number agreement in the species noun phrase	64
3.4.1 Introduction	64
3.4.2 Number agreement within the species noun phrase	66
Exemplification	66
Recommendation	68
Explanation	70
3.4.3 Number agreement between the species noun phrase and the verb	74
3.4.4 Attraction and proximity	76
Summary	77
3.5 Different varieties and registers	80
3.5.1 British and American variation	80
3.5.2 Register variation	86
Summary	88
3.6 Changes over time: some case studies	88
3.6.1 Introduction	88
3.6.2 Fowler (1926–2015)	90
3.6.3 Gowers (1948–2014)	92
3.6.4 Perrin (1939–1990)	92
3.6.5 Garner (1998–2022)	93
3.6.6 Alford (1864–1870)	94
Summary	95
3.7 Concluding remarks	95
4 The survey	99
4.1 Introduction	99
4.2 Surveys: a brief review	99
4.2.1 The Leonard (1932) survey	101
4.2.2 The Mittins et al. (1970) survey	104
4.2.3 The Bridging the Unbridgeable (BtU) surveys	105
4.3 The current survey	108
4.3.1 Hosting and promoting	108
4.3.2 Survey examples and questions	109
4.3.3 Contextualising the examples	112
4.3.4 Highlighting the examples	113
4.3.5 The survey respondents	115
4.4 The survey results	116
4.4.1 Results of the current survey	118
4.4.2 Comparison of survey results	121

Leonard (1932)	121
Mittins et al. (1970)	123
The Bridging the Unbridgeable (BtU) survey	123
Comparison with the current survey	125
4.4.3 Support for a cline of acceptability	128
4.4.4 The respondents' revisions	136
4.4.5 The respondents' comments	139
Syntax vs. semantics vs. rewrites	139
Register	140
Context	142
4.5 Concluding remarks	142
5 The corpus analysis	147
5.1 Introduction	147
5.2 The Stenton Corpus	148
5.2.1 The journals	148
5.2.2 The manuscripts	150
5.2.3 The authors	151
5.3 Generating the concordances in Sketch Engine	154
5.4 Analysing the concordances: some preliminaries	159
5.4.1 THIS N2 TYPE as an additional variant of the species noun phrase	160
5.5 The corpus data	164
5.5.1 Frequency of the species noun phrase in the corpus	164
5.5.2 Relative frequencies of the three variants	164
THIS TYPE OF N2	165
N2 OF THIS TYPE	167
THIS N2 TYPE	168
Summary	168
5.5.3 Number agreement with the verb	169
THIS TYPE OF N2	169
THESE TYPES OF N2	170
N2 OF THIS TYPE	171
THIS N2 TYPE	172
Summary	173
5.5.4 <i>kind</i> vs. <i>sort</i> vs. <i>type</i>	173
5.6 Concluding remarks	175
6 Conclusions	181
6.1 Introduction	181

6.2	The linguists	183
6.3	The prescriptivists	185
6.4	The general public: the attitude survey	187
6.5	The general public: the corpus analysis	188
6.6	Reflections on the current study	190
6.7	Closing remarks	192
References		193
Appendices		
A1	The usage guides	209
A2	The usage guides not included in this study	223
B1	The usage guides: Who is cited by whom?	225
B2	The usage guides: Who cites whom?	233
C1	Exemplification in the usage guides	241
C2	Recommendation in the usage guides	245
D	The survey examples in context	251
E	The survey respondents	265
F	The journal authors	271
G1	Relative frequencies of the three species nouns	275
G2	Relative frequencies of the singular vs. plural species nouns	279
G3	Relative frequencies of the determiners	281
G4	Number of the N2 in the three variants	283
G5	Pre-modification of the species noun	285
G6	Parentheticals	287
Index		289
Samenvatting in het Nederlands		299
Curriculum vitae		309

List of Figures

Figure 3.1	The distribution of the usage guides in HUGE by date	52
Figure 3.2	The usage guides in HUGE which do (Yes) or do not (No) include an entry on the species noun phrase	53
Figure 4.1	Percentage of the survey completed by the respondents	117
Figure 4.2	Number of respondents who voted ‘Yes’, ‘No’ and ‘Don’t know’ for each example	119
Figure 4.3	Percentage of respondents who voted ‘Yes’, ‘No’ and ‘Don’t know’ for each example	119
Figure 4.4	Results for <i>These sort of plays need first-class acting</i> from the BtU survey	124
Figure 4.5	Analysis of the respondents’ acceptability judgements on the Mittins et al. example in the current survey	127
Figure 4.6	Respondents’ example ratings ranked by acceptability	131
Figure 5.1	Journals by word count	149
Figure 5.2	Law and Language sub-corpora by word count	149
Figure 5.3	The Sketch Engine initial search screen for THIS TYPE OF	155
Figure 5.4	The concordance resulting from the query defined in Figure 5.3	157
Figure 5.5	The Stenton Corpus	165
Figure 5.6	The Law sub-corpus	165
Figure 5.7	The Language sub-corpus	165
Figure E1	Survey respondents by age and gender	269
Figure E2	Survey respondents by age and native vs. non-native speaker	270
Figure E3	Survey respondents by age and language variety	270

List of Tables

Table 3.1	Lateral referencing in the usage guides in this study	59
Table 3.2	Lateral referencing in the usage guide entries for the species noun phrase	62
Table 3.3	Exemplification in the species noun phrase entries	67
Table 3.4	Recommendation in the species noun phrase entries	69
Table 3.5	Metalinguage in the species noun phrase entries	72
Table 3.6	Number agreement between the subject species noun phrase and the verb in the usage guide entries	75
Table 3.7	‘Attraction’ and ‘proximity’ as explanatory concepts in the usage guide entries	78
Table 3.8	Place of publication of the usage guides in this study	82
Table 3.9	Recommended variants for the species noun phrase in usage guides published in the US and the UK	84
Table 3.10	The usage guides in this study with multiple editions	89
Table 4.1	Results from the Leonard (1932) survey: percentage of respondents who found the example acceptable in the different registers	122
Table 4.2	Comparison of results from the four surveys	125
Table 4.3	Analysis of the respondents’ acceptability judgements on the Mittins et al. example in the current survey	127
Table 4.4	Examples ranked by their acceptability ratings	130
Table 4.5	Comparison of the responses in the current survey at two different time-points	131
Table 4.6	Examples of the respondents’ comments by category	141
Table 5.1	Relative frequencies of <i>kind</i> , <i>sort</i> and <i>type</i>	174
Table E1	Personal data of the survey respondents	266
Table F1	Number of authors writing for each journal	271
Table F2	Number of manuscripts contributed by the authors by journal	272
Table F3	Journal authors by country of institutional affiliation	273
Table G1	Relative frequency of <i>type</i> vs. <i>kind</i> vs. <i>sort</i>	275

List of abbreviations and symbols

*	Denotes an unacceptable/ungrammatical phrase or sentence
?	Denotes a questionable phrase or sentence
*?	Denotes a phrase or sentence of uncertain status
†	Denotes a truncated example
A	Academic American
ACAD	Academic prose
ACAD†	Academic prose (truncated example)
ACE	Australian Corpus of English
AJL	<i>Asian Journal of International Law</i>
ALS	<i>Asian Journal of Law and Society</i>
B	British Business person
BLC	<i>Bilingualism: Language and Cognition</i>
BNC	British National Corpus
BNC 2014	British National Corpus 2014
BtU	Bridging the Unbridgeable
CAC 2020	Cambridge Academic Corpus 2020
CB	<i>COBUILD</i>
CL	Clause
COBUILD	Collins Birmingham University International Language Database
COCA	Corpus of Contemporary American English
CONV	Conversation
CUP	Cambridge University Press
D	Determiner
DET	Determiner
DK	Don't know
DP	Determiner Phrase
E	Editor/writer/translator etc.
EFL	English as a Foreign Language
ESL	English as a Second Language
F	Female
FICT	Fiction
ftn.	Footnote
GEN	Genitive
GloWbE	Corpus of Global Web-Based English

XIV

HTML	Hypertext Markup Language
HUGE	Hyper Usage Guide of English
ICE-GB	British component of the International Corpus of English
IFC	Instructions for Contributors
IJC	<i>International Journal of Law in Context</i>
JCL	<i>Journal of Child Language</i>
KP	Case Particle
KWIC	Key Word in Context
L	Linguist
LCO	<i>Language and Cognition</i>
LE	Linking Element
LL	Log-likelihood
LOB	Lancaster–Oslo–Bergen
LST	<i>Legal Studies</i>
M	Male
MLA	Modern Language Association
MP	Member of Parliament (UK)
MP	Minimalist Program
ms(s)	Manuscript(s)
MV	Modal Verb
N	No
N1	First Noun (= Species Noun)
N2	Second Noun
NC	Non-count
NCTE	National Council of Teachers of English
NGO	Non-governmental organisation
NLP	Natural language processing Neurolinguistic programming
NomPostD	Post-determiner
NNS	Non-native speaker
NS	Native speaker
<i>OED</i>	<i>Oxford English Dictionary</i>
O-P	Object of a Preposition
O-V	Object of a Verb
P	Preposition
PL	Plural
PM	Pre-modifier
pmw	Per Million Words
PN	Pronoun

PoS	Part of Speech
PostD	Post-determiner
PP	Prepositional Phrase
R	Respondent
R&D	Research and development
S	Student
SEO	Senior executive officer
SEU	Survey of English Usage
SG	Singular
SKT	<i>sort/kind/type</i>
SN	Species Noun
SN	Size Noun
SNP	Species Noun Phrase
SUBJ	Subject
T	Teacher
TN	Type Noun
UK	United Kingdom
UM	Unmarked (for number)
US	United States (of America)
V	Verb
VP	Verb Phrase
Y	Yes

