



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Smart courts, smart justice? Automation and digitisation of courts in China

Papagiannas, S.

Citation

Papagiannas, S. (2024, September 3). *Smart courts, smart justice?: Automation and digitisation of courts in China*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/4039574>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/4039574>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Smart Courts, Smart Justice?
Automation and Digitisation of Courts in China

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van
de graad van doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van rector magnificus prof.dr.ir. H. Bijl,
volgens besluit van het college voor promoties
ter verdediging op dinsdag 3 september 2024

klokke 10.00 uur

door

Straton Papagiannas

geboren te Jette, België

In 1994

Promotores: prof. mr. dr. A.W. Bedner
prof.dr.mr. S. van der Hof

Copromotor: Dr. R.J.E.H. Creemers

Overige leden van de promotiecommissie: Prof. Dr. F.A. Schneider
Prof. Dr. Bart Custers
Prof. Dr. S. Trevaskes (Griffith University,
Australia)
Dr. H.I. Huang
Mr. Dr. A.D. Reiling

Table of Contents

Table of Contents	iii
Acknowledgements	vii
Abbreviations	ix
List of Tables and Figures	x
Chapter One: Introduction	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Research Question.....	5
1.2.1 Some Ground Rules	6
1.3 Data and Methods.....	7
1.3.1 Losing Access to the Field	7
1.3.2 Introducing the Data.....	9
1.4 Overview of Chapters.....	12
Chapter Two: The Dual Nature of Law and Courts in China : Judicial Reform and the Role of Political Oversight	15
2.1 Introduction	15
2.2 The Dual Nature and Purpose of Law and Courts in the PRC	16
2.2.1 Between a Rock and a Hard Place: The Judiciary’s Position	18
2.3 Judicial Reform Under Xi Jinping (2013-2022)	20
2.3.1 Transparency and Credibility	21
2.3.2 Consistency and Standardisation.....	23
2.3.3 Accountability and Professionalisation.....	24
2.4 Maintaining Duality: The Role of Oversight in Justice and Its Reform	27
2.4.1 The Ideology and Functioning of Oversight in Justice	27
2.4.1 Changes to Oversight Since the Judicial Responsibility Reform.....	29
2.5 Conclusion.....	34
Chapter Three: Smart Court Reform	36
3.1 Introduction	36
3.2 The Five-Year Plan for Information Construction at People's Court (2013-2017).....	37
3.3 Building Blocks: The Three Transparency Platforms.....	38
3.3.1 China Court Trial Online.....	39

3.3.2 China Judicial Process Information Online.....	40
3.3.3 China Judgment Online.....	42
3.4 First Experiments With Digital and Automated Justice (2015-2017).....	43
3.4.1 The SPC’s Faxin Smart Push System (2016-2020).....	45
3.4.2 The Internet Courts (2017-2018).....	47
3.4.3 Shanghai High Court’s 206 System (2017).....	49
3.4.3.1 Assistive functions.....	50
3.4.3.2 Management functions.....	50
3.4.3.3 Oversight functions.....	51
3.5 Identifying Pain-Points and Key Tasks (2017-2021).....	51
3.5.1 The SPC Opinion on Accelerating Smart Court Construction (2017).....	52
3.5.2 The Five-year Development Plan for the Informatisation Construction of the People’s Courts (2019-2023).....	54
3.6 Consolidation and Legal Framework (2021-2022).....	60
3.6.1 The Smart Court Rules.....	63
3.6.2 Regulating Blockchain and AI in Courts.....	69
3.6.2.1 The Opinions on Strengthening the Judicial Application of Blockchain (2022) ...	69
3.6.2.2 The SPC Opinion on Regulating and Strengthening the Applications of Artificial Intelligence in the Judicial Field (2022).....	72
3.7 Conclusion.....	74
Chapter Four: Ideological Foundations for Automation of Justice and Governance	77
4.1 Introduction.....	77
4.2 A Theory of Marxism-Leninism and Science and Technology.....	81
4.2.1 Chinese Marxism and Scientism.....	82
4.2.2 Inclusion, Monitoring, and Social Control.....	83
4.2.3 Automation and Governance Modernisation.....	85
4.3 Data and Methods.....	86
4.4 Smartness as a Transformative Vehicle: Upgrading Service-Oriented Governance and Changing the Nature of Social Governance.....	88
4.4.1 Improving Outputs: Holistic Versus Fragmented Governance.....	90
4.4.2 Improving Inputs: Smartness, Democratisation, and Public Participation.....	93
4.5 Issues in and Criticism of Smart Governance.....	96
4.5.1 Technological Rationality and Dehumanisation.....	96

4.5.2 Personal Privacy, Inequality, and Other Ethical Considerations	98
4.6 Conclusion: Creating a Smart State	100
Chapter Five: Towards Smarter and Fairer Justice? A Review of the Chinese Scholarship on Smart Courts	103
5.1 Introduction	103
5.2 An Evaluative Framework of Smart Courts	104
5.2.1 The Judicial Reform Agenda.....	104
5.2.2 Smart Courts in the Judicial Reform Agenda.....	105
5.2.2.1 Efficiency	105
5.2.2.2 Consistency	105
5.2.2.3 Transparency and Oversight.....	106
5.2.2.4 Judicial Fairness	107
5.3. Data and Methods.....	108
5.3.1 Retrieval Protocol.....	108
5.3.2 Analysis	110
5.4 The Chinese Scholarly Debate on Smart Courts.....	110
5.4.1 Efficiency	110
5.4.2 Consistency	111
5.4.3 Transparency and Oversight.....	113
5.4.4 Judicial Fairness	116
5.5 Conclusion.....	118
Chapter Six: Fairness and Justice Through Automation in China’s Smart Courts.....	121
6.1 Introduction	121
6.2 Chinese Conceptions of “fairness”.....	123
6.3. Data and Methods.....	126
6.4. “Fairness” in Smart Court Reform	127
6.4.1 Challenges of Reform.....	128
6.4.2 Procedural Fairness Goals of Smart Court Reform.....	130
6.4.2.1 Internal accountability.....	130
6.4.2.2 Due Process	133
6.4.2.3 External visibility	135
6.4.3 Substantive Fairness Goals of Smart Court Reform	137
6.4.3.1 Sustaining legitimacy	137

6.4.3.2 Maintaining social stability	138
6.4.3.3 User convenience	140
6.4.4 Unifying Procedural and Substantive Fairness Goals	141
6.5 Conclusion.....	144
Chapter Seven: Automating Intervention in Chinese Justice: Smart Courts and Oversight Reform	147
7.1 Introduction	147
7.2 Data and Methods.....	149
7.3 Automating Intervention: A Tale of Two Platforms	151
7.3.1 The Jiangxi Provincial High Court Trial e-Management Platform.....	151
7.3.1.1 The ‘Trial e-Management’ Platform	153
7.3.1.2 Discussion	155
7.3.2 The Yibin Intermediate Court’s Full Process Automated Oversight Platform	157
7.3.2.1 Reforming Oversight Procedures	158
7.3.2.2 Digitising and Automating Oversight Procedures	160
7.3.2.3 Discussion	161
7.4 Drawing Justice into a “Digital Iron Cage”	162
7.5 Conclusion.....	165
Chapter Eight: Conclusion.....	167
8.1 Introduction	167
8.2 Implications for Scholarship	170
8.3 Limitations and Future Avenues	171
8.4 Readership and Relevance	171
Summary	174
Samenvatting (Summary In Dutch).....	180
References	187
Appendices	209
Appendix I: Overview of Relevant Official Documents.....	209
Appendix II: Codebook Chapter Four.....	214
Appendix III: Codebook Chapter Six.....	217
Curriculum Vitae	227

Acknowledgements

My Odyssean-like journey has finally come to an end. Even though I reached the shores of a finished Ph.D. alone, ragged, exhausted, and fortunately only four years older instead of twenty, this journey would not have been possible without the help of many people.

First, I want to thank my three supervisors, Dr. Rogier Creemers, Prof. Adriaan Bedner, and Prof. Simone van der Hof, for taking a chance on me and trusting me to get the job done. They provided invaluable feedback and advice and supported me throughout the process. They challenged me where necessary and often pushed me to do better. Specifically, I want to thank Dr. Rogier Creemers for being a great primary supervisor and mentor: he let me be the captain of my own ship, yet much like Aeolus, he knew when to give me a favourable wind in the right direction on multiple occasions. For this, I am grateful.

This dissertation is primarily the result of analysing textual documents. An endeavour that hardly requires much interpersonal interaction. Yet many people helped me make sense of the Delphian-like meanings in these texts.

I want to express my heartfelt thanks to Prof. Sue Trevaskes and Prof. Susan Finder. At times, it felt like they were also my supervisors. Both have read and given exhaustive feedback on parts of my work despite having no obligation to do so. Prof. Trevaskes, with her Athenian wisdom, helped me to wrap my head around difficult and abstract concepts. Prof. Finder provided invaluable documents and insider knowledge about the Chinese court system. In Ariadnean fashion, she helped me navigate the maze of Chinese bureaucracy. Multiple times during my research, both offered help, resulting in significant breakthroughs. Without their feedback, support, and advice, this PhD would not have been possible. For this, I am grateful.

Third, I am grateful to my fellow PhD candidates and colleagues at Leiden University. I was part of three different departments: the China Studies department at the Leiden Institute for Area Studies, the Van Vollenhoven Institute for Law, Governance and Society (VVI), and the eLaw Centre for Law and Digital Technology at the Law Faculty. Much like interdisciplinary research, finding one specific group I belonged to was difficult. At the same time, it allowed me to meet and interact with many people I would otherwise not have met.

Specifically, I want to thank all the VVI monthly writing group members, especially Nada Heddane, Hannah Bliersbach, and Hannah DeLacey, for the many interesting conversations about writing and academic research and for being overall great colleagues and friends. Thanks for the laughs (and Nutella). In addition, I want to thank Professor Eduard Fosch-Villaronga for being a great friend, housemate, and for the many impromptu late-night advice. For this, I am grateful.

Fourth, my friends and family. I want to thank Dr. Nino Junius, who also finished his PhD in the same year. Like Mentor, you are tenfold the academic scholar that many of us will ever be. Working with and learning from you was one of the highlights of my PhD. I consider myself blessed we went through the same ordeals.

I want to thank Maxime Leurquin. Loyal and helpful like Iolaus, some of the Herculean feats during this PhD could not have been possible without your help.

I want to thank Guillaume De Becker. Like Patroclus, you are my oldest friend and have known me the longest. Thank you for always being patient, reminding me not to take it all too seriously, and showing me there is life outside the academic bubble.

I want to thank Elisa Fradet. Even though our paths have diverged, you supported me through thick and thin during three years of my PhD and a global pandemic. I am grateful for having been able to share them with you and for our friendship now.

Last but not least, I want to thank my parents, Σταυρός Παπαγιαννέας (Stavros Papagiannneas) and Helena Willems. Words are not enough to express how much I owe you. Thank you.

Finally, I dedicate this dissertation to my late grandmother, my γιαγιά, Ελένη-Ερμάνς Ναχνικιάν (Hélène-Hermance Nachnikian), who passed away on 11 February 2020.

Ξεκούρασε τώρα, πρέπει να είσαι κουρασμένη
Από όλα τα ταξίδια που έχεις κάνει
Από την Αρμενία στην Ελλάδα στο Βέλγιο
Ξεκούρασε τώρα, μπορείς να χαλαρώσεις τώρα
Γιατί είσαι πλέον σπίτι

September 2023

Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Full name
AI	Artificial Intelligence
BIC	Beijing Internet Court
CCP	Chinese Communist Party
CCTO	China Court Trial Online
CCPC	Chinese Communist Party's Central Committee
CJO	Court Judgment Online
CJPIO	China Judicial Process Information Online
GIC	Guangzhou Internet Court
HIC	Hangzhou Internet Court
IT	Information Technology
ODR	Online Dispute Resolution
OLR	Online Litigation Rules
OMR	Online Mediation Rules
OOR	Online Operation Rules
SC	State Council
SCR	Smart Court Reform
SCS	Social Credit System
SPC	Supreme People's Court
WTO	World Trade Organisation

List of Tables and Figures

Table 1: Overview of data and methods per chapter..... 11
Table 2: Changes between the Provisions and the final Rules.....65
Table 3: List of identified themes and sub-themes127

Figure 1: The Smart Court Information System.....58
Figure 2: The Smart Service System.....59
Figure 3: Judicial Disclosure Platform.....60
Figure 4: Unification of procedural and substantive fairness in smart courts..... 143