

Screening, diagnosis and follow-up of Brugada syndrome in children: a Dutch expert consensus statement

Peltenburg, P.J.; Hoedemaekers, Y.M.; Clur, S.A.B.; Blom, N.A.; Blank, A.C.; Boesaard, E.P.; ...; Kammeraad, J.A.E.

Citation

Peltenburg, P. J., Hoedemaekers, Y. M., Clur, S. A. B., Blom, N. A., Blank, A. C., Boesaard, E. P., ... Kammeraad, J. A. E. (2022). Screening, diagnosis and follow-up of Brugada syndrome in children: a Dutch expert consensus statement. *Netherlands Heart Journal*, *31*, 133-137. doi:10.1007/s12471-022-01723-6

Version:Publisher's VersionLicense:Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 licenseDownloaded from:https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3575987

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Neth Heart J (2023) 31:133–137 https://doi.org/10.1007/s12471-022-01723-6



Screening, diagnosis and follow-up of Brugada syndrome in children: a Dutch expert consensus statement

P. J. Peltenburg () · Y. M. Hoedemaekers · S. A. B. Clur · N. A. Blom · A. C. Blank · E. P. Boesaard · S. Frerich · F. van den Heuvel · A. A. M. Wilde · J. A. E. Kammeraad

Accepted: 2 August 2022 / Published online: 12 October 2022 © The Author(s) 2022

Abstract Brugada syndrome (BrS) is a rare inherited arrhythmia syndrome. Affected children may experience life-threatening symptoms, mainly during fever. The percentage of SCN5A variant carriers in children is higher than in adults. Current diagnostic and follow-up policies for children with (a family history of) BrS vary between centres. Here, we present a consensus statement based on the current literature and expert opinions to standardise the approach for all children with BrS and those from BrS families in the Netherlands. In summary, BrS is diagnosed in patients with a spontaneous type 1 electrocardiogram (ECG) pattern or with a Shanghai score \geq 3.5 including \geq 1 ECG finding. A sodium channel-blocking drug challenge test should only be performed after puberty with a few exceptions. A fever ECG is indicated in

Supplementary Information The online version of this article (https://doi.org/10.1007/s12471-022-01723-6) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

P. J. Peltenburg (⊠) · S. A. B. Clur · N. A. Blom Department of Paediatric Cardiology, Emma Children's Hospital, Amsterdam University Medical Centres, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands p.j.peltenburg@amsterdamumc.nl

P. J. Peltenburg · A. A. M. Wilde

Amsterdam University Medical Centres, Heart Centre; Department of Clinical and Experimental Cardiology, Amsterdam Cardiovascular Sciences, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Y. M. Hoedemaekers

Department of Clinical Genetics, Radboud University Medical Centre, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

N.A. Blom

Department of Paediatric Cardiology, Willem-Alexander Children's Hospital, Leiden University Medical Centre, Leiden, The Netherlands children with suspected BrS, in children with a firstdegree family member with definite or possible BrS according to the Shanghai criteria with a *SCN5A* variant and in paediatric *SCN5A* variant carriers. In-hospital rhythm monitoring during fever is indicated in patients with an existing type 1 ECG pattern and in those who develop such a pattern. Genetic testing should be restricted to *SCN5A*. Children with BrS and children who carry an *SCN5A* variant should avoid medication listed at www.brugadadrugs.org and fever should be suppressed. Ventricular arrhythmias or electrical storms should be treated with isoproterenol infusion.

Keywords Brugada syndrome · DNA diagnostics · Family screening · Follow-up · Children

A. C. Blank

Department of Paediatric Cardiology, Wilhelmina Children's Hospital, Utrecht University Medical Centre, Utrecht, The Netherlands

E. P. Boesaard

Department of Paediatric Cardiology, Amalia Children's Hospital, Radboud University Medical Centre, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

S. Frerich

Department of Paediatric Cardiology, Maastricht University Medical Centre, Maastricht, The Netherlands

F. van den Heuvel

Department of Paediatric Cardiology, University Medical Centre Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands

J. A. E. Kammeraad

Department of Paediatric Cardiology, Erasmus Medical Centre-Sophia Children's Hospital, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

Introduction

Brugada syndrome (BrS) is a rare inherited arrhythmia syndrome causing conduction abnormalities, bradyarrhythmias and tachyarrhythmias. Although the prevalence of BrS is lower in children than in adults, their risk of developing symptoms is higher, especially during fever [1, 2]. Since the initial report on BrS in 1992, which included three children with recurrent aborted cardiac arrest (ACA),[3] research into this syndrome has evolved and its clinical management has improved [4]. However, the current practice of cardiologists, paediatric cardiologists and clinical geneticists with regard to the diagnosis and followup of BrS in children varies between clinical centres, which could lead to different strategies within the same family.

We therefore aimed to provide a Dutch expert consensus statement on the diagnosis, family screening and follow-up of paediatric BrS, ensuring the same standard of care for all children with BrS and for BrS families in the Netherlands. Our recommendations are based on the literature, expert consensus meet-

 Table 1
 Shanghai Score System for diagnosing BrS^a

Factor	Points
ECG (12-lead/ambulatory) ^b	
Spontaneous type 1 Brugada pattern on standard or Brugada ECG	3.5
Fever-induced type 1 Brugada pattern on standard or Bru- gada ECG	3
Type 2 or 3 Brugada ECG pattern that converts with sodium channel-blocking drug challenge	2
Clinical history ^a	
Unexplained cardiac arrest or documented ventricular fibrillation/polymorphic ventricular tachycardia	3
Nocturnal agonal respirations	2
Suspected arrhythmic syncope	2
Syncope of unclear mechanism or unclear aetiology	1
Atrial flutter or fibrillation in patients < 30 years without alternative aetiology	0.5
Family history ^a	
First- or second-degree relative with definite BrS	2
Suspicious SCD (during fever, at night, or when taking Bru- gada-aggravating drugs) in a first- or second-degree relative	1
Unexplained SCD < 45 years in a first- or second-degree relative with negative autopsy	0.5
Genetic test result	
Probable pathogenic mutation in BrS susceptibility gene	0.5
Total score (requires \geq 1 ECG finding)	
\geq 3.5 points	Probable or definite BrS
2–3 points	Possible BrS
<2 points	Non-diagnos- tic

BrS Brugada syndrome, *ECG* electrocardiogram, *SCD* sudden cardiac death ^a This table was adapted from an original table as reported by Antzelevitch et al. [4]

^b Only award points once for highest score within each category

ings and the experiences of the contributing authors and have been approved by all authors.

Diagnosis of Brugada syndrome in children

Electrocardiogram

BrS is diagnosed in patients with a revised Shanghai score ≥ 3.5 (Tab. 1; [4]). This means that the diagnosis is made in children with a spontaneous type 1 pattern on a standard electrocardiogram (ECG) (see Figure S1 in Electronic Supplementary Material) or on a higher right precordial lead ECG (Brugada ECG) (see Figure S2 in Electronic Supplementary Material). The Brugada ECG increases the likelihood of finding a type 1 pattern [5]. Fever can trigger a type 1 ECG pattern [6, 7]. When accompanied by other characteristics, a fever-induced type 1 pattern also leads to the diagnosis of BrS [4].

Sodium channel-blocking drug challenge test

Two cohort studies have shown that 20–24% of BrSsuspected children develop a type 1 pattern,[8, 9] whilst 3–7% have potentially life-threatening ventricular arrhythmias during a drug challenge test [9–11]. However, in a group of 53 asymptomatic children with an initial negative drug challenge test result, 23% had a positive test result when the drug challenge was repeated after puberty, which led to the BrS diagnosis [12].

Due to the low negative predictive value of the drug challenge test before puberty, a fever ECG is needed in children suspected of having BrS, irrespective of their response to the drug challenge test. Therefore, a drug challenge test before puberty is not recommended in BrS screening but might be indicated in exceptional cases, for example in children with ACA of unknown cause.

Genetic testing

In 1998, Chen et al. described an association between BrS and the *SCN5A* gene [13]. Pathogenic variants of *SCN5A* have been found in 15–20% of BrS patients and in 40% of familial BrS cases [14, 15]. However, in paediatric BrS probands, the proportion of *SCN5A* variant carriers is higher (47–67%) [2, 16].

In children diagnosed with BrS, genetic testing solely for *SCN5A* and variant calling according to the American College of Medical Genetics guidelines are recommended [17]. All other genes have been demoted to 'limited evidence of pathogenicity' [18].

Presymptomatic genetic testing of a (likely) pathogenic familial *SCN5A* variant is recommended for children of carriers of a (likely) pathogenic *SCN5A* variant. The genetic test result will guide the recommendations for further follow-up.

Clinical suspicion

BrS should be considered as a diagnosis in children with recurrent syncope, ACA or sudden cardiac death, especially if this occurs during fever [1]. If BrS is being considered, the child should be screened by a paediatric cardiologist by using standard and Brugada ECGs at rest and during fever (see Figure S2 in Electronic Supplementary Material).

Family screening

۶Ŋ

As BrS is mainly diagnosed in the third or fourth decade of life, most children are identified through family cascade screening [2]. Children with a first-degree relative with BrS should be screened according to the recommendations described below, which are summarised in Fig. 1.

Families with a (likely) pathogenic SCN5A variant

In families with a (likely) pathogenic *SCN5A* variant causing BrS, consultation with a clinical geneticist—potentially during pregnancy—is advised to discuss presymptomatic testing of the familial *SCN5A* variant in the child. After delivery, a noninvasive umbilical cord blood sample can be taken to screen for the *SCN5A* variant. If the parents decide not to have their child undergo this screening, the same recommendations apply as for *SCN5A* variant carriers, as described below.

SCN5A variant-positive children should be evaluated by a paediatric cardiologist preferably before the first vaccination, shortly after the *SCN5A* variant screening test or during their first fever episode. During this visit, standard and Brugada ECG recordings are recommended. In addition, the child should be evaluated during fever (≥ 38.5 °C) with standard and Brugada ECGs every 3 years or more frequently if their body temperature is higher than it was during the previously recorded fever ECG [7]. If no abnormalities are seen, an outpatient visit once every 3 years, including standard and Brugada ECG recordings, is recommended.

Caution is warranted for the use of certain drugs (see www.brugadadrugs.org). If a particular drug cannot be avoided, an ECG recording to evaluate its effect should be considered. Echocardiography is recommended every 6 years due to the possible association of BrS with cardiomyopathy [19]. A 24-hour Holter monitoring during puberty is recommended on indication to look for signs of sinus node dysfunction, and an exercise test may be considered. A drug challenge test is contra-indicated.

Adult family members who are non-carriers of the familial *SCN5A* variant may still be at risk of BrS [20]. However, the prevalence is low, and the risk of symptoms is even lower. We therefore do not recommend BrS screening during childhood in *SCN5A* variant-negative children from *SCN5A* variant-positive families.

Families without a (likely) pathogenic SCN5A variant

For children from BrS families without a (likely) pathogenic *SCN5A* variant, genetic testing is not meaningful. In fact, in a retrospective cohort study



Fig. 1 Flowchart for diagnosis and follow-up of Brugada syndrome (*BrS*) in children. A Brugada electrocardiogram (*ECG*) is an ECG recording for which the right precordial leads are positioned higher. *FU* follow-up

of 97 children with an a priori risk of BrS-based on family history of BrS or a BrS genotype-no children from SCN5A variant-negative families developed a type 1 ECG pattern during fever [7]. Therefore, we recommend evaluating children with a first-degree family member with definite or possible BrS according to the Shanghai criteria but without a pathogenic SCN5A variant only once. In these children, the paediatric cardiologist should evaluate their standard and Brugada ECGs after birth or after BrS has been diagnosed in a first-degree family member. If no abnormalities are found, further screening for BrS during childhood is not indicated. The child should be re-evaluated after puberty and a drug challenge test should be considered to definitively make or reject a BrS diagnosis.

For children with an abnormal BrS screening test, follow-up by a paediatric cardiologist is recommended. In families with a clear familial disease pattern without a (likely) pathogenic variant, children should be evaluated as being *SCN5A* variant carriers.

Follow-up of children with Brugada syndrome

Children diagnosed with BrS are at risk for life-threatening arrhythmic events, especially during fever [1]. As there is currently no available therapy to prevent this, the follow-up focuses on risk stratification and lifestyle recommendations to minimise this risk [21, 22]. We recommend evaluation by a paediatric cardiologist or electrophysiologist once every 1–3 years with standard and Brugada ECGs. Echocardiography should be performed once every 6 years. A 24-hour Holter recording, an exercise-stress test and an implantable loop recorder may be considered, particularly to evaluate symptoms such as syncope and palpitations.

Lifestyle recommendations

In children diagnosed with BrS, fever should be suppressed with acetaminophen with or without ibuprofen. Vaccinations should also be accompanied by acetaminophen treatment. Influenza vaccinations are not indicated.

During fever, standard and Brugada ECG recordings are indicated. If a type 1 pattern is pre-existent or develops during fever, cardiac rhythm observation is indicated until the fever has subsided or the ECG has normalised. This should preferably be performed in a hospital with experience in the treatment of arrhythmias associated with BrS. If it is more convenient for the patient and their family, fever ECGs can also be recorded at the local hospital, which may be relevant in the current COVID-19 era [23]. However, a fever ECG should always be assessed by a paediatric cardiologist before making clinical decisions. Caution is advised in situations in which the body temperature can increase, such as while playing sports during a heatwave, or while taking a sauna or a steam bath.

Medication associated with an increased risk of arrhythmic events in BrS (see www.brugadadrugs.org) should be avoided. If the use of a particular drug is unavoidable, the development of a type 1 pattern due to the medication should be evaluated with ECG recordings before and after the drug intake. Lastly, the intake of excessive amounts of alcohol should be discouraged.

Medication and other treatments

Ventricular arrhythmias or electrical storms in BrS are treated with isoproterenol infusion. Amiodarone and sodium channel blockers, which are advocated in advanced paediatric life support protocols, are contra-indicated in BrS patients. Monomorphic ventricular tachycardias during fever can be treated with a beta-blocker. Medical therapy with quinidine can be considered in highly symptomatic patients, but the evidence in children is limited [24, 25]. An implantable cardiac defibrillator should only be implanted according to international guidelines [26]. Although right ventricular outflow tract epicardial ablation seems promising in symptomatic adults with BrS [27], its short- and long-term effects in children are unknown. It can be considered in an experimental setting in children with uncontrollable ventricular arrhythmias.

Limitations

Our expert consensus was limited, mainly due to the inclusion of retrospective cohort studies. Therefore, the level of evidence of this statement is low. Furthermore, not all possible BrS phenotypes could be described in detail in this article. However, this consensus agreement fills a gap and will likely improve standard of care for all children with BrS and those from BrS families.

Conflict of interest P.J. Peltenburg, Y.M. Hoedemaekers, S.A.B. Clur, N.A. Blom, A.C. Blank, E.P. Boesaard, S. Frerich, F. van den Heuvel, A.A.M. Wilde and J.A.E. Kammeraad declare that they have no competing interests.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- 1. Michowitz Y, Milman A, Sarquella-Brugada G, et al. Feverrelated arrhythmic events in the multicenter survey on Arrhythmic events in Brugada syndrome. Heart Rhythm. 2018;15:1394–401.
- 2. Minier M, Probst V, Berthome P, et al. Age at diagnosis of Brugada syndrome: Influence on clinical characteristics and risk of arrhythmia. Heart Rhythm. 2019;17:743–9.
- 3. Brugada P, Brugada J. Right bundle branch block, persistent ST segment elevation and sudden cardiac death: a distinct clinical and electrocardiographic syndrome. A multicenter report. J Am Coll Cardiol. 1992;20:1391–6.
- Antzelevitch C, Yan GX, Ackerman MJ, et al. J-Wave syndromes expert consensus conference report: Emerging concepts and gaps in knowledge. Heart Rhythm. 2016;13:e295–e324.
- 5. Miyamoto K, Yokokawa M, Tanaka K, et al. Diagnostic and prognostic value of a type 1 Brugada electrocardiogram at higher (third or second) V1 to V2 recording in men with Brugada syndrome. Am J Cardiol. 2007;99:53–7.
- 6. Adler A, Rosso R, Chorin E, Havakuk O, Antzelevitch C, Viskin S. Risk stratification in Brugada syndrome: Clinical characteristics, electrocardiographic parameters, and auxiliary testing. Heart Rhythm. 2016;13:299–310.
- 7. Peltenburg PJ, Blom NA, Vink AS, et al. In children and adolescents from Brugada syndrome-families, only SCN5A mutation carriers develop a type-1 ECG pattern induced by fever. Circulation. 2020;142:89–91.
- 8. McMillan MR, Day TG, Bartsota M, et al. Feasibility and outcomes of ajmaline provocation testing for Brugada syndrome in children in a specialist paediatric inherited cardiovascular diseases centre. Open Heart. 2014;1:e23.
- 9. Conte G, Dewals W, Sieira J, et al. Drug-induced brugada syndrome in children: Clinical features, device-based management, and long-term follow-up. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2014;63:2272–9.
- 10. Conte G, Sieira J, Sarkozy A, et al. Life-threatening ventricular arrhythmias during ajmaline challenge in patients with Brugada syndrome: incidence, clinical features, and prognosis. Heart Rhythm. 2013;10:1869–74.
- 11. Gonzalez Corcia MC, Brugada P. The value of performing invasive risk stratification in young patients with the Brugada syndrome. Cardiol Young. 2017;27:1444–5.
- 12. Conte G, de Asmundis C, Ciconte G, et al. Follow-up from childhood to adulthood of individuals with family history of Brugada syndrome and normal electrocardiograms. JAMA. 2014;312:2039–41.
- 13. Chen Q, Kirsch GE, Zhang D, et al. Genetic basis and molecular mechanism for idiopathic ventricular fibrillation. Nature. 1998;392:293–6.

3)X

- 14. Kapplinger JD, Tester DJ, Alders M, et al. An international compendium of mutations in the SCN5A-encoded cardiac sodium channel in patients referred for Brugada syndrome genetic testing. Heart Rhythm. 2010;7:33–46.
- 15. Schulze-Bahr E, Eckardt L, Breithardt G, et al. Sodium channel gene (SCN5A) mutations in 44 index patients with Brugada syndrome: different incidences in familial and sporadic disease. Hum Mutat. 2003;21:651–2.
- Michowitz Y, Milman A, Andorin A, et al. Characterization and management of arrhythmic events in young patients with Brugada syndrome. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2019;73:1756–65.
- 17. Richards S, Aziz N, Bale S, et al. Standards and guidelines for the interpretation of sequence variants: a joint consensus recommendation of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology. Genet Med. 2015;17:405–24.
- Hosseini SM, Kim R, Udupa S, et al. Reappraisal of reported genes for sudden arrhythmic death: Evidence-based evaluation of gene validity for Brugada syndrome. Circulation. 2018;138:1195–205.
- 19. Scheirlynck E, Chivulescu M, Lie OH, et al. Worse prognosis in Brugada syndrome patients with arrhythmogenic cardiomyopathy features. JACC Clin Electrophysiol. 2020;6:1353–63.
- 20. Probst V, Wilde AA, Barc J, et al. SCN5A mutations and the role of genetic background in the pathophysiology of Brugada syndrome. Circ Cardiovasc Genet. 2009;2:552–7.
- 21. Righi D, Porco L, Calvieri C, et al. Clinical characteristics and risk for arrhythmic events in patients under 12 years of age diagnosed with Brugada syndrome. Heart Rhythm. 2021;18:1691–7.
- 22. Gonzalez Corcia MC, Sieira J, Sarkozy A, et al. Brugada syndrome in the young: An assessment of risk factors predicting future events. Europace. 2017;19:1864–73.
- 23. Wu CI, Postema PG, Arbelo E, et al. SARS-CoV-2, COVID-19, and inherited arrhythmia syndromes. Heart Rhythm. 2020;17:1456–62.
- 24. Hermida JS, Denjoy I, Clerc J, et al. Hydroquinidine therapy in Brugada syndrome. JAm Coll Cardiol. 2004;43:1853–60.
- 25. Belhassen B, Glick A, Viskin S. Efficacy of quinidine in high-risk patients with Brugada syndrome. Circulation. 2004;110:1731–7.
- 26. Shah MJ, Silka MJ, Silva JNA, et al. 2021 PACES expert consensus statement on the indications and management of cardiovascular implantable electronic devices in pediatric patients. Cardiol Young. 2021;31:1738–69.
- 27. Cronin EM, Bogun FM, Maury P, et al. 2019 HRS/EHRA/ APHRS/LAHRS expert consensus statement on catheter ablation of ventricular arrhythmias. Europace. 2019;21: 1143–4.