



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Who gets what, when, and how? An analysis of stakeholder interests and conflicts in and around Big Science

Rüland, A.N.

Citation

Rüland, A. N. (2024, July 4). *Who gets what, when, and how?: An analysis of stakeholder interests and conflicts in and around Big Science*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3766305>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3766305>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Who Gets What, When, and How?
An Analysis of Stakeholder Interests and Conflicts
in and around Big Science

Anna-Lena Nicola Rüländ

Who Gets What, When, and How?
An Analysis of Stakeholder Interests and Conflicts
in and around Big Science

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van
de graad van doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van rector magnificus prof.dr.ir. H.Bijl,
volgens besluit van het college voor promoties
te verdedigen op donderdag 4 juli 2024
klokke 10:00 uur

door

Anna-Lena Nicola Rüländ
geboren te Bonn, Duitsland
in 1993

Promotores

Prof.dr. S. Jong

Prof.dr. J.A. Koops

Promotiecommissie

Prof.dr. A. Plaat

Prof.dr.ir. J.M.W. Visser

Prof.dr. J.C. Mauduit (University College London)

Prof.dr. M. Rentetzi (Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg)

Dr. I. Ulnicane (University of Birmingham)

“The union of the political and scientific estates is not like a partnership, but a marriage. It will not be improved if the two become like each other, but only if they respect each other’s quite different needs and purposes.”

Don K. Price (1965)

Table of Contents

List of Abbreviations.....	1
List of Tables.....	3
List of Figures.....	3
1. Introduction	4
1.1. Literature Review	5
1.2. Research Objectives	12
1.3. Theoretical Considerations	13
1.4. Methods and Data.....	16
1.5. Thesis Structure and Outline	19
1.6. Authorship Statement	20
2. Science Diplomacy from the Global South: The Case of Intergovernmental Science Organizations.....	21
2.1. Introduction	21
2.2. Analytical Framework	23
2.3. Methods of Investigation.....	24
2.4. The Global South in IGSOs.....	27
2.4.1. CERN: European Laboratory Turned Global.....	27
2.4.1.1. Initiation.....	27
2.4.1.2. Subsequent Development	27
2.4.2. ITER: A Missed Opportunity for India?.....	30
2.4.2.1. Initiation.....	30
2.4.2.2. Subsequent Development	31
2.4.3. SKA: From Afro-Pessimism to Afro-Empowerment.....	33
2.4.3.1. Initiation.....	33
2.4.3.2. Subsequent Development	35
2.4.4. AfLS: “By the Community for the Community”	36
2.5. Discussion and Policy Implications.....	39
2.6. Conclusions and Outlook	42
3. Sustaining Local Opposition to Big Science: A Case Study of the Thirty Meter Telescope Controversy	42
3.1. Introduction	43
3.2. Local Opposition to Big Science.....	44
3.3. Theoretical Framework.....	46
3.3.1. The Role of Resources, Political Structures, and Framing in Collective Action	46

3.3.2. Place Attachment.....	47
3.4. Research Ethics, Methods, and Data	48
3.5. Contextualizing TMT and Local Opposition to it	50
3.6. Explaining the Resilience of Local Opposition to TMT	52
3.6.1. Multi-Generational Leaderful Organization.....	53
3.6.2. Grassroots Resources	55
3.6.3. Versatile Tactics.....	55
3.6.4. Anti-Science Counterframing.....	57
3.6.5. Local and National Political Opportunity	58
3.6.6. Place Attachment-Driven Commitment	59
3.7. Discussion, Conclusion, and Outlook	60
4. Big Science, Big Trouble? Understanding Conflict in and around Big Science Projects and Networks.....	62
4.1. Introduction	62
4.2. Towards a Mechanism-Based Model of Conflict Emergence in Big Science.....	64
4.2.1. Conflict Causes in Science Collaborations and Big Science	65
4.2.2. Opening the Black Box between Conflict Cause and Outbreak	66
4.3. Methods and Data.....	71
4.4. Case Study I: HBP.....	75
4.4.1. Background	75
4.4.2. The Emergence of Conflict	77
4.5. Case Study II: ITER	81
4.5.1. Background	81
4.5.2. The Emergence of Conflict	81
4.6. Case Study III: TMT.....	85
4.7. Discussion.....	87
4.8. Conclusion, Implications for Management, and Limitations	88
5. Conclusions	90
5.1. Main Findings and Contributions	90
5.2. Limitations and Future Research.....	97
References.....	100
Appendix.....	123
Acknowledgements	127
Curriculum Vitae	128
List of Publications	129

English Summary	130
Nederlandse Samenvatting	131
Propositions	132

List of Abbreviations

AfLS	The African Lightsource
CDR	Conceptual design report
CERN	The European Organization for Nuclear Research
CHF	Swiss franc
DG Connect	Directorate General Communications Networks, Content, and Technology
DST	South African Department for Science and Innovation
D4S	Diplomacy for science
EC	European Commission
EDA	Engineering design activities
ESS	The European Spallation Source
ESRF	The European Synchrotron Radiation Facility
EU	European Union
FET	Future and Emerging Technology
HBP	The Human Brain Project
HEP	High-energy physics
HGP	The Human Genome Project
IfA	Institute for astronomy
IGSO	Intergovernmental science organization
INSCONS	Addressing Global Challenges through International Scientific Consortia
IO	International organization
ITER	The International Thermonuclear Experimental Reactor
LHC	The Large Hadron Collider
LTWG	The Large Telescope Working Group
OECD	The Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development
POT	Political opportunity theory
REP	Renewable energy project
RMT	Resource mobilization theory
SAF	Strategic action field
SARAO	The South African Radio Astronomy Observatory

SD	Science diplomacy
SiD	Science in diplomacy
SKA	The Square Kilometer Array
SKAO	The SKA Observatory
S&T	Science and technology
S4D	Science for diplomacy
TIO	TMT International Observatory
TMT	Thirty Meter Telescope
UH	University of Hawai'i
UK	The United Kingdom
USD	US dollar
URSI	The International Union of Radio Science
QCA	Qualitative comparative analysis

List of Tables

Table 1: Overview of publications	20
Table 2: Overview of IGSO case studies	26
Table 3: Overview of conducted interviews for chapter two	27
Table 4: Overview of conducted interviews for chapter three	50
Table 5: Overview of how explanatory factors correspond with used theories and concepts ..	53
Table 6: Big Science subtypes	74
Table 7: Overview of interviews conducted for chapter four	75

List of Figures

Figure 1: Model of conflict emergence.....	70
Figure 2: Operationalized model of conflict emergence.....	72
Figure 3: Model applied to HBP case study	80
Figure 4: Model applied to ITER case study	84