



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Who gets what, when, and how? An analysis of stakeholder interests and conflicts in and around Big Science

Rüland, A.N.

Citation

Rüland, A. N. (2024, July 4). *Who gets what, when, and how?: An analysis of stakeholder interests and conflicts in and around Big Science*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3766305>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3766305>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Who Gets What, When, and How?

An Analysis of Stakeholder Interests and Conflicts

in and around Big Science

Anna-Lena Nicola Rüland

**Who Gets What, When, and How?
An Analysis of Stakeholder Interests and Conflicts
in and around Big Science**

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van
de graad van doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van rector magnificus prof.dr.ir. H.Bijl,
volgens besluit van het college voor promoties
te verdedigen op donderdag 4 juli 2024
klokke 10:00 uur

door

Anna-Lena Nicola Rüland
geboren te Bonn, Duitsland
in 1993

Promotores

Prof.dr. S. Jong

Prof.dr. J.A. Koops

Promotiecommissie

Prof.dr. A. Plaat

Prof.dr.ir. J.M.W. Visser

Prof.dr. J.C. Mauduit (University College London)

Prof.dr. M. Rentetzi (Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg)

Dr. I. Ulnicane (University of Birmingham)

“The union of the political and scientific estates is not like a partnership, but a marriage. It will not be improved if the two become like each other, but only if they respect each other’s quite different needs and purposes.”

Don K. Price (1965)

Table of Contents

| | |
|--|-----------|
| List of Abbreviations..... | 1 |
| List of Tables..... | 3 |
| List of Figures..... | 3 |
| 1. Introduction | 4 |
| 1.1. Literature Review | 5 |
| 1.2. Research Objectives | 12 |
| 1.3. Theoretical Considerations | 13 |
| 1.4. Methods and Data | 16 |
| 1.5. Thesis Structure and Outline | 19 |
| 1.6. Authorship Statement | 20 |
| 2. Science Diplomacy from the Global South: The Case of Intergovernmental Science Organizations..... | 21 |
| 2.1. Introduction | 21 |
| 2.2. Analytical Framework | 23 |
| 2.3. Methods of Investigation..... | 24 |
| 2.4. The Global South in IGSOs..... | 27 |
| 2.4.1. CERN: European Laboratory Turned Global..... | 27 |
| 2.4.1.1. Initiation..... | 27 |
| 2.4.1.2. Subsequent Development | 27 |
| 2.4.2. ITER: A Missed Opportunity for India?..... | 30 |
| 2.4.2.1. Initiation..... | 30 |
| 2.4.2.2. Subsequent Development | 31 |
| 2.4.3. SKA: From Afro-Pessimism to Afro-Empowerment..... | 33 |
| 2.4.3.1. Initiation..... | 33 |
| 2.4.3.2. Subsequent Development | 35 |
| 2.4.4. AfLS: “By the Community for the Community” | 36 |
| 2.5. Discussion and Policy Implications..... | 39 |
| 2.6. Conclusions and Outlook | 42 |
| 3. Sustaining Local Opposition to Big Science: A Case Study of the Thirty Meter Telescope Controversy | 42 |
| 3.1. Introduction | 43 |
| 3.2. Local Opposition to Big Science | 44 |
| 3.3. Theoretical Framework..... | 46 |
| 3.3.1. The Role of Resources, Political Structures, and Framing in Collective Action | 46 |

| | |
|---|------------|
| 3.3.2. Place Attachment..... | 47 |
| 3.4. Research Ethics, Methods, and Data | 48 |
| 3.5. Contextualizing TMT and Local Opposition to it | 50 |
| 3.6. Explaining the Resilience of Local Opposition to TMT | 52 |
| 3.6.1. Multi-Generational Leaderful Organization..... | 53 |
| 3.6.2. Grassroots Resources | 55 |
| 3.6.3. Versatile Tactics..... | 55 |
| 3.6.4. Anti-Science Counterframing..... | 57 |
| 3.6.5. Local and National Political Opportunity | 58 |
| 3.6.6. Place Attachment-Driven Commitment | 59 |
| 3.7. Discussion, Conclusion, and Outlook | 60 |
| 4. Big Science, Big Trouble? Understanding Conflict in and around Big Science Projects and Networks..... | 62 |
| 4.1. Introduction | 62 |
| 4.2. Towards a Mechanism-Based Model of Conflict Emergence in Big Science | 64 |
| 4.2.1. Conflict Causes in Science Collaborations and Big Science | 65 |
| 4.2.2. Opening the Black Box between Conflict Cause and Outbreak | 66 |
| 4.3. Methods and Data..... | 71 |
| 4.4. Case Study I: HBP | 75 |
| 4.4.1. Background | 75 |
| 4.4.2. The Emergence of Conflict | 77 |
| 4.5. Case Study II: ITER | 81 |
| 4.5.1. Background | 81 |
| 4.5.2. The Emergence of Conflict | 81 |
| 4.6. Case Study III: TMT..... | 85 |
| 4.7. Discussion..... | 87 |
| 4.8. Conclusion, Implications for Management, and Limitations | 88 |
| 5. Conclusions | 90 |
| 5.1. Main Findings and Contributions | 90 |
| 5.2. Limitations and Future Research..... | 97 |
| References | 100 |
| Appendix..... | 123 |
| Acknowledgements | 127 |
| Curriculum Vitae | 128 |
| List of Publications | 129 |

| | |
|--------------------------------------|------------|
| English Summary..... | 130 |
| Nederlandse Samenvatting..... | 131 |
| Propositions | 132 |

List of Abbreviations

| | |
|------------|---|
| AfLS | The African Lightsource |
| CDR | Conceptual design report |
| CERN | The European Organization for Nuclear Research |
| CHF | Swiss franc |
| DG Connect | Directorate General Communications Networks, Content, and Technology |
| DST | South African Department for Science and Innovation |
| D4S | Diplomacy for science |
| EC | European Commission |
| EDA | Engineering design activities |
| ESS | The European Spallation Source |
| ESRF | The European Synchrotron Radiation Facility |
| EU | European Union |
| FET | Future and Emerging Technology |
| HBP | The Human Brain Project |
| HEP | High-energy physics |
| HGP | The Human Genome Project |
| IfA | Institute for astronomy |
| IGSO | Intergovernmental science organization |
| INSCONS | Addressing Global Challenges through International Scientific Consortia |
| IO | International organization |
| ITER | The International Thermonuclear Experimental Reactor |
| LHC | The Large Hadron Collider |
| LTWG | The Large Telescope Working Group |
| OECD | The Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development |
| POT | Political opportunity theory |
| REP | Renewable energy project |
| RMT | Resource mobilization theory |
| SAF | Strategic action field |
| SARAO | The South African Radio Astronomy Observatory |

| | |
|------|--|
| SD | Science diplomacy |
| SiD | Science in diplomacy |
| SKA | The Square Kilometer Array |
| SKAO | The SKA Observatory |
| S&T | Science and technology |
| S4D | Science for diplomacy |
| TIO | TMT International Observatory |
| TMT | Thirty Meter Telescope |
| UH | University of Hawai‘i |
| UK | The United Kingdom |
| USD | US dollar |
| URSI | The International Union of Radio Science |
| QCA | Qualitative comparative analysis |

List of Tables

| | |
|--|----|
| Table 1: Overview of publications | 20 |
| Table 2: Overview of IGSO case studies | 26 |
| Table 3: Overview of conducted interviews for chapter two | 27 |
| Table 4: Overview of conducted interviews for chapter three | 50 |
| Table 5: Overview of how explanatory factors correspond with used theories and concepts .. | 53 |
| Table 6: Big Science subtypes | 74 |
| Table 7: Overview of interviews conducted for chapter four | 75 |

List of Figures

| | |
|--|----|
| Figure 1: Model of conflict emergence..... | 70 |
| Figure 2: Operationalized model of conflict emergence..... | 72 |
| Figure 3: Model applied to HBP case study | 80 |
| Figure 4: Model applied to ITER case study | 84 |