

Indo-Slavic lexical isoglosses and the prehistoric dispersal of Indo-Iranian

Palmér, A.I.

Citation

Palmér, A. I. (2024, July 2). *Indo-Slavic lexical isoglosses and the prehistoric dispersal of Indo-Iranian*. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3765823

Version: Publisher's Version

Licence agreement concerning inclusion

License: of doctoral thesis in the Institutional

Repository of the University of Leiden

Downloaded from: https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3765823

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Stellingen | Propositions

behorende bij het proefschrift

 ${\it Indo-Slavic lexical isoglosses \ and \ the \ prehistoric \ dispersal \ of \ Indo-Iranian}$

Axel Palmér

- 1. Indo-Iranian and Balto-Slavic languages share more than 50 unique lexical isoglosses, but only ten percent of these are compelling shared innovations.
- 2. The Indo-Slavic lexical isoglosses provide evidence for an Indo-Slavic subgroup, but more research is needed to determine whether Indo-Slavic was part of a dialect continuum or formed a subgroup in the strict sense.
- 3. Based on linguistic palaeontology, the Indo-Slavic and Proto-Indo-Iranian speech communities can be linked to a succession of archaeological cultures in Eastern Europe and Ural region that includes the Fatyanovo culture, Abashevo culture, and Sintashta culture.
- 4. The linguistic evidence presented in this dissertation is consistent with genetic evidence linking Central and South Asian populations to Eastern European Corded Ware populations.
- 5. Lexical isoglosses are just as important for inferring subgroups of a language family as phonological and morphological isoglosses.
- 6. Besides interacting via publications and during conferences, linguists, archaeologists, and geneticists should work together in joint research projects to achieve true interdisciplinary collaboration.
- 7. To increase effectiveness and transparency of research, historical linguists should strive to make research data FAIR (findable, accessible, interoperable, reusable) by publishing their datasets online.
- 8. Instead of back-projecting formations attested in two or three branches to Proto-Indo-European, a bottom-up, phylogenetic perspective should be applied to etymological dictionaries and other comparative works.
- 9. Rejected etymologies should be included in publications, not only for the sake of transparency, but also to prevent them from persisting in the literature.
- 10. 19th century works of comparative Indo-European linguistics remain valuable and should not be neglected by modern scholarship.
- 11. Ancient DNA allows scholars to reconstruct past population structures and movements, but should not be used to legitimize any particular political agenda.