

Preclinical evaluation of the gorilla-derived HAdV-B AdV-lumc007 oncolytic adenovirus 'GoraVir' for the treatment of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma

Bots, S.T.F.; Harryvan, T.J.; Groeneveldt, C.; Kinderman, P.; Kemp, V.; Montfoort, N. van; Hoeben, R.C.

Citation

Bots, S. T. F., Harryvan, T. J., Groeneveldt, C., Kinderman, P., Kemp, V., Montfoort, N. van, & Hoeben, R. C. (2024). Preclinical evaluation of the gorilla-derived HAdV-B AdV-lumc007 oncolytic adenovirus 'GoraVir' for the treatment of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. *Molecular Oncology*, *18*(5), 1245-1258. doi:10.1002/1878-0261.13561

Version:Publisher's VersionLicense:Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 licenseDownloaded from:https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3764517

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).



FEBS PRESS

Preclinical evaluation of the gorilla-derived HAdV-B AdV-lumc007 oncolytic adenovirus 'GoraVir' for the treatment of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma

Selas T. F. Bots¹ (b), Tom J. Harryvan², Christianne Groeneveldt³ (b), Priscilla Kinderman², Vera Kemp¹, Nadine van Montfoort² and Rob C. Hoeben¹ (b)

1 Department of Cell and Chemical Biology, Leiden University Medical Center, The Netherlands

2 Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Leiden University Medical Center, The Netherlands

3 Department of Medical Oncology, Leiden University Medical Center, The Netherlands

Keywords

CD46; non-human primate oncolytic adenovirus; pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma; tumour-stroma; xenograft model

Correspondence

R. C. Hoeben, Department of Cell and Chemical Biology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden 2333 ZC, The Netherlands E-mail: r.c.hoeben@lumc.nl

(Received 31 August 2022, revised 27 June 2023, accepted 29 November 2023, available online 24 January 2024)

doi:10.1002/1878-0261.13561

Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) is a highly aggressive malignancy which shows unparalleled therapeutic resistance due to its genetic and cellular heterogeneity, dense stromal tissue, and immune-suppressive tumour microenvironment. Oncolytic virotherapy has emerged as a new treatment modality which uses tumour-specific viruses to eliminate cancerous cells. Non-human primate adenoviruses of the human adenovirus B (HAdV-B) species have demonstrated considerable lytic potential in human cancer cells as well as limited preexisting neutralizing immunity in humans. Previously, we have generated a new oncolytic derivative of the gorilla-derived HAdV-B AdV-lumc007 named 'GoraVir'. Here, we show that GoraVir displays oncolytic efficacy in pancreatic cancer cells and pancreatic-cancer-associated fibroblasts. Moreover, it retains its lytic potential in monoculture and co-culture spheroids. In addition, we established the ubiquitously expressed complement receptor CD46 as the main entry receptor for GoraVir. Finally, a single intratumoural dose of GoraVir was shown to delay tumour growth in a BxPC-3 xenograft model at 10 days post-treatment. Collectively, these data demonstrate that the new gorilladerived oncolytic adenovirus is a potent oncolytic vector candidate that targets both pancreatic cancer cells and tumour-adjacent stroma.

1. Introduction

Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) is a highly aggressive malignancy with a five-year overall survival rate of around 10% (National Cancer Institute). The majority of patients presents with unresectable, locally advanced, or metastatic disease at the time of diagnosis which prohibits curative surgery. Moreover, PDAC shows unrivalled therapeutic resistance due to its genetic and cellular heterogeneity, dense stromal tissue, and

immune-suppressive tumour microenvironment (TME) [1]. The promising results of immunotherapies in other types of malignancies led to pre-clinical and clinical studies in PDAC (*e.g.*, checkpoint inhibitors and therapeutic vaccines) both as standalone treatments as well as in combinatorial approaches [2]. Unfortunately, most of these showed only moderate improvements in survival. Hence, there is a need for improved immunotherapies that can overcome the inhibition by the immunosuppressive TME in PDAC [2].

Abbreviations

CAF, cancer-associated fibroblast; CAR, coxsackie and adenovirus receptor; EV, empty vector; hAd, human adenovirus; HAdV-C5, human adenovirus type 5; KO, knock-out; nhpAd, non-human primate adenovirus; OVT, oncolytic virotherapy; PDAC, pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma; TME, tumour microenvironment; WT, wildtype.

Molecular Oncology **18** (2024) 1245–1258 © 2023 The Authors. *Molecular Oncology* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of Federation of European Biochemical Societies.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Oncolytic virotherapy (OVT) has emerged as a new anti-cancer treatment and comprises the use of viruses that selectively infect, replicate in, and kill cancerous cells as opposed to healthy cells. Upon virus-induced cell death virus progeny is released, as well as dangerand pattern-associated molecular patterns, and tumour (neo)antigens. Together, these pro-inflammatory molecules can initiate an immune response directed against virus-infected cells as well as against the tumour cells [3]. OVT might be especially powerful to overcome difficulties posed by the genetic and cellular heterogeneity in PDAC as it harnesses a diverse range of antitumour activities that could be reactive to a broad range of cancer cells. Human adenoviruses (hAds) are one of the candidate viruses employed in OVT and have shown both efficacy and tolerability in multiple clinical trials [4]. However, clinical outcome was often variable with great patient-to-patient variation [5]. This variability may in part be attributable to varying degrees of preexisting neutralizing immunity directed at circulating hAds types [6,7]. As a means to circumvent this, non-human primate (nhp) Ads have been considered as an alternative source for the generation of new oncolytic Ad vectors [8]. The nhpAds of the HAdV-B species especially have shown potent and broadly acting oncolytic potential in several tumour types including prostate, bladder, and pancreatic cancer in vitro [9]. In addition, no preexisting neutralizing immunity directed against these viruses could be detected in the population.

Therefore, we developed an oncolytic derivative of the gorilla-derived HAdV-B AdV-lumc007 by deletion of one of the Retinoblastoma (Rb)-binding domains in E1A. Rb protein is a tumour suppressor and regulates cell cycle progression to S-phase by directly binding to the transcription factor E2F1 [10]. Deletion of this binding domain restricts Ad replication to fast-dividing cells, resulting in tumourselectivity [11]. This new Ad vector named 'GoraVir' demonstrated superior oncolytic potential compared to human Ad type 5 (HAdV-C5) by virtue of its faster dissemination and increased replication potential cancer cells in vitro [9]. However, targeting of cancer cells alone is not sufficient due to *i.e.* dense stromal tissues that make up the majority of the TME in solid tumours. Additionally, the multilayered barriers of the TME provide a complexity that is not reflected in two-dimensional (2D) culture systems [12,13]. As such, it remains to be explored whether GoraVir is in fact a suitable candidate for the treatment of PDAC. In this study, we characterized GoraVir's lytic potential in 2D and 3D-culture models of PDAC and established proof-of-concept in vivo.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Cells

Pancreatic cancer cell lines BxPC-3 (RRID: CVCL 0186), PATU-T (RRID:CVCL 1847), and MIA PaCa-2 (RRID:CVCL 0428) were all purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Virginia, USA). Cell lines were authenticated every 2 years by the Forensic Laboratory for DNA Research, Department of Human Genetics, Leiden University Medical Centre, by short tandem repeat analyses and comparison with the STR databases. The patient-derived human pancreatic cancer cell line FNA005 was obtained in December 2019 at the Leiden University Medical Center from a single fine-needle biopsy [14] conform the standards set by the Declaration of Helsinki and cultured in RPMI-1640 (Biowest, Nuaillé, France) supplemented with 8% foetal bovine serum (FBS, Invitrogen, Bleiswijk, The Netherlands) and 1% Penicillin-Streptomycin (P/S, Gibco, Bleiswijk, The Netherlands). Tumour identity was established using AmpliSeq Cancer Hotspot Gene Panel V2 genome sequencing (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bleiswijk, The Netherlands). Use of the material was undertaken with the understanding and written consent of the subject and approved by the Medical-Ethical Review Committee (MTCC) Leiden Den Haag Delft (LDD) under issuance protocol no. B21.073. The pancreatic stellate cell line PS-1 was kindly provided by prof. Kocher (Queen Mary University London, London, UK) and has been described previously [15]. Primary pancreatic cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs) were isolated as described previously [16] and cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM)/F-12 (Gibco) supplemented with 8% FBS and 1% P/S. Cells were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 8% FBS and 1% P/S unless stated otherwise. All cell lines were confirmed negative for mycoplasma contamination.

2.2. Adenoviruses

All experiments were performed using CsCl-purified Ad stocks. A detailed outline of the CsCl-purification method for Ads has previously been described [9]. GoraVir is a replication-competent vector derived from the HAdV-B gorilla AdV-lumc007 that carries a small deletion in one of the Rb-binding domains of E1A and has been described elsewhere [9]. HAdV-C5 Δ 24E3 is a replication-competent E3-deleted vector that carries a similar deletion in one of the Rb-binding domains of E1A and has also been previously described [11].

2.3. Cell killing assay

For monolayer infection, cells were seeded at 1×10^4 cells per well in a flat bottom 96-well plate in normal cell culture medium and incubated o/n at an atmosphere of 5% CO₂ at 37 °C. For the generation of monoculture spheroids, cells were seeded at 1×10^4 cells per well in a 96-well U-bottom plate (Corning Costar, Amsterdam, The Netherlands) in culture medium supplemented with 0.25% methylcellulose (Sigma-Aldrich, Amsterdam, The Netherlands) and centrifuged at 300 g for 1 min. Cells were cultured at an atmosphere of 5% CO₂ at 37 °C for 2 days to allow for the formation of spheroids. On the day of infection, supernatant was removed and cells were infected at the indicated multiplicity of infection (MOI) in culture medium supplemented with 2% FBS and 1% P/S. Cell viability was measured after 5-6 days using the cell proliferation reagent kit WST-1 (Merck, Amsterdam, The Netherlands) according to manufacturer's instructions.

2.4. IHC of spheroid co-cultures

BxPC-3 and PS-1 cells were co-cultured using 2.5×10^{5} cells per well of each cell line in a 96-well U-bottom plate (Corning Costar) in culture medium supplemented with 0.25% methylcellulose and centrifuged at 300 g for 1 min. Cells were incubated at an atmosphere of 5% CO₂ at 37 °C for 2 days to allow for the formation of spheroids. Next, spheroids were collected in 15 mL tubes using a P1000 pipet. When all spheroids had drifted to the bottom of the tube, supernatant was discarded and replaced by 500 µL virus at MOI 10 in cell culture medium supplemented with 2% FBS and 1% P/S. Spheroids were plated in an ultra-low attachment 24-well flat bottom plate (Corning Costar) using 10-20 spheroids per well. After 5 days, spheroids were collected and paraffin-embedded sections were used in IHC using anti-adenovirus hexon antibody (1:2000) and biotinylated goat- α -mouse secondary antibody (1 : 200, #E0433, Agilent Technologies, CA, USA). Immunoreactivity was visualized using VECTASTAIN® Elite ABC-HRP kit (Vector Laboratories, Amsterdam, The Netherlands) and DAB substrate (DAKO, Glostrup, Denmark) according to manufacturer's instructions. Counterstain was performed using haematoxylin (Sigma-Aldrich). Light microscopy pictures were obtained using an Olympus BX51 (Olympus Scientific Solutions, Tokyo, Japan).

2.5. Generation of CD46-KO cell lines

Single guide RNAs (sgRNAs) were designed against human CD46 (forward: 5'-CACCGAAGGAAAGGGA

CACTCGCGG-3'; reverse: 5'-aaacCCGCGAGTGT CCCTTTCCTTC-3') and cloned in a BsmBI-digested plentiCRISPRv2-puromycin vector (#98290, Addgene, Cambridge, UK) as described elsewhere [17]. Sanger sequencing was performed to verify the correct insert of the sgRNA using an U6-promoter primer (forward: 5'-GAGGGCCTATTTCCCATGATT-3'). For the generation of an empty vector (EV) control cell line, a BsmBI-digested plentiCRISPRv2-puromycin vector was used in which no sgRNA was cloned. Subsequently, lentiviruses were generated using thirdgeneration packaging vectors and HEK293T cells according to standard techniques [18]. One day prior to infection 4×10^5 cells of A549 or MIA PaCa-2 were seeded per well in a 6-well plate (Thermo Fisher Scientific) in normal cell culture medium. Next, 500 µL virus-containing supernatant was mixed with 500 µL cell culture medium supplemented with $20 \ \mu g \cdot m L^{-1}$ polybrene (Merck). Cells were transduced for 48 h and transferred to a T-25 flask (Greiner Bio-One, Kremsmünster, Austria) and puromycin selection (2 μ g·mL⁻¹, Sigma-Aldrich) was performed. From the surviving cells which were transduced with the vector containing sgRNAs against CD46, pure CD46-negative populations were isolated by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) using a BD FACSAria II 3L (BD, New Jersey, USA). Isolated populations were subsequently cultured in cell culture medium supplemented with puromycin and an atmosphere of 5% CO2 at 37 °C. EV control cells were not sorted and cultured under similar conditions.

2.6. Flow cytometry

Cells were stained extracellular with primary antibodies anti-CAR (1 : 1000, #05-644, Millipore, Amsterdam, The Netherlands), anti-CD46 (1 : 125, #555948, BD), or DSG-2 PE (1 : 150, #12-9159-42, Invitrogen) according to standardized methods. For intracellular staining, cells were fixed using 4% paraformaldehyde at 4 °C for 20 min and permeabilized using Cytofix/CytopermTM (BD) before staining with anti-adenovirus hexon (1 : 1000, #ab8251, Abcam, Cambridge, UK). As a secondary antibody goat- α -mouse PE (1 : 1000, #12-4010-82, eBioscience, Amsterdam, The Netherlands) was used. Samples were analysed using a LSR-II cytometer (BD) and analysed using FLOWJO software (version 10.8.0) (BD FlowJo, Ashland, OR, USA).

2.7. Animal experiments

Mouse experiments were permitted by the animal welfare body (IvD) of Leiden University Medical Center and carried out under the project license AVD1160020187004 issued by the national competent authority on animal experiments (CCD), in accordance with the Dutch Act on Animal Experimentation and EU Directive 210/63/EU. Male and female nonobese (NOD).Cg-Prkdc^{scid}Il2rg^{tm1Wjl}/SzJ diabetic (NSG) mice (RRID:IMSR JAX:005557) were obtained from The Jackson Laboratory and maintained at the breeding facility of the LUMC in Leiden, The Netherlands. Mice were 12 weeks old at the start of the experiment and were housed in individually ventilated cages with no more than 4 mice per cage. For tumour challenge, low-passage BxPC-3 cells were harvested and 5×10^6 cells in 100 µL PBS supplemented with 0.1% BSA were implanted subcutaneously. Mice body weight was monitored throughout the experiment. Tumour volume was measured three times a week using a caliper and calculated (tumour volume = width \times length \times height). When all tumours reached a size of 50-200 mm³ mice were distributed equally among the three treatment groups (n = 5/group) according to tumour size and gender and groups were randomly assigned to a particular treatment. Tumours were treated with PBS, GoraVir, or HAdV-C5Δ24E3 by intratumoural injection of 1×10^8 plaque-forming units (pfu) virus in 30 µL PBS under isoflurane anaesthesia. Treatment was not blinded. Ten days after treatment, mice were sacrificed by CO₂ inhalation and tumour, spleen, liver, and serum were collected for real-time (RT) quantitative (q)PCR analyses.

2.8. Adenovirus genome copies

Genomic DNA was isolated using the PurelinkTM Genomic DNA Kit (K182000, Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions and DNA concentrations were determined by NanoDropTM 1000 Spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Adenovirus genome copies were determined as described previously [9].

2.9. Statistical analyses

All statistical analyses were performed using GRAPHPAD PRISM software (v9.0.1, La Jolla, CA, USA). Data are presented as mean \pm SEM unless otherwise stated. Unpaired analyses (one-way ANOVA and unpaired *t* tests) were used for analysis of repeated experiments, and *P* < 0.05 was considered significant throughout. Detailed descriptions about statistical analysis are described in the figure legends. Significant differences are indicated by asterisks, with *P* values <0.05 shown as *, <0.01 as **, and <0.001 as ***.

3. Results

3.1. GoraVir shows strong lytic potential in cancer cells and cancer-associated fibroblasts *in vitro*

GoraVir is an oncolytic derivative of the HAdV-B AdV-lumc007 isolated from a gorilla and demonstrated strong oncolytic potential at high MOI in an in vitro screening panel consisting of 29 tumour cell lines encompassing four tumour types including glioblastoma, bladder cancer, prostate cancer, and pancreatic cancer [9]. To validate GoraVir's ability to kill pancreatic cancer cells, BxPC-3, PATU-T, MIA PaCa-2, and a low-passage patient-derived pancreatic cancer cell line (FNA005) were infected at MOI 0.1 and 10 with GoraVir or HAdV-C5, as a reference control (Fig. 1A). Infection with GoraVir at both MOI resulted in (near-)complete cell killing in all four cell lines at 6 days post infection, except for MIA PaCa-2 at MOI 0.1 (mean residual viability $94.0 \pm 1.52\%$). Infection with HAdV-C5 also resulted in strong cell killing at MOI 10 in all cell lines although slightly less effective than GoraVir. In line with this, infection with HAdV-C5 at low MOI resulted only in moderate cell killing. Similar observations were made when calculating the EC50 values for both viruses in these cell lines (Table S1). These data validated our previous observations that GoraVir demonstrated superior cytotoxicity in pancreatic cancer cells compared to HAdV-C5.

In PDAC, cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs) are a highly abundant cell population and linked to the establishment of an immunosuppressive TME [19]. Consequently, therapeutic strategies targeting these cells have gradually emerged [20,21]. Recently, it was demonstrated that oncolvtic mammalian orthoreovirus (reovirus) was able to infect and kill human untransformed CAFs isolated from several gastrointestinal tumour types in vitro, in contrast to an oncolytic derivative of HAdV-C5 [16]. To investigate whether Gora-Vir does have the potential to infect and lyse CAFs, the pancreatic stellate cell line PS-1 was exposed to GoraVir or HAdV-C5 at high and low MOI and cell viability was determined at 6 days post infection (Fig. 1B). Similar to pancreatic cancer cells, GoraVir induced near-complete cell killing in PS-1 at MOI 10 and retained a strong lytic potential at a lower MOI. In contrast, HAdV-C5 showed minor cytotoxicity at MOI 10 (mean residual viability $80.6 \pm 3.58\%$) and no cell killing at a lower MOI. To continue our exploration of GoraVir's ability to infect and kill CAFs, we exposed patient-derived primary fibroblasts previously isolated from pancreatic cancer tissues [16] with



Fig. 1. Infection of pancreatic cancer cells and cancer-associated fibroblasts with GoraVir. (A) Pancreatic cancer cell lines BxPC-3, PATU-T and MIA PaCa-2, as well as the patient-derived pancreatic cancer cell line FNA005, and (B) the pancreatic stellate cell line PS-1 were infected with GoraVir or HAdV-C5 at multiplicity of infection (MOI) 0.1 or MOI 10 and cell viability was measured by WST assay at day 6 post infection. Mean is depicted relative to uninfected cells of n = 2 (FNA005) or n = 3 biological replicates each performed in triplicate; (C) Patient-derived primary fibroblasts isolated from pancreatic cancer tissues were infected with GoraVir MOI 10 and cell viability was measured by WST assay at day 5 post infection. Mean is depicted relative to uninfected relative to uninfected cells of a representative figure of n = 2 biological replicates each performed in triplicate. The dashed line denotes 50% reduction in cell viability.

GoraVir at MOI 10 and measured cell viability at 5 days post infection. Again, GoraVir was shown to induce cell killing in the majority of primary fibroblasts (Fig. 1C). In fact, for 8 out of 13 fibroblast cell lines cell viability was reduced by $\sim 50\%$ or more. Taken together, it appears that GoraVir demonstrates strong lytic potential in pancreatic cancer cells as well as tumour-associated stromal cells.

3.2. GoraVir productively infects and lyses 3D tumour spheroids and tumour-fibroblast co-cultures of PDAC

Spheroid cultures of PDAC are an interesting model for *in vitro* drug testing as they resemble more closely the *in vivo* setting by mimicking important pathobiological aspects, including cell-cell and cell-

matrix interactions [13]. To validate whether GoraVir demonstrates its oncolytic potential in 3D models, we first generated monoculture spheroids of BxPC-3 or FNA005 cells (Fig. 2A). Spheroids were infected with GoraVir or HAdV-C5 at different MOI and cell viability was measured at 5 days post infection. In the BxPC-3 spheroids, infection with GoraVir showed a considerable reduction in cell viability at MOI ≥ 30 (mean residual viability $43.5 \pm 19.3\%$) although this did not increase upon higher MOI (Fig. 2B). In contrast, infection with HAdV-C5 did not result in any cell killing at any MOI tested. Infection of the FNA005 spheroids showed a similar trend, where GoraVir-infected spheroids demonstrated a dose-dependent reduction in cell viability ultimately nearing complete cell killing at MOI 100 (mean residual viability $7.83 \pm 6.8\%$) while cell viability of HAdV-C5-infected spheroids did not decrease (Fig. 2C). In conclusion, GoraVir demonstrated to be significantly more potent in killing monoculture tumour spheroids, similar to what was observed in monolayer cultures.

The inability of HAdV-C5 to kill spheroid monocultures of the two cell lines could be related to a higher resistance to infection at baseline, as illustrated by the infection of monolayer cell cultures in Fig. 1. Therefore, we sought to determine whether GoraVir's superior oncolytic potential was attributable to a higher infection efficiency. Tumour-fibroblast spheroids were generated, consisting of cancer cells and PS-1 cells, since cancer cells alone did not allow for the formation of spheroids suitable for immunohistochemistry analyses. Similarly, the FNA005-fibroblast spheroids were shown to disintegrate upon processing and were excluded from subsequent experiments (Fig. 2A). BxPC-3-fibroblast spheroids were infected with Gora-Vir or HAdV-C5 at MOI 10 and stained for Ad hexon protein at 3 days post infection (Fig. 2D). Infection with GoraVir resulted in a clear penetration of the tumour-fibroblast spheroids by the virus, illustrated by a considerable number of hexon-positive cells. Moreover, some cells showed a cytopathic phenotype (indicated by the black arrows). Conversely, infection with HAdV-C5 did not yield any hexon protein in the coculture spheroids at 3 days post infection, similar to the uninfected control. Nevertheless, hexon staining was observed at 5 days post infection with HAdV-C5. As such, it appears that HAdV-C5 can infect coculture spheroids albeit less efficiently than GoraVir. In support of this, very few GoraVir-infected spheroids could be retrieved at day 5 post infection. The absence of intact spheroids is most likely due to the loss of integrity caused by the combined effect of the infection as well as the formation of a necrotic core after longer periods of culturing [22]. Taken together, it appears that GoraVir lytic potential in 3D culture models of PDAC can be attributed to its ability to efficiently infect and penetrate tumour spheroid cultures.

3.3. GoraVir uses CD46 as its primary entry receptor into human cells

The superior cytolytic efficacy of GoraVir, compared to HAdV-C5, and its broad tropism prompted us to explore the mode of entry of this virus. For entry into the host cell. Ads bind their primary receptor via the fibre knob, followed by secondary interactions between the penton-base proteins and integrins on the cell surface, leading to endocytosis of the virion into the host cell [23]. Ads of the HAdV-C species make use of the coxsackie and adenovirus receptor (CAR) for entry into the host cell [24,25]. Reduced expression of CAR has shown to be a major limiting factor in transduction efficiency and elaborate efforts have been made to retarget these vectors by e.g., replacement of the fibre knob protein [26-29]. Most hAds, as well as several chimpanzee-derived Ads, of the HAdV-B species make use of cluster of differentiation 46 (CD46) protein as their main entry receptor into human cells [30,31]. CD46 is a membrane protein involved in the regulation of complement deposition, ubiquitously expressed on nucleated cells, and its expression is upregulated in many tumour types [32]. Considering GoraVir's broadly acting oncolytic potential and genomic resemblance to members of the HAdV-B species [9], we hypothesized that it uses this receptor for viral entry into the host cell. Interestingly, we observed a ~ 50 to 500-fold higher CD46 expression mRNA expression than CAR mRNA expression levels in the patientderived primary fibroblasts (Fig. S1). Therefore, CD46 might pose a valuable receptor for targeting of cancer cells as well as CAFs in PDAC.

To determine whether GoraVir indeed makes use of CD46 for entry into human cells, a stable CRISPR/ Cas9-mediated CD46-knockout cell line was established in MIA PaCa-2 and A549 cells. After FACS sorting the CD46-negative population (CD46-KO), cells were checked for the expression of the three receptors frequently used by hAds for viral entry: CAR, CD46, and desmoglein-2 (DSG-2) [30,33].

Fig. 2. Lytic potential of GoraVir and HAdV-C5 in PDAC spheroid cultures. (A) Light microscopy pictures of spheroid monocultures of the pancreatic cancer cell line BxPC-3 and the patient-derived pancreatic cancer cell line FNA005, and haematoxylin and eosin (HE) staining of co-cultures of these cells with the stellate cell line PS-1. Scale bar represents 200 μ m (light microscopy) and 500 μ m (HE) size; (B) Spheroid monocultures of BxPC-3 or (C) FNA005 cells were infected with GoraVir or HAdV-C5 at different multiplicity of infection (MOI) and cell viability was determined at 5 days post infection. Means are depicted relative to uninfected cells, and each symbol represents an individual spheroid. Statistical analyses were performed using multiple unpaired t tests and the Holm-Šídák correction. Significant differences are indicated by asterisks, with *P* values < 0.01 shown as **, and <0.001 shown as ***; (D) Immunohistochemistry (IHC) staining of adenovirus hexon protein (brown) in BxPC-3/PS-1 co-culture spheroids infected with virus at MOI 10 at 3 and 5 days post infection. Black arrows indicate signs of cytopathic effect (CPE). Illustrated are representative spheroids of *n* = 5. Scale bar represents 500 μ m size.



Wildtype (WT) and empty vector (EV) control cells for both cell lines showed high surface expression of CD46 and DSG-2, and to a lesser extent, CAR (Fig. 3A; Fig. S2A). While surface expression of CAR and DSG-2 was maintained in the two CD46-KO cell lines, no CD46 expression could be detected, thereby confirming the CRISPR/Cas9-mediated knock-out of CD46 (Fig. 3A, Fig. S2A). Next, cells were

infected with GoraVir or HAdV-C5 and hexon protein expression was measured at 24 or 48 h post infection for A549 cells (Fig. S2B) and MIA PaCa-2 (Fig. 3B), respectively. Compared to WT and EV cells, deletion of CD46 strongly reduced the number of hexonpositive cells upon infection with GoraVir but not HAdV-C5, in both MiaPaCa2 and A549 cells. In fact, infection of the MIA PaCA-2 CD46-KO cells with GoraVir was similar to uninfected cells (Fig. 3C), suggesting the virus was no longer able to enter the cells. For A549 cells, a less prominent but significant reduction was observed in the absence of CD46 compared to WT (P = 0.015) and EV cells (P = 0.012) (Fig. S2C). One explanation why GoraVir was still able to enter A549 CD46-KO cells might be due to the use of an alternative route of entry in these cells, as has been observed for fibre gene-deleted HAdV-C5 vectors [34].

To confirm that the inability to enter cells directly influenced its ability to kill these cells, all cell lines were infected with GoraVir or HAdV-C5 at different MOI and cell viability was measured at 6 days post infection. As expected, the MIA PaCa-2 CD46-KO cell line showed no killing upon infection with GoraVir at any of the MOI tested (Fig. 3D). In contrast, the absence of CD46 had no inhibitory effect on cell killing with HAdV-C5 (Fig. 3E). Similar observations were made in the A549 CD46-KO cell line at 3 days post infection although the phenotype after infection with GoraVir was lost upon higher MOI (Fig. S2D,E). These observations are in line with the relatively high amount of virus still being able to enter the cells at MOI 10 (Fig. S2B). Nevertheless, it seems that the absence of CD46 strongly reduces GoraVir's ability to infect and kill these cancer cell lines. Moreover, the observation that infectivity was similar to uninfected cells in the MIA PaCa-2 cell line strongly suggests that CD46 can be used as a (primary) entry receptor by GoraVir.

3.4. A single intratumoural dose of GoraVir delays tumour growth in a xenograft NGS mouse model

To continue our preclinical evaluation of GoraVir in vivo, we used a BxPC-3 xenograft model and tested the virus in parallel with an oncolytic derivative of HAdV-C5 (HAdV-C5 Δ 24E3) which harbours a similar deletion in the Rb-binding domain of *E1A* as to increase its tumour selectivity [35]. Prior to the experiment, no differences in lytic potential were observed between HAdV-C5 and HAdV-C5 Δ 24E3 in the BxPC-3 cell line *in vitro* (data not shown). NSG mice were subcutaneously injected with BxPC-3 cells and palpable tumours were treated intratumourally with a single dose of 1×10^8 pfu virus, or PBS as a control. Tumour growth was monitored and animals were sacrificed on day 10 post treatment for further analyses. All treatments were well-tolerated by the animals throughout the experiment (Fig. S3). In the first days after treatment with either GoraVir or HAdV-C5A24E3, but not the PBS control group, a small reduction in tumour growth was observed for several mice (Fig. 4A). However, all tumours grew out exponentially thereafter. Although exponential outgrowth was observed in all groups, there seemed to be a delay in tumour growth in the GoraVirtreated mice compared to HAdV-C5∆24-treated mice or the PBS control group. Indeed, pooled analysis of the three treatments groups revealed a significant reduction in mean tumour volume in the GoraVir-treated group $(548.8 \pm 53.7 \text{ mm}^3)$ compared to the PBS control group $(781.6 \pm 147.8 \text{ mm}^3, P = 0.010)$ and HAdV-C5 Δ 24E3treated group (883.2 \pm 83.4 mm³, P < 0.001) at day 10 post treatment (Fig. 4B). Interestingly, tumour volume of HAdV-C5A24-treated tumours was somewhat increased compared to PBS-treated tumours although this was not statistically significant. Measurements of tumour weight followed a similar trend with a reduction in mean tumour weight in the GoraVir-treated group $(0.380 \pm 0.061 \text{ g})$ compared to the PBS control group $(0.441 \pm 0.064 \text{ mm}^3, P = 0.200)$ and HAdV-C5 Δ 24E3treated group (0.541 \pm 0.024 mm³, P = 0.001). Interestingly, tumour weight of HAdV-C5A24E3-treated tumours was significantly increased compared to PBStreated tumours (P = 0.028).

To determine whether the observed reduction in tumour volume and tumour weight in GoraVir-treated mice compared to HAdV-C5Δ24E3-treated mice was associated with a difference in viral replication, the number of viral genomes was determined in the tumour tissues. PBS-treated tissues were used as a baseline. Interestingly, no differences in viral genome copies were found in tumours of GoraVir and HAdV- $C5\Delta 24E3$ -treated mice (Fig. 3D). Likewise, similar levels were observed in other sites inherent to Ad biodistribution in mice including serum, liver, and spleen, collected at the time of sacrifice [29,36]. Note, however, that in 1/3 serum samples of HAdV-C5A24E3-treated mice no Ad DNA could be detected (two samples did not yield enough DNA for RTqPCR analysis) as well as 1/5 samples of GoraVirtreated mice. Taken together, it seems that the observed delay in tumour growth upon administration of a single intratumoural dose of GoraVir might not be attributable to increased viral replication compared to HAdV-C5A24E3. Therefore, it remains to be



Fig. 3. Infection of MIA PaCa-2 CD46 knockout cells with GoraVir and HAdV-C5. (A) Cell surface protein expression of CAR, CD46, and DSG-2 on unstained (–), wildtype (WT), empty vector (EV) control, or CD46 knock out (KO) MIA PaCa-2 cells; (B) WT, EV, and KO MIA PaCa-2 cells were infected with GoraVir or HAdV-C5 at multiplicity of infection (MOI) 10 for 48 h after which hexon protein expression was measured by flow cytometry. Depicted are representative figures of n = 3 biological replicates; (C) Percentage of hexon positive cells relative to WT-infected cells. Depicted are means of n = 3 biological replicates; (D) WT, EV, and KO MIA PaCa-2 cells were infected with GoraVir or (E) HAdV-C5 at different MOI and cell viability was measured by WST assay at 6 days post infection. Depicted are mean \pm SEM of n = 3 independent experiments each performed in triplicate.



Fig. 4. Single-dose intratumoural injection of GoraVir or HAdV-C5 Δ 24E3 in a BxPC-3 xenograft model. (A) NSG mice were subcutaneously injected with 5 × 10⁶ BxPC-3 cells and upon the presence of palpable tumours, PBS or 1 × 10⁸ plaque forming units of GoraVir or HAdV-C5 Δ 24E3 was injected intratumourally. Depicted are the individual tumour growth curves of PBS control group, GoraVir, or HAdV-C5 Δ 24E3-treated mice (*n* = 5 per group). The dashed line denotes the start of the treatment; (B) Mean tumour volume and (C) tumour weight on day 10 post injection for PBS, GoraVir, and HAdV-C5 Δ 24E3-treated mice (*n* = 5 per group); (D) Mean Ad genome copies in tumour tissue, serum, liver, and spleen on day 10 post injection GoraVir and HAdV-C5 Δ 24E3-treated mice (*n* = 5 per group). Statistical analyses were performed using one-way ANOVA and the Tukey correction. Significant differences are indicated by asterisks, with *P* values < 0.05 shown as **, < 0.01 shown as **, and < 0.001 shown as ***.

established which viral (or cellular) mechanisms underlie the observed differences between the two viruses and enable GoraVir to maintain lytic potential *in vivo*.

4. Discussion

Oncolytic viruses demonstrate to constitute a new treatment approach which has the potential to transform the inaccessible, immunosuppressive TME that is characteristic of PDAC. Here, we studied the oncolytic potential of GoraVir, a new gorilla-derived oncolytic Ad, in various *in vitro* model systems of

PDAC and established proof-of-concept of oncolysis *in vivo*. In 2D cell cultures, GoraVir showed strong lytic potential in both cancer cells as well as CAFs (Fig. 1). Moreover, GoraVir retained its lytic potential in 3D spheroid culture systems of PDAC in contrast to HAdV-C5 (Fig. 2). Dual targeting of tumour as well as stromal compartments improves virus replication and spread and has shown to promote antitumour activity [37,38]. However, few oncolytic viruses demonstrate natural stroma targeting. This has resulted in the generation of several genetically modified or bioselected variants with enhanced targeting

to these compartments [38]. To our knowledge, this is the first report of an oncolvtic adenovirus that naturally targets human primary pancreatic CAFs. Previously, the oncolytic adenovirus ICOVIR15 was shown to infect and kill fibroblast activation protein (FAP)⁺ fibroblasts in a murine glioblastoma model [39]. Another approach to target CAFs using ICOVIR15 included the incorporation of a bispecific T-cell engager directed at FAP [40]. Meanwhile, a recent paper by Harryvan et al. [16] showed that the more wildtype-like HAdV-C5A24 was unable to induce cell death in human primary CAFs in contrast to wildtype and bioselected mutant reoviruses. Since the resistance to Ad infection was also observed in CAR-expressing fibroblasts, the authors attributed these differences to the $\Delta 24$ -modification in the HAdV-C5 Δ 24 vector, which makes it not suitable for infecting and killing of quiescent cells with an intact Rb-pathway. However, GoraVir harbours a similar deletion in E1A and demonstrated considerable potential to kill primary fibroblasts (Fig. 1B). This could indicate that CAFs do support productive infection of Rb-dependent oncolytic Ads. Furthermore, the observation that patient-derived primary fibroblasts express higher levels of CD46 mRNA than CAR mRNA led us to speculate the use of a differential receptor, or the expression levels thereof, influences the ability of these viruses to kill CAFs. Future studies examining the ability of the various Ad types to infect CAFs might provide insight in the mechanisms that underly the differences in lytic potential of these Ads in the CAFs.

As anticipated, GoraVir was shown to make use of CD46 for entry into the host cell (Fig. 3). CD46 is a membrane protein involved in regulation of the complement and is frequently upregulated in cancer cells to primarily evade antibody-mediated cytotoxicity [41]. The ubiquitous expression of this receptor and its increased expression in many tumours would make it a preferred natural entry receptor of oncolytic Ads [32]. Interestingly, retargeting of HAdV-C5 to CD46 has previously demonstrated to enhance anti-tumour efficacy compared to wildtype virus despite comparable protein expression of CAR and CD46 in the tumour [42,43]. Taken from this, it appears that targeting of CD46 brings additional benefits despite its ubiquitous expression on cells. CD46 has not been demonstrated to play a direct role in cell death although intracellular complement activation can regulate cell survival via metabolic processes (reviewed in refs [41,44]). Alternatively, in bladder cancer cells, retargeting of adenovirus to CD46 was associated with increased transduction efficacy and subsequent

cytotoxicity [42]. Hence, the use of CD46 might simply result in faster viral entry which provides these viruses with a head start. Nevertheless, it remains to be established whether the differences between Gora-Vir and HAdV-C5 are indeed (partially) receptor-dependent.

Finally, we addressed whether the superior cytotoxicity of GoraVir in vitro also translated to increased oncolytic activity in vivo. In these experiments, we opted for intratumoural injection instead of intravenous delivery as this provides a fair comparison of GoraVir and HAdV-C5A24E3 given the markedly distinct expression patterns of CAR and CD46 in mice. A single-dose intratumoural injection of GoraVir indeed delayed tumour growth compared to PBStreated and HAdV-C5 Δ 24E3-treated mice (Fig. 4). The significant reduction in tumour volume at 10 days post treatment seems promising, as anti-tumour effects of oncolytic viruses in pancreatic xenograft models have frequently been observed at later time points [45,46]. Moreover, a similar dose of HAdV-C5 Δ 24E3 did not show any anti-tumour activity in our model. Interestingly, no differences in viral genome copies were found in tumour, serum, liver, and spleen between the two viruses upon sacrifice. A possible explanation for the absent correlation between viral replication and anti-tumour activity could be that murine CAR can function as a receptor for hAds, unlike murine CD46, thereby increasing the relative amount of (non-cancerous) cells that might support limited replication of HAdV-C5 [47]. Alternatively, deletion of the entire E3 gene region in HAdV- $C5\Delta 24E3$ might have crippled the virus' lytic potential due to the loss of the adenovirus death protein (ADP) [48]. It should be noted that the use of an immunodeficient mouse model to assess anti-tumour efficacy in this study does not accurately address the interplay of the oncolytic virus with the host immune system. Importantly, this interplay is considered a major determining factor for the anti-tumour efficacy of oncolytic viruses. In support of this, oncolvtic virus monotherapy frequently generates modest results in patients while combination therapies using other immunotherapies were demonstrated to be much more effective [49]. Consequently, the anti-tumour effects of GoraVir demonstrated here are likely an underestimation of its true potential. However, strong lytic potential does not always confer the induction of adequate antitumour immune responses and may greatly vary between different OVs [50]. Therefore, it would be of interest to determine whether GoraVir induces cancer cell death that will mediate such anti-tumour immune responses.

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, we have shown that GoraVir has strong lytic potential in pancreatic cancer cells and pancreatic CAFs and that this is facilitated by its use of CD46 for viral entry. Moreover, GoraVir demonstrated superior oncolytic efficacy in spheroid culture models of pancreatic cancer and displayed enhanced anti-tumour activity *in vivo* compared to HAdV-C5. We propose that the enhanced anti-tumour effects are at least in part attributable to the use of different entry receptors by these viruses, although additional aspects of virus biology should not be disregarded. Regardless, our work demonstrates that GoraVir exhibits unique oncolytic properties and seems a promising candidate for the treatment of PDAC through targeting of tumour cells and tumour-adjacent stroma.

Acknowledgements

We thank the Flow cytometry Core Facility (FCF) of Leiden University Medical Center (LUMC) in Leiden, the Netherlands, for technical support. We thank Martijn Rabelink and Evelien Jonge-Muller for their technical assistance. This research was funded, in part, by the Foundation "Overleven met Alvleesklierkanker" Utrecht, Netherlands (OAK_LUMC-2017-2).

Conflict of interest

STFB, VK, and RCH are named inventors of patents pertaining to the use of human- and non-human primate-derived adenoviruses as viral vectors or as oncolytic agents. RCH received and receives research funds from Janssen Vaccines & Prevention B.V. (Leiden, Netherlands) for projects on adenoviruses. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the article; or in the decision to publish the results. The authors declare no other conflict of interests and have no other relevant affiliation or financial involvement with any organization or entity with a financial interest in or financial conflict with the subject matter or materials discussed in the article, apart from those disclosed.

Author contributions

STFB conceived the outline of the project. STFB, TJH, and CG designed the experiments. STFB, TJH, CG, PK, and VK conducted the experiments. STFB acquired and analysed the data. STFB, TJH, and RCH wrote the article. NvM and RCH interpreted the data and critically revised the article. All authors read and approved the final article.

S. T. F. Bots et al.

Data accessibility

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

References

- Sarantis P, Koustas E, Papadimitropoulou A, Papavassiliou AG, Karamouzis MV. Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma: treatment hurdles, tumor microenvironment and immunotherapy. *World J Gastrointest Oncol.* 2020;12:173–81. https://doi.org/10. 4251/wjgo.v12.i2.173
- 2 Timmer FEF, Geboers B, Nieuwenhuizen S, Dijkstra M, Schouten EAC, Puijk RS, et al. Pancreatic cancer and immunotherapy: a clinical overview. *Cancers*. 2021;**13**:4138. https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers13164138
- 3 Gujar S, Pol JG, Kroemer G. Heating it up: oncolytic viruses make tumors "hot" and suitable for checkpoint blockade immunotherapies. *OncoImmunology*. 2018;7: e1442169. https://doi.org/10.1080/2162402X.2018. 1442169
- 4 Macedo N, Miller DM, Haq R, Kaufman HL. Clinical landscape of oncolytic virus research in 2020. *J Immunother Cancer*. 2020;8:e001486. https://doi.org/10. 1136/jitc-2020-001486
- 5 Taipale K, Liikanen I, Koski A, Heiskanen R, Kanerva A, Hemminki O, et al. Predictive and prognostic clinical variables in cancer patients treated with adenoviral oncolytic immunotherapy. *Mol Ther.* 2016;**24**:1323–32. https://doi.org/10.1038/mt.2016.67
- 6 Vogels R, Zuijdgeest D, van Rijnsoever R, Hartkoorn E, Damen I, de Bethune MP, et al. Replication-deficient human adenovirus type 35 vectors for gene transfer and vaccination: efficient human cell infection and bypass of preexisting adenovirus immunity. *J Virol.* 2003;77:8263–71. https://doi.org/10.1128/jvi.77.15.8263-8271.2003
- 7 Tomita K, Sakurai F, Tachibana M, Mizuguchi H. Correlation between adenovirus-neutralizing antibody titer and adenovirus vector-mediated transduction efficiency following intratumoral injection. *Anticancer Res.* 2012;**32**:1145–52.
- 8 Bots STF, Hoeben RC. Non-human primate-derived adenoviruses for future use as oncolytic agents? *Int J Mol Sci.* 2020;**21**:4821. https://doi.org/10. 3390/ijms21144821
- 9 Bots STF, Kemp V, Cramer SJ, van den Wollenberg DJM, Hornsveld M, Lamfers MLM, et al. Nonhuman primate adenoviruses of the human adenovirus B species are potent and broadly acting oncolytic vector

candidates. *Hum Gene Ther*. 2022;**33**:275–89. https://doi. org/10.1089/hum.2021.216

- 10 Giacinti C, Giordano A. RB and cell cycle progression. Oncogene. 2006;25:5220–7. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj. onc.1209615
- 11 Suzuki K, Fueyo J, Krasnykh V, Reynolds PN, Curiel DT, Alemany R. A conditionally replicative adenovirus with enhanced infectivity shows improved oncolytic potency. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2001;7:120–6.
- 12 Yu Y, Yang G, Huang H, Fu Z, Cao Z, Zheng L, et al. Preclinical models of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma: challenges and opportunities in the era of precision medicine. *J Exp Clin Cancer Res.* 2021;40:8. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13046-020-01787-5
- 13 Narayanan S, Vicent S, Ponz-Sarvisé M. PDAC as an immune evasive disease: can 3D model systems aid to tackle this clinical Pproblem? *Front Cell Dev Biol.* 2021;9:787249. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2021.787249
- 14 Harryvan TJ, Hawinkels LJAC, Mini-Tumor Workgroup, Östman A, ten Dijke P, Strell C, et al. A novel pancreatic cancer mini-tumor model to study desmoplasia and myofibroblastic cancer-associated fibroblast differentiation. *Appl Geochem*. 2022;1:678–81. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gastha.2022.04.019
- 15 Froeling FEM, Mirza TA, Feakins RM, Seedhar A, Elia G, Hart IR, et al. Organotypic culture model of pancreatic cancer demonstrates that stromal cells modulate E-cadherin, β-catenin, and ezrin expression in tumor cells. *Am J Pathol*. 2009;**175**:636–48. https://doi. org/10.2353/ajpath.2009.090131
- 16 Harryvan TJ, Golo M, Dam N, Schoonderwoerd MJA, Farshadi EA, Hornsveld M, et al. Gastrointestinal cancer-associated fibroblasts expressing junctional adhesion molecule-a are amenable to infection by oncolytic reovirus. *Cancer Gene Ther.* 2022;29:1918–29. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41417-022-00507-9
- 17 Sanjana NE, Shalem O, Zhang F. Improved vectors and genome-wide libraries for CRISPR screening. *Nat Methods*. 2014;11:783–4. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth. 3047
- 18 Dull T, Zufferey R, Kelly M, Mandel RJ, Nguyen M, Trono D, et al. A third-generation lentivirus vector with a conditional packaging system. *ASM*. 1998;**72**:8463–71.
- 19 Monteran L, Erez N. The dark side of fibroblasts: cancer-associated fibroblasts as mediators of immunosuppression in the tumor microenvironment. *Front Immunol.* 2019;10:1835. https://doi.org/10. 3389/fimmu.2019.01835
- 20 Liu T, Han C, Wang S, Fang P, Ma Z, Xu L, et al. Cancer-associated fibroblasts: an emerging target of anti-cancer immunotherapy. *J Hematol Oncol.* 2019;12:86. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13045-019-0770-1
- 21 Geng X, Chen H, hao L, Hu J, Yang W, Li G, et al. Cancer-associated fibroblast (CAF) heterogeneity and

targeting therapy of CAFs in pancreatic cancer. *Front in Cell Dev Biol.* 2021;9:655152. https://doi.org/10. 3389/fcell.2021.655152

- 22 Yakavets I, Francois A, Benoit A, Merlin JL, Bezdetnaya L, Vogin G. Advanced co-culture 3D breast cancer model for investigation of fibrosis induced by external stimuli: optimization study. *Sci Rep.* 2020;10:21273. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-78 087-7
- 23 Nemerow GR, Pachea L, Reddy V, Stewart PL. Insights into adenovirus host cell interactions from structural studies. *Virology*. 2009;**384**:380–8. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.virol.2008.10.016
- 24 McDonald D, Stockwin L, Matzow T, Blair Zajdel ME, Blair GE. Coxsackie and adenovirus receptor (CAR)-dependent and major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class I-independent uptake of recombinant adenoviruses into human tumour cells. *Gene Ther.* 1993;6:1512–9. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.gt. 3301006
- 25 Arnberg N. Adenovirus receptors: implications for tropism, treatment and targeting. *Rev Med Virol*. 2009;19:165–78. https://doi.org/10.1002/rmv
- 26 Magnusson MK, Hong SS, Boulanger P, Lindholm L. Genetic retargeting of adenovirus: novel strategy employing "deknobbing" of the fiber. *J Virol.* 2001;75:7280–9. https://doi.org/10.1128/jvi.75.16.7280-7289.2001
- 27 You Z, Fischer DC, Tong X, Hasenburg A, Aguilar-Cordova E, Kieback DG. Coxsackievirus-adenovirus receptor expression in ovarian cancer cell lines is associated with increased adenovirus transduction efficiency and transgene expression. *Cancer Gene Ther.* 2001;8:168–75. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.cgt.7700284
- 28 Mizuguchi H, Hayakawa T. Adenovirus vectors containing chimeric type 5 and type 35 fiber proteins exhibit altered and expanded tropism and increase the size limit of foreign genes. *Gene.* 2002;**285**:69–77. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1119(02)00410-9
- 29 Ni S, Gaggar A, Di Paolo N, Li ZY, Liu Y, Strauss R, et al. Evaluation of adenovirus vectors containing serotype 35 fibers for tumor targeting. *Cancer Gene Ther*. 2006;**13**:1072–81. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.cgt. 7700981
- 30 Gaggar A, Shayakhmetov DM, Lieber A. CD46 is a cellular receptor for group B adenoviruses. *Nat Med.* 2003;9:1408–12. https://doi.org/10.1038/nm952
- 31 Tatsis N, Blejer A, Lasaro MO, Hensley SE, Cun A, Tesema L, et al. A CD46-binding chimpanzee adenovirus vector as a vaccine carrier. *Mol Ther*. 2007;15:608–17. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.mt.6300078
- 32 Hensen LCM, Hoeben RC, Bots STF. Adenovirus receptor expression in cancer and its multifaceted role in oncolytic adenovirus therapy. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2020;21:6828. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21186828

- 33 Wang H, Li Z, Liu Y, Persson J, Beyer I, Möller T, et al. Desmoglein 2 is a receptor for adenovirus serotypes 3, 7, 11 and 14. *Nat Med.* 2011;**17**:96–104. https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.2270
- 34 von Seggern DJ, Huang S, Fleck SK, Stevenson SC, Nemerow GR. Adenovirus vector pseudotyping in fiber-expressing cell lines: improved transduction of Epstein–Barr virus-transformed B cells. ASM. 2000;74:354–62.
- 35 Fueyo J, Gomez-Manzano C, Alemany R, Lee PSY, McDonnell TJ, Mitlianga P, et al. A mutant oncolytic adenovirus targeting the Rb pathway produces antiglioma effect in vivo. *Oncogene*. 2000;19:2–12. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.onc.1203251
- 36 Hassan F, Lossie SL, Kasik EP, Channon AM, Ni S, Kennedy MA. A mouse model study of toxicity and biodistribution of a replication defective adenovirus serotype 5 virus with its genome engineered to contain a decoy hyper binding site to sequester and suppress oncogenic HMGA1 as a new cancer treatment therapy. *PLoS One.* 2018;**13**:e0192882. https://doi.org/10. 1371/journal.pone.0192882
- 37 Wang X, Zhong L, Zhao Y. Oncolytic adenovirus: a tool for reversing the tumor microenvironment and promoting cancer treatment. *Oncol Rep.* 2021;45:49. https://doi.org/10.3892/OR.2021.8000
- 38 Everts A, Bergeman M, McFadden MG, Kemp V. Simultaneous tumor and stroma targeting by oncolytic viruses. *Biomedicines*. 2020;8:474. https://doi.org/10. 3390/biomedicines8110474
- 39 Li M, Li G, Kiyokawa J, Tirmizi Z, Richardson LG, Ning J, et al. Characterization and oncolytic virus targeting of FAP-expressing tumor-associated pericytes in glioblastoma. *Acta Neuropathol Commun.* 2020;8:221. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40478-020-01096-0
- 40 de Sostoa J, Fajardo CA, Moreno R, Ramos MD, Farrera-Sal M, Alemany R. Targeting the tumor stroma with an oncolytic adenovirus secreting a fibroblast activation protein-targeted bispecific T-cell engager. J Immunother Cancer. 2019;7:19. https://doi. org/10.1186/s40425-019-0505-4
- 41 Elvington M, Liszewski MK, Atkinson JP. CD46 and oncologic interactions: friendly fire against cancer. *Antibodies*. 2020;9:59. https://doi.org/10. 3390/antib9040059
- 42 Hulin-Curtis SL, Uusi-Kerttula H, Jones R, Hanna L, Chester JD, Parker AL. Evaluation of CD46 retargeted adenoviral vectors for clinical ovarian cancer intraperitoneal therapy. *Cancer Gene Ther.* 2016;23:229– 34. https://doi.org/10.1038/cgt.2016.22
- 43 Do M, To PK, Cho Y, Kwon S, Hwang EC, Choi C, et al. Targeting CD46 enhances anti-tumoral activity of

adenovirus type 5 for bladder cancer. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2018;**19**:2694. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms19092694

S. T. F. Bots et al.

- 44 Hess C, Kemper C. Complement-mediated regulation of metabolism and basic cellular processes. *Immunity*. 2016;45:240–54. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2016. 08.003
- 45 José A, Sobrevals L, Camacho-Sánchez JM, Huch M, Andreu N, Ayuso E, et al. Intraductal delivery of adenoviruses targets pancreatic tumors in transgenic Ela-myc mice and orthotopic xenografts. *Oncotarget*. 2013;4:94–105. https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.795
- 46 Yu YA, Galanis C, Woo Y, Chen N, Zhang Q, Fong Y, et al. Regression of human pancreatic tumor xenografts in mice after a single systemic injection of recombinant vaccinia virus GLV-1h68. *Mol Cancer Ther.* 2009;8:141– 51. https://doi.org/10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-08-0533
- 47 Bergelson JM, Krithivas A, Celi L, Droguett G, Horwitz MS, Wickham T, et al. The murine CAR homolog is a receptor for coxsackie B viruses and adenoviruses. *J Virol.* 1998;72:415–9. https://doi.org/10. 1128/JVI.72.1.415-419.1998
- 48 Murali VK, Ornelles DA, Gooding LR, Wilms HT, Huang W, Tollefson AE, et al. Adenovirus death protein (ADP) is required for lytic infection of human lymphocytes. *J Virol.* 2014;88:903–12. https://doi. org/10.1128/jvi.01675-13
- 49 Chaurasiya S, Fong Y, Warner SG. Oncolytic virotherapy for cancer: clinical experience. *Biomedicines*. 2021;9:419. https://doi.org/10. 3390/biomedicines9040419
- 50 Martin NT, Roy DG, Workenhe ST, van den Wollenberg DJM, Hoeben RC, Mossman KL, et al. Pre-surgical neoadjuvant oncolytic virotherapy confers protection against rechallenge in a murine model of breast cancer. *Sci Rep.* 2018;9:1865. https://doi.org/10. 1038/s41598-018-38 385-7

Supporting information

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

Fig. S1. Infection of A549 CD46 knockout cells with GoraVir and HAdV-C5.

Fig. S2. mRNA expression of CAR and CD46 in patient-derived primary fibroblasts.

Fig. S3. Mice body weight upon treatment with PBS, GoraVir, or HAdV-C5 Δ 24E3.

Table S1. EC50 values for GoraVir and HAdV-C5 inpancreaticcancercellsandcancer-associatedfibroblasts.