



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Reward systems in prison

Elbers, J.M.

Citation

Elbers, J. M. (2024, June 19). *Reward systems in prison*. Meijers-reeks. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3763901>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3763901>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Reward Systems in Prison

Reward Systems in Prison

PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van
de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van Rector Magnificus prof.dr.ir.drs. H. Bijl,
volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties
te verdedigen op woensdag 19 juni 2024
klokke 15.00 uur

door

Jan Maarten Elbers

geboren te Zwolle

in 1991

Promotoren: Prof.Dr. P. Nieuwbeerta
Prof.Dr. M.M. Boone

Copromotoren: Dr. E.F.J.C. van Ginneken
Dr. J.M.H. Palmen

Promotiecommissie: Prof.Dr. J.W. de Keijser
Prof.Dr. M.J.F. van der Wolf
Prof.Dr. K. Beyens (Vrije Universiteit Brussel, België)
Dr. P. Jacobs (Tilburg University)

Dit proefschrift is onderdeel van de *Life in Custody Study*. Dit project werd financieel ondersteund door de Dienst Justitiële Inrichtingen (DJI) en de Universiteit Leiden.

Lay-out: AlphaZet prepress, Bodegraven
Printwerk: Ridderprint, Alblasserdam

© 2024 J.M. Elbers

Behoudens de in of krachtens de Auteurswet van 1912 gestelde uitzonderingen mag niets uit deze uitgave worden verveelvoudigd, opgeslagen in een geautomatiseerd gegevensbestand of openbaar worden gemaakt, in enige vorm of op enige wijze, hetzij elektronisch, mechanisch, door fotokopieën, opnamen of enig andere manier, zonder voorafgaande schriftelijke toestemming van de auteur.

No part of this book may be reproduced in any form, by print, photoprint, microfilm or any other means without written permission of the author.

Table of Contents

| | | |
|-------|---|----|
| 1 | GENERAL INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.1 | Background | 1 |
| 1.2 | The Dutch Prison Context | 4 |
| 1.3 | The Dutch Reward System in Prison | 5 |
| 1.3.1 | The Original Policy (2014) | 5 |
| 1.3.2 | The Revised Policy (2020) | 7 |
| 1.3.3 | The Expanded Policy (2021) | 8 |
| 1.4 | Prior Empirical Studies | 8 |
| 1.4.1 | International Studies | 9 |
| 1.4.2 | National Studies | 11 |
| 1.4.3 | Limitations of Prior Studies | 13 |
| 1.5 | Theoretical Framework | 16 |
| 1.5.1 | Theoretical Objections | 16 |
| 1.5.2 | Prison Compliance Theories | 16 |
| 1.5.3 | Models for Effective Correctional Interventions | 17 |
| 1.5.4 | Contrasts and Limitations | 20 |
| 1.5.5 | Self-Determination Theory | 21 |
| 1.6 | Current Research | 23 |
| 1.7 | Method and Data | 24 |
| 1.7.1 | Research Question 1 | 24 |
| 1.7.2 | Research Question 2 | 24 |
| 1.7.3 | Research Questions 3 and 4 | 25 |
| 1.7.4 | Impact of the Covid-19 Pandemic | 26 |
| 1.8 | Relevance of the Current Study | 27 |
| 1.8.1 | Scientific Relevance | 27 |
| 1.8.2 | Societal Relevance | 28 |
| 1.9 | Study Outline | 29 |
| 2 | THE PROGRAMME THEORY OF THE DUTCH REWARD SYSTEM IN PRISON | 33 |
| 2.1 | Introduction | 33 |
| 2.1.1 | The system of Promotion and Demotion | 34 |
| 2.1.2 | Contingency Management | 35 |
| 2.1.3 | Prior Research | 36 |
| 2.2 | Methods | 37 |
| 2.2.1 | Reconstruction of Programme Theory | 37 |
| 2.2.2 | Data Collection | 38 |
| 2.3 | Results | 40 |
| 2.3.1 | Target Group | 40 |

| | | |
|-------|---|-----|
| 2.3.2 | Means and (Intermediate) Goals | 41 |
| 2.3.3 | Causal Assumptions | 42 |
| 2.3.4 | Scientific Test | 46 |
| 2.3.5 | Policy Consistency and Coherence | 51 |
| 2.4 | Conclusion and Discussion | 52 |
| 2.4.1 | Methodological Remarks and Implications | 54 |
| | Appendix A | 57 |
| 3 | PRIOR STUDIES ON THE EFFECTS OF REWARD SYSTEMS IN PRISON | 59 |
| 3.1 | Introduction | 59 |
| 3.1.1 | Rationale of Contingency Management Systems | 62 |
| 3.2 | Methods | 63 |
| 3.2.1 | Identification of Possibly Relevant Publications | 63 |
| 3.2.2 | Screening Title and Abstract | 64 |
| 3.2.3 | Screening Full-texts | 65 |
| 3.2.4 | Synthesis | 65 |
| 3.2.5 | Quality Assessment | 67 |
| 3.3 | Results | 68 |
| 3.3.1 | Characteristics of Studies on RSP Effectiveness | 68 |
| 3.3.2 | Effectiveness of RSPs | 69 |
| 3.3.3 | Type-1 Studies | 69 |
| 3.3.4 | Type-2 Studies | 70 |
| 3.3.5 | Type-3 Studies | 72 |
| 3.4 | Conclusion and discussion | 74 |
| 3.4.1 | Limitations | 77 |
| 3.4.2 | Implications for Theory, Policy, and Practice | 78 |
| | Appendix B | 80 |
| 4 | PREDICTORS OF PROGRESSION IN THE DUTCH REWARD SYSTEM IN PRISON | 87 |
| 4.1 | Introduction | 87 |
| 4.1.1 | Dutch System of Promotion and Demotion | 88 |
| 4.1.2 | Reward Status Predictors: Behaviour, Self-Governance Ability and Motivation | 88 |
| 4.1.3 | Prior Research on Reward Status Predictors | 90 |
| 4.2 | Methods | 91 |
| 4.2.1 | Life in Custody Study (LIC) | 91 |
| 4.2.2 | Instrument | 92 |
| 4.2.3 | Procedure | 92 |
| 4.2.4 | Sample | 93 |
| 4.2.5 | Measures | 93 |
| 4.2.6 | Analytical Strategy | 95 |
| 4.3 | Results | 95 |
| 4.3.1 | Behaviour | 95 |
| 4.3.2 | Self-Governance Ability | 96 |
| 4.3.3 | Motivation | 97 |
| 4.4 | Conclusion and Discussion | 100 |
| 4.4.1 | Limitations and future research | 101 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| Appendix C | 103 |
| 5 EFFECTS OF REWARD STATUS ON AUTONOMY | 105 |
| 5.1 Introduction | 105 |
| 5.1.1 The Dutch Reward System in Prison | 107 |
| 5.1.2 Theory | 109 |
| 5.1.3 Prior Research | 110 |
| 5.2 Methods | 112 |
| 5.2.1 Data Collection | 112 |
| 5.2.2 Instrument and Participants | 113 |
| 5.2.3 Variables and Analyses | 113 |
| 5.3 Results | 116 |
| 5.3.1 Reward Status and Objective Autonomy | 116 |
| 5.3.2 Objective Autonomy and Subjective Autonomy | 116 |
| 5.3.3 The Role of Self-Governance Ability | 117 |
| 5.4 Conclusion and Discussion | 121 |
| 5.4.1 Remarks | 123 |
| 5.4.2 Implications for Policy and Practice | 124 |
| 6 GENERAL DISCUSSION | 129 |
| 6.1 Introduction | 129 |
| 6.2 Summary of Main Findings | 130 |
| 6.2.1 Main Conclusions | 130 |
| 6.2.2 Plan Evaluation (Chapter 2) | 131 |
| 6.2.3 Systematic Literature Review (Chapter 3) | 132 |
| 6.2.4 Process Evaluation (Chapter 4) | 132 |
| 6.2.5 Impact Evaluation (Chapter 5) | 133 |
| 6.3 Implications for Theory on Reward Systems in Prison | 134 |
| 6.3.1 Contribution of Self-Determination Theory | 134 |
| 6.3.2 Refining the Programme Theory | 135 |
| 6.3.3 Conceptual Analysis of Self-Governance Ability | 138 |
| 6.4 Limitations and Future Research | 139 |
| 6.4.1 Limitations | 139 |
| 6.4.2 Future Research | 142 |
| 6.5 Implications for Policy and Practice | 143 |
| 6.5.1 Increase System Responsivity | 143 |
| 6.5.2 Limit External Pressures to Comply | 145 |
| 6.5.3 Facilitate Intrinsic Motivation | 147 |
| 6.5.4 Reconsider Criteria for External Freedoms | 148 |
| SAMENVATTING (SUMMARY IN DUTCH) | 151 |
| REFERENCES | 161 |
| NAWOORD | 183 |
| CURRICULUM VITAE | 185 |

