



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Exploring the surgical personality

Sier, V.Q.; Schmitz, R.F.; Schepers, A.; Vorst, J.R. van der

Citation

Sier, V. Q., Schmitz, R. F., Schepers, A., & Vorst, J. R. van der. (2023). Exploring the surgical personality. *The Surgeon*, 21(1), 1-7. doi:10.1016/j.surge.2022.01.008

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3760032>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).



Exploring the surgical personality

Vincent Q. Sier^a, Roderick F. Schmitz^b, Abbey Schepers^a,
Joost R. van der Vorst^{a,*}

^a Department of Surgery, Leiden University Medical Center, 2300 RC, Leiden, the Netherlands

^b Department of Surgery, Groene Hart Hospital, 2800 BB, Gouda, the Netherlands

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 4 January 2022

Accepted 24 January 2022

Available online 28 February 2022

Keywords:

Big five personality traits

Five-factor model

Surgical personality

OCEAN model

ABSTRACT

Surgery is a demanding field, requiring determination and emotional stability. This review explores the surgical personality, addressing international personality differences between surgical and non-surgical specialties. Across the globe, surgically-interested individuals (i.e., medical students, residents, surgeons) generally scored higher on conscientiousness, open mindedness, and extraversion, and lower on neuroticism compared to non-surgically-interested contemporaries. Extraversion was inversely correlated to burnout in surgical residents and open mindedness to reduced competence in giving feedback. Although additional region- and/or country-specific research is warranted, being or becoming a surgeon appears to correlate to personality traits such as high conscientiousness and low neuroticism.

© 2022 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of Royal College of Surgeons of Edinburgh (Scottish charity number SC005317) and Royal College of Surgeons in Ireland.

This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Introduction

Personality may be defined as that what characterizes an individual, expressing itself via behaviors, emotions, and thoughts. Personality is both innate and acquired, as twin studies have shown heritability to explain about 50% of variance in character traits.^{1,2} In cohorts that are followed for over 50 years, personality is found to be relatively invariable throughout life,^{3,4} although other studies suggest some influence of decreased memory capacity and forming life events in elderly and children respectively.^{5,6}

A long history exists regarding the identification of character traits that collectively and accurately describe personality types.⁷ Currently, the golden standard taxonomy for personality traits is the five-factor model, popularly known as the ‘big five’ personality traits or by the acronym OCEAN, identifying five large domains of personality: (i) Openness to experience/Open mindedness, (ii) Conscientiousness, (iii) Extraversion, (iv) Agreeableness, (v) Neuroticism/Negative emotionality.

Traditionally, prejudices exist about different medical specialties. For instance, pediatricians may generally be considered as being extraverted and surgeons as competitive. Although these stereotypes certainly do not hold true for

individuals—or whole groups for that matter— dominant personality traits could determine (self)selection in certain specialty groups.

With surgery being regarded as one of the most demanding specialties in terms of working hours and encompassing stresses, personality could be a defining factor for proper functioning and coping with these particular demands.⁸ For example, high conscientiousness and low neuroticism could be argued to be crucial to cope with acute, highly stressful, and demanding situations, and invaluable for any surgeon. In the context of changing demographics of medical students and surgeons as seen in universities and the shifting attention for improved work-life-balance and working conditions, one can imagine that the average surgical personality is potentially changing.

Therefore, in this review, we aim to describe the current state of ‘the surgical personality’ across the globe, after which these results will be related to the authors’ view on the Dutch context of intergenerational, societal, and professional preferences in surgery. Moreover, the matching and well-being of surgeons will be placed in the context of personality profiles, with the purpose of giving a complete overview of current literature.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: v.q.sier@lumc.nl (V.Q. Sier), roderick.schmitz@ghz.nl (R.F. Schmitz), a.schepers@lumc.nl (A. Schepers), j.r.van_der_vorst@lumc.nl (J.R. van der Vorst).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surge.2022.01.008>

1479-666X/© 2022 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of Royal College of Surgeons of Edinburgh (Scottish charity number SC005317) and Royal College of Surgeons in Ireland. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Personality characterization

Big five tests

To assess and measure personality profiles, multiple inventories have been developed and validated in various settings. Currently, there exist two dominant families of personality inventories: 'Big Five Inventory (BFI)' and 'NEO' questionnaires. Both offer different versions, which may feature updated norms, revised questions, and a varying number of items. Importantly, the most commonly used versions, the BFI [44 items], BFI-2 [60 items], BFI-10 [10 items], NEO Five-Factor Inventory (3) [NEO-FFI-(3); 60 items], NEO Personality Inventory-3/R [NEO-PI-R, NEO-PI-3; 240 items], generally show high alpha reliabilities ($\geq .7$) and considerable intercorrelations for the original big five domain scales.^{9–12} Although these big five measures are generally convergent, nuances and differences in constructs remain and should be considered.^{11,13} The choice of personality inventory is largely dependent on expected participant response and completion rates, validation of the test in the country and/or culture of target, and availability and/or distribution of the test. Regarding availability, the International Personality Item Pool (IPIP) has been created as a publicly accessible domain of items that measure personality traits. Validated combinations of these items are used in the literature to assess the big five domains of personality.

The surgical personality

The surgical personality across the globe

Internationally, a multitude of comparisons have been made between surgically-interested individuals, other medical specialists, or normal population samples. For the purpose of providing a manageable overview, these studies will be discussed in a concise manner. A detailed, more comprehensive description of all articles is available in the supplementals ([Appendices A and B](#)).

Surgical personality and the normal population

The existence and/or identification of a surgical personality depends on its relative difference in comparison to the normal population. In this context, multiple Anglo-Saxon studies compared the big five traits of surgical residents and surgeons to normative population data ([Table 1](#)). In an American and English study, surgeons and surgical residents respectively scored lower on openness to experience and neuroticism but higher on conscientiousness and extraversion in comparison to normative data.^{14,15} Remarkably, a second study from the UK showed incongruent results, with higher openness, agreeableness, and neuroticism in surgeons compared to the normal population.¹⁶ Furthermore, surgeons showed a trend toward scoring higher on neuroticism as they aged, while this age-related effect is known to be reversed in the normal population. A possible explanation for this phenomenon could be the high workload and relatively higher and prolonged exposure to morbidity and mortality in patients, and emotional situations in surgical practice.

Regional differences

The currently largest available study on the surgical personality was performed in European and Canadian surgical and non-surgical medical students, residents, and specialists ([Table 1](#)).¹⁷ It was found that, by using the Ten-Item Personality Measure (TIPI), surgically-oriented individuals scored generally significantly higher on openness to experience, extraversion and lower on neuroticism as compared to their non-surgical peers. While supporting the evidence for differences in neuroticism, multiple Scandinavian studies primarily identified distinctions in other personality traits between surgical and non-surgical specialists, with the former demonstrating lower agreeableness and higher conscientiousness.^{18,19} Interestingly, both an American and Jordanian study showed that both conscientiousness and extraversion was higher in a population of surgical as compared to non-surgical interested individuals, while only the latter study demonstrated an additional lower score in neuroticism.^{15,20} Moreover, the same American study also identified a higher score in agreeableness in the surgical population. Together, these results suggest that variances in personality profiles between surgical and non-surgical specialists may entail a cultural origin, either on a more societal or institutional level.

Generational differences

Comparing personality profiles across surgical generations constitutes an attractive approach to identify (surgical) sociocultural changes over time, in addition to identifying crucial traits to succeed in the field. In literature, limited evidence exist regarding this matter. Two UK-based studies identified dissimilar differences between surgical residents and surgically-oriented medical students, with results respectively showing a primarily higher score on conscientiousness or a lower score on neuroticism with regard to the surgical residents ([Table 1](#)).^{14,21}

Matching and well-being of surgeons

The pursuit of matching individuals that are best suited to the demanding, confronting, and precise nature of surgery is of great importance. Similar to the interest of other challenging career fields, such as aviation or space travel, in researching character traits,^{22,23} attention for the association between personality and different skills has been noticeable in the surgical literature.

An obvious application of personality testing in surgery would entail resident selection. A notable study from the University of Texas Medical Branch addressed this topic by retrospectively reviewing the performance of 34 surgical residents in relation to conventional selection measures and big five domain scores (TIPI).²⁴ The residents were categorized in either a low ($n = 12$) or non-low ($n = 22$) performance group, based on residency performance (residency milestones, attrition status, remediation). The researchers found that the non-low performing group scored on average significantly higher on extraversion, conscientiousness, and emotional stability as compared to their low performing peers. Interestingly, neither the conventional selection metrics nor the final ranking positions were able to discern between non-low and low performers. These results coincide well with a study from 2010, in

Table 1 – Overview of studies on personality traits in surgery.

Study	Population	Country	Test		Results	
International studies						
Stienen et al., 2018 ¹⁷	1350 medical students	Canada	TIPI	O	S > NSS	
	1453 residents	Belgium France		C	≡	
	2345 specialists	Austria Germany		E	S > NSS	
	- 465 surgeons (S)	Switzerland		A	≡	
	- 825 non-surgical specialists (NSS)			N	S < NSS	
Preece et al., 2016 ²¹	53 students (4th or 5th year) (St)	UK	Five factor marker (IPIP)	O	≡	
	37 surgical residents (SR)			C	≡	
				E	≡	
				A	≡	
				N	SR < St	
Hoffman et al., 2010 ¹⁴	39 students (1st year, MS1)	UK	BFI	O	SR < MS1, NPD	
	67 students (4th year, MS4)			C	SR > all	
				E	SR > MS1, NPD	
				A	≡	
				N	SR < NPD	
	119 non-surgical residents (NSR)					
	- 22 pediatric residents (PR)					
39 surgical residents (SR)						
Whitaker, 2018 ¹⁶	599 surgeons (S)	UK	Five Factor Personality Assessment (IPIP)	O	S > NPD	
	Normative population data (NPD)			C	S > NPD	
				E	≡	
				A	S > NPD	
				N	S > NPD	
Drosdeck et al., 2015 ¹⁵	150 surgeons (S)	USA	BFI	O	S < NPD	
	586 non-surgical specialists (NSS)			C	S > NSS, NPD	
				E	S > NSS, NPD	
				A	S > NSS	
				N	S < NPD	
Bexelius et al., 2016 ¹⁸	Specialty groups, incl. residents, specialists	Sweden	BFI	O	≡	
	- 67 primary care (PC)			C	S > PSY, HS	
				E	≡	
				A	S < PC, IM, HS	
				N	≡	
Mullola et al., 2018 ¹⁹	2837 physicians, incl.	Finland	s-BFI	O	≡	
	- 80 surgeons (S)			C	≡	
	- 120 anesthesiologists (AN)			E	≡	
	- 231 psychiatrists (PSY)			A	S < other specialists	
	- 155 OB/GYN			N	S < other specialists	
	270 'other' internists					
(continued on next page)						

(continued on next page)

Table 1 – (continued)

Study	Population	Country	Test	Results
Nawaiseh et al., 2020 ²⁰	5th & 6th year medical students Early postgraduate physicians - 502 surgically-oriented (SO) 512 medically-oriented (MO)	Jordan	BFI-2	O C E A N ≡ SO > MO SO > MO ≡ SO < MO
Dutch studies Prins et al., 2019 ³⁵	Dutch residents - 309 surgery - 654 medicine 268 supportive	The Netherlands	BFI	(i) Burnout associated with neuroticism (ii) Extraversion only inversely correlated with burnout in surgical residents
Scheepers et al., 2014 ³⁷	515 surgical and non-surgical attending physicians	The Netherlands	Adapted BFI-10 (BFI-11)	Surgical attending physicians scoring higher on openness were evaluated as less capable at giving feedback

which 412 trauma surgeons were asked to fill out a survey containing the TIPI and the Minnesota Satisfaction Questionnaire Short Form about job satisfaction.²⁵ This study demonstrated that more extraverted and emotionally stable trauma surgeons were likely to be more often satisfied with their job.

First and foremost, being a team player is considered as invaluable for any surgical specialist. In addition, proficient technical skills and manual dexterity are also considered to be important. Therefore, considering the utilization of big five domain scores in the context of surgical outcomes, and especially in team composition, might be of value.^{26,27} Within the University of Toronto, 15 urology residents (10 junior, 5 senior) filled in the BFI, and were subjected to technical and non-technical skill testing during a simulation of a laparoscopic nephrectomy, including a vasovagal response on a pneumoperitoneum and damage to the inferior caval vein.²⁸ No significant difference was found between the personality dimensions of junior and senior residents, i.e., respectively openness to experience (3.4, 3.6), conscientiousness (4.1, 4.4), extraversion (3.8, 3.4), agreeableness (4.0, 4.3), and

neuroticism (2.6, 3.0). Although the sample size of this study could skew its results, higher scores in conscientiousness correlated with superior technical skills and successfully completing the aforementioned simulation. Contrastingly, in a Swiss group of 54 residents with basic laparoscopic experience, 29 with intermediate proficiency and 12 medical students, none of the big five domains (NEO-FFI) were associated with technical performance in a VR-based surgical simulator.²⁹ The authors suggested that, while personality was not associated with technical aptitude, individual traits might play an important role in team performance. Regarding the latter, 24 orthopedic surgeons from the Massachusetts General Hospital in Boston received anonymous 360° feedback from multiple clinical and non-clinical colleagues.³⁰ After measuring each physician's personality profile using an adapted Delphi Consensus Technique, it became apparent that higher emotional stability was significantly associated with overall patient satisfaction, patient recommendation of the physician to other patients, and teamwork index score (effective surgical team, as assessed by team members).




Fig. 1 – Number of enrolled medical students and registered general surgeons by sex. In both medical students (A), surgical residents in training (B) and general surgeons (C), a steady increase in the proportion of females can be observed over the past decades. Graphs (A) and (C) based on freely accessible data, available from Netherlands Statistics (CBS).^{31,32} The data used for graph (B) are courtesy of the Dutch Surgical Residents Society (VAGH) and based on their annual survey.³³

Surgical identity in the Netherlands

Although globalization and multiculturalism have changed many organizational cultures, the medical profession has always seemed to lag behind most other occupations by relying more on traditional hierarchical structures. Instead of philosophically discussing the origin and morality of this phenomenon, we would like to describe the implications of personality characteristics for the medico-surgical identity in the Netherlands, a country which is known for its divergent, almost nonhierarchical (academic) social structures as compared to its neighboring countries.

The influence of sex disparities

To comprehend general trends of a profession's predominant personality types, it is imperative to zoom in on its developments and members during different generations. For this purpose, demographical datasets of Dutch medical students and general surgeons were obtained via Statistics Netherlands (CBS), a Dutch government institution that gathers and publishes statistical information. Upon analyzing the data, it became apparent that a 2-fold increase in female medical students can be observed from 1990 to 2014, relative to the total number of medical students in the Netherlands (Fig. 1A).³¹ Accordingly, this resulted in a relative decrease in the proportion of male medical students from 47% in 1990 to 34% in 2014. In a similar timeframe, the proportion of registered female general surgeons has drastically increased, from 5% in 1999 to 25% in 2019 (Fig. 1C).³² Less data are available on surgical residents.

Nevertheless, the respondents of the annual survey amongst surgical residents demonstrated an equal distribution in sex in recent years (Fig. 1B).³³ Together, the increase in the percentage of female medical students, more attention for work-life balance, and changing societal norms might explain the relative growth in the number of registered female general surgeons.

Interpretation. International studies may provide valuable information to answer the question whether the perceived stereotype of a low neurotic and agreeable but conscientious surgeon is essentially a required personality or rather a function of a high proportion of males in surgery. Australian researchers asked second year medical students (267 males, 304 females, 2 unknown) to fill out the NEO-FFI, as well as a set of general demographic and specialty choice questions.³⁴ Males were significantly more interested in surgery than females, while also indicating a significant higher interest in prestige and financial rewards in the context of specialty choice. With regard to personality scores, females scored significantly higher on neuroticism, openness, and agreeableness. Congruent with the latter trait score, females rated the ability to help people significantly higher in their road to choosing a specialty. When comparing individuals from both groups with an interest in surgery, it appeared that females scored higher on their interest in the ability to help people, neuroticism, and agreeableness and gave less weight to prestige and financial rewards. Notably, females interested in surgery demonstrated lower agreeableness and more attention for prestige and less for lifestyle than their female

colleagues not interested in surgery. Altogether, the popular trait characteristics ascribed to surgeons might not necessarily apply to women within surgery. However, the acceptance of more diverse personality profiles might (partially) explain the growing number of female surgeons.

Surgical residency

Regarding surgical residency, a recent study researched the association between personality traits (BFI) and burnout in Dutch surgical ($n = 309$) and non-surgical residents (medical, $n = 654$; supportive, $n = 268$) (Table 1).³⁵ After adjusting for multiple factors, including overtime, learning environment, and gender, the researchers found that neuroticism was associated with burnout in residents of surgical (odds ratio: 4.37) as well as medical (odds ratio: 1.99) and supportive (odds ratio: 6.19) fields of medicine. Remarkably, extraversion was found to be inversely associated with burnout in residents of surgical disciplines but not in individuals of other medical fields. The authors mentioned in their discussion that surgical residents worked significantly more overtime than residents from supportive medical fields, suggesting that extraverted surgical trainees might be more content with their working milieu.

While surgical training in the Netherlands has evolved from the classic master-trainee dogma into more structural, regionally organized residency programs, supervisor-trainee evaluations remain the cornerstone of the latter's development into a competent surgeon.³⁶ Moreover, the most recent development in surgical training is competency-based learning, in which surgical trainees are expected to demonstrate the knowledge and skills that they should be capable of at certain stages of their training. In this context, 515 surgical and non-surgical attending physicians and 560 residents from 18 different medical centers in the Netherlands respectively filled out an evaluation for teaching performance and an adapted version of the BFI-10 (BFI-11) (Table 1).³⁷ More specifically, the System for Evaluation of Teaching Qualities (SETQ) was used by residents to assess the attending physicians' teaching performance. The results showed that extraverted attending physicians were generally gauged to be more competent in overall teaching performance, while surgical attending physicians who scored higher on openness were evaluated as less proficient in giving feedback. In a subsequent study employing the same ongoing multicenter survey, the authors studied the association between work engagement, teaching performance, and personality profiles.³⁸ Using the Utrecht Work Engagement Scale (UWES-9), they demonstrated that supervisors with higher work engagement were generally graded as better performing teachers by residents. Moreover, higher work engagement was linked to higher scores in extraversion, agreeableness, and conscientiousness, suggesting that individuals with these traits are more suited to occupy clinical teaching positions.

Discussion

In this review, the surgical personality was studied by means of exploring its nature, applications, and consequences in a global fashion. In the international, non-Dutch studies, it became apparent that, in general, surgically-interested individuals (i.e., medical students, residents, and surgeons)

demonstrated higher levels of conscientiousness, openness and extraversion, and lower levels of neuroticism, as compared to their non-surgically-interested peers. Across generations, current data remain scarce and inconclusive. Regarding surgical residents in the Netherlands, it was found that burnouts were unsurprisingly associated with higher scores on neuroticism and that extraversion correlated inversely with burnout in surgical but not in medical residents. In surgical attending physicians, openness to experience was related to less proficiency in giving feedback.

Although multiple studies have established several dominant traits to be associated with a surgical identity, these results might differ based on country- or region-specific hierarchical and cultural structures. As an example, a competitive pyramidal selection procedure in medical schools has been suggested to result in different personality types of French medical students in comparison to other countries.³⁹ In the Netherlands, shifting medico-social values could influence the perception of what defines an archetypical surgeon in the future, but comprehensive datasets are currently lacking.

Therefore, to truly identify (the existence of) the surgical personality, it would be advised to design regional studies in the near future, while considering significant factors such as demographics and selection processes.

Conclusion

The demanding nature of surgical specialties requires certain levels of willpower and emotional stability, represented by traits such as high conscientiousness and low neuroticism. Besides the necessity of fairly high levels of emotional stability and conscientiousness, there should always be room for a varied range of personality traits, enabling the formation of strong, capable, and diverse surgical teams in the future.

Ethical approval

Not applicable.

Author contribution

Conceptualization, VQS, JRvdV; Writing, all authors; Critical appraisal/revisions: all authors.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank dr. Joris Blok for providing access to the data of the annual survey amongst surgical residents by the Dutch Surgical Residents Society (VAGH).

Appendices A & B. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surge.2022.01.008>.

REFERENCES

1. Jang KL, Livesley WJ, Vernon PA. Heritability of the big five personality dimensions and their facets: a twin study. *J Pers Sep* 1996;**64**(3):577–91.
2. Bouchard Jr TJ, McGue M. Genetic and environmental influences on human psychological differences. *J Neurobiol Jan* 2003;**54**(1):4–45.
3. Haan N, Millsap R, Hartka E. As time goes by: change and stability in personality over fifty years. *Psychol Aging Sep* 1986;**1**(3):220–32.
4. Hampson SE, Goldberg LR. A first large cohort study of personality trait stability over the 40 years between elementary school and midlife. *J Pers Soc Psychol Oct* 2006;**91**(4):763–79.
5. Harris MA, Brett CE, Johnson W, Deary IJ. Personality stability from age 14 to age 77 years. *Psychol Aging Dec* 2016;**31**(8):862–74.
6. Specht J, Egloff B, Schmukle SC. Stability and change of personality across the life course: the impact of age and major life events on mean-level and rank-order stability of the Big Five. *J Pers Soc Psychol Oct* 2011;**101**(4):862–82.
7. McCrae RR, John OP. An introduction to the five-factor model and its applications. *J Pers Jun* 1992;**60**(2):175–215.
8. Leigh JP, Tancredi D, Jerant A, Kravitz RL. Annual work hours across physician specialties. *Arch Intern Med Jul* 11 2011;**171**(13):1211–3.
9. Soto CJ, John OP. The next Big Five Inventory (BFI-2): developing and assessing a hierarchical model with 15 facets to enhance bandwidth, fidelity, and predictive power. *J Pers Soc Psychol Jul* 2017;**113**(1):117–43.
10. McCrae RR, Costa Jr PT, Martin TA. The NEO-PI-3: a more readable revised NEO Personality Inventory. *J Pers Assess Jun* 2005;**84**(3):261–70.
11. John OP, Srivastava S. The Big Five Trait taxonomy: history, measurement, and theoretical perspectives. *Handb Pers: Theor Res* 1999:102–38. 2nd ed. New York, NY, US: Guilford Press.
12. Soto CJ, John OP. Ten facet scales for the Big Five Inventory: convergence with NEO PI-R facets, self-peer agreement, and discriminant validity. *J Res Pers* 2009;**43**(1):84–90.
13. Miller JD, Gaughan ET, Maples J, Price J. A comparison of Agreeableness scores from the Big Five Inventory and the NEO PI-R: consequences for the study of narcissism and psychopathy. *Assessment Sep* 2011;**18**(3):335–9.
14. Hoffman BM, Coons MJ, Kuo PC. Personality differences between surgery residents, nonsurgery residents, and medical students. *Surgery Aug* 2010;**148**(2):187–93.
15. Drosdeck JM, Osayi SN, Peterson LA, Yu L, Ellison EC, Muscarella P. Surgeon and nonsurgeon personalities at different career points. *J Surg Res Jun* 1 2015;**196**(1):60–6.
16. Whitaker M. The surgical personality: does it exist? *Ann R Coll Surg Engl Jan* 2018;**100**(1):72–7.
17. Stienen MN, Scholtes F, Samuel R, Weil A, Weyerbrock A, Surbeck W. Different but similar: personality traits of surgeons and internists-results of a cross-sectional observational study. *BMJ Open Jul* 7 2018;**8**(7):e021310.
18. Bexelius TS, Olsson C, Jarnbert-Pettersson H, Parmskog M, Ponzer S, Dahlin M. Association between personality traits and future choice of specialisation among Swedish doctors:

- a cross-sectional study. *Postgrad Med Aug* 2016;**92**(1090):441–6.
19. Mullola S, Hakulinen C, Presseau J, Ruiz de Porras DG, Jokela M, Hintsa T, et al. Personality traits and career choices among physicians in Finland: employment sector, clinical patient contact, specialty and change of specialty. *BMC Med Educ* Mar 27 2018;**18**(1):52.
 20. Nawaiseh MB, Haddadin RR, Al Droubi B, Nawaiseh HB, Alarood S, Aborajoo E, et al. The association between personality traits and specialty preference among medical students in Jordan. *Psychol Res Behav Manag* 2020;**13**:599–607.
 21. Preece RA, Cope AC. Are surgeons born or made? A comparison of personality traits and learning styles between surgical trainees and medical students. *J Surg Educ Sep-Oct* 2016;**73**(5):768–73.
 22. Musson DM, Sandal GM, Helmreich RL. Personality characteristics and trait clusters in final stage astronaut selection. *Aviat Space Environ Med* Apr 2004;**75**(4):342–9.
 23. King RE, Flynn CF. Defining and measuring the "right stuff": neuropsychiatrically enhanced flight screening (N-EFS). *Aviat Space Environ Med* Oct 1995;**66**(10):951–6.
 24. Hughes BD, Perone JA, Cummins CB, Sommerhalder C, Tyler DS, Bowen-Jallow KA, et al. Personality testing may identify applicants who will become successful in general surgery residency. *J Surg Res* Jan 2019;**233**:240–8.
 25. Foulkrod KH, Field C, Brown CV. Trauma surgeon personality and job satisfaction: results from a national survey. *Am Surg* Apr 2010;**76**(4):422–7.
 26. Lovejoy CA, Nashef SAM. Surgeons' personalities and surgical outcomes. *Bull Roy Coll Surg Engl* 2018;**100**(6):259–63. 2018/09/01.
 27. Prewett MS, Brown MI, Goswami A, Christiansen ND. Effects of team personality composition on member performance: a multilevel perspective. *Group Organ Manag* 2016;**43**(2):316–48. 2018/04/01.
 28. Goldenberg MG, Fok KH, Ordon M, Pace KT, Lee JY. Simulation-based laparoscopic surgery crisis resource management training-predicting technical and nontechnical skills. *J Surg Educ Jul - Aug* 2018;**75**(4):1113–9.
 29. Rosenthal R, Schafer J, Hoffmann H, Vitz M, Oertli D, Hahnloser D. Personality traits and virtual reality performance. *Surg Endosc* Jan 2013;**27**(1):222–30.
 30. Lanz JJ, Gregory PJ, Menendez ME, Harmon L. Dr. Congeniality: understanding the importance of surgeons' nontechnical skills through 360 degrees feedback. *J Surg Educ Jul - Aug* 2018;**75**(4):984–92.
 31. Statistics Netherlands (CBS). *Hoger onderwijs; ingeschrevenen 1990-2015*. <https://opendata.cbs.nl/statline/#/CBS/nl/dataset/70943ned/barv?ts=1629727087105>.
 32. Statistics Netherlands (CBS). *Medisch geschoolden; arbeidspositie, werkkring, specialisme, vanaf 1999*. <https://opendata.cbs.nl/statline/#/CBS/nl/dataset/84760NED/table?ts=1629722845031>.
 33. VAGH. *Annual survey amongst surgical residents by the Dutch surgical residents society*. <https://vagh.nl>.
 34. Malhi GS, Coulston CM, Parker GB, Cashman E, Walter G, Lampe LA, et al. Who picks psychiatry? Perceptions, preferences and personality of medical students. *Aust N Z J Psychiatr* Oct 2011;**45**(10):861–70.
 35. Prins DJ, van Vendeloo SN, Brand PLP, Van der Velpen I, de Jong K, van den Heijkant F, et al. The relationship between burnout, personality traits, and medical specialty. A national study among Dutch residents. *Med Teach* May 2019;**41**(5):584–90.
 36. Borel-Rinkes IH, Gouma DJ, Hamming JF. Surgical training in the Netherlands. *World J Surg* Oct 2008;**32**(10):2172–7.
 37. Scheepers RA, Lombarts KM, van Aken MA, Heineman MJ, Arah OA. Personality traits affect teaching performance of attending physicians: results of a multi-center observational study. *PLoS One* 2014;**9**(5):e98107.
 38. Scheepers RA, Arah OA, Heineman MJ, Lombarts KM. How personality traits affect clinician-supervisors' work engagement and subsequently their teaching performance in residency training. *Med Teach* Nov 2016;**38**(11):1105–11.
 39. Plaisant O, Courtois R, Toussaint PJ, Mendelsohn GA, John OP, Moxham B. Medical students' Big Five Personality scores and the effects on the "selection process". *Eur J Anat* 2011;**15**:121–8.