



Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

A fair balance: health data protection and the promotion of health data use for clinical and research purposes

Kist, I.R.

Citation

Kist, I. R. (2024, June 5). *A fair balance: health data protection and the promotion of health data use for clinical and research purposes*. Retrieved from <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3759726>

Version: Publisher's Version

License: [Licence agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden](#)

Downloaded from: <https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3759726>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

9. Index of cases

Presented in chronological order

Court of Justice of the European Union

- Bodil Lindqvist (Court of Justice of the European Union, 2003). ECLI:EU:C:2003:596.
- Breyer v Bundesrepublik Deutschland (Court of Justice of the European Union, 2016). ECLI:EU:C:2016:779.
- Fashion ID GmbH & Co.KG v Verbraucherzentrale NRW eV (Court of Justice of the European Union, 2019). ECLI:EU:C:2019:629.
- Satakunnan Markkinapörssi Oy and Satamedia v. Finland (Court of Justice of the European Union, 2017). ECLI:CE:ECHR:2017:0627JUD000093113.
- GC and others v Commission nationale de l'informatique et des libertés (CNIL) (Court of Justice of the European Union, 2019). ECLI:EU:C:2019:773.
- Google v Commission nationale de l'informatique et des libertés (CNIL) (Court of Justice of the European Union, 2019). Opinion of Advocate General Szpunar. ECLI:EU:C:2019:15
- Google v Commission nationale de l'informatique et des libertés (CNIL) (Court of Justice of the European Union, 2019) ECLI:EU:C:2019:772.
- Bundesverband der Verbraucherzentralen und Verbraucherverbände - Verbraucherzentrale Bundesverband eV v Planet49 GmbH. Opinion of Advocate General Spuznar (Court of Justice of the European Union, 2019). ECLI:EU:C:2019:246.
- Bundesverband der Verbraucherzentralen und Verbraucherverbände - Verbraucherzentrale Bundesverband eV v Planet49 GmbH (Court of Justice of the European Union, 2019). ECLI:EU:C:2019:801.
- Orange Romania SA v Autoritatea Națională de Supraveghere a Prelucrării Datelor cu Caracter Personal (ANSPDCP) (Court of Justice of the European Union, 2020). ECLI:EU:C:2020:901.
- Data Protection Commissioner v Facebook Ireland Limited and Maximilian Schrems (Court of Justice of the European Union, 2020). ECLI:EU:C:2020:559.
- Digi Távközlési és Szolgáltató Kft v. Nemzeti Adatvédelmi és Információszabadság Hatóság (Court of Justice of the European Union, 2022). Opinion of Advocate General Pikamäe. ECLI:EU:C:2022:248.
- Digi Távközlési és Szolgáltató Kft v. Nemzeti Adatvédelmi és Információszabadság Hatóság (Court of Justice of the European Union, 2022). ECLI:EU:C:2022:805.
- OT v Vyriausioji tarnybinės etikos komisija (Court of Justice of the European Union, 2022). ECLI:EU:C:2022:601.
- Meta Platforms Inc v Bundeskartellamt, Opinion of Advocate General Rantos (Court of Justice of the European Union, 2022). ECLI:EU:C:2022:704.
- UI v Österreichische Post, Opinion of Advocate General Campos Sánchez-Bordona (Court of Justice of the European Union, 2022). ECLI ECLI:EU:C:2022:756.
- RW v Österreichische Post AG (Court of Justice of the European Union, 2023). ECLI:EU:C:2023:3

European Court of Human Rights

- Young, James & Webster v. United Kingdom, 7601/76; 7806/77 (European Court of Human Rights, 1981). <https://hudoc.echr.coe.int/app/conversion/docx/?library=ECHR&id=001-57608&filename=CASE%20OF%20YOUNG%2C%20JAMES%20AND%20WEBSTER%20v.%20THE%20UNITED%20KINGDOM.docx&logEvent=False>
- Gaskin v. United Kingdom, 10454/83 (European Court of Human Rights, 1989). https://www.stradalex.eu/en/se_src_publ_jur_eur_cedh/document/echr_10454-83
- Herczegfalvy v. Austria, 10533/83 (European Court of Human Rights, 1992). https://www.stradalex.eu/en/se_src_publ_jur_eur_cedh/document/echr_10533-83_001-55619

- Z v. Finland, 22009/93 (European Court of Human Rights, 1997). <https://www.globalhealthrights.org/wp-content/uploads/2013/02/EComHR-1995-Z-v.-Finland.pdf>
- Christine Goodwin v. United Kingdom, 28957/95 (European Court of Human Rights, 2002). [https://hudoc.echr.coe.int/eng#{%22itemid%22:\[%22001-60596%22\]}](https://hudoc.echr.coe.int/eng#{%22itemid%22:[%22001-60596%22]})
- Pretty v. United Kingdom, 2346/02 (European Court of Human Rights, 2002). https://www.equalrightstrust.org/ertdocumentbank/Microsoft%20Word%20-%20Case%20of%20Pretty%20v%20UK%20_2_.pdf
- H.M. v. Switzerland, 39187/98 (European Court of Human Rights, 2002). [https://hudoc.echr.coe.int/fr/e#%7B%22display%22:\[2\],%22itemid%22:\[%22002-5462%22\]%7D](https://hudoc.echr.coe.int/fr/e#%7B%22display%22:[2],%22itemid%22:[%22002-5462%22]%7D)
- Odièvre v. France, 42326/98 (European Court of Human Rights, 2003). <https://hudoc.echr.coe.int/app/conversion/docx/?library=ECHR&id=001-60935&filename=CASE%20OF%20ODIEVRE%20v.%20FRANCE.docx&logEvent=False>
- Gennadi Naoumenko v. Ukraine, 42023/98 (European Court of Human Rights, 2004). <https://www.womenslinkworldwide.org/en/files/2898/gjo-echr-juhnke-en-pdf.pdf>
- Jalloh v. Germany, 54810/00 (European Court of Human Rights, 2006). [https://hudoc.echr.coe.int/fre#{%22fulltext%22:\[%22Jalloh%22\],%22itemid%22:\[%22001-76307%22\]}](https://hudoc.echr.coe.int/fre#{%22fulltext%22:[%22Jalloh%22],%22itemid%22:[%22001-76307%22]})
- Copland v. United Kingdom 62617/00 (European Court of Human Rights, 2007). <https://hudoc.echr.coe.int/app/conversion/docx/?library=ECHR&id=001-79996&filename=CASE%20OF%20COPLAND%20v.%20THE%20UNITED%20KINGDOM.docx&logEvent=False>
- Tysiąc v. Poland, 5410/03 (European Court of Human Rights, 2007). https://www.reproductiverights.org/sites/crr.civicactions.net/files/documents/Tysiac_v_Poland_web.pdf
- Renolde v. France, 5608/06 (European Court of Human Rights, 2008). <https://policehumanrightsresources.org/renolde-v-france-application-no-5608-05>

Germany

Bundesverfassungsgericht (1983). ECLI:DE:BVerfG:1983:rs19831215.1bvr020983.

The Netherlands

- 1590 Besch (Hoge Raad der Nederlanden, 1985). ECLI:NL:HR:1985:AC9105
- Bespiede bijstandsmoeder (Hoge Raad der Nederlanden, 1987). ECLI:NL:HR:1987:AG5500
- Schending informatieplicht arts (Hoge Raad der Nederlanden, 2001). ECLI:NL:PHR:2001:AD3963
- Baby Kelly (Hoge Raad der Nederlanden, 2005). ECLI:NL:HR:2005:AR5213
- SGP (Gerechtshof 's-Gravenhage, 2007). ECLI:NL:GHSGR:2007:BC0619
- Staat der Nederlanden tegen Clara Wichmann C.S. (SGP-Uitspraak) (Hoge Raad der Nederlanden, 2010) ECLI:NL:PHR:2010:BK4549
- Patient X/Tandarts Y (Rechtbank Amsterdam, 2013). ECLI:NL:RBAMS:2013:7837
- Millecam (Hoge Raad der Nederlanden, 2013). Conclusie in cassatie: ECLI:NL:PHR:2013:BY4859
- Cassatie: ECLI:NL:GHAMS:2010:BO7698.
- Data Privacy Stichting (Rechtbank Amsterdam, 2023). ECLI:NL:RBAMS:2023:1407.