

# Striking a balance between local and global interests: communities and cultural heritage protection in public international law

Starrenburg, S.H.

#### Citation

Starrenburg, S. H. (2024, May 2). Striking a balance between local and global interests: communities and cultural heritage protection in public international law. Meijers-reeks. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3750283

Version: Publisher's Version

<u>Licence agreement concerning inclusion of</u>

License: doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of

the University of Leiden

Downloaded from: <a href="https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3750283">https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3750283</a>

**Note:** To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Striking a balance between local and global interests

# Striking a balance between local and global interests

Communities and cultural heritage protection in public international law

#### PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van de graad van doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden, op gezag van rector magnificus prof.dr.ir. H. Bijl, volgens besluit van het college voor promoties te verdedigen op donderdag 2 mei 2024 klokke 15.00 uur

door

# Sophie Hannah Starrenburg

geboren te Sydney, Australië

in 1993

Promotoren: prof. dr. N.J. Schrijver

prof. dr. Y.M. Donders (Universiteit van Amsterdam)

promotiecommissie: prof. dr. L.J. van den Herik

prof. dr. L. Lixinski (University of New South Wales,

Sydney, Australië) prof. dr. C. Stahn

dr. A. Strecker (University College Dublin, Ierland) prof. dr. I. Venzke (Universiteit van Amsterdam)

This publication is part of the project 'The Foundations of Cultural Heritage Protection: Striking a Balance between the Local and the Global' with project number 406.18.516 of the research programme Research Talent which is financed by the Dutch Research Council (NWO).

Opmaak binnenwerk: Anne-Marie Krens - Tekstbeeld - Oegstgeest

Omslagontwerp: Primo!Studio – Delft Drukwerk: Ipskamp Printing – Amsterdam

#### © 2024 S.H. Starrenburg

Behoudens de in of krachtens de Auteurswet gestelde uitzonderingen mag niets uit deze uitgave worden verveelvoudigd, opgeslagen in een geautomatiseerd gegevensbestand, of openbaar gemaakt, in enige vorm of op enige wijze, hetzij elektronisch, mechanisch, door fotokopieën, opnamen of enige andere manier, zonder voorafgaande schriftelijke toestemming van de uitgever.

Voor zover het maken van reprografische verveelvoudigingen uit deze uitgave is toegestaan op grond van artikel 16h Auteurswet dient men de daarvoor wettelijk verschuldigde vergoedingen te voldoen aan de Stichting Reprorecht (Postbus 3051, 2130 KB Hoofddorp, www.reprorecht.nl). Voor het overnemen van (een) gedeelte(n) uit deze uitgave in bloemlezingen, readers en andere compilatiewerken (art. 16 Auteurswet) kan men zich wenden tot de Stichting PRO (Stichting Publicatie- en Reproductierechten Organisatie, Postbus 3060, 2130 KB Hoofddorp, www.stichting-pro.nl).

No part of this book may be reproduced in any form, by print, photoprint, microfilm or any other means without written permission from the publisher.

Provincialism? Not at all. I am not burying myself in a narrow particularism. But neither do I want to lose myself in an emaciated universalism. There are two ways to lose oneself: walled segregation in the particular or dilution in the "universal."

My conception of the universal is that of a universal enriched by all that

is particular, a universal enriched by every particular: the deepening and coexistence of all particulars.

And so? So we need to have the patience to take up the task anew [.]

Aimé Césaire (tr. Chike Jeffers)

('Letter to Maurice Thorez' (2010) 28 Social Text 145)

## Acknowledgments

This project started with a nagging frustration at the manner in which phrases such as the 'cultural heritage of mankind' are used in cultural heritage law. I am incredibly grateful to my supervisors, Nico Schrijver and Yvonne Donders, for allowing me to delve into the implications of these frustrations over the course of the past few years. Thank you for supporting me throughout the entirety of the project, from the arduous process of grant application to the finish line. Your experience and advice, not only on matters related to content but on many other issues as well, have been truly invaluable.

I would also like to express my sincere appreciation to the members of my doctorate committee, who so generously agreed to read and engage with my work: Larissa van den Herik, Lucas Lixinski, Carsten Stahn, Amy Strecker and Ingo Venzke. I also gratefully acknowledge the financial support of the Dutch Research Council (NWO) for funding the project that formed the basis of this dissertation, and the Max Planck Society for facilitating a research stay in Heidelberg in 2022.

Several institutions have provided an academic home for me while writing this manuscript: the Grotius Centre for International Legal Studies, where my colleagues have inspired me with both the breadth and depth of their knowledge of international law; the Max Planck Institute for Comparative Public Law and International Law, whose fabulous community of (visiting) scholars showed me how much fun research can be; and the Department of Public Law and Governance of Tilburg Law School, in particular the members of 'Constitutionalising in the Anthropocene' research group, who helped me to broaden my academic horizons during the final stages of the project.

A number of individuals have been instrumental to the completion of this manuscript. Special thanks to Freya and Daniëlla for their guidance as I was starting out in the world of international law as a student, and thereby helping me to get to where I am today. To Ciara and Sofia I owe an immeasurable debt of gratitude for their support while we were all stuck at home (writing) during the pandemic, and long after that besides. To Floris, thank you for the many discussions over the tops of our screens in the office and for motivating me to finish my PhD by doing it in such style yourself. Thanks also to Thea, Franke, Sophie, Emma, Vid, and Hanna for sharing many a good story over tea, coffee, and other beverages. I'm grateful to Jolein for taking on the role of paranymph alongside Ciara with such enthusiasm, and for occasionally sharing her stickers with me. Finally, thanks to the rest of the Leiden PhD

VIII Acknowledgments

and O&O community – both past and present – for being such a source of good cheer in the offices and corridors of the KOG.

My friends and family have also been a constant source of support. Merel, Leandra and Vanja drew me away from my desk and into the great outdoors at precisely the right moments. Shila and Mai have continued to inspire me, even though each of us has forged very different paths. Maria was a wonderful (and much-needed) cheerleader in the final stages of writing. Similarly, Ad and Willy and the rest of the Van Eck family were always ready along the sidelines to applaud my successes. My parents, Lynne and Patrick Starrenburg, helped shape me into the person I am today, in part by providing me with as many books as I could get my hands on as a child. In doing so, they instilled in me not only a love of learning, but also a passion for history and culture which has enriched my life in countless ways. Most importantly, they encouraged me along every step of the way.

Finally, to Dirk: thank you for always having faith that I would get the job done (and your patience as I went about doing so). Your love has made all the difference.

Sophie Starrenburg August 2023

# Table of contents

|   | ABE | BREVIAT | IONS   | XIII |
|---|-----|---------|--|------|
| l | Int | RODUC   | TION   | 1    |
|   | 1.1 | Resea   | rch question   | 4    |
|   | 1.2 |         | ing the research   | 10   |
|   |     |         | Universality and international law                             | 10   |
|   |     | 1.2.2   | Participation and human rights-based approaches                | 15   |
|   | 1.3 | Defin   | itional issues   | 19   |
|   |     | 1.3.1   | Cultural property vs. cultural heritage                        | 19   |
|   |     | 1.3.2   | Cultural heritage law as an international legal regime         | 20   |
|   |     | 1.3.3   | Living heritage  | 22   |
|   |     | 1.3.4   | Individuals and local communities as relevant stakeholders     | 24   |
|   | 1.4 | Metho   | odology  | 26   |
|   | 1.5 | Struct  | ture   | 31   |
| 2 | Uni | VERSAI  | JTY IN INTERNATIONAL LAW                                       | 35   |
|   | 2 1 | Doctr   | inal approaches to universality                                | 35   |
|   |     |         | ersality across international regimes                          | 43   |
|   |     |         | General international law                                      | 43   |
|   |     |         | Answering to the international community: international human  | 10   |
|   |     |         | rights law   | 51   |
|   |     | 2.2.3   | Considerations of humanity: international humanitarian law and | 01   |
|   |     |         | international criminal law                                     | 55   |
|   |     | 2.2.4   | The law of the global commons: the law of the sea, space law,  |      |
|   |     |         | international environmental law                                | 59   |
|   |     |         | 2.2.4.1 Common heritage of humankind                           | 59   |
|   |     |         | 2.2.4.2 Common concern   | 64   |
|   | 2.3 | The s   | tate as the sole arbiter of common interests?                  | 68   |
|   |     | 2.3.1   | 0 1  |      |
|   |     |         | international law  | 68   |
|   |     | 2.3.2   | A tension between the form and function of common interest     |      |
|   |     |         | regimes  | 69   |
|   |     | 2.3.3   | The 'humanisation' of international law                        | 75   |

X Table of contents

| 3 DEFINING CULTURAL HERITAGE VALUE: THE DEVELOPMENT OF 'UNIVERSAL IMPORTANCE' OVER TIME |     |  |  | 79  |  |
|---|-----|--|--|-----|--|
|   | 3.1 | Early  | attempts at codification   | 82  |  |
|   |     |  | The 'long nineteenth century' and the 1899 and 1907 Hague                |     |  |
|   |     |  | Conventions  | 82  |  |
|   |     | 3.1.2  | The International Committee on Intellectual Cooperation (1920-           |     |  |
|   |     |  | 1946)  | 87  |  |
|   |     |  | 3.1.2.1 Regulating the illicit trade in cultural property                | 89  |  |
|   |     |  | 3.1.2.2 Preventing the destruction of cultural property during           |     |  |
|   |     |  | armed conflict   | 90  |  |
|   | 3.2 | The c  | reation of UNESCO (1946) and the 1954 Hague Convention                   | 93  |  |
|   | 3.3 | The C  | Convention for the Fight against the Illicit Trafficking of Cultural     |     |  |
|   |     | Prope  | rty (1970)   | 97  |  |
|   | 3.4 |  | Vorld Heritage Convention (1972)   | 106 |  |
|   |     | The Underwater Cultural Heritage Convention (2001) |  |     |  |
|   | 3.6 | The I  | ntangible Cultural Heritage Convention (2003)                            | 123 |  |
|   | 3.7 | Parall   | el developments  | 132 |  |
|   |     | 3.7.1  | The 2005 Convention on the Protection and Promotion of the               |     |  |
|   |     |  | Diversity of Cultural Expressions  | 133 |  |
|   |     | 3.7.2  | International criminal law   | 135 |  |
|   |     | 3.7.3  | Human rights law   | 137 |  |
|   | 3.8 | Concl  | usion  | 142 |  |
| 4   | Pro | TECTIN   | G HERITAGE OF 'UNIVERSAL IMPORTANCE'                                     | 147 |  |
|   | 4.1 |  | non protection mechanisms  | 148 |  |
|   | 7.1 |  | 1954 Hague Convention  | 150 |  |
|   |     |  | 1970 Convention  | 156 |  |
|   |     |  | 1972 World Heritage Convention   | 158 |  |
|   |     |  | 2001 Underwater Cultural Heritage Convention                             | 161 |  |
|   |     |  | 2003 Intangible Cultural Heritage Convention                             | 167 |  |
|   |     |  | Utilisation of <i>jus cogens</i> norms and <i>erga omnes</i> obligations | 169 |  |
|   |     |  | Conclusion   | 174 |  |
|   | 4.2 |  | foring and implementation mechanisms                                     | 175 |  |
|   | 1   |  | Establishment of statutory bodies  | 175 |  |
|   |     |  | Operational guidelines   | 181 |  |
|   |     |  | Periodic reporting   | 182 |  |
|   |     |  | Monitoring   | 186 |  |
|   |     |  | 4.2.4.1 Voluntary advisory missions                                      | 187 |  |
|   |     |  | 4.2.4.2 Non-voluntary monitoring procedures                              | 188 |  |
|   |     | 4.2.5  | Responding to non-compliance   | 197 |  |
|   |     |  | 4.2.5.1 1972 World Heritage Convention                                   | 198 |  |
|   |     |  | 4.2.5.2 1999 Second Protocol   | 200 |  |
|   |     |  | 4.2.5.3 2003 Intangible Cultural Heritage Convention                     | 202 |  |
|   |     | 4.2.6  | Dispute settlement   | 207 |  |
|   |     | 4.2.7  | Conclusion   | 208 |  |
|   |     |  |  |     |  |

Table of contents XI

|   | 4.3  | Fostering individual and community participation                    | 214 |
|---|------|---|-----|
|   |      | •                             | 217 |
|   |      | Ÿ   | 219 |
|   |      | 1 0   | 222 |
|   |      | · · ·   | 223 |
|   |      | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·                               | 229 |
|   |      |   | 233 |
|   | 1.1  | Conclusion  | 237 |
|   | 4.4  | Conclusion  | 237 |
| 5 | BLIN | ND SPOTS IN THE PROTECTION OF 'UNIVERSAL' HERITAGE                  | 241 |
|   |      |   |     |
|   |      | 67  | 245 |
|   |      | 7   | 251 |
|   | 5.3  | 0   | 254 |
|   |      |   | 255 |
|   |      | 1 1 7 0   | 259 |
|   |      |   | 262 |
|   |      | 0 0   | 272 |
|   |      |   | 277 |
|   |      |   | 279 |
|   | 5.4  |   | 281 |
|   |      | 5.4.1 State control over minority cultures                          | 284 |
|   |      | 5.4.2 Nationalism and inter-community conflict                      | 290 |
|   |      | 5.4.3 Exacerbation of social inequality                             | 292 |
|   |      | 5.4.4 Positive effects  | 294 |
|   |      | 5.4.5 Conclusion  | 296 |
|   | 5.5  | Excursus: The role of human rights in the decisions of the World    |     |
|   |      | Heritage Committee and Intergovernmental Committee                  | 297 |
|   | 5.6  | Conclusion  | 300 |
|   |      |   |     |
| 6 | CRO  | DSS-FERTILISATION OF CONCEPTS AIMED AT THE PROTECTION OF            |     |
|   | IND  | IVIDUALS AND COMMUNITIES  | 305 |
|   | 6.1  | Revisiting the problems faced by individuals and local communities  |     |
|   |      |   | 305 |
|   | 6.2  | ~ .   | 307 |
|   | ٠    |   | 310 |
|   |      |   | 314 |
|   |      | 0 11  | 316 |
|   |      |   | 319 |
|   |      | <u> </u>  | 322 |
|   |      |   | 322 |
|   |      |   | 226 |
|   |      | 0   | 326 |
|   |      | 6.2.4 The right to public participation in decision-making in human | 220 |
|   |      | 0   | 328 |
|   |      | 6.2.5 Relevance for cultural heritage law                           | 334 |

XII Table of contents

|     | 6.3                 | The duty to consult and the right to free, prior and informed consent   | 339 |
|-----|---------------------|---|-----|
|     |                     | 6.3.1 ILO Convention No. 169  | 340 |
|     |                     | 6.3.2 UNDRIP  | 342 |
|     |                     | 6.3.3 Human rights bodies   | 345 |
|     |                     | 6.3.4 Voluntary guidelines  | 348 |
|     |                     | 6.3.5 Relevance for cultural heritage law   | 352 |
|     | 6.4                 | Impact assessments  | 354 |
|     |                     | 6.4.1 Relevance for cultural heritage law   | 357 |
|     | 6.5                 | Conclusion  | 358 |
| 7   | Тне                 | HUMANISATION OF CULTURAL HERITAGE LAW   | 363 |
|     | 7.1                 | Tensions between the 'form' and 'function' of universalist  |     |
|     |                     | international law   | 363 |
|     |                     | Cultural heritage law as a universalist legal regime  | 367 |
|     | 7.3                 | Universalist cultural heritage law and its limitations  | 371 |
|     | 7.4                 | O I   |     |
|     |                     | communities   | 378 |
|     |                     | Fostering the participation of affected individuals and communities Foregrounding the interests of individuals and local communities in | 383 |
|     |                     | cultural heritage law   | 389 |
|     | 7.7                 | Reading cultural heritage law against the grain: the humanisation of cultural heritage law  | 397 |
|     |                     |   |     |
| SU  | MMA                 | RY  | 401 |
| SA  | MEN                 | /atting (Dutch summary)   | 405 |
| TA  | BLE (               | DF TREATIES   | 409 |
| TA  | BLE (               | DF CASES  | 415 |
| TA  | BLE (               | OF DOCUMENTS  | 419 |
| BIE | BIBLIOGRAPHY 4      |   |     |
| Cu  | CURRICULUM VITAE 48 |   |     |

### **Abbreviations**

ACmHPR African Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights
ACtHPR African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights

ARSIWA ILC Articles on the Responsibility of States for Internationally

Wrongful Acts

CBD Convention on Biological Diversity

CEDAW Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination

Against Women

CERD Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination CESCR Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights

CGIs Communities, groups and individuals CHH common heritage of humankind

CITES Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species

COP Conference of the Parties

CRC Convention on the Rights of the Child

CRPD Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities

ECHR European Convention of Human Rights ECtHR European Court of Human Rights

ECLAC UN Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean

EEZ Exclusive Economic Zone

EIA environmental impact assessment
FPIC free, prior and informed consent
HIA heritage impact assessment
HRBA human rights-based approach
HRC Human Rights Committee
HRIA human rights impact assessment

IACHR American Convention on Human Rights
IACmHR Inter-American Commission of Human Right
IACtHR Inter-American Court of Human Rights

ICC International Criminal Court

ICCPR International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights

ICCROM International Centre for the Study of the Preservation and

Restoration of Cultural Property

ICERD International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial

Discrimination

ICESCR International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights

ICH intangible cultural heritage

ICIC International Committee on Intellectual Cooperation

ICI International Court of Justice

ICOMOS International Council on Monuments and Sites

XIV Abbreviations

ICTR International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda

ICTY International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia

IIIC International Institute of Intellectual Cooperation

ILA International Law Association

IUCN International Union for Conservation of Nature

MEA multilateral environmental agreement

MOP Meeting of the Parties

NIEO New International Economic Order

OHCHR Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights

OIM Office International des Musées
OUV Outstanding Universal Value
SEA strategic environmental assessment
STAB Scientific and Technical Advisory Body
TWAIL Third World Approaches to International Law

TWAIL Third World Approaches to Internat.

UCH underwater cultural heritage

UDHR Universal Declaration of Human Rights

UN United Nations

UNCLOS UN Convention on the Law of the Sea

UNDRIP UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples

UNECE UN Economic Commission for Europe

UNESCO UN Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
UNFCCC UN Framework Convention on Climate Change
VCLT Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties