

Understanding coercive nuclear reversal dynamics: a comparative case study of US coercive diplomacy against the nuclear programs of Iran, Libya, and South Africa

Ndzana Ndzana, J.Y.

## Citation

Ndzana Ndzana, J. Y. (2024, April 25). *Understanding coercive nuclear reversal dynamics:* a comparative case study of US coercive diplomacy against the nuclear programs of Iran, *Libya, and South Africa*. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3748164

Version: Publisher's Version

License: License agreement concerning inclusion of doctoral thesis in the

Institutional Repository of the University of Leiden

Downloaded from: https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3748164

**Note:** To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).



## PROPOSITIONS ON THE PhD THESIS

**Topic:** Understanding coercive nuclear reversal dynamics. A comparative case study of US coercive diplomacy against the nuclear programs of Iran, Libya, and South Africa.

## Author: Jean Yves Ndzana Ndzana.

- Coercive diplomacy prevails when two conditions are met: if the coercer exploits the vulnerabilities of the target and if he
  demonstrates a motivation to have a sustained campaign to compel the target.
- The likelihood of diplomatic coercion to succeed heavily depends on the coercer's ability to mitigate the counter-coercion strategy of the target.
- Failure to consider the threat perceptions, security guarantees and the status of the target is a recipe for a failing coercive strategy.
- The more you demand from the target, the more you should be willing to offer and suffer.
- Process tracing is a suitable method to unveil causal mechanisms in coercive diplomacy research but cannot accurately identify special events that played a decisive role in the coercion of the target.
- Neoclassical realism highlights the importance of accurately assessing a State's power and interests, which are crucial for effective coercive diplomacy.
- Neoclassical realism's emphasis on power politics complements the focus on State capabilities from the neorealism model, providing a more nuanced perspective on foreign policy outcomes.
- Neoclassical realism's integration of systemic, domestic, and individual factors makes it a robust framework for comprehensively
  understanding the complexities of foreign policymaking and outcomes.
- In a striking twist, Washington's apparent insincerity in addressing two out of the three cases scrutinized in this study raises a compelling question: is the US inadvertently adopting the stance of a *de facto* nuclear proliferator?
- My promotors' statement in our first-ever meeting: "The thesis is yours and we defended ours more than 20 years ago" was a compelling suggestion against laziness and sleeping nights. It served as an uproarious reminder that I could not just snooze through this academic journey!