

Combatting tax avoidance, the OECD way? The impact of the BEPS Project on developing and emerging countries' approach to international tax avoidance Heitmüller, F.

Citation

Heitmüller, F. (2024, March 12). Combatting tax avoidance, the OECD way?: The impact of the BEPS Project on developing and emerging countries' approach to international tax avoidance. Meijers-reeks. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3721806

Version: Publisher's Version

Licence agreement concerning inclusion of

License: <u>doctoral thesis in the Institutional Repository of</u>

the University of Leiden

Downloaded from: https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3721806

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Combatting tax avoidance, the OECD way?

The impact of the BEPS Project on developing and emerging countries' approach to international tax avoidance

Combatting tax avoidance, the OECD way?

The impact of the BEPS Project on developing and emerging countries' approach to international tax avoidance

PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van de graad van doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden, op gezag van rector magnificus prof.dr.ir. H. Bijl, volgens besluit van het college voor promoties te verdedigen op dinsdag 12 maart 2024 klokke 11.15 uur

door

Frederik Heitmüller

geboren te Mülheim an der Ruhr, Duitsland

in 1993

Promotoren: Prof. dr. I.J. Mosquera Valderrama

Prof. dr. M.O. Hosli

Promotiecommissie: Prof. dr. H. Vording

Prof. dr. A. Cuyvers Dr. D.M. Broekhuijsen

Prof. dr. I.J.J. Burgers (Rijksuniversiteit Groningen) Prof. Dr. T. Rixen (Freie Universität Berlin, Deutschland) Dr. S. Tandon (National Institute of Public Finance and

Policy, Delhi, India)

Funding

The writing and research for this PhD thesis have been conducted in the framework of the ERC GLOBTAXGOV Project (2018-2023) funded by the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 Programme (*FP*/2014-2020) (*ERC Grant agreement n.* 758671).

Lay-out: AlphaZet prepress, Bodegraven Printwerk: Ipskamp Printing

© 2024 F. Heitmüller

Behoudens de in of krachtens de Auteurswet van 1912 gestelde uitzonderingen mag niets uit deze uitgave worden verveelvoudigd, opgeslagen in een geautomatiseerd gegevensbestand of openbaar gemaakt, in enige vorm of op enige wijze, hetzij elektronisch, mechanisch, door fotokopieën, opnamen of enig andere manier, zonder voorafgaande schriftelijke toestemming van de auteur.

No part of this book may be reproduced in any form, by print, photoprint, microfilm or any other means without written permission of the author.

Table of Contents

AC	RONY	MS		XI
Ac	KNOW	VLEDGM	ENTS	XIII
1	Intr	RODUCTI	ION	1
	1.1	Motiv	vation, theoretical approach, and research questions	1
	1.2		ture and main findings	8
	1.3	Contr	ribution to literature	10
2	Мет	HODOLO	OGY	13
	2.1	Cases	studied	13
	2.2	Fieldy	work in countries	14
		2.2.1	Documentary analysis	14
		2.2.2	Selection of interviewees and interview procedure	15
		2.2.3	Questionnaire design	17
		2.2.4	Generating data from interviews	18
	2.3	Quali	tative analysis and information reduction	19
	2.4	Quan	titative data on legal regimes	20
		2.4.1	Data sources	20
		2.4.2	Countries included in the analysis	21
3	Analyzing approaches to tackle international tax avoidance		23	
	3.1	Introd	duction	23
	3.2	What	is international tax avoidance?	24
			Tax avoidance and tax abuse	24
		3.2.2	International tax avoidance and aggressive tax	
			planning	26
	3.3		rent country roles in international tax avoidance and	
			inimum standards	28
	3.4		rent approaches to defend a country against tax	
		avoid		31
		3.4.1	8 11	33
		3.4.2	Blunt responses: Eliminating/reducing the benefit	
			for both avoiders and for genuine businesses	34
		3.4.3	0 1	38
			No response (tolerating avoidance)	39
			International harmonization	41
		3.4.6	GAARs vs. SAARs	42
	3.5	Prelin	ninary conclusions	43

VI Table of Contents

4	Тне	BEPS P	ROJECT AND WHAT IT ENCOURAGES COUNTRIES TO DO	45
	4.1		duction	45
	4.2	The h	igh-level goals	45
	4.3		legree of bindingness and the consequences of	
			ompliance	47
	4.4		oals in detail	49
	4.5		ing the boundaries of the BEPS Project	53
	4.6	The B	SEPS Project among other international tax policy	
		stand	ards	55
	4.7	Prelin	ninary conclusions	58
5	Тне	DOMEST	FIC POLITICAL ECONOMY OF TACKLING INTERNATIONAL	
	TAX.	AVOIDA	NCE	61
	5.1	Introd	duction	61
	5.2	Status	s-quo ante	61
		5.2.1	Pre-existing regulation	62
		5.2.2	Salience of the policy issue	63
	5.3		osition in the market for MNE investment	64
		5.3.1		
		5.3.2	1	67
	5.4	Capa	city	69
			Administrative capacity	70
			Policy-making capacity	71
	5.5		ence and interests of different stakeholders	72
		5.5.1	Introduction to the international tax policy making	
			process	72
		5.5.2	Primacy of the bureaucrats and a limited role for	
			parliaments and political parties	73
			Intra-executive politics	76
		5.5.4	, ,	79
			Do business preferences make a difference?	80
			Tax advisors: National interest vs. clients' interests?	85
			Civil society organizations	87
		5.5.8		89
	5.6	Prelin	ninary conclusions	92
6	TACI	KLING T	RANSFER MISPRICING	95
	6.1	5.1 Introduction		
	6.2	Transfer mispricing, the arm's-length-principle, guidelines,		
		and tl	he BEPS Project	97
		6.2.1	The arm's-length-principle	97
		6.2.2	Transparency and documentation	99
		6.2.3	Advance certainty and dispute resolution	102
	6.3	The e	volution of transfer pricing policies in India,	
		Color	nbia, Senegal and Nigeria	107

Table of Contents	VII
-------------------	-----

		6.3.1 India	107
		6.3.2 Colombia	112
		6.3.3 Nigeria	116
		6.3.4 Senegal	121
	6.4	Comparing the approaches and considering evidence on	
		other countries	126
		6.4.1 Starting with transfer pricing rules	126
		6.4.2 Divergent approaches and dispute resolution	128
		6.4.3 Transparency and documentation	132
		6.4.4 Was transfer pricing an issue?	134
	6.5	Preliminary conclusions	143
7	Тасі	KLING TREATY SHOPPING	145
	7.1	Introduction	145
	7.2	History of countering treaty shopping and BEPS Action 6	146
	7.3	Policy choices to tackle treaty shopping in India,	
		Colombia, Senegal, and Nigeria	150
		7.3.1 The emergence of treaty shopping and responses	
		in Colombia, India, Nigeria and Senegal	150
		7.3.2 Comparison of specific variables across countries	160
		7.3.3 Summary	166
	7.4	Beyond the four countries	168
		7.4.1 Evolution of treaty shopping risk	168
		7.4.2 Implementation of BEPS Action 6	171
		7.4.3 Adoption of other responses	173
	7.5	Preliminary conclusions	174
8	Тне	normative debate around the BEPS Project	177
	8.1	Inclusion in the decision-making process	177
	8.2	Critiques about the content	179
		8.2.1 Administrative resource intensity	179
		8.2.2 Crowding out action in other areas	181
		8.2.3 Not endorsing simpler solutions	183
		8.2.4 General objections to the critiques	191
		8.2.5 The possibility to cherry pick	193
	8.3	The EU list of non-cooperative jurisdictions and the BEPS	
		minimum standards	194
9	Con	CLUSIONS AND THE WAY FORWARD	199
_	9.1	Summary of findings	199
	9.2	Implications	202
	9.3	Limitations and calls for more research	205
	9.4	Beyond tax	206
		•	

VIII	Table of Contents
------	-------------------

10	Annex	209
	10.1 Topic list used in interviews	209
	10.2 Table of interview participants	210
	10.3 Method to calculate treaty shopping risk	212
	10.3.1 Data sources	213
	10.3.2 Calculation of treaty shopping risk	213
	10.3.3 Defining conduit jurisdictions	215
	10.3.4 Using conduits for service payments	219
11	Bibliography	221
12	Summaries	245
	12.1 English	245
	12.2 Nederlands	250
	12.3 Français	256
	12.4 Español	262
	-	
13	Curriculum Vitae	269

Figures

Figure 1:	Country roles in international tax avoidance	29
Figure 2:	Staff counts at secretariats of different international tax	
	organizations	58
Figure 3:	Transfer pricing rules across countries	127
Figure 4:	Distribution of annual number of MAP cases started,	
	mean 2018-2020	129
Figure 5:	Compliance with CbCR confidentiality requirements	133
Figure 6:	Evolution of inward FDI stock as % of GDP in countries	
	studied and mean among all countries (except high	
	income and low tax)	135
Figure 7:	Evolution of Chinn-Ito Index of financial openness	136
Figure 8:	Median difference between domestic withholding rates	
	and statutory rates	139
Figure 9:	Median statutory rates and withholding rates for	
	deductible payments	140
Figure 10:	Median difference between applicable withholding rates	
	(weighted mean) and statutory rates	142
Figure 11:	Basic treaty shopping structure	145
Figure 12:	Share of SPE investment from selected country in total	
	inward FDI stock	163
Figure 13:	Evolution of treaty shopping risk in developing countries	169
Figure 14:	Number of developing countries without any treaty	
	shopping risk	170
Figure 15:	Compliance of countries' treaty networks with Action 6	172
Figure 16:	Mean share of treaties compliant with the BEPS Action 6	
	minimum standard	173
Figure 17:	Critiques derived from the administrative resource	
	intensity of the BEPS Project's solutions, dependent on	
	countries' decisions	181
Figure 18:	Number of countries suitable for conduit companies	218

Tables

Table 1:	Number of interviewees per country and category	16
Table 2:	Jurisdictions in the Inclusive Framework and BEPS Process	22
Table 3:	Comparison of ideal-typical ways countries deal with	
	international tax avoidance from the defensive perspective	32
Table 4:	Main dimensions of international tax policies that the	
	BEPS minimum standards are directed at	50
Table 5:	Countries that have introduced an arbitration clause in	
	any treaty or can introduce one as per their treaty policy	106
Table 6:	MAP statistics across income groups	130
Table 7:	Compliance with Action 14 based on information in MAP	
	profiles	131
Table 8:	Advantage conferred by treaties compared to weighted	
	average of direct routes	161
Table 9:	Factors influencing strategies to deal with treaty shopping	168
Table 10:	Characteristics of conduit jurisdictions	216

Acronyms

AAR Authority for Advance Rulings (India)

Authorized OECD Approach **AOA** APA Advance Pricing Agreement **ATAF** African Tax Administration Forum **BEPS** Base Erosion and Profit Shifting

CA Competent Authority

Country by Country Report(ing) **CbCR**

Central Board for Direct Taxes (Indian direct tax policy **CBDT**

making authority)

Coordinated Direct Investment Survey **CDIS**

CFC

Controlled Foreign Company Centro Interamericano de Administración Tributaria CIAT

(Inter-American Tax Administration Center)

CIT Corporate Income Tax

DGID Direction Général des Impôts et Domaines (Senegalese tax

administration)

Dirección de Impuestos y Aduanas Nacionales (Colombian DIAN

tax administration)

Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative **EITI**

EU European Union

Forum for Harmful Tax Practices **FHTP**

Federal Inland Revenue Service (Federal tax authority of **FIRS**

Nigeria)

G20 Group of 20

General Anti-Avoidance Rule **GAAR GDP** Gross Domestic Product GloBE Global Anti-Base Erosion **GNI** Gross National Income

International Bureau for Fiscal Documentation **IBFD**

Instituto Colombiano de Derecho Tributario (Colombian Tax **ICDT**

Law Institute)

ICRICT Independent Commission for the Reform of International

Corporate Taxation

ICTD International Centre for Tax and Development

IFA International Fiscal Association

IGF Inter-Governmental Forum on Mining

IMF International Monetary Fund Limitation on Benefits clause LOB

XII Acronyms

MAP Mutual Agreement Procedure

MCAA Multilateral Competent Authority Agreement

MFN Most-Favored Nation
MLI Multilateral Instrument
MNE Multinational Enterprise
MoF Ministry of Finance

NGO Non-Governmental Organization

OECD Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development

PE Permanent Establishment
PPT Principal Purpose Test
SAAR Specific Anti-Avoidance Rule

SPE Special Purpose Entity

TIEA Tax Information Exchange Agreement

TP Transfer Pricing

TPG Transfer Pricing Guidelines

UK United Kingdom
US United States
USD United States Dollar
UN United Nations

UNCTAD United Nations Conference on Trade and Development UNCTC United Nations Centre on Transnational Corporations

VAT Value Added Tax

WTO World Trade Organization

Acknowledgments

Even though my name is written on the title page of this book (and I am entirely responsible for all errors contained therein) I could never have produced it just by myself sitting somewhere in the proverbial ivory tower.

First of all, I am indebted to dozens of tax experts in Colombia, India, Senegal, and Nigeria, who have granted me hours of their precious time for an interview and discussed their experiences and viewpoints with a lot of frankness and pedagogy. To safeguard anonymity, I cannot name those individuals personally here. But I would like to express my deepest gratitude, since this project would not have been possible without them. Being able to learn from people with first-hand experience how a legal system is applied in practice is a huge privilege.

Writing a PhD is overall a learning process, and this process was enjoyable and productive thanks to the guidance of my supervisors, Irma Mosquera and Madeleine Hosli. Thanks to Irma, I had the chance to spend my PhD trajectory as part of the GLOBTAXGOV research project – funded by a European Research Council Starting Grant. From Irma, I was able to learn not only research skills and a plenty of tax law knowledge but much more: from teaching over the organization of conferences to the building of an international network. Thank you also to my colleague Juliana Cubillos, as well as Mareike Boom who travelled the greatest part of this collaborative journey with me. Marius von Frankenhorst, Philippe Gaulard, Céleste Ricci, Elvis Oyare provided very valuable research assistance at different stages of the project.

I am grateful to the members of my thesis committee – Henk Vording, Dirk Broekhuijsen, Suranjali Tandon, Armin Cuyvers, Thomas Rixen, and Irene Burgers – for insightful comments and helpful wayposts for improving my research in the future. During the last five years, I also had the chance to participate in many seminars and conferences, to present my thoughts in conversations or share pieces of text with a number of scholars, whom I thank for their feedback and discussions: Martin Hearson, Cassandra Vet, Yariv Brauner, Diane Ring, Pedro Schoueri, Andrea Riccardi, Patrick Emmenegger, Allison Christians, Ashrita Kotha, Katharina Kuhn, Vincent Arel-Bundock, Gonzalo Arias, Anarella Calderoni, Markus Meinzer, Michael Sampson, Kerrie Sadiq, Wouter Lips.

Thanks also to my colleagues at the tax law department: Bastiaan, Manon, Shaomei, Sarah, Qunfang, Ezgi, Paloma, Anne, Pie, Tim, Jurian, Elody, Maarten, Mees, Els, Judith, Federica, Tirza, Josephine, Yannick, Maartje, Matthijs, Ria, Natascha, Angelica, Belinda, Caroline, Koos, Jan, Jan,

XIV Acknowledgments

Martijn, Laurens, Mohamed, Esther, Almut, Michel, Heiko, Roberto, Giovana and Adrian, for a great mix of fun and tax.

During my stays in Senegal and Colombia, Oswaldo Gonzalez, Emilie Diouf, and Annelien Bouland helped with many of the practical issues that come with doing research in a foreign country.

Thank you also to my new colleagues at the International Centre for Tax and Development, where I have been contributing to the international tax work since March 2023, for welcoming as part of the community.

Writing a PhD is not only an academic endeavour. It is a commitment to a project over several years that would have been too overwhelming without the support I had from my family and friends. This is even more true when several years of the project are conducted during a pandemic. I am grateful for having been surrounded by a great group of friends: Special mention to Eduard, Luis, Marcela, Adrian, Niko, Alex, Laura, Rama, Jan, Los Saxoneros, MC Money and the Jazz rats, St. Balec, the Taalcafé Den Haag, DHC Underground Climbers, and the Doppelkloppers. My parents Oskar and Heike, my sisters Karoline and Mareike, my grandparents Ilse and Manfred, and my partner Lama have been huge pillars of support. It is to them that I dedicate this book.